Languages and Algorithms for Artificial Intelligence (Module 3)

Last update: 28 February 2024

Academic Year 2023 – 2024

Alma Mater Studiorum · University of Bologna

Contents

1 Introduction

Computational task Description of a problem.

Computational process Algorithm to solve a task.

    Algorithm (informal) A finite description of elementary and deterministic computation steps.

1.1 Notations

Set of the first n **natural numbers** Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

1.1.1 Strings

Alphabet Finite set of symbols.

String Finite, ordered, and possibly empty tuple of elements of an alphabet.

    The empty string is denoted as ε.

Strings of given length Given an alphabet S and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote with S^n the set of all the strings over S of length n.

Kleene star Given an alphabet S, we denote with $S^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n$ the set of all the strings over S.

Language Given an alphabet S, a language $\mathcal{L}$ is a subset of S^*.

1.1.2 Tasks encoding

Encoding Given a set A, any element $x \in A$ can be encoded into a string of the language $\{0,1\}^*$. The encoding of x is denoted as $\llcorner x \lrcorner$ or simply x.

Task function Given two countable sets A and B representing the domain, a task can be represented as a function $f : A \to B$.

    When not stated, A and B are implicitly encoded into $\{0,1\}^*$.

Characteristic function Boolean function of form $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$.

    Given a characteristic function f, the language $\mathcal{L}_f = \{x \in \{0,1\}^* \mid f(x) = 1\}$ can be defined.

Decision problem Given a language $\mathcal{M}$, a decision problem is the task of computing a boolean function f able to determine if a string belongs to $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_f = \mathcal{M}$).

1.1.3 Asymptotic notation

Big O A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is $O(g)$ if g is an upper bound of f.

$$f \in O(g) \iff \exists \bar{n} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > \bar{n}, \exists c \in \mathbb{R} : f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

Big Omega A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is $\Omega(g)$ if g is a lower bound of f.

$$f \in \Omega(g) \iff \exists \bar{n} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \forall n > \bar{n}, \exists c \in \mathbb{R} : f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$$

Big Theta A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is $\Theta(g)$ if g is both an upper and lower bound of f.

$$f \in \Theta(g) \iff f \in O(g) \text{ and } f \in \Omega(g)$$

2 Turing Machine

2.1 k-tape Turing Machine

Tape Infinite one-directional line of cells. Each cell can hold a symbol from a finite alphabet Γ.

> **Tape head** A tape head reads or writes one symbol at a time and can move left or right on the tape.
>
> **Input tape** Read-only tape where the input will be loaded.
>
> **Work tape** Read-write auxiliary tape used during computation.
>
> **Output tape** Read-write tape that will contain the output of the computation.
>
> > **Remark.** Sometimes the output tape is not necessary and the final state of the computation can be used to determine a boolean outcome.

Instructions Given a finite set of states Q, at each step, a machine can:

> **Read** from the k tape heads.
>
> **Replace** the symbols under the writable tape heads, or leave them unchanged.
>
> **Change** state.
>
> **Move** each of the k tape heads to the left or right, or leave unchanged.

k-tape Turing Machine (TM) A Turing Machine working on k tapes (one of which is the input tape) is a triple (Γ, Q, δ):

- Γ is a finite set of tape symbols. We assume that it contains a blank symbol ($\square$), a start symbol ($\triangleright$), and the digits 0, 1.
- Q is a finite set of states. The initial state is q_{init} and the final state is q_{halt}.
- δ is the transition function that describes the instructions allowed at each step. It is defined as:
$$\delta : Q \times \Gamma^k \to Q \times \Gamma^{k-1} \times \{\texttt{L}, \texttt{S}, \texttt{R}\}^k$$

By convention, when the state is q_{halt}, the machine is stuck (i.e. it cannot change state or operate on the tapes):

$$\delta(q_{\text{halt}}, \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}) = \big(q_{\text{halt}}, \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k\}, (\texttt{S}, \ldots, \texttt{S})\big)$$

Theorem 2.1.1 (Turing Machine equivalence)**.** The following computational models have, with at most a polynomial overhead, the same expressive power: 1-tape TMs, k-tape TMs, non-deterministic TMs, random access machines, λ-calculus, unlimited register machines, programming languages (Böhm-Jacopini theorem), . . .

2.2 Computation

Configuration Given a TM $\mathcal{M} = (\Gamma, Q, \delta)$, a configuration C is described by:

- The current state q.
- The content of the tapes.
- The position of the tape heads.

Initial configuration Given the input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$, the initial configuration $\mathcal{I}_x$ is described as follows:

- The current state is q_{init}.
- The first (input) tape contains $\triangleright x \square \dots$. The other tapes contain $\triangleright \square \dots$.
- The tape heads are positioned on the first symbol of each tape.

Final configuration Given an output $y \in \{0,1\}^*$, the final configuration is described as follows:

- The current state is q_{halt}.
- The output tape contains $\triangleright y \square \dots$.

Computation (string) Given a TM $\mathcal{M} = (\Gamma, Q, \delta)$, $\mathcal{M}$ returns $y \in \{0,1\}^*$ on input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ (i.e. $\mathcal{M}(x) = y$) in t steps if:

$$\mathcal{I}_x \xrightarrow{\delta} C_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} C_t$$

where C_t is a final configuration for y.

Computation (function) Given a TM $\mathcal{M} = (\Gamma, Q, \delta)$ and a function $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$, $\mathcal{M}$ computes f iff:

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^* : \mathcal{M}(x) = f(x)$$

If this holds, f is a computable function.

Computation in time T Given a TM $\mathcal{M}$ and the functions $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ and $T : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{M}$ computes f in time T iff:

$$\forall x \in \{0,1\}^* : \mathcal{M}(x) \text{ returns } f(x) \text{ in at most } T(|x|) \text{ steps}$$

Decidability in time T Given a function $f : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$, the language $\mathcal{L}_f$ is decidable in time T iff f is computable in time T.

2.3 Universal Turing Machine

Turing Machine encoding Given a TM $\mathcal{M} = (\Gamma, Q, \delta)$, the entire machine can be described by δ through tuples of form:

$$Q \times \Gamma^k \times Q \times \Gamma^{k-1} \times \{\text{L}, \text{S}, \text{R}\}^k$$

It is therefore possible to encode δ into a binary string and consequently create an encoding $\llcorner \mathcal{M} \lrcorner$ of $\mathcal{M}$.

The encoding should satisfy the following conditions:

1. For every $x \in \{0,1\}^*$, there exists a TM $\mathcal{M}$ such that $x = \llcorner \mathcal{M} \lrcorner$.

2. Every TM is represented by an infinite number of strings. One of them is the canonical representation.

Theorem 2.3.1 (Universal Turing Machine (UTM)). There exists a TM $\mathcal{U}$ such that, for every binary strings x and α, it emulates the TM defined by α on input x:

$$\mathcal{U}(x, \alpha) = \mathcal{M}_\alpha(x)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is the TM defined by α.

Moreover, $\mathcal{U}$ simulates $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ with at most $CT\log(T)$ time overhead, where C only depends on $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$.

2.4 Computability

2.4.1 Undecidable functions

Theorem 2.4.1 (Existance of uncomputable functions). There exists a function $uc : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ that is not computable by any TM.

Proof. Consider the following function:

$$uc(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

If uc was computable, there would be a TM $\mathcal{M}$ that computes it (i.e. $\forall \alpha \in \{0,1\}^*$: $\mathcal{M}(\alpha) = uc(\alpha)$). This will result in a contradiction:

$$uc(\llcorner\mathcal{M}\lrcorner) = 0 \iff \mathcal{M}(\llcorner\mathcal{M}\lrcorner) = 1 \iff uc(\llcorner\mathcal{M}\lrcorner) = 1$$

Therefore, uc cannot be computed. $\square$

Halting problem Given an encoded TM α and a string x, the halting problem aims to determine if $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ terminates on input x. In other words:

$$\texttt{halt}(\llcorner(\alpha, x)\lrcorner) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_\alpha \text{ stops on input } x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4.2. The halting problem is undecidable.

Proof. Note: this proof is slightly different from the traditional proof of the halting problem.

Assume that $\texttt{halt}$ is decidable. Therefore, there exists a TM $\mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}$ that decides it.

We can define a new TM $\mathcal{M}_{uc}$ that uses $\mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_{uc}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}(\alpha, \alpha) = 0 \text{ (i.e. } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) \text{ diverges)} \\ \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) \neq 1 \end{cases} & \text{if } \mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}(\alpha, \alpha) = 1 \text{ (i.e. } \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\alpha) \text{ converges)} \end{cases}$$

This results in a contradiction:

- $\mathcal{M}_{uc}(\llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner) = 1 \Leftarrow \mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}(\llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner, \llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner) = 0 \iff \mathcal{M}_{uc}(\llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner)$ diverges
- $\mathcal{M}_{\texttt{halt}}(\llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner, \llcorner\mathcal{M}_{uc}\lrcorner) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{uc}$ is not computable by Theorem 2.4.1.

$\square$

Diophantine equation Polynomial equality with integer coefficients and a finite number of unknowns.

Theorem 2.4.3 (MDPR)**.** Determining if an arbitrary diophantine equation has a solution is undecidable.

2.4.2 Rice's theorem

Semantic language Given a language $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$, $\mathcal{L}$ is semantic if:

- Any string in $\mathcal{L}$ is an encoding of a TM.
- If $\llcorner\mathcal{M}\lrcorner \in \mathcal{L}$ and the TM $\mathcal{N}$ computes the same function of $\mathcal{M}$, then $\llcorner\mathcal{N}\lrcorner \in \mathcal{L}$.

A semantic language can be seen as a set of TMs that have the same property.

Trivial language A language $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial iff $\mathcal{L} = \varnothing$ or $\mathcal{L} = \{0,1\}^*$

Theorem 2.4.4 (Rice's theorem)**.** If a semantic language is non-trivial, then it is undecidable (i.e. any decidable semantic language is trivial).

Proof idea. Assuming that there exists a non-trivial decidable semantic language $\mathcal{L}$, it is possible to prove that the halting problem is decidable. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ is undecidable. $\square$