

**Theorem 5.1**

Let  $v$  be a vertex incident with a bridge in a connected graph  $G$ . Then  $v$  is a cut-vertex of  $G$  *if and only if*  $\deg(v) \geq 2$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose that  $uv$  is a bridge of  $G$ . Then  $\deg(v) \geq 1$ . Assume that  $\deg(v) = 1$ . Since  $v$  is an end-vertex of  $G$ , the graph  $G - v$  is connected and so  $v$  is not a cut-vertex of  $G$ .

For the converse, assume that  $\deg(v) \geq 2$ . Then there is a vertex  $w$  different from  $u$  that is adjacent to  $v$ . Assume, to the contrary, that  $v$  is not a cut-vertex. Thus  $G - v$  is connected and so there is a  $u-v$  path  $P$  in  $G - v$ . However then,  $P$  together with  $v$  and the two edges  $uv$  and  $vw$  form a cycle containing the bridge  $uv$ . This contradicts Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 5.2**

Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order 3 or more. If  $G$  contains a bridge, then  $G$  contains a cut-vertex.

If  $v$  is a cut-vertex in a connected graph  $G$ , then, of course  $G - v$  contains two or more components. If  $u$  and  $w$  are vertices in distinct components of  $G - v$ , then  $u$  and  $w$  are not connected in  $G - v$ . On the other hand,  $u$  and  $w$  are necessarily connected in  $G$ .