

# Study Plan — Cloud Computing Security (User Story Cards)

Aligned to “Cloud Computing Security: Foundations and Challenges (2nd ed.)”

*One story card per chapter with example tasks and BDD acceptance criteria.*

---

## Contents

<b>1 Section I — Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
-----------------------------------	----------

# 1 Section I — Introduction

## CCS-1 — Foundations & Essentials

Epic / Feature	Cloud Foundations
Business Value	Establish shared vocabulary and ownership to reduce onboarding confusion and security drift.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Developer starting on a cloud project
Dependencies	Sandbox account, org security policy, provider docs
Assumptions / Risks	Teams may interpret shared responsibility differently; risk of inconsistent defaults
Cloud (AWS) Mapping Story	AWS Organizations & Control Tower (Landing Zone), IAM Identity Center (AWS SSO), Well-Architected Framework

*As a developer, I want to document cloud foundations and shared responsibility so that I make secure choices and keep main green. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   Accessibility   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Shared understanding is captured
Given	A sandbox and policy references
When	I create a one-page shared responsibility matrix and a glossary of essential characteristics
Then	The documents are linked in onboarding and referenced by future stories

*Definition of Ready:* Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies identified. • *Definition of Done:* Matrix and glossary committed; reviewed by AppSec; referenced in README. **Tasks**

- Write docs/shared-responsibility-matrix.md.
- Capture five essential characteristics of cloud with examples.
- Add link in README.md and onboarding checklist.
- Open issues for disagreements or unclear ownership.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-2 — Overview of Cloud Computing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Cloud Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	Reduce design churn by listing provider primitives and portability considerations.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Solution architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	Access to AWS, Azure, and GCP docs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Feature parity differs; lock-in may be acceptable for value
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping Story</b>	AWS Organizations & Control Tower (Landing Zone), IAM Identity Center (AWS SSO), Well-Architected Framework

*As a solution architect, I want a lift-and-shift bill of materials so that teams can compare provider primitives and portability risks. Non-Functional*

Performance   Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Cross-provider comparison documented
<b>Given</b>	A reference three-tier app
<b>When</b>	I create a bill of materials for AWS, Azure, and GCP with security notes
<b>Then</b>	Tradeoffs and lock-in vectors are captured with mitigation ideas

*Definition of Ready:* Reference app defined; providers chosen. • *Definition of Done:* BOM spreadsheet checked in; reviewed by platform and security. **Tasks**

- Produce `bom/cloud-bom.xlsx` with compute, storage, network, IAM.
- Annotate security defaults and required hardening per service.
- Note portability blockers and alternatives.
- File follow-up ADR if a single provider is selected.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-14 — Security Essentials & Reference Architectures

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Reference Architecture
<b>Business Value</b>	Provide a secure, repeatable pattern for web workloads.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Solutions architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	Diagramming tool, baseline modules
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Pattern must be simple yet adaptable
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Organizations & Control Tower (Landing Zone), IAM Identity Center (AWS SSO), Well-Architected Framework, Amazon VPC (Subnets, Route Tables, NACLs, SGs), AWS Transit Gateway, AWS Network Firewall, AWS WAF & AWS Shield, PrivateLink & VPC Endpoints

### Story

*As a solutions architect, I want a secure reference architecture so that teams can adopt consistent controls quickly. Non-Functional*

Security

Reliability

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Reference published
<b>Given</b>	Common requirements for a web app
<b>When</b>	I include logging, monitoring, KMS, WAF, and CIEM
<b>Then</b>	The diagram and bill of materials are reviewed and adopted

*Definition of Ready:* Scope finalized. • *Definition of Done:* Drawio file and BOM committed; approval recorded. **Tasks**

- Draw diagram with shared services.
- List components and controls.
- Map to controls framework.
- Create adoption checklist.

### AWS Tailoring

- Hub-and-spoke VPCs via TGW; centralized egress with Network Firewall.
- Disable public access for S3/EBS/RDS; require VPC endpoints for AWS APIs.
- Protect internet edges with WAF and (optionally) Shield Advanced.

## CCS-15 — Architecture & Security Concepts

Epic / Feature	Zero Trust & Immutable Infra
Business Value	Reduce lateral movement and configuration drift.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Platform architect
Dependencies	Image builder, autoscaling, CI/CD
Assumptions / Risks	Rebuild cadence must align with release cycles
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	Amazon VPC (Subnets, Route Tables, NACLs, SGs), AWS Transit Gateway, AWS Network Firewall, AWS WAF & AWS Shield, PrivateLink & VPC Endpoints

### Story

*As a platform architect, I want immutable images with micro-segmentation so that drift and attack paths are minimized. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Mutable to immutable migration
Given	A mutable VM pattern
When	I move to image-based deployments with autoscaling
Then	Patching occurs via rebuild and health checks stay green <i>Definition of Ready:</i> Current pattern documented.   • <i>Definition of Done:</i> New pattern deployed in staging; runbook updated.

### Tasks

- Create hardened base image.
- Update pipeline for image promotion.
- Add health checks and rollbacks.
- Retire in-place patching runbooks.

### AWS Tailoring

- Hub-and-spoke VPCs via TGW; centralized egress with Network Firewall.
- Disable public access for S3/EBS/RDS; require VPC endpoints for AWS APIs.
- Protect internet edges with WAF and (optionally) Shield Advanced.

## CCS-16 — Secure Cloud Architecture

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Threat-Driven Design
<b>Business Value</b>	Align controls with threats and evidence.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	Threat modeling tool, control catalog
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Over-design if threats not prioritized
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	Amazon VPC (Subnets, Route Tables, NACLs, SGs), AWS Transit Gateway, AWS Network Firewall, AWS WAF & AWS Shield, PrivateLink & VPC Endpoints

### Story

*As a security architect, I want a threat model linked to controls so that architectural choices are justified. Non-Functional*

**Security      Reliability      Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	STRIDE model created
<b>Given</b>	The reference architecture
<b>When</b>	I document threats and mitigations
<b>Then</b>	Missing controls generate backlog items with owners
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Architecture stable.	• <i>Definition of Done:</i> Threat model published; issues created and prioritized.

### Tasks

- Run a STRIDE session.
- Map threats to controls.
- Create tickets for gaps.
- Review annually or after changes.

### AWS Tailoring

- Hub-and-spoke VPCs via TGW; centralized egress with Network Firewall.
- Disable public access for S3/EBS/RDS; require VPC endpoints for AWS APIs.
- Protect internet edges with WAF and (optionally) Shield Advanced.

## CCS-29 — Regions, Zones, & Trust Boundaries

Epic / Feature	Multi-Region Planning
Business Value	Improve resilience and clarify trust boundaries.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Site reliability engineer
Dependencies	Provider region matrix, latency data
Assumptions / Risks	Cost vs availability tradeoffs
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS Regions & AZs, Amazon VPC, Private Hosted Zones (Route 53), AWS Transit Gateway, AWS PrivateLink, AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation

### Story

*As an SRE, I want a region selection ADR so that latency, compliance, and trust boundaries are explicit. Non-Functional*

Reliability    Security    Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Regions selected
Given	Latency and compliance constraints
When	I pick primary and failover regions
Then	ADR documents tradeoffs and dependencies

*Definition of Ready:* Constraints collected.    • *Definition of Done:* ADR merged; DNS and data plans documented. **Tasks**

- Measure latency from users.
- Check data residency needs.
- Choose primary and secondary.
- Document dependencies and costs.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-3 — Security Baselines

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Baseline Security
<b>Business Value</b>	Create fast feedback on misconfigurations and reduce time to first fix.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Cloud security engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Sandbox account/project, Prowler or ScoutSuite, read-only creds
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Findings volume may be high; prioritize by risk
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Security Hub (CIS/AWS Foundational), AWS Config & Conformance Packs, Systems Manager Patch Manager, IAM Access Analyzer
<b>Story</b>	

*As a cloud security engineer, I want to run a baseline scan so that we triage top risks and create a remediation backlog. Non-Functional*

**Security      Reliability      Privacy      Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Baseline executed and triaged
<b>Given</b>	Read-only scanner access
<b>When</b>	I run Prowler or ScoutSuite and export results
<b>Then</b>	Top ten findings have owners, due dates, and tickets
<i>Definition of Ready:</i>	Scanner configured; scope agreed.
	• <i>Definition of Done:</i> Report stored; backlog created; first PR opened for a fix.

- Execute scan and export JSON plus HTML.
- Create `baseline-findings.md` with risk ranking.
- Open issues for top findings; tag teams.
- Document suppressions and rationale.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-4 — Privacy & Trust Baselines

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Data Protection
<b>Business Value</b>	Reduce regulatory risk through inventory, tagging, and residency controls.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Data protection officer / privacy engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Data catalog access, tagging standard
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Unknown data flows; legacy datasets may be unlabeled
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Security Hub (CIS/AWS Foundational), AWS Config & Conformance Packs, Systems Manager Patch Manager, IAM Access Analyzer, AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation

### Story

*As a privacy engineer, I want to inventory and tag datasets so that location, residency, and access are controlled. Non-Functional*

Privacy    Security    Reliability    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Inventory completed for one workload
<b>Given</b>	Access to data catalog and cloud storage
<b>When</b>	I tag datasets by sensitivity and residency
<b>Then</b>	Policies and controls reference the tags for enforcement

*Definition of Ready:* Scope selected; tagging keys agreed. • *Definition of Done:* Inventory CSV committed; policies updated; gaps tracked. **Tasks**

- Export dataset list and classify sensitivity.
- Tag objects and databases by owner and residency.
- Map to retention and legal requirements.
- Open tasks to fix unlabeled datasets.

### AWS Tailoring

- Use KMS CMKs with rotation and least-privilege key policies.
- Encrypt S3, EBS, RDS by default; enforce via SCP/Config rules.
- Scan and classify sensitive data with Macie for key buckets.

## CCS-6 — Risk & Trust Assessment Schemes

Epic / Feature	Risk Management
Business Value	Make risk-driven decisions using a consistent scheme.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Risk analyst
Dependencies	Risk register template, stakeholder access
Assumptions / Risks	Disagreement on scoring methods
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation, AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub

### Story

*As a risk analyst, I want a risk register using a simple method so that leaders can compare and prioritize risks. Non-Functional*

#### Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Register populated for one project
Given	A template and stakeholder interviews
When	I capture top risks with likelihood and impact
Then	Owners and treatments are assigned and accepted
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Template chosen; scope set.   • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Register committed; review notes added; next review scheduled.	

- Create `risk-register.xlsx`.
- Schedule 30-minute interviews with owners.
- Draft KRIs for top items.
- Publish review cadence.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-7 — Managing Risk in the Cloud

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Risk Treatment
<b>Business Value</b>	Reduce probability or impact through concrete control choices.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security program manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Control catalog, platform team availability
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Limited capacity; tradeoffs required
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping Story</b>	AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub

*As a security program manager, I want risk treatments tied to controls so that we see measurable reduction in risk. Non-Functional*

**Security      Reliability      Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Treatments committed
<b>Given</b>	A prioritized risk list
<b>When</b>	I link risks to controls and create implementation tickets
<b>Then</b>	KRIs and due dates are visible on the roadmap

*Definition of Ready:* Owners identified; catalog agreed. • *Definition of Done:* Tickets created; dashboard shows KRIs; exec summary published.

### Tasks

- Map each top risk to controls and team.
- Create epics with AC and success criteria.
- Add KRI queries to dashboard.
- Send monthly status note.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-8 — Cloud Security Risk Management

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	Prevent misconfigurations from merging to main.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	DevOps engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	CI pipeline, IaC repo, OPA or Checkov
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	False positives can slow teams
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub
<b>Story</b>	

*As a DevOps engineer, I want policy-as-code in CI so that insecure IaC cannot be merged.*

### Non-Functional

**Security**    **Reliability**    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	CI blocks insecure patterns
<b>Given</b>	A repo with Terraform modules
<b>When</b>	I add checks for public buckets and wildcard IAM
<b>Then</b>	Failing PRs show clear messages and remediation links

*Definition of Ready:* Rules selected; threshold agreed.   • *Definition of Done:* Checks enforced; docs added; exceptions process documented. **Tasks**

- Add OPA or Checkov job to CI.
- Write two guardrails: storage public access and IAM wildcards.
- Add test fixtures that fail until fixed.
- Document remediation steps.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-9 — Risk Mitigation Methods

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Mitigation Patterns
<b>Business Value</b>	Choose effective mitigations for data and perimeter.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Security architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	KMS, WAF, segmentation capability
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Cost and latency tradeoffs
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub
<b>Story</b>	

*As a security architect, I want to compare mitigation options so that we pick the best fit per risk. Non-Functional*

Performance    Security    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Decision record created
<b>Given</b>	Candidate mitigations and constraints
<b>When</b>	I evaluate tokenization, KMS, WAF, and micro-segmentation
<b>Then</b>	An ADR records the chosen approach and rationale

*Definition of Ready:* Alternatives listed; constraints known. • *Definition of Done:* ADR merged; next steps filed as tickets. **Tasks**

- Draft ADR comparing options.
- Measure expected latency impact.
- Validate cost estimates.
- Get sign-off from stakeholders.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-10 — Access Policy Specification & Enforcement

Epic / Feature	Identity and Access Management
Business Value	Reduce privilege and prevent policy sprawl.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	IAM engineer
Dependencies	Policy engine, repo access
Assumptions / Risks	Legacy policies contain wildcards
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS IAM (Policies, Roles, Permission Boundaries), Service Control Policies (SCPs), Attribute-based access control (ABAC), Verified Permissions (Cedar)

### Story

*As an IAM engineer, I want least-privilege policies with automated checks so that access stays minimal and auditable.* **Non-Functional**

#### Security   Reliability   Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Wildcards prevented
Given	Policy files in version control
When	I add a rule that fails "Action: *" or "Resource: *"
Then	PRs fail with a clear message and link to examples
<i>Definition of Ready:</i>	Policy style guide drafted. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Rules enabled; examples published; old policies queued for refactor.

- Create policy lints for wildcards and unused permissions.
- Build reusable role templates.
- Add presubmit unit tests.
- Document escalation and exceptions.

### AWS Tailoring

- Use IAM Identity Center for workforce; enforce MFA and SCIM sync.
- Create least-privilege IAM roles with permission boundaries and Access Analyzer scan.
- Apply SCP guardrails to deny wildcards and restrict regions where required.
- Rotate keys; prohibit long-lived access keys.

## CCS-12 — Distributed Access Control

Epic / Feature	Service Authorization
Business Value	Enforce consistent policies across microservices.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Platform engineer
Dependencies	OPA sidecar or service mesh, K8s cluster
Assumptions / Risks	Policy changes must be versioned and tested
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS IAM (Policies, Roles, Permission Boundaries), Service Control Policies (SCPs), Attribute-based access control (ABAC), Verified Permissions (Cedar)

### Story

*As a platform engineer, I want sidecar policy enforcement so that services follow the same authorization rules.* **Non-Functional**

**Security**   **Reliability**   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Policy enforced at runtime
<b>Given</b>	A sample API deployed on Kubernetes
<b>When</b>	I deny requests lacking a required claim
<b>Then</b>	Access logs and metrics confirm policy decisions
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Sidecar pattern approved. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Policy repo created; sample deployed; dashboards live.	

### Tasks

- Deploy OPA sidecar with Rego bundle.
- Write allow/deny rule based on JWT claim.
- Emit decision logs to SIEM.
- Add rollout and rollback steps.

### AWS Tailoring

- Use IAM Identity Center for workforce; enforce MFA and SCIM sync.
- Create least-privilege IAM roles with permission boundaries and Access Analyzer scan.
- Apply SCP guardrails to deny wildcards and restrict regions where required.
- Rotate keys; prohibit long-lived access keys.

## CCS-11 — Cryptographic Key Management

Epic / Feature	Data Encryption
Business Value	Protect sensitive data at rest and in transit with managed lifecycle.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Security engineer
Dependencies	KMS, secrets store, CI access
Assumptions / Risks	Rotation can disrupt apps if not coordinated
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS KMS, AWS CloudHSM, External Key Store (XKS), Envelope Encryption Patterns
Story	

*As a security engineer, I want envelope encryption with automated rotation so that key compromise risk is minimized. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   Privacy   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Rotation executed without downtime
Given	Apps using KMS for envelope encryption
When	I rotate keys and re-encrypt materials in staging
Then	Metrics show no errors and runbooks are updated

*Definition of Ready:* Apps instrumented; staging ready.   • *Definition of Done:* Rotation proved in staging; prod schedule approved; runbook linked. **Tasks**

- Implement envelope encryption example.
- Add rotation job and alarms.
- Create decrypt fallback and test.
- Publish runbook with rollback plan.

### AWS Tailoring

- Use KMS CMKs with rotation and least-privilege key policies.
- Encrypt S3, EBS, RDS by default; enforce via SCP/Config rules.
- Scan and classify sensitive data with Macie for key buckets.

## CCS-13 — User-Side Key Controls

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Client-Side Encryption
<b>Business Value</b>	Reduce provider breach blast radius.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Client app developer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Crypto library, performance test tool
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	UX latency and key handling complexity
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS KMS, AWS CloudHSM, External Key Store (XKS), Envelope Encryption Patterns
<b>Story</b>	

*As a client developer, I want to encrypt data before upload so that exposure risk is reduced.*

### Non-Functional

Performance    Security    Privacy    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Client-side encryption prototype
<b>Given</b>	A demo dataset and upload path
<b>When</b>	I enable client encryption and measure overhead
<b>Then</b>	Latency impact and throughput are documented with limits

*Definition of Ready:* Test dataset ready. • *Definition of Done:* Prototype merged; thresholds set; backlog items filed. **Tasks**

- Build client encryption function.
- Add perf test for 1 MB and 10 MB files.
- Document key custody options.
- Decide thresholds and guardrails.

### AWS Tailoring

- Use KMS CMKs with rotation and least-privilege key policies.
- Encrypt S3, EBS, RDS by default; enforce via SCP/Config rules.
- Scan and classify sensitive data with Macie for key buckets.

## CCS-5 — IaaS Focus

Epic / Feature	Compute, Storage, Network Hardening
Business Value	Prevent common misconfigurations at the IaaS layer.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Platform engineer
Dependencies	Terraform repo, VPC/VNet, KMS, IAM
Assumptions / Risks	Breaking changes if defaults tighten without comms
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS Organizations & Control Tower, AWS Config & Security Hub, CloudTrail, CloudWatch, GuardDuty, AWS KMS
Story	

*As a platform engineer, I want least-privilege IAM and default-deny networking so that IaaS resources are secure by default. Non-Functional*

Security

Reliability

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Secure defaults enforced
Given	A Terraform baseline module
When	I add network policies and least-privilege roles
Then	New resources inherit secure defaults and tests verify enforcement

*Definition of Ready:* Module owners onboard; tests planned. • *Definition of Done:* Module released; pipelines pass; docs updated.

### Tasks

- Harden security groups and route tables to default deny.
- Create least-privilege role for compute and storage access.
- Add unit tests for denial of wildcard permissions.
- Publish module usage guide.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-17 — Locking Down Cloud Servers

Epic / Feature	Host Hardening
Business Value	Reduce attack surface of compute instances.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Systems engineer
Dependencies	CIS benchmark, Ansible, osquery
Assumptions / Risks	Compatibility issues with legacy apps
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS Organizations & Control Tower, AWS Config & Security Hub, CloudTrail, CloudWatch, GuardDuty, AWS KMS
Story	

*As a systems engineer, I want hardened server images validated by scans so that host-level risk is reduced. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Hardened image baseline
Given	A base operating system image
When	I apply Ansible hardening and validate with osquery
Then	Compliance score meets target and drift alerts are enabled

*Definition of Ready:* Baseline chosen.   • *Definition of Done:* Image published; score documented; rollout plan approved. **Tasks**

- Build Ansible role for hardening.
- Add osquery pack for controls.
- Measure compliance score.
- Define rollout and fallback.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-30 — Availability, Recovery, & Auditing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	DR Runbook and Evidence
<b>Business Value</b>	Prove recoverability and auditing across sites.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	SRE lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Backup system, failover tooling, logging
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Testing may cause temporary disruption
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Backup (Vault Lock), Multi-AZ / Multi-Region patterns, S3 Cross-Region Replication, CloudTrail Lake & Athena
<b>Story</b>	

*As an SRE lead, I want a game day failover with evidence so that RPO and RTO are validated.*

### Non-Functional

**Reliability**   **Security**   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Game day executed
<b>Given</b>	A DR runbook and staging environment
<b>When</b>	I fail over traffic and restore data
<b>Then</b>	RPO/RTO targets are met and audit artifacts stored

*Definition of Ready:* Runbook drafted; window approved.   • *Definition of Done:* Postmortem completed; evidence archived; action items filed. **Tasks**

- Dry-run backup restore.
- Switch traffic in staging.
- Collect logs and screenshots.
- Write postmortem with follow-ups.

### AWS Tailoring

- Configure AWS Backup with Vault Lock and cross-account copies.
- Design Multi-AZ for stateful services and test DR runbooks quarterly.
- Query CloudTrail Lake for change/audit analytics.

## CCS-18 — Third-Party Provider Integrity

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Vendor Security
<b>Business Value</b>	Reduce supply-chain risk by verifying provider controls.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	TPRM analyst
<b>Dependencies</b>	Vendor portal, questionnaire, evidence store
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Evidence may be incomplete or outdated
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Marketplace Vendor Controls, IAM cross-account roles & STS, AWS Artifact (BAA, SOC, ISO), AWS Audit Manager (control mapping)

### Story

*As a TPRM analyst, I want to evaluate a SaaS vendor so that integrity and compliance claims are validated. Non-Functional*

**Security**   **Privacy**   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Vendor assessed
<b>Given</b>	A completed questionnaire and shared evidence
<b>When</b>	I review SOC 2, ISO certs, pen test summaries
<b>Then</b>	Gaps and compensating controls are recorded with owners <i>Definition of Ready:</i> Vendor identified.   • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Assessment logged; renewal date tracked; follow-ups filed.

### Tasks

- Collect attestations and reports.
- Map to our control set.
- Record gaps and compensations.
- Schedule next review.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-19 — Negotiating Security Requirements

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security Addendum
<b>Business Value</b>	Contractualize minimum controls and reporting duties.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Security lead / legal partner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Contract template, DPA, counsel review
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Negotiations may extend timelines
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Marketplace Vendor Controls, IAM cross-account roles & STS, AWS Artifact (BAA, SOC, ISO), AWS Audit Manager (control mapping)

### Story

*As a security lead, I want measurable security clauses so that vendor obligations are enforceable.*

### Non-Functional

#### Security   Privacy   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Addendum executed
<b>Given</b>	A vendor contract in negotiation
<b>When</b>	I add breach notice timing, logging, crypto, and SRT clauses
<b>Then</b>	The executed contract contains measurable commitments
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Template aligned with legal.	• <i>Definition of Done:</i> Signed addendum archived; obligations tracked.

- Draft 10 key clauses.
- Align with DPA terms.
- Review with counsel.
- Track obligations in register.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-20 — Legal Compliance for Personal Data

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Privacy Compliance
<b>Business Value</b>	Demonstrate lawful processing and accountability.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Privacy engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	ROPA template, data map, DPO review
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Data lineage unknown for some fields
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Marketplace Vendor Controls, IAM cross-account roles & STS, AWS Artifact (BAA, SOC, ISO), AWS Audit Manager (control mapping)

### Story

*As a privacy engineer, I want a record of processing and DFD so that obligations and flows are clear.* **Non-Functional**

**Privacy    Security    Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	ROPA completed
<b>Given</b>	Access to systems and owners
<b>When</b>	I capture purposes, lawful basis, retention, and transfers
<b>Then</b>	Data flows and controls are documented and approved
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Scope bounded; owners engaged.	• <i>Definition of Done:</i> ROPA and DFD in repo; review sign-off captured.

- Tasks**
- Build `privacy/ropa.xlsx`.
  - Draw data flow diagram.
  - Validate retention policies.
  - Add cross-border transfer notes.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-27 — Government Certification & Accreditation

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	FedRAMP Readiness (example)
<b>Business Value</b>	Understand inheritance and required artifacts.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Compliance lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Control catalog, SSP template
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Scope must be tightly defined
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS GovCloud (US), FedRAMP / DoD SRG mappings, AWS Artifact (ATO packages)
<b>Story</b>	

*As a compliance lead, I want a control inheritance map so that authorization scope and responsibilities are clear. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Inheritance mapped
<b>Given</b>	A SaaS in scope
<b>When</b>	I mark provider vs customer controls
<b>Then</b>	The SSP references the map and gaps are tracked

*Definition of Ready:* Boundary defined. • *Definition of Done:* Matrix committed; SSP section drafted; gaps logged. **Tasks**

- Build inheritance matrix.
- Tag inherited controls.
- Draft SSP outline.
- Create gap tickets.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-28 — Government Regulations & Compliance Risks

Epic / Feature	Regulatory Risk Log
Business Value	Avoid surprise obligations and penalties.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Compliance analyst
Dependencies	Legal counsel, records policy
Assumptions / Risks	Regulations evolve; periodic review needed
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub, AWS Marketplace Vendor Controls, IAM cross-account roles & STS, AWS Artifact (BAA, SOC, ISO), AWS Audit Manager (control mapping), AWS GovCloud (US), FedRAMP / DoD SRG mappings, AWS Artifact (ATO packages)

### Story

*As a compliance analyst, I want a regulatory risk log so that export, retention, and sector rules are addressed. Non-Functional*

Privacy    Security    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Risks recorded with treatments
Given	A list of applicable regulations
When	I log risks and proposed mitigations
Then	Owners and deadlines are tracked

*Definition of Ready:* Sources identified.    • *Definition of Done:* Log published; review cadence set; items assigned. **Tasks**

- List applicable regs per region.
- Identify records and retention needs.
- Document export-control flags.
- Assign owners and dates.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-21 — Integrity Assurance for Data Outsourcing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Data Integrity
<b>Business Value</b>	Detect tampering and ensure recoverability.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Storage engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Object lock, versioning, checksum pipeline
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Immutability might affect lifecycle costs
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM
<b>Story</b>	

*As a storage engineer, I want object immutability and verification so that outsourced data integrity is assured. Non-Functional*

Reliability

Security

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Immutability and verification enabled
<b>Given</b>	A critical bucket
<b>When</b>	I enable object lock and periodic checksum verification
<b>Then</b>	Evidence of integrity is logged and alerts fire on mismatch
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Bucket identified. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Policies active; verification job scheduled; alerts tested.	

### Tasks

- Turn on versioning and object lock.
- Create checksum job.
- Store proofs and logs.
- Test corruption scenario.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-22 — Secure Computation Outsourcing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Confidential Computing
<b>Business Value</b>	Protect workloads from host compromise.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Confidential VM or enclave offering
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Limited tooling; higher cost
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM
<b>Story</b>	

*As a platform engineer, I want to deploy a confidential VM demo so that sensitive code and data run in a protected environment. **Non-Functional***

### Security    Performance    **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Demo deployed
<b>Given</b>	Access to confidential VM/Enclave service
<b>When</b>	I run a sample workload with attestation
<b>Then</b>	Attestation evidence is captured and documented

*Definition of Ready:* Service quota available.   • *Definition of Done:* Demo works; evidence stored; decision matrix written. **Tasks**

- Launch confidential instance.
- Run attestation example.
- Capture measurements and logs.
- Write decision matrix.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-23 — Computation Over Encrypted Data

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Searchable Encryption / FHE Survey
<b>Business Value</b>	Enable limited queries without decrypting data.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 2
<b>Persona</b>	Research engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Sample dataset, library support
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Performance and complexity constraints
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM
<b>Story</b>	

*As a research engineer, I want to prototype encrypted search so that feasibility and limits are documented. Non-Functional*

**Security**   **Performance**   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Prototype results recorded
<b>Given</b>	A text dataset
<b>When</b>	I run encrypted keyword search
<b>Then</b>	Latency, correctness, and limits are summarized

*Definition of Ready:* Dataset ready.   • *Definition of Done:* PoC code and report committed; go/no-go noted.

### Tasks

- Choose library and scheme.
- Index and query dataset.
- Measure latency and size.
- Summarize findings.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-24 — Trusted Computing Technology

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Platform Trust
<b>Business Value</b>	Validate boot and workload integrity.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	vTPM, secure boot, measurement service
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Hardware support varies by provider
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation, AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM

### Story

*As a platform engineer, I want to verify secure boot and vTPM so that platform trust is established. Non-Functional*

**Security      Reliability      Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

**Scenario** Attestation enabled

**Given** A VM image and policy

**When** I enable secure boot and verify measurements

**Then** Evidence is stored and non-compliant boots alert

*Definition of Ready:* Image pipeline documented. • *Definition of Done:* Attestation evidence archived; alert tested.

### Tasks

- Enable secure boot and vTPM.
- Capture PCR measurements.
- Store evidence in repo.
- Add alert for failures.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-25 — Trusted Security Tech: Survey & Gaps

Epic / Feature	Capability Heatmap
Business Value	Identify maturity and gaps for trusted tech.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security architect
Dependencies	Stakeholder input
Assumptions / Risks	Divergent views on maturity
Cloud (AWS) Mapping Story	AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation

*As a security architect, I want a capability heatmap so that prioritization of trust tech investments is clear. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Heatmap published
Given	A list of capabilities
When	I score maturity and document gaps
Then	Roadmap items and owners are assigned
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Capabilities enumerated.   • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Heatmap slide shared; roadmap updated. <b>Tasks</b>	

- Define scoring rubric.
- Collect scores from owners.
- Aggregate and visualize.
- File roadmap items.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-26 — Trusted Computing Proposals

Epic / Feature	End-to-End Trust Chain
Business Value	Prove device to workload trust with attestations.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security engineer
Dependencies	Attestation service, identity provider
Assumptions / Risks	Complexity of chain-of-trust proofs
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	AWS KMS (CMKs), S3 Server-Side Encryption (SSE-KMS), Amazon Macie, AWS Lake Formation, AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM

### Story

*As a security engineer, I want an attestation flow design so that trust decisions can be automated. Non-Functional*

Security   Reliability   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Flow documented
Given	Components for device, boot, and workload
When	I design sequence of attestations
Then	Verification steps and failure modes are defined

*Definition of Ready:* Components inventoried.   • *Definition of Done:* Sequence diagram and notes committed. **Tasks**

- Draft sequence diagram.
- List verification artifacts.
- Define failure responses.
- Review with platform team.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-31 — Advanced Security Architecture

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Service Mesh and Zero Trust
<b>Business Value</b>	Strong identity boundaries between services.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Istio or Linkerd, PKI
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	mTLS introduces complexity
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	Amazon VPC (Subnets, Route Tables, NACLs, SGs), AWS Transit Gateway, AWS Network Firewall, AWS WAF & AWS Shield, PrivateLink & VPC Endpoints, Verified Access, Verified Permissions (Cedar), Zero Trust with PrivateLink/TGW Segmentation

### Story

*As a platform engineer, I want mTLS via a service mesh so that service-to-service trust is explicit and auditable. Non-Functional*

**Security   Reliability   Performance   Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Mesh policy enforced
<b>Given</b>	A cluster and sample services
<b>When</b>	I require authenticated identities for calls
<b>Then</b>	Unauthorized calls fail and metrics show encrypted traffic
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> PKI ready; cluster available. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Policies applied; dashboards in place; rollback steps documented.	

### Tasks

- Install mesh and issue certificates.
- Enforce mTLS and authZ policy.
- Expose metrics and logs.
- Document rollout plan.

### AWS Tailoring

- Hub-and-spoke VPCs via TGW; centralized egress with Network Firewall.
- Disable public access for S3/EBS/RDS; require VPC endpoints for AWS APIs.
- Protect internet edges with WAF and (optionally) Shield Advanced.

## CCS-32 — Side-Channel Attacks & Defenses

Epic / Feature	Side-Channel Awareness
Business Value	Reduce risk from timing and cache leakage.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security researcher
Dependencies	Benchmark harness, controlled environment
Assumptions / Risks	Synthetic tests may not reflect production
Cloud (AWS) Mapping Story	AWS Nitro System & Nitro Enclaves, AWS Clean Rooms (privacy-enhancing analytics), AWS KMS / CloudHSM

*As a security researcher, I want to measure a simple timing side-channel and mitigation so that risk is understood. Non-Functional*

Security   Performance   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Signal measured and reduced
Given	A controlled test harness
When	I demonstrate a cache-timing signal and apply a mitigation
Then	The signal-to-noise ratio decreases per target threshold

*Definition of Ready:* Harness prepared. • *Definition of Done:* Notebook and results committed; mitigation guidance added. **Tasks**

- Implement timing measurement.
- Capture baseline signal.
- Apply mitigation and remeasure.
- Document recommendations.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-33 — Critical Analysis of Threat Models

Epic / Feature	Meta Threat Modeling
Business Value	Expose assumptions about control planes and multi-tenancy.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Red team lead
Dependencies	Access to assumptions and architecture docs
Assumptions / Risks	Sensitive topics require careful handling
Cloud (AWS) Mapping	Verified Access, Verified Permissions (Cedar), Zero Trust with PrivateLink/TGW Segmentation
Story	

*As a red team lead, I want to challenge threat model assumptions so that blind spots in cloud control planes are addressed. Non-Functional*

Security

Reliability

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Assumptions documented and tested
Given	An existing threat model
When	I write a red-team hypothesis targeting meta-control plane risks
Then	Detection ideas and mitigations are proposed and tracked

*Definition of Ready:* Model available. • *Definition of Done:* Hypothesis published; action items filed; follow-up scheduled.

### Tasks

- List explicit assumptions.
- Draft hypothesis and tests.
- Propose mitigations and detections.
- Track actions to closure.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.

## CCS-34 — Future Directions, Risks & Challenges

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Forward-Looking Roadmap
<b>Business Value</b>	Prepare for PQ crypto, confidential ML, and SBOM/SLSA.
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 2
<b>Persona</b>	Security strategist
<b>Dependencies</b>	Crypto inventory, CI pipeline, artifact signing
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Changing standards and vendor support
<b>Cloud (AWS) Mapping</b>	AWS Audit Manager, AWS Artifact (Reports), AWS Security Hub, Verified Access, Verified Permissions (Cedar), Zero Trust with PrivateLink/TGW Segmentation

### Story

*As a security strategist, I want a forward-looking roadmap so that the program is ready for emerging risks and controls.* **Non-Functional**

**Security**   **Reliability**   **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

<b>Scenario</b>	Roadmap published
<b>Given</b>	Current state inventory
<b>When</b>	I add PQ readiness, confidential ML, and SLSA milestones
<b>Then</b>	Owners and dates are assigned with review cadence
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Inventory complete.	• <i>Definition of Done:</i> Roadmap committed; review dates on calendar; scorecard added.

### Tasks

- Build crypto inventory and PQ plan.
- Define model confidentiality needs.
- Add SBOM and SLSA targets.
- Create quarterly scorecard.

### AWS Tailoring

- Enable AWS Security Hub with CIS/AWS Foundational standards in the home region.
- Deploy AWS Config conformance packs; record all resources.
- Enable org-level CloudTrail with encryption (KMS) and S3 Object Lock.