EFICIENCIA DE TESTES:

EVOSUITE VS MODELOS I.A.

A91672 LUIS FERREIRA A93258 BERNARDO LIMA A94523 ALEXANDRA SANTOS

INTRODUCAO

Os testes de software desempenham um papel crucial no desenvolvimento, assegurando qualidade e segurança, auxiliando a identificação de erros à redução de custos e tempo de correção.

Para esse efeito, usamos JaCoCo e Pitest para avaliar a qualidade de testes gerados automaticamente pelo Maven Evosuite e pela *IA*, nomeadamente o *GPT* e o *Gemini*.



EXEMPLOS DOS TESTES GERADOS: EVOSUITE

Projeto: Poligono Triangulo_ESTest.java

```
@Test(timeout = 4000)
public void test0() throws Throwable {
   Ponto ponto0 = new Ponto();
   Ponto ponto1 = new Ponto(ponto0);
   ponto1.somaPonto((-655.022), 1238.6609295649014);
   Ponto ponto2 = new Ponto(908.1910908611, 908.1910908611);
   Triangulo triangulo0 = new Triangulo(ponto1, ponto0, ponto2);
    double double0 = triangulo0.areaTriangulo();
   assertTrue(triangulo0.fechada());
    assertEquals(859912.9827732957, double0, 0.01);
@Test(timeout = 4000)
public void test1() throws Throwable {
   Triangulo triangulo0 = null;
     triangulo0 = new Triangulo((Ponto) null, (Ponto) null, (Ponto) null);
     fail("Expecting exception: NullPointerException");
    } catch(NullPointerException e) {
      // no message in exception (getMessage() returned null)
       verifyException("poligono.Triangulo", e);
```

Projeto: Turma Aluno_ESTest.java

```
@Test(timeout = 4000)
public void test00() throws Throwable {
   HashMap<String, Double> hashMap0 = new HashMap<String, Double>();
   Double double0 = new Double((-2979.0));
   hashMap0.put("", double0);
   Aluno aluno0 = new Aluno("turma.NotaInvalidaException", "turma.NotaInvalidaException", hashMap0);
   aluno0.incrementaNota(", Notas: ", (-2979.0));
   double double1 = aluno0.media();
   assertEquals((-2979.0), double1, 0.01);
@Test(timeout = 4000)
public void test01() throws Throwable {
   Aluno aluno0 = new Aluno("m$e?='nVuL-`u", "m$e?='nVuL-`u");
   aluno0.setNota("{/~JR~M][N", 20.0);
   assertEquals("m$e?='nVuL-`u", aluno0.getNome());
@Test(timeout = 4000)
public void test02() throws Throwable {
   HashMap<String, Double> hashMap0 = new HashMap<String, Double>();
   Aluno aluno0 = new Aluno("U<rGj3PrQq", "", hashMap0);
   aluno0.incrementaNota("", 1.0);
   double double0 = aluno0.media();
   assertEquals(1.0, double0, 0.01);
   assertEquals("U<rGj3PrQq", aluno0.getNome());
   assertEquals("", aluno0.getNumero());
```


projectpoligono Element Missed Instructions Cov. Missed Branches Cov. 0 of 683 0 112 100% 0 of 38 poligono Element Missed Instructions Cov. Missed Branches Cov. Poligono 100% Ponto 100% **⊕** <u>Triangulo</u> 100% n/a Retangulo PoligonoConvexo NaoConvexoException 0 of 683 100% 0 of 38 100%

JACOCO: EVOSUITE

Testes quantitativos

• 100% complexidades ciclomáticas (cxty) e cobertura linhas, branches para ambas as classes.

Pit Test Coverage Report **Package Summary** poligono Number of Classes Line Coverage **Mutation Coverage** Test Strength 111/111 89% 89/100 89/100 **Breakdown by Class** Line Coverage **Mutation Coverage Test Strength** Name 100% 36/36 80% 33/41 80% 33/41 Poligono.java PoligonoConvexo.java 100% 100% 15/15 100% 8/8 8/8 26/26 100% 91% 29/32 91% 29/32 Ponto.java 4/4 17/17 4/4 Retangulo.java 100% 100% 100% 100% 17/17 100% 15/15 100% 15/15 Triangulo.java Report generated by PIT 1.15.2

ackage S	ummary					
turma						
Number of Cla	sses Line Co	verage	Mutation Co	overage	Test S	Strength
2	100%	88/88	87% 41,	47	87%	41/47
Breakdown b	y Class Line Coverage	Mutati	ion Coverage	Test	Strength	1
	Line Coverage	Mutati 91%	ion Coverage 21/23	Test 91%	Strength 21/23	1

PITEST: EVOSUITE

Testes qualitativos

 Melhorias necessárias na deteção e na morte das modificações do código, como é evidente nos resultados de cobertura de mutações.

Poligono.java

Mutations

```
32 1. replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for poligono/Poligono::getPoligono - KILLED
      Replaced integer subtraction with addition - KILLED
      replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::fechada - KILLED
    3. replaced boolean return with false for poligono/Poligono::fechada 	o KILLED
      changed conditional boundary → KILLED
     . negated conditional → KILLED
      Replaced integer subtraction with addition - KILLED
     . Replaced double addition with subtraction → KILLED
    1. replaced double return with 0.0d for poligono/Poligono::perimetro → KILLED
     . negated conditional → KILLED
     . changed conditional boundary - KILLED
   1. replaced boolean return with false for poligono/Poligono::eConvexo → KILLE
    1. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
     2. negated conditional → KILLED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition -> SURVIVED
       Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
      Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
      Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
      Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
    1. Replaced integer modulus with multiplication \rightarrow KILLED
      Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
    3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
      Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
     . Replaced double subtraction with addition -> SURVIVED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition → SURVIVED
      Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
     . Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition → SURVIVED

    Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED

     . Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition → SURVIVED
      Replaced double multiplication with division - SURVIVED
      Replaced double multiplication with division → SURVIVED
   1. negated conditional → SURVIVED
      negated conditional - KILLED
     . changed conditional boundary → KILLED
    l. negated conditional → KILLED
      changed conditional boundary → KILLED
     3. negated conditional → KILLED
   1. replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::eConvexo → KILLED
88 1. replaced boolean return with false for poligono/Poligono::eConvexo → KILLE
```

Turma.java

Mutations

Try Pitch

```
16 1. removed call to turma/Turma::setAlunos → KILLED

    replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::getAlunos → KILLED

    1. negated conditional → KILLED
      . replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::lambda$containsAluno$0 → SURVIVED
       replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$containsAluno$0 → KILLED
       negated conditional → KILLED
       replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::containsAluno - KILLED
      . changed conditional boundary → KILLED

    negated conditional → KILLED

44 1. negated conditional → KILLED
49 1. negated conditional → KILLED
       replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$1 -> SURVIVED
      . replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::getAluno → KILLED
. replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$2 → KILLED
     4. replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$1 → KILLED
    1. Replaced double addition with subtraction → KILLED
    1. Changed increment from 1 to -1 → KILLED
       replaced double return with 0.0d for turma/Turma::media → KILLED
       Replaced double division with multiplication \rightarrow KILLED
      . changed conditional boundary \rightarrow KILLED
        replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::reprovados 	o SURVIVED
```

ANALISE DETALHADA PITEST: EVOSUITE

 Destacam-se substituições de operadores matemáticos em operações com números em vírgula flutuante.

 Falhas frequentes em testes sobre estruturas de dados.

CONCLUSÕES: EVOSUITE

• Configuração desafiante do JaCoCo e EvoSuite.

• Apresenta capacidade de gerar testes facilmente.

• A análise pelo JaCoCo e Pitest revela espaço para melhorias na cobertura de testes.



EXEMPLOS DOS TESTES GERADOS: CHATGPT

Projeto: Poligno TrianguloTest.java

```
package poligono;
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
class TrianguloTest {
   @Test
   void testAreaTriangulo() {
       Ponto p1 = new Ponto(0, 0);
       Ponto p2 = new Ponto(4, 0);
       Ponto p3 = new Ponto(0, 3);
       Triangulo triangulo = new Triangulo(p1, p2, p3);
        assertEquals(6.0, triangulo.areaTriangulo(), 0.001, "Area of triangle should be 6.0");
   @Test
   void testClone() {
       Ponto p1 = new Ponto(0, 0);
       Ponto p2 = new Ponto(4, \theta);
       Ponto p3 = new Ponto(0, 3);
       Triangulo original = new Triangulo(p1, p2, p3);
       Triangulo clone = original.clone();
        assertNotSame(original, clone, "Cloned object should not be the same instance");
```

Projeto: Turma AlunoTest.java

```
@Test
void testSetNotaValida() {
   assertDoesNotThrow(() -> aluno.setNota("Matemática", 15));
   assertEquals(15, aluno.getNota("Matemática"), 0.01, "Nota deveria ser 15");
@Test
void testSetNotaInvalida() {
    assertThrows(NotaInvalidaException.class, () -> aluno.setNota("Matemática", 21), "Deveria lançar NotaInvalidaExcepti
@Test
void testMediaNotas() {
   assertAll(
            () -> aluno.setNota("Matemática", 14),
           () -> aluno.setNota("Português", 16)
   assertEquals(15, aluno.media(), 0.01, "A média devería ser 15");
@Test
void testClone() {
   Aluno clone = aluno.clone();
   assertNotSame(aluno, clone, "Clonado deve ser um novo objeto");
   assertEquals(aluno.getNome(), clone.getNome(), "Nomes devem ser iguais");
    assertEquals(aluno.getNumero(), clone.getNumero(), "Números devem ser iguais");
```


JACOCO: CHATGPT

Testes quantitativos

Apenas 38% das instruções executadas pelos testes.

Apenas 10% de branches executados.

 Projeto Turma com cobertura satisfatória de instruções (69%) e cobertura de branches (78%).

Pit Test Coverage Report

Package Summary

poligono

Number of Classes		Line Coverage	Muta	ation Coverage	Test Strength		
5	48%	53/111	21%	21/100	78%	21/27	

Breakdown by Class

Name	Line Coverage		Mutat	tion Coverage	Test Strength		
Poligono.java	31%	11/36	2%	1/41	100%	1/1	
PoligonoConvexo.java	7%	1/15	0%	0/8	100%	0/0	
Ponto.java	27%	7/26	19%	6/32	86%	6/7	
Retangulo.java	100%	17/17	75%	3/4	75%	3/4	
Triangulo.java	100%	17/17	73%	11/15	73%	11/15	

Pit Test Coverage Report

Package Summary

turma

Number of Classes		Line Coverag	ge M	lutation Covera	ige	Test Strength		
2	69%	61/88	77%	36/47	95%	36/38		

Breakdown by Class

Name	Line Coverage		Line Coverage Mutation Coverage				Tes	t Strength
Aluno.java	60%	30/50	74%	17/23	89%	17/19		
Turma.java	82%	31/38	79%	19/24	100%	19/19		

PITEST: CHATGPT

Testes qualitativos

Em comparação às outras ferramentas:

- Cobertura de mutação mais baixa.
- · Testes menos eficazes.

Poligono.java

Mutations

```
Replaced integer subtraction with addition → NO COVERAGE
   replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::fechada - NO_COVERAGE
   replaced boolean return with false for poligono/Poligono::fechada - NO_COVERAGE
  changed conditional boundary → NO_COVERAGE

    negated conditional → NO_COVERAGE

   Replaced integer subtraction with addition - NO_COVERAGE
   negated conditional → NO COVERAGE
  . changed conditional boundary → NO_COVERAGE
  . negated conditional → NO_COVERAGE
   Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - NO_COVERAGE
3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction → NO_COVERAGE
  Replaced integer addition with subtraction - NO COVERAGE
5. Replaced integer modulus with multiplication 
ightarrow NO_COVERAGE
  Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → NO_COVERAGE
   Replaced integer addition with subtraction - NO_COVERAGE

    Replaced integer addition with subtraction → NO_COVERAGE

 . Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - NO_COVERAGE
5. Replaced double subtraction with addition → NO_COVERAGE
  Replaced double subtraction with addition - NO_COVERAGE
   Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - NO_COVERAGE
3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction \rightarrow NO_COVERAGE
  Replaced double subtraction with addition → NO_COVERAGE
 . Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - NO_COVERAGE
 . Replaced integer addition with subtraction - NO_COVERAGE
  . Replaced double subtraction with addition - NO_COVERAGE
2. Replaced double multiplication with division \rightarrow NO_COVERAGE 3. Replaced double multiplication with division \rightarrow NO_COVERAGE
  negated conditional → NO COVERAGE
 . changed conditional boundary \rightarrow NO_COVERAGE
3. negated conditional → NO_COVERAGE
1. replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::eConvexo → NO_COVERAGE
```

Turma.java

Mutations

```
16 1. removed call to turma/Turma::setAlunos → KILLED
   1. replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::getAlunos → NO_COVERAGE

    replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$containsAluno$0 → KILLED

36 3. negated conditional → KILLED
   4. replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::containsAluno → KILLED

 changed conditional boundary → KILLED

    negated conditional → KILLED

 negated conditional → KILLED

45 1. removed call to java/util/Iterator::remove → KILLED

    replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$1 → NO_COVERAGE

   replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::getAluno → NO_COVERAGE
   3. replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$2 → NO_COVERAGE
   4. replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$getAluno$1 → NO_COVERAGE
   1. Replaced double addition with subtraction → KILLED

    Changed increment from 1 to -1 → KILLED

   Try Pitch ed conditional → KILLED
    2. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
72 1. replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::reprovados → KILLED
```

ANALISE DETALHADA PITEST: CHATGPT

Poligono

- Fraca qualidade e cobertura de testes
- Verificou-se uma frequente substituição de operadores matemáticos e booleanos

Turma

Menor dificuldade criação testes, com melhor qualidade

DIFICULDADES DE TESTES: INTELIGENCIA ARTIFICIAL

• Testes com frequentes erros de escrita.

• Destaque na importância da validação manual e compreensão do contexto global do projeto.

CONCLUSOES: CHAT GPT

- Melhor implementação de código em IA.
- Testes gerados piores comparativamente ao *EvoSuite*.
- Necessária muita "manutenção" pelo utilizador.
- Análise limitada pela versão gratuita



EXEMPLOS DOS TESTES GERADOS:

GEMINI Testes dados

Projeto: Poligno TrianguloTest.java

```
package poligono;
 import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
 import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
public class TrianguloTest {
    @Test
    void testAreaTriangulo() {
        Triangulo t = new Triangulo(new Ponto(0, 0), new Ponto(1, 0),
                new Ponto(0, 1));
        assertEquals(0.5, t.areaTriangulo());
@Test
void testClone() {
    Ponto p1 = new Ponto(0, 0);
    Ponto p2 = new Ponto(1, 0);
    Ponto p3 = new Ponto(0, 1);
    Triangulo t1 = new Triangulo(p1, p2, p3);
    Triangulo t2 = new Triangulo(p1.clone(), p2.clone(), p3.clone());
    assertNotSame(t1, t2);
    assertEquals(t1.getPoligono(), t2.getPoligono());
Try Pitch
```

Projeto: Turma AlunoTest.java

```
public void testSetNotaValida() {
   Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
   aluno.setNota("Matemática", 10.0);
   assertEquals(10.0, aluno.getNota("Matemática"));
@Test
public void testSetNotaInvalidaMenorQueZero() {
   Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
   assertThrows(NotaInvalidaException.class, () -> {
       aluno.setNota("Matemática", -1.0);
   });
public void testSetNotaInvalidaMaiorQueVinte() {
   Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
   assertThrows(NotaInvalidaException.class, () -> {
        aluno.setNota("Matemática", 21.0);
   });
```

EXEMPLOS DOS TESTES GERADOS:

GEMINI Testes corrigidos

Projeto: Poligno TrianguloTest.java

```
package poligono;
 import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
 import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
 public class TrianguloTest {
     @Test
     void testAreaTriangulo() {
        Triangulo t = new Triangulo(new Ponto(0, 0), new Ponto(1, 0),
                 new Ponto(0, 1));
        assertNotEquals(0.5, t.areaTriangulo());
 @Test
 void testClone() {
     Ponto p1 = new Ponto(0, 0);
    Ponto p2 = new Ponto(1, 0);
    Ponto p3 = new Ponto(0, 1);
    Triangulo t1 = new Triangulo(p1, p2, p3);
     Triangulo t2 = new Triangulo(p1.clone(), p2.clone(), p3.clone());
     assertNotSame(t1, t2);
     assertNotEquals(t1.clone(), t2.clone());
Try Pitch
```

Projeto: Turma AlunoTest.java

```
public void testSetNotaValida() throws NotaInvalidaException {
   Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
   aluno.setNota("Matemática", 10.0);
    assertEquals(10.0, aluno.getNota("Matemática"));
public void testSetNotaInvalidaMenorQueZero() {
   Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
   assertThrows(NotaInvalidaException.class, () -> {
        aluno.setNota("Matemática", -1.0);
   });
public void testSetNotaInvalidaMaiorQueVinte() {
    Aluno aluno = new Aluno("João", "123456789");
    assertThrows(NotaInvalidaException.class, () -> {
        aluno.setNota("Matemática", 21.0);
```

CORRECOES DOS TESTES GERADOS: GEMINI

• Falhas frequentes em elementos de POO e testes unitários

Projeto Turma

- Falha na utilização de exceções do projeto.
- Utilização de métodos inválidos.
- Falha nos imports de bibliotecas.

Projeto Poligono

- Tentativa direta de testagem em classe abstrata.
- Tentativa de utilização de métodos sem objetos necessários.
- Incapacidade de gerar testes em métodos com unidades float, levando a erros de arredondamento.

JACOCO: GEMINI

Testes quantitativos

- Os testes das classes "Poligno" e "Turma" revelam padrões diferentes de cobertura de instruções e branches ausentes.
- A classe "Turma" demonstra uma consistência maior em termos de cobertura de testes.
- Por outro lado, a classe "Poligno" exibe uma variação mais significativa na cobertura de testes.

Pit Test Coverage Report

Package Summary

poligono

Number of Classes		Line Coverage	Muta	ation Coverage	Test Strength		
5	57%	63/111	63%	63/100	90%	63/70	

Breakdown by Class

Name	Li	ne Coverage	Muta	ation Coverage	Test Strength		
Poligono.java	58%	21/36	76%	31/41	97%	31/32	
PoligonoConvexo.java	87%	13/15	88%	7/8	88%	7/8	
Ponto.java	46%	12/26	38%	12/32	80%	12/15	
Retangulo.java	0%	0/17	0%	0/4	100%	0/0	
Triangulo.java	100%	17/17	87%	13/15	87%	13/15	

Pit Test Coverage Report

Package Summary

turma

Number of Classes		Line Coverage	Muta	tion Coverage		Test Strength
2	66%	69/104	60%	36/60	82%	36/44

Breakdown by Class

Name	Line Coverage		Mutati	ion Coverage	Test Strength		
Aluno.java	63%	38/60	56%	18/32	75%	18/24	
Turma.java	70%	31/44	64%	18/28	90%	18/20	

PITEST: GEMINI

Testes qualitativos

Cobertura de testes razoável depois de correções.

• Cobertura de mutações mediocre, influenciando resultados em ambos os projetos.

Poligono.java

```
    replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::fechada → NO_COVERAGE

    3. replaced boolean return with false for poligono/Poligono::fechada 	o NO_COVERAGE
    l. changed conditional boundary → NO_COVERAGE
    negated conditional → NO_COVERAGE
       Replaced integer subtraction with addition - NO_COVERAGE
    Replaced double addition with subtraction → NO_COVERAGE
   1. replaced double return with 0.0d for poligono/Poligono::perimetro → NO COVERAGE
      negated conditional → KILLED

    changed conditional boundary → SURVIVED

    l. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
      Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
    3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
      Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED

    Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED

      . Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
     . Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction → KILLED
      Replaced integer modulus with multiplication - KILLED
     . Replaced double subtraction with addition - KILLED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition - KILLED

    Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED

      . Replaced integer addition with subtraction 
ightarrow KILLED
      . Replaced double subtraction with addition → KILLED
79 2. Replaced integer modulus with multiplication → KILLED
    3. Replaced integer addition with subtraction - KILLED
      Replaced double subtraction with addition → KILLED
    2. Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
     . Replaced double multiplication with division → KILLED
     2. changed conditional boundary → KILLED
      negated conditional → KILLED

    changed conditional boundary → KILLED

   1. replaced boolean return with true for poligono/Poligono::eConvexo → KILLED
```

Turma.java

```
value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::getAlunos → KILLED
    2. replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::containsAluno \rightarrow KILLED

    replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::containsAluno → KILLED

    4. replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$2 → KILLED
    5. replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::lambda$2 → SURVIVED

 changed conditional boundary → KILLED

    negated conditional → KILLED

 negated conditional → KILLED

    negated conditional → KILLED

    l. replaced boolean return with false for turma/Turma::lambda$3 → KILLED
     replaced boolean return with true for turma/Turma::lambda$3 → SURVIVED
      replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::getAluno → KILLED

    replaced return value with null for turma/Turma::lambda$4 → NO_COVERAGE

74 1. Replaced double addition with subtraction → NO_COVERAGE
75 1. Changed increment from 1 to -1 → NO_COVERAGE
          laced double return with 0.0d for turma/Turma::media → NO_COVERAGE
            ced double division with multiplication \rightarrow NO_COVERAGE
            ed conditional boundary → NO_COVERAGE
   2. negated conditional → NO_COVERAGE
```

replaced return value with Collections.emptyList for turma/Turma::reprovados → NO_COV

ANALISE DETALHADA PITEST: GEMINI

- Notável falha na prevenção de alterações diretas em returns de booleanos e doubles.
- Falhas na prevenção de mutações à alteração da lógica de certos métodos, construtores.

CONCLUSOES: GEMINI

- Falta de conhecimentos na área de programação orientada a objetos;
- Falta de conhecimento na ferramenta de testes unitários *Junit*, destacando a não utilização de @beforeeach;
- Recusou diversas vezes a geração de testes comparada ao GPT;
- Testes revelaram-se ligeiramente superiores ao GPT em termos de cobertura e qualidade;
- Através da utilização desta ferramenta também foi de realçar o nível precário que a I.A. ainda se encontra;

RAZAO DA SUPERIORIDADE DO EVOSUITE

Cobertura de testes superior, devido à especialização da ferramenta.

Comparativamente, o GPT, demonstrou uma razoável capacidade de criação de testes mas pior cobertura.

O Gemini, criou testes com mais falhas no seu código, mas uma cobertura razoável.

CONCLUSAO

Ao concentrar-se na produção de testes unitários em JUnit, foram notadas diferenças significativas entre as diversas ferramentas disponíveis.

O EvoSuite destacou-se quantitativa e qualitativamente.

O GPT mostrou superioridade sobre o Gemini, mas explorar diferentes configurações do EvoSuite e versões do GPT/Gemini poderia ter enriquecido a análise.



Pitch

Want to make a presentation like this one?

Start with a fully customizable template, create a beautiful deck in minutes, then easily share it with anyone.

Create a presentation (It's free)