Devops

April 2021

Contents

1		Security assessment							
	1.1	tisk Identification							
		.1.1 Assets							
		.1.2 Threat sources $(19/4/21)$							
		.1.3 Risk scenarios							
1.2 Risk Analysis									
		.2.1 Risk matrix							
		.2.2 Risk Handling							

1 Security assessment

1.1 Risk Identification

Risk identification is the process were we determine risks that could potentially prevent the program from achieving its objectives. Our risk identification includes determining assets and the threats they might face.

1.1.1 Assets

Our assets are as listed:

- Web frontend
- API backend
- Database
- Proxy
- Grafana
- Prometheus
- ElasticSearch
- Filebeat
- Three Droplets

1.1.2 Threat sources (19/4/21)

Our system has at least a couple of vulnerabilities that we are aware of.

- 1. Our database is not behind a firewall, this means that anyone can try to authenticate with the database.
- 2. We do not have anything like fail2ban setup on the database server, which means that a brute-force attack could be carried out.
- 3. We only use basic authentication which provides very little security and are therefore vulnerable to brute force and dictionary attacks.
- 4. We have three droplets in total. One way of getting access to those droplets is through SSH with a certificate.

1.1.3 Risk scenarios

Based on the threats we might face, we have created risk scenarios ...

- 1. Attacker gains access to the $\underline{elk\ stack}$
- 2. Attacker performs a successful brute-force attack on the $\underline{\text{web}}$ or $\underline{\text{API}}$ service to gain control over one specific user's data.
- 3. Attacker performs a successful brute-force attack on the $\underline{\text{database}}$ to gain control over all user data.
- 4. Attacker gains a copy of a private <u>SSH certificate</u> to the droplets, allowing the attacker to SSH into any of them.

1.2 Risk Analysis

Based on our risk scenarios we can now analyse our threats which includes the likelihood of these being realised as well as a look into the impact these threat will course.

Scenario 1

Attacker gains access to the elk stack.

We determine the likelihood of this scenario as <u>almost certain</u>, based on the fact that this has already happened. This has to our knowledge not had any impact till now, but we see the possible impact to be <u>significant</u>. Gaining access to the elk stack, would mean access to our <u>logging and</u> With the given information in this system, it would be possible to understand other parts and therefore increase the risk of further attacks.

Scenario 2

Attacker performs a successful brute-force attack on the \underline{web} or \underline{API} service to gain control over one specific user's data.

As stated earlier we do not have any password verification in place, which means that it is possible to choose a one character password or similar. This means that a brute-force attack is <u>almost certain</u> to be successful. This could have a moderate impact on our system because ...

Scenario 3

Attacker performs a successful brute-force attack on the <u>database</u> to gain control over all user data.

Brute-forcing on our database is a very unlikely to be successful because of the ... which make it <u>rare</u>. The impact it could do if anyone got access to our database is at least <u>extensive</u>. Without backups, this could simply change or delete all of our data without anyway of getting it back. But...

Scenario 4

Attacker gains a copy of a private <u>SSH certificate</u> to the droplets, allowing the attacker to SSH into any of them.

The likelihood of anyone obtaining an SHH certificate is very unlikely, because this would require that ... and therefore we deem it <u>rare</u>. The problem here is the <u>significant</u> impact it could course if someone got access to all of our droplets. (describe more maybe)

1.2.1 Risk matrix

As the team moves further with the project, we have to prioritize the risks that we have identified. We use the risk matrix to prioritize the scenarios based on significance.

	SCALE OF IMPACT								
SCALE OF LIKELIHOOD		INSIGNIFICANT	NEGLIGIBLE	MODERATE	extensive	SIGNIFICANT			
	RARE				SCENARIO 3	SCENARIO 4			
	UNLIKELY								
	POSSIBLE								
	LIKELY								
	ALMOST CERTAIN			SCENARIO 2		SCENARIO 1			

Figure 1: Risk matrix, including each of the scenarios

Based on the matrix (e.g. figure 1) we can see that it is preferable to prioritize scenario 1 and 2.

1.2.2 Risk Handling

We have already come up with different solutions to each of our scenarios, this includes solutions we prioritize to implement as well as some we do not deem valuable at this point.

- 1. For our problem regarding access to the elk stack, we have already seen this happen and are therefore determined to prioritize this. We are sure that setting up a firewall will eliminate the threat that we see as of this moment.
- 2. Regarding the threat of brute-force attacks on the web or API, we intend to setup password validating to hinder this as much as possible.
- 3. Regarding the threat of brute-force attacks on the database \dots

4. One way of hardening the access to the droplets would be to use a password with the SSH certificate. As the threat is rare, we do not see this as a priority as of now.