# **Vulnerability analysis**

- Vulnerability research helps identify vulnerabilities which could compromise the system
- Scanning types
  - Active scanning: interacting directly with the target network to discover vulnerabilities
  - Passive scanning: discovering vulnerabilities without a direct interaction with the target network

## **Vulnerability categories**

- Misconfiguration
- Default installations
- Buffer overflows
- Unpatched servers
- Design flaws
- Operating system flaws
- Application flaws
- Open services
- Default passwords

# **Vulnerability assessment types**

- Active assessment: through network scanners
- Passive assessment: by sniffing the traffic
- External assessment: vulnerabilities & threats that are accessible outside of the organization
- Internal assessment: vulnerabilities & threats that are present internally
- Host-Based assessment: vulnerabilities & threats on a specific server by examining the configuration
- Network assessment: identifies potential attacks on the network
- Application assessment: examines the configuration of the web infrastructure
- Wireless network assessment: vulnerabilities & threats in the organization's wireless network

## Vulnerability management

- Evaluation and control of the risks and vulnerabilities in the system
- Phases:
  - Pre-assessment phase
    - Creating baseline: Identifying critical assets and prioritizing them
  - Assessment phase
    - Vulnerability assessment: identifying known vulnerabilities
  - Post-assessment phase
    - Risk assessment: assessing the vulnerability and risk levels for the identified assets
    - Remediation: mitigating and reducing the severity of the identified vulnerabilities
    - Verification: ensuring that all phases have been successfully completed

Monitoring: identifying new threats and vulnerabilities

# Vulnerability assessment solution types

- Product-based solutions: installed in the internal network
- Service-based solutions: offered by third parties
- Tree-based assessment: different strategies are selected for each machine
- Inference-based assessment
  - 1. Find the protocols to scan
  - 2. Scan and find the found protocols and their services,
  - 3. Select the vulnerabilities and begins with executing relevant tests.

# Vulnerability scoring systems

- Vulnerabilities that are identified are stored into databases
- Certain scores based on their severity and risk

### **CVSS - Common Vulnerability Scoring System**

- A free and open industry standard for assessing the severity of computer system security vulnerabilities
- Helps to assess and prioritize vulnerability management processes.
- Assigns severity scores to vulnerabilities
- Score calculator depends on metrics that include ease and impact of exploit.

### **CVE - Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures**

- Mitre.org
- · List of common identifiers for publicly known cybersecurity vulnerabilities
- E.g. CVE-2020-0023: disclosure of user contacts over bluetooth due to a missing permission check on Android.

## **NVD - National Vulnerability Database**

- U.S. government repository of standards based vulnerability management data
- nvd.nist.gov
- Includes databases of security checklist references, security-related software flaws, misconfigurations, product names, and impact metrics
  - o E.g. CVE

# Vulnerability assessment report

- Written after an assessment is performed
- Classified into security vulnerability report and security vulnerability summary.
- Details of what has been done and what has been discovered during the assessment
- Created to help organizations resolve security issues if they exist
- Typically contain information about the scan, target, and results.

# **Vulnerability assessment tools**

- Also known as vulnerability scanners
- Scanning solutions perform vulnerability penetration tests in three steps
  - 1. locate the live hosts in the network
  - 2. enumerate open ports and services
  - 3. test the found services for known vulnerabilities by analyzing responses.
- Tool types
  - Host-based vulnerability assessment tools
  - Depth assessment tools
  - Application-layer vulnerability assessment tools
  - Scope assessment tools
  - Active/Passive tools
  - Location/Data examined tools
- OpenVAS
  - Open-source software framework of several services and tools offering vulnerability scanning and vulnerability management.

### **Nmap**

- Nmap has scripting functionality, written in LUA
- You can scan multiple servers for multiple ports for multiple vulnerabilities.
- [-A: Enables OS detection, version detection, script scanning and traceroute.
- Read more about Nmap in Nmap | Scanning Tools
- See <u>Detecting Shellshock using Nmap</u> | <u>Common vulnerabilities</u>

#### **Nessus**

- Website
- 📝 Proprietary port and vulnerability scanner
- Scans include misconfigurations default passwords (has Hydra built-in) DoS vulnerabilities
- Can be used to perform compliance auditing, like internal and external PCI DSS audit scans.

### **Burp Suite**

- Proxy tool to scan web vulnerabilities
- Allows manual testers to intercept all requests and responses between the browser and the target application
- Allows to view, edit or drop individual messages to manipulate the server-side or client-side components of the application.

#### Nikto

- Nikto is an open source Nikto web server vulnerability scanner.
- Majorly looks for outdated software, dangerous files/CGI etc.
- E.g. nikto -host cloudarchitecture.io
- Many of the modern scanners including Nessus, OpenVAS use Nikto to get information for their analysis.

# Microsoft Baseline Security Analyzer (MBSA)

- Identifies missing security updates and common security misconfigurations
- Assesses Windows and its sofware e.g.• Internet Explorer IIS web server Microsoft SQL Server,
   Office macro settings
- It's <u>deprecated</u>

### Common vulnerabilities

### **Shellshock**

- Also known as bashdoor or bash bug
- Privilege escalation vulnerability enabling arbitrary commands execution
- 📝 Caused by family of security bugs in the Unix Bash shell
- Related CVE entries include: CVE-2014-6271, CVE-2014-6277 CVE-2014-6278, CVE-2014-7169 •
   CVE-2014-7186, CVE-2014-7187
- Achieved by manipulating the environment variable list and then cause Bash to run
- Upon startup Bash parser executes scripts saved as environment variables
- E.g. \$ env x='() { :;}; echo vulnerable' bash -c "echo this is a test"
  - o Prints first vulnerable then this is a test
- To exploit there needs to be away to talk to Bash.
- Often exploits websites using CGI
  - CGI stands for "Common Gateway Interface"
  - In Apache it's done using mod cgi
    - Way to let Apache execute script files and send the output to the client
    - Apache passes information to CGI scripts using environment variables
  - E.g. if you you have a HTTP header named Sike in your request, you will have an
    environment variable named HTTP\_SIKE available in your CGI.
- Big impact
  - Thousands of attacks were reported when the bug was revealed including botnets against <u>United States Department of Defense</u>.
  - "Shellshock makes Heartbleed look insignificant" ZDNet

### **Detecting Shellshock using Nmap**

- Can use **Shellshock script** with Nmap scripting engine.
- nmap -sV -p 80 --script http-shellshock --script-args uri=/cgi-bin/blabla.sh,cmd=ls 192.168.122.17.8
  - -sv: detect services and versions
  - o p: port 80, you can also do -p- to scan for entire port range
  - --script: You can test different scripts / vulnerabilities, choose anything from scripts page
  - --script-args: optional, 2 args, uri and cmd

### SSL/TLS Vulnerabilities

#### Heartbleed

- Bug in OpenSSL library a widely used implementation of TLS.
- Introduced and patched in April 2014.
- Results from improper input validation (no boundary check) in TLS heartbeat extension
- · Causing server to send more data in the memory than it allowed
  - Classified as buffer over-read
- Flow
  - TLS/DTLS Heartbeat flow:
    - Client: Send me 4 letter word: "bird" -> Server: "bird"
  - Malicious Heartbeat flow:
    - Client: Send me 500 letter word: "bird" -> Server: bird. Server master key is 3131531535. User Carol wants to change password to "password 1 2 3"...
- Reverse Heartbleed
  - Malicious server exploiting Heartbleed to read from client memory.
- Millions of webpages were affected, still there are IoT devices are vulnerable (see shodan search)
- Had big impact, some known ones are <u>stealing of millions of patient records</u>, <u>hijacking accounts</u>
   <u>CEO impersonation</u> ....
  - "Heartbleed is the worst vulnerability found" Forbes
- · Can be exploited
  - Using Nmap: nmap -p 443 --script ssl-heartbleed <target>
    - Will return "State: NOT VULNERABLE" if not vulnerable.
  - Using Metasploit: openssl\_heartbleed module

#### **POODLE**

- POODLE stands for "Padding Oracle On Downgraded Legacy Encryption"
- Forcing a degradation to a vulnerable SSL/TLS version
  - TLS handshakes are walked down the connection until a usable/vulnerable one is found
  - Exploits backwards compatibility
- Man-in-the-middle exploit
- Affects both SSL and TLS
  - Vulnerability was disclosed in October 2014 for SSL.
  - A variation used to attack TLS was disclosed in December 2014.
- POODLE attack against SSL
  - Takes advantage of Internet and security software clients' fallback to SSL 3.0.
  - Attackers make 256 SSL 3.0 request on average to reveal a single byte.
- POODLE attack against TLS
  - Caused by some implementation not following the TLS specifications.
  - Exploits CBC encryption mode in the TLS 1.0 1.2 protocols

#### **FREAK**

- Stands for "Factoring RSA Export Keys"
- Man-in-the-middle attack forcing downgrade of RSA key to a weaker length
- Enables successful brute-force attacks.
- Exploits cryptographic weakness in the SSL/TLS protocols

## **SSL/TLS Renegotiation**

• 📓 Leads to plaintext injection attacks against SSL 3.0 and all current versions of TLS

#### Background

- Marsh Ray and Steve Dispensa release a document discussing a vulnerability in the design of TLS – November 4, 2009
- Turkish grad student, Anii Kurmus, exploits the vulnerability to steal Twitter login credentials – November 10, 2009

#### Mitigation

- Quick fix was the renegotiation
- Proposed standard (<u>RFC 5746</u>) is to verify previous renegotiation handshakes between client and server.

#### Testing

- Use open\_ssl s\_client -connect <website>:443
- Then type (R) for renegotiate and [[ENTER]]

#### **DROWN**

- Stands for "Decrypting RSA with Obsolete and Weakened eNcryption"
- Exploits modern SSL/TLS suites by exploiting their obsolete SSLv2 protocol support.
- The only viable countermeasure is to disable SSLv2 on all servers

# **Automated penetration testing tools**

- CANVAS (proprietary)
  - Exploit gallery and development framework
- <u>Core Impact</u> (proprietary)
  - All-inclusive automated testing framework
- Nmap with custom scripts
  - Can used for footprinting scanning vulnerability analysis
  - Also to carry out attacks e.g. as <u>DoS tool</u>

# Automated vs manual penetration testing

- Automated testing cannot fully replace manual testing but as it has its own advantages and disadvantages
- Automated testing advantages
  - Help the initial analysis to understand where potential vulnerabilities exist
  - Enable the testers to build efficient exploit strategies to confirm the security vulnerabilities and weaknesses.
  - Same pen test multiple times from different entry points
  - Reduces costs
- Automated testing disadvantages
  - It can miss unforeseen instances
  - Usually works from "inside" of the network
  - Fails to work in complex scenarios
  - Usually does not exploit the vulnerabilities
  - Not as creative as humans (yet (3)) in e.g. social engineering

### Metasploit

- Framework for building and performing exploit attacks against targets.
- Source code | Website
- Modular architecture allowing code re-use instead of copying or re-implement on a per-exploit basis

#### Free version

- Developing and executing exploit code against a remote target machine.
- Database of vulnerabilities and platform to execute different exploits for them.
- <u>Fuzzing</u> tools to discover vulnerabilities
- Automated exploitation of known vulnerabilities such as weak passwords for e.g. Telnet, SSH, HTTP.
- Manual exploitation and manual brute forcing
- Zenmap (Nmap GUI)

### Paid (Pro) version

- Web application testing (OWASP Top 10)
- Dynamic payloads for anti-virus evasion
- Has web interface
  - $\mathbb{R}$  A free alternative is **Armitage** that's <u>open-source</u> GUI.

### **Metasploit interfaces**

#### meterpreter

- Payload that provides control over an exploited target system
- Runs as a DLL loaded inside of any process on a target machine
- · Resides entirely in memory and writes nothing to disk

#### msfvenom

- Generates stand-alone payload
- 📝 Combines
  - Payload generation (old tool: msfpayload)
    - -p <payload-name> e.g. -p windows/meterpreter/bind\_tcp
    - -f <format> e.g. -f exe or -f raw (shellcode)
  - Encoding (old tool: msfencode)
    - Used to avoid antivirus detection
    - Done by -b or -e flags
    - -i <number> allows encoding multiple times for more stealth
- E.g. msfvenom -a x86 --platform windows -p windows/shell/bind\_tcp -e x86/shikata\_ga\_nai -b '\x00' -f python
- See also msfvenom | Hiding files

#### msfconsole

- All-in-one centralized console for all of the options available in the MSF
- Contains the most features and is the most stable MSF interface
- E.g. flow for using unreal exploit:
  - 1. Run msfconsole
  - 2. You can search for a service e.g. unrealirc
    - Disclosure date is not same as when vulnerability found, it can be before but not published.
  - Use with use exploit/unix/irc/unreal\_ircd\_3281\_backdoor
    - There can be multiple payloads, check with show payload and then set with set PAYLOAD <name>
    - Set required options (show options to list) and set <option-name> <option-value> to set
  - 4. Run exploit using exploit

Hopefully you'll end up in terminal session as root :)