

Study Plan — Cloud Computing Security (User Story Cards)

Aligned to “Cloud Computing Security: Foundations and Challenges (2nd ed.)”

One story card per chapter with example tasks and BDD acceptance criteria.

Contents

How to Use This Template	2
1 Story Card Definition	2
2 Examples (Copy These Patterns)	3
3 Blank Cards (Fill One Per Chapter)	4

1 Section I — Introduction (Ch. 1–5)

CCS-1 — Ch. 1 — Foundations & Essentials

Epic / Feature	Cloud Foundations
Business Value	Establish shared vocabulary and ownership to reduce onboarding confusion and security drift.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Developer starting on a cloud project
Dependencies	Sandbox account, org security policy, provider docs
Assumptions / Risks	Teams may interpret shared responsibility differently; risk of inconsistent defaults

Story

As a developer, I want to document cloud foundations and shared responsibility so that I make secure choices and keep main green. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability Accessibility **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Shared understanding is captured
Given	A sandbox and policy references
When	I create a one-page shared responsibility matrix and a glossary of essential characteristics
Then	The documents are linked in onboarding and referenced by future stories

Definition of Ready: Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies identified. • *Definition of Done:* Matrix and glossary committed; reviewed by AppSec; referenced in README. **Tasks**

- ☐ Write docs/shared-responsibility-matrix.md.
- ☐ Capture five essential characteristics of cloud with examples.
- ☐ Add link in README.md and onboarding checklist.
- ☐ Open issues for disagreements or unclear ownership.

CCS-2 — Ch. 2 — Overview of Cloud Computing

Epic / Feature	Cloud Foundations
Business Value	Reduce design churn by listing provider primitives and portability considerations.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Solution architect
Dependencies	Access to AWS, Azure, and GCP docs
Assumptions / Risks	Feature parity differs; lock-in may be acceptable for value

As a solution architect, I want a lift-and-shift bill of materials so that teams can compare provider primitives and portability risks. **Non-Functional**

Performance Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Cross-provider comparison documented
Given	A reference three-tier app
When	I create a bill of materials for AWS, Azure, and GCP with security notes
Then	Tradeoffs and lock-in vectors are captured with mitigation ideas
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Reference app defined; providers chosen. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> BOM spreadsheet checked in; reviewed by platform and security.	
Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Produce <code>bom/cloud-bom.xlsx</code> with compute, storage, network, IAM.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annotate security defaults and required hardening per service.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Note portability blockers and alternatives.	
<input type="checkbox"/> File follow-up ADR if a single provider is selected.	

CCS-3 — Ch. 3 — Security Baselines

Epic / Feature	Baseline Security
Business Value	Create fast feedback on misconfigurations and reduce time to first fix.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Cloud security engineer
Dependencies	Sandbox account/project, Prowler or ScoutSuite, read-only creds
Assumptions / Risks	Findings volume may be high; prioritize by risk
Story	

As a cloud security engineer, I want to run a baseline scan so that we triage top risks and create a remediation backlog. **Non-Functional**

Security
Reliability
Privacy
Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Baseline executed and triaged
Given	Read-only scanner access
When	I run Prowler or ScoutSuite and export results
Then	Top ten findings have owners, due dates, and tickets
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Scanner configured; scope agreed. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Report stored; backlog created; first PR opened for a fix.	
Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Execute scan and export JSON plus HTML.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create <code>baseline-findings.md</code> with risk ranking.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Open issues for top findings; tag teams.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Document suppressions and rationale.	

CCS-4 — Ch. 4 — Privacy & Trust Baselines

Epic / Feature	Data Protection
Business Value	Reduce regulatory risk through inventory, tagging, and residency controls.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Data protection officer / privacy engineer
Dependencies	Data catalog access, tagging standard
Assumptions / Risks	Unknown data flows; legacy datasets may be unlabeled
Story	

As a privacy engineer, I want to inventory and tag datasets so that location, residency, and access are controlled. **Non-Functional**

Privacy Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Inventory completed for one workload
Given	Access to data catalog and cloud storage
When	I tag datasets by sensitivity and residency
Then	Policies and controls reference the tags for enforcement
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Scope selected; tagging keys agreed. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Inventory CSV committed; policies updated; gaps tracked. Tasks	

- ☐ Export dataset list and classify sensitivity.
- ☐ Tag objects and databases by owner and residency.
- ☐ Map to retention and legal requirements.
- ☐ Open tasks to fix unlabeled datasets.

CCS-5 — Ch. 5 — IaaS Focus

Epic / Feature	Compute, Storage, Network Hardening
Business Value	Prevent common misconfigurations at the IaaS layer.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Platform engineer
Dependencies	Terraform repo, VPC/VNet, KMS, IAM
Assumptions / Risks	Breaking changes if defaults tighten without comms
Story	

As a platform engineer, I want least-privilege IAM and default-deny networking so that IaaS resources are secure by default. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Secure defaults enforced
Given	A Terraform baseline module
When	I add network policies and least-privilege roles
Then	New resources inherit secure defaults and tests verify enforcement
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Module owners onboard; tests planned. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Module released; pipelines pass; docs updated. Tasks	

- ☐ Harden security groups and route tables to default deny.

- ☐ Create least-privilege role for compute and storage access.
- ☐ Add unit tests for denial of wildcard permissions.
- ☐ Publish module usage guide.

2 Section II — Risk Analysis & Responsibility (Ch. 6–9)

CCS-6 — Ch. 6 — Risk & Trust Assessment Schemes

Epic / Feature	Risk Management
Business Value	Make risk-driven decisions using a consistent scheme.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Risk analyst
Dependencies	Risk register template, stakeholder access
Assumptions / Risks	Disagreement on scoring methods
Story	

As a risk analyst, I want a risk register using a simple method so that leaders can compare and prioritize risks. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Register populated for one project
Given	A template and stakeholder interviews
When	I capture top risks with likelihood and impact
Then	Owners and treatments are assigned and accepted

Definition of Ready: Template chosen; scope set. • *Definition of Done:* Register committed; review notes added; next review scheduled. **Tasks**

- ☐ Create `risk-register.xlsx`.
- ☐ Schedule 30-minute interviews with owners.
- ☐ Draft KRIs for top items.
- ☐ Publish review cadence.

CCS-7 — Ch. 7 — Managing Risk in the Cloud

Epic / Feature	Risk Treatment
Business Value	Reduce probability or impact through concrete control choices.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Security program manager
Dependencies	Control catalog, platform team availability
Assumptions / Risks	Limited capacity; tradeoffs required
Story	

As a security program manager, I want risk treatments tied to controls so that we see measurable reduction in risk. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Treatments committed
Given	A prioritized risk list
When	I link risks to controls and create implementation tickets
Then	KRIs and due dates are visible on the roadmap
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Owners identified; catalog agreed. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Tickets created; dashboard shows KRIs; exec summary published.	
Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Map each top risk to controls and team.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create epics with AC and success criteria.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Add KRI queries to dashboard.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Send monthly status note.	

CCS-8 — Ch. 8 — Cloud Security Risk Management

Epic / Feature	Policy as Code
Business Value	Prevent misconfigurations from merging to main.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	DevOps engineer
Dependencies	CI pipeline, IaC repo, OPA or Checkov
Assumptions / Risks	False positives can slow teams
Story	
<i>As a DevOps engineer, I want policy-as-code in CI so that insecure IaC cannot be merged.</i>	
Non-Functional	
Security	Reliability
Acceptance Criteria (BDD)	
Scenario	CI blocks insecure patterns
Given	A repo with Terraform modules
When	I add checks for public buckets and wildcard IAM
Then	Failing PRs show clear messages and remediation links
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Rules selected; threshold agreed. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Checks enforced; docs added; exceptions process documented.	
Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Add OPA or Checkov job to CI.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Write two guardrails: storage public access and IAM wildcards.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Add test fixtures that fail until fixed.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Document remediation steps.	

CCS-9 — Ch. 9 — Risk Mitigation Methods

Epic / Feature	Mitigation Patterns
Business Value	Choose effective mitigations for data and perimeter.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Security architect
Dependencies	KMS, WAF, segmentation capability
Assumptions / Risks	Cost and latency tradeoffs
Story	

As a security architect, I want to compare mitigation options so that we pick the best fit per risk. **Non-Functional**

Performance

Security

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Decision record created

Given

Candidate mitigations and constraints

When

I evaluate tokenization, KMS, WAF, and micro-segmentation

Then

An ADR records the chosen approach and rationale

Definition of Ready: Alternatives listed; constraints known. • *Definition of Done:* ADR merged; next steps

filed as tickets. **Tasks**

- ☐ Draft ADR comparing options.
- ☐ Measure expected latency impact.
- ☐ Validate cost estimates.
- ☐ Get sign-off from stakeholders.

3 Section III — Securing Cloud Infrastructure (Ch. 10–16)

CCS-10 — Ch. 10 — Access Policy Specification & Enforcement

Epic / Feature

Identity and Access Management

Business Value

Reduce privilege and prevent policy sprawl.

Priority / Estimate

Priority: Must SP: 5

Persona

IAM engineer

Dependencies

Policy engine, repo access

Assumptions / Risks

Legacy policies contain wildcards

Story

As an IAM engineer, I want least-privilege policies with automated checks so that access stays minimal and auditable. **Non-Functional**

Security

Reliability

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Wildcards prevented

Given

Policy files in version control

When

I add a rule that fails "Action: *" or "Resource: *"

Then

PRs fail with a clear message and link to examples

Definition of Ready: Policy style guide drafted. • *Definition of Done:* Rules enabled; examples published;

old policies queued for refactor. **Tasks**

- ☐ Create policy lints for wildcards and unused permissions.
- ☐ Build reusable role templates.
- ☐ Add presubmit unit tests.
- ☐ Document escalation and exceptions.

CCS-11 — Ch. 11 — Cryptographic Key Management

Epic / Feature	Data Encryption
Business Value	Protect sensitive data at rest and in transit with managed lifecycle.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Security engineer
Dependencies	KMS, secrets store, CI access
Assumptions / Risks	Rotation can disrupt apps if not coordinated
Story	

As a security engineer, I want envelope encryption with automated rotation so that key compromise risk is minimized. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability Privacy **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Rotation executed without downtime
Given	Apps using KMS for envelope encryption
When	I rotate keys and re-encrypt materials in staging
Then	Metrics show no errors and runbooks are updated

Definition of Ready: Apps instrumented; staging ready. • *Definition of Done:* Rotation proved in staging; prod schedule approved; runbook linked. **Tasks**

- ☐ Implement envelope encryption example.
- ☐ Add rotation job and alarms.
- ☐ Create decrypt fallback and test.
- ☐ Publish runbook with rollback plan.

CCS-12 — Ch. 12 — Distributed Access Control

Epic / Feature	Service Authorization
Business Value	Enforce consistent policies across microservices.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Platform engineer
Dependencies	OPA sidecar or service mesh, K8s cluster
Assumptions / Risks	Policy changes must be versioned and tested
Story	

As a platform engineer, I want sidecar policy enforcement so that services follow the same authorization rules. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Policy enforced at runtime
Given	A sample API deployed on Kubernetes
When	I deny requests lacking a required claim
Then	Access logs and metrics confirm policy decisions

Definition of Ready: Sidecar pattern approved. • *Definition of Done:* Policy repo created; sample deployed; dashboards live. **Tasks**

- ☐ Deploy OPA sidecar with Rego bundle.
- ☐ Write allow/deny rule based on JWT claim.

- ☐ Emit decision logs to SIEM.
- ☐ Add rollout and rollback steps.

CCS-13 — Ch. 13 — User-Side Key Controls

Epic / Feature Client-Side Encryption
Business Value Reduce provider breach blast radius.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona Client app developer
Dependencies Crypto library, performance test tool
Assumptions / Risks UX latency and key handling complexity

Story

As a client developer, I want to encrypt data before upload so that exposure risk is reduced.

Non-Functional

Performance Security Privacy **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario Client-side encryption prototype
Given A demo dataset and upload path
When I enable client encryption and measure overhead
Then Latency impact and throughput are documented with limits
Definition of Ready: Test dataset ready. • *Definition of Done:* Prototype merged; thresholds set; backlog items filed. **Tasks**

- ☐ Build client encryption function.
- ☐ Add perf test for 1 MB and 10 MB files.
- ☐ Document key custody options.
- ☐ Decide thresholds and guardrails.

CCS-14 — Ch. 14 — Security Essentials & Reference Architectures

Epic / Feature Reference Architecture
Business Value Provide a secure, repeatable pattern for web workloads.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona Solutions architect
Dependencies Diagramming tool, baseline modules
Assumptions / Risks Pattern must be simple yet adaptable

Story

As a solutions architect, I want a secure reference architecture so that teams can adopt consistent controls quickly. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario Reference published
Given Common requirements for a web app
When I include logging, monitoring, KMS, WAF, and CIEM
Then The diagram and bill of materials are reviewed and adopted
Definition of Ready: Scope finalized. • *Definition of Done:* Drawio file and BOM committed; approval

recorded. **Tasks**

- ☐ Draw diagram with shared services.
- ☐ List components and controls.
- ☐ Map to controls framework.
- ☐ Create adoption checklist.

CCS-15 — Ch. 15 — Architecture & Security Concepts

Epic / Feature Zero Trust & Immutable Infra
Business Value Reduce lateral movement and configuration drift.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona Platform architect
Dependencies Image builder, autoscaling, CI/CD
Assumptions / Risks Rebuild cadence must align with release cycles
Story

As a platform architect, I want immutable images with micro-segmentation so that drift and attack paths are minimized. **Non-Functional**

Security **Reliability** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario Mutable to immutable migration
Given A mutable VM pattern
When I move to image-based deployments with autoscaling
Then Patching occurs via rebuild and health checks stay green

Definition of Ready: Current pattern documented. • *Definition of Done:* New pattern deployed in staging;

runbook updated. **Tasks**

- ☐ Create hardened base image.
- ☐ Update pipeline for image promotion.
- ☐ Add health checks and rollbacks.
- ☐ Retire in-place patching runbooks.

CCS-16 — Ch. 16 — Secure Cloud Architecture

Epic / Feature Threat-Driven Design
Business Value Align controls with threats and evidence.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona Security architect
Dependencies Threat modeling tool, control catalog
Assumptions / Risks Over-design if threats not prioritized
Story

As a security architect, I want a threat model linked to controls so that architectural choices are justified. **Non-Functional**

Security **Reliability** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	STRIDE model created
Given	The reference architecture
When	I document threats and mitigations
Then	Missing controls generate backlog items with owners
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Architecture stable. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Threat model published; issues created and prioritized. Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Run a STRIDE session. <input type="checkbox"/> Map threats to controls. <input type="checkbox"/> Create tickets for gaps. <input type="checkbox"/> Review annually or after changes.	

4 Section IV — OS & Network Security (Ch. 17–18)

CCS-17 — Ch. 17 — Locking Down Cloud Servers

Epic / Feature	Host Hardening
Business Value	Reduce attack surface of compute instances.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Systems engineer
Dependencies	CIS benchmark, Ansible, osquery
Assumptions / Risks	Compatibility issues with legacy apps
Story	
<i>As a systems engineer, I want hardened server images validated by scans so that host-level risk is reduced.</i> Non-Functional	
<div>Security</div> <div>Reliability</div> Acceptance Criteria (BDD)	
Scenario	Hardened image baseline
Given	A base operating system image
When	I apply Ansible hardening and validate with osquery
Then	Compliance score meets target and drift alerts are enabled
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Baseline chosen. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Image published; score documented; rollout plan approved. Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Build Ansible role for hardening. <input type="checkbox"/> Add osquery pack for controls. <input type="checkbox"/> Measure compliance score. <input type="checkbox"/> Define rollout and fallback.	

CCS-18 — Ch. 18 — Third-Party Provider Integrity

Epic / Feature	Vendor Security
Business Value	Reduce supply-chain risk by verifying provider controls.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	TPRM analyst
Dependencies	Vendor portal, questionnaire, evidence store
Assumptions / Risks	Evidence may be incomplete or outdated
Story	

As a TPRM analyst, I want to evaluate a SaaS vendor so that integrity and compliance claims are validated. **Non-Functional**

Security **Privacy** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Vendor assessed
Given	A completed questionnaire and shared evidence
When	I review SOC 2, ISO certs, pen test summaries
Then	Gaps and compensating controls are recorded with owners
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Vendor identified. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Assessment logged; renewal date tracked; follow-ups filed. Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Collect attestations and reports.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Map to our control set.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Record gaps and compensations.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Schedule next review.	

5 Section V — Compliance Requirements (Ch. 19–28)

CCS-19 — Ch. 19 — Negotiating Security Requirements

Epic / Feature	Security Addendum
Business Value	Contractualize minimum controls and reporting duties.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 3
Persona	Security lead / legal partner
Dependencies	Contract template, DPA, counsel review
Assumptions / Risks	Negotiations may extend timelines
Story	

As a security lead, I want measurable security clauses so that vendor obligations are enforceable. **Non-Functional**

Security **Privacy** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Addendum executed
Given	A vendor contract in negotiation
When	I add breach notice timing, logging, crypto, and SRT clauses
Then	The executed contract contains measurable commitments
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Template aligned with legal. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Signed addendum archived; obligations tracked. Tasks	

- ☐ Draft 10 key clauses.
- ☐ Align with DPA terms.
- ☐ Review with counsel.
- ☐ Track obligations in register.

CCS-20 — Ch. 20 — Legal Compliance for Personal Data

Epic / Feature	Privacy Compliance
Business Value	Demonstrate lawful processing and accountability.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	Privacy engineer
Dependencies	ROPA template, data map, DPO review
Assumptions / Risks	Data lineage unknown for some fields
Story	

As a privacy engineer, I want a record of processing and DFD so that obligations and flows are clear. **Non-Functional**

Privacy Security **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	ROPA completed
Given	Access to systems and owners
When	I capture purposes, lawful basis, retention, and transfers
Then	Data flows and controls are documented and approved
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Scope bounded; owners engaged. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> ROPA and DFD in repo; review sign-off captured.	
Tasks	

- ☐ Build `privacy/ropa.xlsx`.
- ☐ Draw data flow diagram.
- ☐ Validate retention policies.
- ☐ Add cross-border transfer notes.

CCS-21 — Ch. 21 — Integrity Assurance for Data Outsourcing

Epic / Feature	Data Integrity
Business Value	Detect tampering and ensure recoverability.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Storage engineer
Dependencies	Object lock, versioning, checksum pipeline
Assumptions / Risks	Immutability might affect lifecycle costs
Story	

As a storage engineer, I want object immutability and verification so that outsourced data integrity is assured. **Non-Functional**

Reliability Security **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Immutability and verification enabled
Given	A critical bucket
When	I enable object lock and periodic checksum verification
Then	Evidence of integrity is logged and alerts fire on mismatch
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Bucket identified. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Policies active; verification job scheduled; alerts tested. Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Turn on versioning and object lock.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create checksum job.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Store proofs and logs.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Test corruption scenario.	

CCS-22 — Ch. 22 — Secure Computation Outsourcing

Epic / Feature	Confidential Computing
Business Value	Protect workloads from host compromise.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Platform engineer
Dependencies	Confidential VM or enclave offering
Assumptions / Risks	Limited tooling; higher cost
Story	
<i>As a platform engineer, I want to deploy a confidential VM demo so that sensitive code and data run in a protected environment.</i> Non-Functional	
<div>Security</div> <div>Performance</div> Acceptance Criteria (BDD)	
Scenario	Demo deployed
Given	Access to confidential VM/Enclave service
When	I run a sample workload with attestation
Then	Attestation evidence is captured and documented
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Service quota available. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Demo works; evidence stored; decision matrix written. Tasks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Launch confidential instance.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Run attestation example.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Capture measurements and logs.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Write decision matrix.	

CCS-23 — Ch. 23 — Computation Over Encrypted Data

Epic / Feature	Searchable Encryption / FHE Survey
Business Value	Enable limited queries without decrypting data.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Research engineer
Dependencies	Sample dataset, library support
Assumptions / Risks	Performance and complexity constraints
Story	

As a research engineer, I want to prototype encrypted search so that feasibility and limits are documented. **Non-Functional**

Security Performance **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario Prototype results recorded
Given A text dataset
When I run encrypted keyword search
Then Latency, correctness, and limits are summarized

Definition of Ready: Dataset ready. • *Definition of Done:* PoC code and report committed; go/no-go noted.

Tasks

- ☐ Choose library and scheme.
- ☐ Index and query dataset.
- ☐ Measure latency and size.
- ☐ Summarize findings.

CCS-24 — Ch. 24 — Trusted Computing Technology

Epic / Feature Platform Trust
Business Value Validate boot and workload integrity.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona Platform engineer
Dependencies vTPM, secure boot, measurement service
Assumptions / Risks Hardware support varies by provider
Story

As a platform engineer, I want to verify secure boot and vTPM so that platform trust is established. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario Attestation enabled
Given A VM image and policy
When I enable secure boot and verify measurements
Then Evidence is stored and non-compliant boots alert

Definition of Ready: Image pipeline documented. • *Definition of Done:* Attestation evidence archived; alert tested. **Tasks**

- ☐ Enable secure boot and vTPM.
- ☐ Capture PCR measurements.
- ☐ Store evidence in repo.
- ☐ Add alert for failures.

CCS-25 — Ch. 25 — Trusted Security Tech: Survey & Gaps

Epic / Feature	Capability Heatmap
Business Value	Identify maturity and gaps for trusted tech.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security architect
Dependencies	Stakeholder input
Assumptions / Risks	Divergent views on maturity
Story	

As a security architect, I want a capability heatmap so that prioritization of trust tech investments is clear. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Heatmap published
Given	A list of capabilities
When	I score maturity and document gaps
Then	Roadmap items and owners are assigned

Definition of Ready: Capabilities enumerated. • *Definition of Done:* Heatmap slide shared; roadmap updated. **Tasks**

- ☐ Define scoring rubric.
- ☐ Collect scores from owners.
- ☐ Aggregate and visualize.
- ☐ File roadmap items.

CCS-26 — Ch. 26 — Trusted Computing Proposals

Epic / Feature	End-to-End Trust Chain
Business Value	Prove device to workload trust with attestations.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security engineer
Dependencies	Attestation service, identity provider
Assumptions / Risks	Complexity of chain-of-trust proofs
Story	

As a security engineer, I want an attestation flow design so that trust decisions can be automated. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Flow documented
Given	Components for device, boot, and workload
When	I design sequence of attestations
Then	Verification steps and failure modes are defined

Definition of Ready: Components inventoried. • *Definition of Done:* Sequence diagram and notes committed. **Tasks**

- ☐ Draft sequence diagram.
- ☐ List verification artifacts.

- ☐ Define failure responses.
- ☐ Review with platform team.

CCS-27 — Ch. 27 — Government Certification & Accreditation

Epic / Feature	FedRAMP Readiness (example)
Business Value	Understand inheritance and required artifacts.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Compliance lead
Dependencies	Control catalog, SSP template
Assumptions / Risks	Scope must be tightly defined
Story	

As a compliance lead, I want a control inheritance map so that authorization scope and responsibilities are clear. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Inheritance mapped
Given	A SaaS in scope
When	I mark provider vs customer controls
Then	The SSP references the map and gaps are tracked

Definition of Ready: Boundary defined. • *Definition of Done:* Matrix committed; SSP section drafted; gaps logged. **Tasks**

- ☐ Build inheritance matrix.
- ☐ Tag inherited controls.
- ☐ Draft SSP outline.
- ☐ Create gap tickets.

CCS-28 — Ch. 28 — Government Regulations & Compliance Risks

Epic / Feature	Regulatory Risk Log
Business Value	Avoid surprise obligations and penalties.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Compliance analyst
Dependencies	Legal counsel, records policy
Assumptions / Risks	Regulations evolve; periodic review needed
Story	

As a compliance analyst, I want a regulatory risk log so that export, retention, and sector rules are addressed. **Non-Functional**

Privacy Security **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Risks recorded with treatments
Given	A list of applicable regulations
When	I log risks and proposed mitigations
Then	Owners and deadlines are tracked

Definition of Ready: Sources identified. • *Definition of Done:* Log published; review cadence set; items

assigned. **Tasks**

- ☐ List applicable regs per region.
- ☐ Identify records and retention needs.
- ☐ Document export-control flags.
- ☐ Assign owners and dates.

6 Section VI — Disaster Recovery (Ch. 29–30)

CCS-29 — Ch. 29 — Regions, Zones, & Trust Boundaries

Epic / Feature	Multi-Region Planning
Business Value	Improve resilience and clarify trust boundaries.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Site reliability engineer
Dependencies	Provider region matrix, latency data
Assumptions / Risks	Cost vs availability tradeoffs
Story	

As an SRE, I want a region selection ADR so that latency, compliance, and trust boundaries are explicit. **Non-Functional**

Reliability

Security

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario	Regions selected
Given	Latency and compliance constraints
When	I pick primary and failover regions
Then	ADR documents tradeoffs and dependencies

Definition of Ready: Constraints collected. • *Definition of Done:* ADR merged; DNS and data plans documented. **Tasks**

- ☐ Measure latency from users.
- ☐ Check data residency needs.
- ☐ Choose primary and secondary.
- ☐ Document dependencies and costs.

CCS-30 — Ch. 30 — Availability, Recovery, & Auditing

Epic / Feature	DR Runbook and Evidence
Business Value	Prove recoverability and auditing across sites.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Must SP: 5
Persona	SRE lead
Dependencies	Backup system, failover tooling, logging
Assumptions / Risks	Testing may cause temporary disruption
Story	

As an SRE lead, I want a game day failover with evidence so that RPO and RTO are validated. **Non-Functional**

Reliability

Security

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario Game day executed
Given A DR runbook and staging environment
When I fail over traffic and restore data
Then RPO/RTO targets are met and audit artifacts stored

Definition of Ready: Runbook drafted; window approved. • *Definition of Done:* Postmortem completed; evidence archived; action items filed. **Tasks**

- ☐ Dry-run backup restore.
- ☐ Switch traffic in staging.
- ☐ Collect logs and screenshots.
- ☐ Write postmortem with follow-ups.

7 Section VII — Advanced Cloud Security (Ch. 31–34)

CCS-31 — Ch. 31 — Advanced Security Architecture

Epic / Feature Service Mesh and Zero Trust
Business Value Strong identity boundaries between services.
Priority / Estimate Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona Platform engineer
Dependencies Istio or Linkerd, PKI
Assumptions / Risks mTLS introduces complexity
Story

As a platform engineer, I want mTLS via a service mesh so that service-to-service trust is explicit and auditable. **Non-Functional**

Security

Reliability

Performance

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario Mesh policy enforced
Given A cluster and sample services
When I require authenticated identities for calls
Then Unauthorized calls fail and metrics show encrypted traffic

Definition of Ready: PKI ready; cluster available. • *Definition of Done:* Policies applied; dashboards in place; rollback steps documented. **Tasks**

- ☐ Install mesh and issue certificates.
- ☐ Enforce mTLS and authZ policy.
- ☐ Expose metrics and logs.
- ☐ Document rollout plan.

CCS-32 — Ch. 32 — Side-Channel Attacks & Defenses

Epic / Feature	Side-Channel Awareness
Business Value	Reduce risk from timing and cache leakage.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security researcher
Dependencies	Benchmark harness, controlled environment
Assumptions / Risks	Synthetic tests may not reflect production
Story	

As a security researcher, I want to measure a simple timing side-channel and mitigation so that risk is understood. **Non-Functional**

Security **Performance** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Signal measured and reduced
Given	A controlled test harness
When	I demonstrate a cache-timing signal and apply a mitigation
Then	The signal-to-noise ratio decreases per target threshold

Definition of Ready: Harness prepared. • *Definition of Done:* Notebook and results committed; mitigation guidance added. **Tasks**

- ☐ Implement timing measurement.
- ☐ Capture baseline signal.
- ☐ Apply mitigation and remeasure.
- ☐ Document recommendations.

CCS-33 — Ch. 33 — Critical Analysis of Threat Models

Epic / Feature	Meta Threat Modeling
Business Value	Expose assumptions about control planes and multi-tenancy.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Should SP: 3
Persona	Red team lead
Dependencies	Access to assumptions and architecture docs
Assumptions / Risks	Sensitive topics require careful handling
Story	

As a red team lead, I want to challenge threat model assumptions so that blind spots in cloud control planes are addressed. **Non-Functional**

Security **Reliability** **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Assumptions documented and tested
Given	An existing threat model
When	I write a red-team hypothesis targeting meta-control plane risks
Then	Detection ideas and mitigations are proposed and tracked

Definition of Ready: Model available. • *Definition of Done:* Hypothesis published; action items filed; follow-up scheduled. **Tasks**

- ☐ List explicit assumptions.
- ☐ Draft hypothesis and tests.

- ☐ Propose mitigations and detections.
- ☐ Track actions to closure.

CCS-34 — Ch. 34 — Future Directions, Risks & Challenges

Epic / Feature	Forward-Looking Roadmap
Business Value	Prepare for PQ crypto, confidential ML, and SBOM/SLSA.
Priority / Estimate	Priority: Could SP: 2
Persona	Security strategist
Dependencies	Crypto inventory, CI pipeline, artifact signing
Assumptions / Risks	Changing standards and vendor support
Story	

As a security strategist, I want a forward-looking roadmap so that the program is ready for emerging risks and controls. **Non-Functional**

Security Reliability **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario	Roadmap published
Given	Current state inventory
When	I add PQ readiness, confidential ML, and SLSA milestones
Then	Owners and dates are assigned with review cadence
<i>Definition of Ready:</i> Inventory complete. • <i>Definition of Done:</i> Roadmap committed; review dates on calendar; scorecard added. Tasks	

- ☐ Build crypto inventory and PQ plan.
- ☐ Define model confidentiality needs.
- ☐ Add SBOM and SLSA targets.
- ☐ Create quarterly scorecard.