

# User Stories by Chapter: Application Security Program Guide

Compiled for Jordan Suber

## Contents

1	Stories by Chapter	3
---	--------------------	---

## How to Use This Template

Each card maps one chapter's *Learning Goals* to a concise story, binds the chapter's *Hands-on Objectives* to concrete *Tasks*, and verifies *Outcomes* via BDD-style Acceptance Criteria. Import these cards into your backlog, tag by risk tier, and iterate.

### Required Data on Every Story

- **ID** (e.g., APPSEC-1), **Title** (actionable verb), **Epic/Feature**, **Business Value** (outcome/why)
- **Priority** (Must/Should/Could), **Estimate** (SP), **Persona**, **Dependencies**, **Assumptions/Risks**
- **Acceptance Criteria** (Gherkin-ish BDD), **Tasks** (checklist), **NFR** (Security, Privacy, Reliability, etc.)

### Writing Effective User Stories (Quick Guide)

**Template:** As a *[persona]*, I want to *[do X]* so that *[value/why]*.

**INVEST:** Independent, Negotiable, Valuable, Estimable, Small, Testable.

**Good:** “As an AppSec lead, I want a *tiered SSDLC policy* so that *teams ship securely with minimal friction*.”

**Anti-patterns:** Vague “Research X”; multi-team mega-stories; outputs without value (“create doc”) unless tied to decision/change.

## 1 Stories by Chapter

## APPSEC-1 — Publish an AppSec Program Charter

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Program Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	align engineering, product, and risk on scope, value, and success criteria
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	AppSec lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Org strategy, security policy, product roadmap
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Scope creep risk; time-box charter v1 and plan iterative updates

**Story** *As a AppSec lead, I want to Publish an AppSec Program Charter so that align engineering, product, and risk on scope, value, and success criteria.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Draft a one-page charter: mission, scope, definitions, interfaces, success metrics.
- ☐ Create a stakeholder map and RACI for threat modeling, testing, vuln mgmt, IR.
- ☐ Review with Eng/Product/Risk; capture decisions and open questions.
- ☐ Publish in the handbook repo; version as living document.

## APPSEC-2 — Create a Control Dictionary & Traceability Matrix

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	give engineers clear, shared definitions and connect policies to app controls
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	Enterprise policies/standards
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Terminology mismatch; include concrete code/config examples

**Story** *As a Security architect, I want to Create a Control Dictionary & Traceability Matrix so that give engineers clear, shared definitions and connect policies to app controls.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Compile key concepts (authn, authz, logging, crypto, secrets, input validation).
- ☐ Map each enterprise policy to concrete application controls and test evidence.
- ☐ Add links to code samples, lints, and CI checks for each control.
- ☐ Publish as `/docs/control-dictionary.md` and keep PR-able.

## APPSEC-3 — Build an Application Inventory & Tiering

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Program Scope
<b>Business Value</b>	focus effort on highest-risk apps; enable tiered controls and SLAs
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Product security engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	CMDB/source of truth; service catalog
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Owner gaps; require ownership to promote to higher envs

**Story** *As a Product security engineer, I want to Build an Application Inventory & Tiering so that focus effort on highest-risk apps; enable tiered controls and SLAs.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Inventory apps/services/APIs with owners, data classes, exposure, tech stack.
- ☐ Define tiering model (e.g., P0–P3) with criteria and examples.
- ☐ Record lifecycle (active/sunset), compliance drivers, and repo links.
- ☐ Export registry to CSV/JSON; integrate with CI labels per repo.

## APPSEC-4 — Stand Up an App Risk Register

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Risk Management
<b>Business Value</b>	turn threats into tracked items tied to owners, dates, and treatments
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Risk manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Inventory completed, risk rubric
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Over-long registers stall; keep to top risks per app

**Story** *As a Risk manager, I want to Stand Up an App Risk Register so that turn threats into tracked items tied to owners, dates, and treatments.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Define likelihood/impact rubric and treatment options.
- ☐ Run a 60–90 min risk workshop for two critical apps.
- ☐ Create entries with owner, due date, and linkage to epics/stories.
- ☐ Establish intake workflow (new risk → triage → acceptance).

## APPSEC-5 — Publish Secure Reference Architectures

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Secure Design Patterns
<b>Business Value</b>	give teams golden paths that bake in zero-trust and least privilege
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	Architecture council, platform patterns
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Architecture drift; add linters/policies to reinforce

**Story** As a Security architect, I want to Publish Secure Reference Architectures so that give teams golden paths that bake in zero-trust and least privilege.

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Diagram monolith, microservices, async/event-driven, and serverless patterns.
- ☐ Annotate controls per tier (authn, mTLS, input validation, logging, backups).
- ☐ Provide IaC/app templates implementing the patterns.
- ☐ Add “choose-by-facts” table and decision records (ADRs).



## APPSEC-6 — Adopt a Tiered SSDLC Policy

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	SSDLC Alignment
<b>Business Value</b>	embed right-sized checks by risk tier to shift left without friction
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	AppSec lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Engineering buy-in, CI access
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Over-gating; start minimal and ratchet

**Story** *As a AppSec lead, I want to Adopt a Tiered SSDLC Policy so that embed right-sized checks by risk tier to shift left without friction.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Define controls per SDLC phase and per tier (ASVS/SSDF-aligned).
- ☐ Wire required checks in CI (lint, SAST, SCA) with pass/fail thresholds.
- ☐ Add DoD/DoR updates to team templates referencing security checks.
- ☐ Document exceptions/waivers with expiry and approval path.

## APPSEC-7 — Launch the AppSec Champions Program

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Operating Model & Teams
<b>Business Value</b>	scale AppSec via embedded advocates and faster issue resolution
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	AppSec lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Managers' support, time allocation
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Attrition/adoption risk; include incentives and community time

**Story** *As a AppSec lead, I want to Launch the AppSec Champions Program so that scale AppSec via embedded advocates and faster issue resolution.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Define selection rubric, responsibilities, and incentives.
- ☐ Create monthly office hours and a champions Slack channel.
- ☐ Provide starter kit (checklists, threat modeling kit, PR review guide).
- ☐ Track participation and outcomes (bugs prevented, PRs reviewed).

## APPSEC-34 — Define Security Definition of Ready (DoR)

### Definition of Done (DoD)

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	bake security into the team's workflow gates so features ship with baseline controls
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Scrum Master
<b>Dependencies</b>	Agreed SSDLC policy; team working agreement
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Too heavy gates can slow delivery; right-size to risk tiers

**Story** *As a Scrum Master, I want to Define Security Definition of Ready (DoR)*

*Definition of Done (DoD) so that bake security into the team's workflow gates so features ship with baseline controls.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Document security DoR (threat model link, acceptance criteria, risk score)
- ☐ Document security DoD (tests green, SBOM present, secrets scan clean)
- ☐ Publish team board checklists and automate reminders

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Security DoR/DoD approved and referenced in sprint templates
- ☐ PR template includes security checklist
- ☐ Pipeline enforces key DoD checks (fail on critical issues)

## APPSEC-35 — Create a Security Acceptance Criteria Library

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	accelerate secure delivery by reusing well-formed security ACs per story type
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Product Owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Secure coding standards; ASVS mapping
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Generic ACs may not fit; allow tailoring per risk tier

**Story** *As a Product Owner, I want to Create a Security Acceptance Criteria Library so that accelerate secure delivery by reusing well-formed security ACs per story type.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Curate AC snippets for auth, input validation, logging, PII handling
- ☐ Map ACs to ASVS controls and risk tiers
- ☐ Add AC snippets to backlog templates and story examples

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ AC library lives in repo/Wiki and is referenced by >80% of new stories
- ☐ Each AC mapped to ASVS section and test evidence type

## APPSEC-36 — Stand Up a Security Backlog

### Risk Triage Kanban

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Operations
<b>Business Value</b>	ensure visibility and flow for security work alongside product features
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Product Security Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Control dictionary; risk register
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Security items may be starved; set WIP and capacity policies

**Story** *As a Product Security Engineer, I want to Stand Up a Security Backlog*

*Risk Triage Kanban so that ensure visibility and flow for security work alongside product features.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Create categories (hardening, testing, debt, education)
- ☐ Define SLA classes (expedite for criticals, standard, fixed-date)
- ☐ Integrate with bug tracker and CWE/CVSS tagging

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Security backlog exists with WIP limits and classes of service
- ☐ Critical items auto-page, create expedite swimlane

## APPSEC-37 — Sprint 0 Security Enablement

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Delivery
<b>Business Value</b>	set teams up for success with secure defaults before feature work begins
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	DevOps Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Reference architectures; templates available
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Rushing Sprint 0 leads to gaps; time-box essentials

**Story** *As a DevOps Engineer, I want to Sprint 0 Security Enablement so that set teams up for success with secure defaults before feature work begins.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Provision repo templates with CI security jobs (SAST/SCA/secret scan)
- ☐ Generate baseline threat model and architecture diagram
- ☐ Seed env var policy, secret manager paths, logging/trace defaults

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ New repos inherit security CI and pass baseline checks
- ☐ Threat model ADR committed and linked in README

## APPSEC-38 — Security Champions Cadence

### Office Hours

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Operations
<b>Business Value</b>	scale expertise via lightweight coaching and shared practices
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	AppSec Lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Champions program charter
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Low attendance risk; align with sprint rituals

**Story** *As a AppSec Lead, I want to Security Champions Cadence*

*Office Hours so that scale expertise via lightweight coaching and shared practices.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Hold bi-weekly office hours and monthly guild sessions
- ☐ Publish short playbooks and code examples
- ☐ Track engagement and topics to refine backlog

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Attendance recorded; >70% teams represented
- ☐ Two new playbooks published per quarter

## APPSEC-39 — Security Code Review Checklist

### Pairing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Delivery
<b>Business Value</b>	catch issues early by enriching PR reviews with targeted security checks
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Senior Developer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Secure coding standards; code owners defined
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Checklist fatigue; keep concise and role-based

**Story** *As a Senior Developer, I want to Security Code Review Checklist*

*Pairing so that catch issues early by enriching PR reviews with targeted security checks.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Create language/framework-specific checklists (input, authz, logging)
- ☐ Enable CODEOWNERS for sensitive paths (auth, crypto, infra)
- ☐ Pilot pairing/mobbing for risky changes

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Checklist adopted in PR template; CODEOWNERS in repo
- ☐ Sampling shows >80% PRs include security review notes



## APPSEC-40 — Security Test Harness in CI (Unit, Integration, e2e)

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Automation
<b>Business Value</b>	turn security ACs into repeatable tests that gate releases
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	DevOps Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	CI runners; test data strategy
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Flaky tests disrupt delivery; quarantine policy required

**Story** *As a DevOps Engineer, I want to Security Test Harness in CI (Unit, Integration, e2e) so that turn security ACs into repeatable tests that gate releases.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Translate ACs to tests (unit assertions, e2e negative cases)
- ☐ Add security smoke tests to PR/merge workflows
- ☐ Collect JUnit artifacts and trend failures

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Security tests run on each PR and block on critical failures
- ☐ Dashboard shows pass rates per repo

## APPSEC-41 — Security SLOs/SLIs

### Error Budgets

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Metrics
<b>Business Value</b>	align risk tolerance with delivery by defining measurable targets
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Product Owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Metrics dashboard pipeline
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Vanity metrics risk; tie SLIs to outcomes (vuln age, MTTR)

**Story** *As a Product Owner, I want to Security SLOs/SLIs Error Budgets so that align risk tolerance with delivery by defining measurable targets.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Define SLIs (critical vuln age, secrets incidents, SBOM freshness)
- ☐ Set SLOs per tier; error budget burn alerts
- ☐ Review in sprint review/ops review

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ SLIs visible; SLOs approved by stakeholders
- ☐ Error budget policy documented and in use

## APPSEC-42 — Manage Security Debt

### WIP Limits

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Operations
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent accumulation of risk by reserving capacity for security work
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Scrum Master
<b>Dependencies</b>	Security backlog with classes of service
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Feature pressure can erode capacity; enforce WIP

**Story** *As a Scrum Master, I want to Manage Security Debt*

*WIP Limits so that prevent accumulation of risk by reserving capacity for security work.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Reserve sprint capacity (e.g., 15–20%) for security items
- ☐ Set WIP limits and visual policies on the board
- ☐ Track debt burndown

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Capacity policy visible; burndown trends improving
- ☐ No sprint closes with critical debt untriaged

## APPSEC-43 — Lightweight Risk Exception Time-Bound Waivers

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Governance
<b>Business Value</b>	enable pragmatic shipping while controlling residual risk
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Risk Manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Risk register; waiver workflow
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Waiver sprawl; enforce expirations and ownership

**Story** *As a Risk Manager, I want to Lightweight Risk Exception Time-Bound Waivers so that enable pragmatic shipping while controlling residual risk.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Define exception template (owner, risk, compensating controls, expiry)
- ☐ Automate reminders and revoke on expiry
- ☐ Report exceptions in QBRs

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ All waivers have owners and expirations
- ☐ Expired waivers auto-alert and block releases if needed

## APPSEC-44 — Security Chaos/Game Days

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Learning
<b>Business Value</b>	build muscle memory and validate controls under failure conditions
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	SRE Lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Staging environment; playbooks
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Customer impact risk; run in staging with guardrails

**Story** *As a SRE Lead, I want to Security Chaos/Game Days so that build muscle memory and validate controls under failure conditions.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Design adversarial scenarios (secret leak, token theft, SSRF attempts)
- ☐ Run drills with cross-functional teams
- ☐ Capture learnings and convert to backlog items

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ At least one drill per quarter with documented outcomes
- ☐ Follow-up stories created and prioritized

## APPSEC-45 — Release Readiness Security Checklist

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Delivery
<b>Business Value</b>	ensure releases meet baseline security before go-live
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Release Manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	DoD gates; metrics dashboard
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Last-minute crunch; automate checklist population

**Story** *As a Release Manager, I want to Release Readiness Security Checklist so that ensure releases meet baseline security before go-live.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Automate checklist (AC met, tests green, SBOM signed, secrets scan)
- ☐ Gate on unresolved criticals or expired waivers
- ☐ Publish release notes with security changes

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Checklist artifact attached to each release
- ☐ No release proceeds with critical blockers

## APPSEC-46 — Continuous Education Micro-Learning

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Agile AppSec Learning
<b>Business Value</b>	raise team capability with short, targeted security modules
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 2
<b>Persona</b>	Learning Lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Champions cadence; LMS
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Low engagement; keep modules <10 min tied to current work

**Story** *As a Learning Lead, I want to Continuous Education Micro-Learning so that raise team capability with short, targeted security modules.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Publish bite-size modules (e.g., XSS in React, JWT pitfalls)
- ☐ Track completion and impact on defects
- ☐ Reward champions/teams who complete modules

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Module catalog live; >60% engineers complete at least one per quarter
- ☐ Correlation shows reduced related defects over time

## APPSEC-8 — Standardize Threat Modeling

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Threat Modeling
<b>Business Value</b>	catch design flaws early and convert threats into actionable requirements
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security champion
<b>Dependencies</b>	DFD notation, templates
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Analysis paralysis; time-box sessions and prioritize

**Story** *As a Security champion, I want to Standardize Threat Modeling so that catch design flaws early and convert threats into actionable requirements.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Choose method (STRIDE/LINDDUN/misuse cases) and templates.
- ☐ Run two sessions on different architectures; capture DFDs and threats.
- ☐ Translate top threats into NFRs and tests.
- ☐ Add a reusable threats/mitigations catalogue to the wiki.



## APPSEC-9 — Publish Secure Coding Standards

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Secure Coding
<b>Business Value</b>	reduce recurring vulnerabilities and speed reviews with clear checklists
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Tech lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Language stacks agreed
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	One-size-fits-none risk; tailor per language

**Story** *As a Tech lead, I want to Publish Secure Coding Standards so that reduce recurring vulnerabilities and speed reviews with clear checklists.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Write per-language standards (input validation, encoding, secrets, crypto).
- ☐ Add PR checklists and reviewer heuristics.
- ☐ Provide pre-commit hooks and code templates.
- ☐ Run a 45-min training; record and link in the repo.

## APPSEC-12 — Enforce API Security Standards

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	API Security
<b>Business Value</b>	protect data and consumers via consistent auth, validation, and quotas
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	API owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	OpenAPI/AsyncAPI specs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Shadow APIs; tie standard to inventory

**Story** *As a API owner, I want to Enforce API Security Standards so that protect data and consumers via consistent auth, validation, and quotas.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Write API security standard (authn/z, schema validation, rate limiting).
- ☐ Add contract tests and security tests to CI.
- ☐ Gate breaking changes and insecure defaults in PRs.
- ☐ Add discovery checks for undocumented endpoints.

## APPSEC-10 — Operationalize SAST/SCA/DAST/IAST

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security Testing
<b>Business Value</b>	improve signal-to-noise and make security checks part of normal CI
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Automation engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Scanner licenses, CI capacity
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Finding overload; enforce “new high/critical = fail”

**Story** *As a Automation engineer, I want to Operationalize SAST/SCA/DAST/IAST so that improve signal-to-noise and make security checks part of normal CI.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Integrate SAST & SCA in CI; upload SARIF for code scanning.
- ☐ Stand up targeted DAST/IAST for a high-risk app.
- ☐ Establish severity thresholds, suppressions with expiry, and routing.
- ☐ Publish weekly trend reports and backlog hygiene metrics.

## APPSEC-11 — Generate SBOMs & Sign Artifacts

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Supply Chain Security
<b>Business Value</b>	improve provenance and compliance while enabling safe updates
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Release engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	SBOM tool, signer
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Tooling gaps; start with top languages/images

**Story** *As a Release engineer, I want to Generate SBOMs & Sign Artifacts so that improve provenance and compliance while enabling safe updates.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Produce SBOM (CycloneDX/SPDX) during builds; attach to artifacts.
- ☐ Sign artifacts/images and verify in promotion gates.
- ☐ Document third-party source allowlist and review cadence.
- ☐ Add attestation checks to release workflow.

## APPSEC-21 — Plan

### Scope a Web App Penetration Test

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	gain explicit scope, rules of engagement, and safe test windows to prevent production impact
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Signed RoE; test accounts; staging/prod window
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Testing in prod may cause instability; throttle and monitor

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Plan*

*Scope a Web App Penetration Test so that gain explicit scope, rules of engagement, and safe test windows to prevent production impact.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Define scope (domains, apps, APIs), out-of-scope targets, and credentials
  - ☐ Document test data handling and PII safeguards
  - ☐ Align comms, SLAs for critical findings, and retest windows

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ RoE doc approved by stakeholders
  - ☐ Test accounts provisioned with role variants (user, admin, support)
  - ☐ Monitoring/alerting teams notified of test window

## APPSEC-22 — Reconnaissance

### Application Mapping

**Epic / Feature** Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)  
**Business Value** discover hidden attack surface to prioritize testing and coverage  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Must SP: 5  
**Persona** Security tester  
**Dependencies** Scope confirmed; wordlists; proxy + crawler  
**Assumptions / Risks** Over-crawling may trigger rate limits; coordinate with SRE

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Reconnaissance Application Mapping so that discover hidden attack surface to prioritize testing and coverage.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Map URLs, parameters, methods with an intercepting proxy
  - ☐ Enumerate endpoints, SPA routes, and undocumented APIs
  - ☐ Fingerprint frameworks, versions, and third-party components

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Site map exported with parameters and auth contexts
  - ☐ List of potential high-risk surfaces identified (auth, upload, serialization)

## APPSEC-23 — Test Authentication Session Management

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent account takeover by finding flaws in login, MFA, and session controls
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Accounts with/without MFA; password reset emails
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Lockouts during testing; ensure customer impact safeguards

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Test Authentication Session Management so that prevent account takeover by finding flaws in login, MFA, and session controls.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Probe MFA bypass, weak recovery flows, and magic-link abuse
  - ☐ Assess session fixation/rotation, cookie flags, and idle timeouts
  - ☐ Evaluate credential stuffing protections and lockout policies
- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Documented results for MFA, recovery, and session rotation
  - ☐ Remediation guidance aligned to OWASP ASVS controls

## APPSEC-24 — Test Authorization Access Control (IDOR/BOLA)

**Epic / Feature** Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)  
**Business Value** stop horizontal/vertical privilege escalation via broken object-level auth  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Must SP: 8  
**Persona** Security tester  
**Dependencies** Multiple role accounts; seeded cross-tenant data  
**Assumptions / Risks** Data exposure risk; use synthetic data

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Test Authorization Access Control (IDOR/BOLA) so that stop horizontal/vertical privilege escalation via broken object-level auth.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Fuzz identifiers (IDs, GUIDs) and object references for IDOR/BOLA
  - ☐ Probe multi-tenant boundaries; confirm server-side checks
  - ☐ Check mass assignment and insecure direct mapping in APIs

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Evidence of any cross-tenant/object access or written 'no repro' with proof
  - ☐ Mitigations mapped to enforcement in controllers/middleware



## APPSEC-25 — Injection Testing (SQL/NoSQL/Command/LDAP)

**Epic / Feature** Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)  
**Business Value** eliminate injection paths that lead to data breach or RCE  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Must SP: 13  
**Persona** Security tester  
**Dependencies** Safe test DB; command sandbox in staging  
**Assumptions / Risks** Potential data corruption; use read-only techniques where possible

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Injection Testing (SQL/NoSQL/Command/LDAP) so that eliminate injection paths that lead to data breach or RCE.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path  
**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available  
**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed  
**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Identify user-controlled inputs reaching interpreters
  - ☐ Test with time-based, boolean, and error-based payloads
  - ☐ Validate ORM parameterization and stored procedures
- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ List of vulnerable sinks with PoC payloads, impact, and severity
  - ☐ Verification that parameterization/escaping prevents injection

## APPSEC-26 — Cross-Site Scripting (Reflected/Stored/DOM)

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent account hijack and data theft via XSS in templates and SPA flows
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	CSP report URI; proxy instrumentation
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	False negatives in SPA due to client-side routing; exhaustive param coverage needed

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Cross-Site Scripting (Reflected/Stored/DOM) so that prevent account hijack and data theft via XSS in templates and SPA flows.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Probe contexts (HTML, attribute, JS, URL, style) for escaping failures
  - ☐ Verify CSP, output encoding, and template auto-escape settings
  - ☐ DOM XSS checks in dynamic frameworks

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Any exploitable XSS documented with payload, context, and fix
  - ☐ CSP evaluated; recommendations provided (nonce, strict-dynamic)

## APPSEC-27 — CSRF

### SameSite Protections

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	block unauthorized state changes from cross-origin requests
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Test harness for cross-origin forms/XHR/fetch
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	CSRF tests may trigger state changes; only use reversible actions

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to CSRF SameSite Protections so that block unauthorized state changes from cross-origin requests.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Validate anti-CSRF tokens, double-submit, and origin checks
  - ☐ Verify cookie SameSite, secure flags, and CORS policies
  - ☐ Test JSON/GraphQL mutations for CSRF gaps
- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Critical state-changing routes confirmed protected or issues filed
  - ☐ CORS and SameSite settings documented with recommendations

## APPSEC-28 — File Upload

### Path Traversal

### RCE

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent arbitrary code execution and data exposure via unsafe file handling
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Isolated storage; antivirus/sandbox rules
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Prod AV may quarantine test payloads; coordinate

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to File Upload*

*Path Traversal*

*RCE so that prevent arbitrary code execution and data exposure via unsafe file handling.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Test MIME/type/extension checks and content-sniffing bypasses
  - ☐ Probe image/polyglot payloads and storage path traversal
  - ☐ Validate media processing libraries for RCE vectors

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Uploads constrained by allowlist and verified server-side
  - ☐ No traversal or remote execution demonstrated

# APPSEC-29 — Deserialization

## Cryptographic Failures

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	mitigate code execution and privilege escalation through unsafe serialization and weak crypto
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Known gadget chains in test env; key rotation docs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Key leakage risk; use dummy keys in tests

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Deserialization Cryptographic Failures so that mitigate code execution and privilege escalation through unsafe serialization and weak crypto.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Identify serialization formats (Java, PHP, JWT, protobuf) and trust boundaries
  - ☐ Attempt known gadget chains; check object injection paths
  - ☐ Assess JWT alg confusion, weak signing, and key exposure
- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Unsafe deserialization paths cataloged or remediated
  - ☐ Crypto controls validated against ASVS (key mgmt, algs, rotation)

## APPSEC-30 — SSRF/XXE

### Server-Side Template Injection

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	stop lateral movement to internal services and metadata endpoints
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Egress controls; canary endpoints
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Risk of internal service impact; coordinate with platform team

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to SSRF/XXE Server-Side Template Injection so that stop lateral movement to internal services and metadata endpoints.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Probe URL fetchers and XML parsers for SSRF/XXE
  - ☐ Validate denylists/allowlists, outbound proxy, and metadata protections
  - ☐ Test template engines for SSTI to RCE chains

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ No internal egress or metadata access possible without policy
  - ☐ Template engines hardened or issues raised with PoCs

## APPSEC-31 — Business Logic Abuse

### Rate Limiting Automation

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)
<b>Business Value</b>	protect revenue and integrity by preventing workflow abuse and brute force
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	Analytics dashboards; throttling configs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Blocking legitimate users during tests; throttle carefully

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Business Logic Abuse*

*Rate Limiting*

*Automation so that protect revenue and integrity by preventing workflow abuse and brute force.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

**Tasks** ☐ Enumerate critical workflows (checkout, transfers, promotions)

☐ Test replay, race conditions, and coupon abuse

☐ Evaluate rate limiting, CAPTCHA, and bot defenses

**Acceptance Criteria** ☐ Abuse scenarios documented with loss estimates and fixes

☐ Effective rate limits in place for sensitive endpoints

## APPSEC-32 — Clickjacking

### Caching

### Sensitive Data Exposure

**Epic / Feature** Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)  
**Business Value** reduce data leakage and UI redress attacks  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Could SP: 3  
**Persona** Security tester  
**Dependencies** Response headers report; CDN config  
**Assumptions / Risks** Cache poisoning risk; test in staging when possible

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Clickjacking*

*Caching*

*Sensitive Data Exposure so that reduce data leakage and UI redress attacks.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Verify X-Frame-Options/Content-Security-Policy frame-ancestors
  - ☐ Check cache-control on authenticated responses
  - ☐ Scan for sensitive data in URLs, logs, and client storage

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ Headers configured defensively (no-store where needed)
  - ☐ No sensitive data found in caches or client-side storage



## APPSEC-33 — Report

### Triage

### Retest Findings

**Epic / Feature** Web App Penetration Testing (WAHH)  
**Business Value** translate findings into engineering work, validate fixes, and build learning loops  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Must SP: 5  
**Persona** Security tester  
**Dependencies** Ticketing templates; CWE/CVRSS mapping  
**Assumptions / Risks** Fix regressions possible; ensure retest scripts are reusable

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to Report Triage*

*Retest Findings so that translate findings into engineering work, validate fixes, and build learning loops.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

#### Tasks

- Tasks**
- ☐ Create tickets with repro steps, impact, CWE, and severity
  - ☐ Partner with owners on fixes and timelines
  - ☐ Retest and close with evidence; update knowledge base

- Acceptance Criteria**
- ☐ All critical/high issues triaged within SLA and retested
  - ☐ KB updated with playbooks and examples

## APPSEC-13 — Publish Cloud AppSec Baseline

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Cloud-Native App Security
<b>Business Value</b>	set secure defaults for identity, secrets, network, and logging
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Cloud security engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Cloud org access
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Drift risk; add config conformance packs

**Story** *As a Cloud security engineer, I want to Publish Cloud AppSec Baseline so that set secure defaults for identity, secrets, network, and logging.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Define shared-responsibility for app teams; list must-have controls.
- ☐ Provide bootstrap templates for logging/telemetry and secrets.
- ☐ Add guardrails and conformance checks.
- ☐ Document carve-outs and exception review.

## APPSEC-14 — Harden Containers & Kubernetes

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Container/K8s Security
<b>Business Value</b>	reduce runtime risk with minimal images and admission policies
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Registry, admission controller
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Breakages; start in warn mode, then enforce

**Story** *As a Platform engineer, I want to Harden Containers & Kubernetes so that reduce runtime risk with minimal images and admission policies.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Create minimal, scanned base images; publish usage guidance.
- ☐ Enforce image provenance and vulnerability thresholds at admission.
- ☐ Apply Pod Security standards, RBAC, and NetworkPolicies.
- ☐ Add runtime policies for sensitive syscalls and egress.

## APPSEC-15 — Centralize Secrets & Workload Identity

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Secrets & IAM
<b>Business Value</b>	eliminate hardcoded secrets and reduce blast radius via least privilege
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Service owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Secrets manager, IAM
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Migration risk; migrate one app first

**Story** *As a Service owner, I want to Centralize Secrets & Workload Identity so that eliminate hardcoded secrets and reduce blast radius via least privilege.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Move secrets to a managed store with rotation.
- ☐ Adopt workload identity (mTLS/JWT/OIDC) for services.
- ☐ Review and minimize IAM policies per service.
- ☐ Add secrets scanning in CI and pre-commit.

## APPSEC-47 — Define Policy-as-Code Strategy

### Reference Architecture

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	create consistent, testable guardrails across repos, pipelines, cloud, and clusters
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security Architect
<b>Dependencies</b>	SSDLC policy; cloud/K8s baselines; CI access
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Too many frameworks increases toil; pick minimal viable set

**Story** *As a Security Architect, I want to Define Policy-as-Code Strategy*

*Reference Architecture so that create consistent, testable guardrails across repos, pipelines, cloud, and clusters.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Select core frameworks and scopes: OPA/Rego (Conftest bundles), Gatekeeper/Kyverno (K8s), IaC checks (Terraform plans), pipeline policies
- ☐ Define target enforcement points: pre-commit, PR, CI, admission, deploy, runtime
- ☐ Write an ADR documenting choices, bundle layout, versioning, and promotion model (dev→stg→prod)

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Reference architecture approved by Platform, AppSec, and SRE
- ☐ Hello-world policy proven in one repo and one cluster in *audit* mode
- ☐ Docs published: “How policies run” + developer quickstart

## APPSEC-48 — Author Baseline Policy Library

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	codify critical controls (secrets, SBOM, least privilege, network) with reusable rules
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Policy Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Reference architecture; control dictionary
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Over-blocking risk; start with <i>audit</i> severity and tune

**Story** *As a Policy Engineer, I want to Author Baseline Policy Library so that codify critical controls (secrets, SBOM, least privilege, network) with reusable rules.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Write baseline policies: repo (branch protection, required checks), CI (required SAST/SCA), IaC (public buckets, open SGs, unencrypted volumes), K8s (PSa, runAsNonRoot, image provenance), Cloud (IAM wildcard deny)
- ☐ Provide passing/failing examples and unit tests (e.g., **rego** tests) for each rule
- ☐ Tag rules by tier (P0–P3) and map to ASVS/SSDF controls

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Library stored as versioned bundles with tests passing in CI
- ☐ Each rule has rationale, remediation text, and references

## APPSEC-49 — Build Local Dev Tooling

### Pre-Commit Experience

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	shift-left feedback via IDE/CLI so engineers fix before PR
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Developer Experience Lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Baseline policy library
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Tool friction; ensure fast local runs

**Story** *As a Developer Experience Lead, I want to Build Local Dev Tooling*

*Pre-Commit Experience so that shift-left feedback via IDE/CLI so engineers fix before PR.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Publish `make policy-test` + `pre-commit` hooks (conftest, yaml/json/plan inputs)
- ☐ Ship IDE tasks/snippets and a sample app showing policy passes/fails
- ☐ Document troubleshooting and rule suppression with expiry metadata

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ New repos enable pre-commit in <5 min and get local results <2s
- ☐ Suppressions require owner, ticket, expiry; flagged in CI on expiry

## APPSEC-50 — Integrate Policies into CI/CD

### Admission & Deploy Gates

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent risky changes by gating merges and deploys with policy checks
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Platform Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	CI runners; admission controller; registry access
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Breaking builds en masse; roll out by cohort and audit-first

**Story** *As a Platform Engineer, I want to Integrate Policies into CI/CD*

*Admission & Deploy Gates so that prevent risky changes by gating merges and deploys with policy checks.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Add conftest checks to PRs (IaC, manifests, pipeline config); publish SARIF annotations
- ☐ Install Gatekeeper/Kyverno; onboard namespaces in *audit* then *enforce*
- ☐ Enforce image provenance/SBOM signature at admission; block on criticals

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ CI fails for new critical violations; admission denies non-compliant pods/images
- ☐ Rollout plan tracked; <2% false-positive rate post-tuning



## APPSEC-51 — Exceptions/Waivers as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	enable pragmatic delivery with time-bound, reviewable exceptions
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Risk Manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Risk register; waiver workflow
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Shadow waivers; require owners and expirations

**Story** *As a Risk Manager, I want to Exceptions/Waivers as Code so that enable pragmatic delivery with time-bound, reviewable exceptions.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

### Tasks

- ☐ Define waiver schema (owner, risk, justification, compensating controls, expiry)
- ☐ Store waivers near code (YAML/CRD); policies read waivers at evaluate-time
- ☐ Auto-alert before expiry; block builds on expired waivers

### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ All policy suppressions reference a waiver ID and ticket
- ☐ Quarterly review report lists active/expired waivers by service

## APPSEC-52 — Policy Telemetry

### Dashboards & Coverage

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	observe adoption, denials, and drift to guide improvements
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Program Manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Logging backend; metrics stack
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Noisy logs; sample and aggregate wisely

**Story** *As a Program Manager, I want to Policy Telemetry*

*Dashboards & Coverage so that observe adoption, denials, and drift to guide improvements.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Collect decision logs (OPA), admission denials, CI failures; tag by app/tier/team
- ☐ Build dashboard: pass/fail rates, top rules hit, time-to-fix, waiver counts
- ☐ Track coverage: % repos with CI checks; % namespaces enforcing; % images verified

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Monthly report shows improving coverage and reduced critical violations
- ☐ Error budget alerts for rising denial rates or stale waivers

## APPSEC-53 — Policy Bundles Registry

### Versioning & Promotion

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Policy as Code
<b>Business Value</b>	safely evolve policies via semantic versions and environment promotion
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Release Engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	OCI registry or artifact store
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Drift across envs; automate promotions

**Story** *As a Release Engineer, I want to Policy Bundles Registry*

*Versioning & Promotion so that safely evolve policies via semantic versions and environment promotion.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

#### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

#### Tasks

- ☐ Package policy bundles; publish to OCI registry with semver and changelogs
- ☐ Automate promotion (dev→stg→prod) after smoke-tests
- ☐ Define deprecation policy and migration guides for breaking changes

#### Acceptance Criteria

- ☐ Envs reference immutable bundle digests
- ☐ Rollbacks possible by pinning previous versions

## APPSEC-54 — Define Security Vision, Threats, and Controls

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	align the team on risks and codify controls that will be enforced by pipelines
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Sample app repo; sandbox account
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Over-scoping threat model; keep to top 5 risks

**Story** *As a Platform engineer, I want to Define Security Vision, Threats, and Controls so that align the team on risks and codify controls that will be enforced by pipelines.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Add docs/security-vision.md: goals, assumptions, non-goals.
- ☐ Create a 1-page STRIDE-lite model for the app & cloud footprint.
- ☐ Publish a control catalog CSV with owner, evidence, and CI gate mapping.
- ☐ Link all of the above from the README; set a quarterly review.

## APPSEC-55 — Bootstrap IaC & CI Foundations

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Foundations
<b>Business Value</b>	create a reproducible base that enables automated security checks
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	DevOps engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Artifact bucket/registry; CI runners
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Leaked secrets risk; adopt OIDC and pre-commit scanners

**Story** *As a DevOps engineer, I want to Bootstrap IaC & CI Foundations so that create a reproducible base that enables automated security checks.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Provision minimal VPC, registry, and CI roles via IaC (encrypted by default).
- ☐ Pipeline builds container, runs linters and SCA, pushes image to registry.
- ☐ Enable pre-commit hooks (`tfsec/cfn-lint`, `hadolint`, secrets scan).
- ☐ Protect `main`: require passing checks; show badge in README.

## APPSEC-56 — Preventive & Detective Controls as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Controls
<b>Business Value</b>	block misconfigs before deploy and surface evidence automatically
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 8
<b>Persona</b>	Security champion
<b>Dependencies</b>	Working CI; IaC modules
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	False positives; add waivers with time-boxed expiry

**Story** *As a Security champion, I want to Preventive & Detective Controls as Code so that block misconfigs before deploy and surface evidence automatically.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Write guard/OPA policies: no public buckets, encryption-at-rest, deny wildcard IAM.
- ☐ Enable Security Hub/GuardDuty/Config rules; encrypt logs with KMS.
- ☐ Add a policy-check job that fails on violations and posts rule summaries.
- ☐ Emit a control-coverage matrix artifact and link in job summary.

## APPSEC-57 — Centralize Telemetry & Alerts

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Observability
<b>Business Value</b>	improve detection/triage via standard logs, metrics, and alarms as code
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	SRE / observability engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	KMS keys; log shipping
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Alert fatigue; tune severities and routes

**Story** *As a SRE / observability engineer, I want to Centralize Telemetry & Alerts so that improve detection/triage via standard logs, metrics, and alarms as code.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Enable org CloudTrail; VPC Flow Logs; cluster audit logs with retention.
- ☐ Emit app logs as structured JSON with correlation IDs.
- ☐ Create alarms for auth failures, 5xx spikes, throttling, and unusual egress.
- ☐ Build a dashboard JSON and link it from the README.

## APPSEC-58 — Automate Access (IAM, RBAC, IRSA)

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Access Control
<b>Business Value</b>	reduce standing privileges and make access auditable end-to-end
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Cloud security engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	EKS/ECS/OIDC configured
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Privilege creep; schedule periodic reviews

**Story** *As a Cloud security engineer, I want to Automate Access (IAM, RBAC, IRSA) so that reduce standing privileges and make access auditable end-to-end.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Adopt IRSA/OIDC for workloads; remove node-wide credentials.
- ☐ Generate least-priv IAM with Access Analyzer and validate in CI.
- ☐ Define Kubernetes RBAC via GitOps; separate dev/ops permissions.
- ☐ Add break-glass role with MFA and session recording.



## APPSEC-59 — Secrets Hygiene as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Secrets
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent credential leaks and shrink blast radius
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Dev lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Pre-commit configured
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Developer friction; provide quick-fix guidance

**Story** *As a Dev lead, I want to Secrets Hygiene as Code so that prevent credential leaks and shrink blast radius.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/ally checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Add secrets scanning in pre-commit and CI with org allowlist.
- ☐ Block merges on new high-sev matches; allow time-bound waivers.
- ☐ Publish rotation runbook; integrate auto-revocation for leaked keys.

## APPSEC-60 — Vault Integration & Rotation

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Secrets
<b>Business Value</b>	eliminate static credentials and automate rotation evidence
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Secrets manager/Vault; CSI driver
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Migration risk; start with one service

**Story** *As a Platform engineer, I want to Vault Integration & Rotation so that eliminate static credentials and automate rotation evidence.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Inject app config via CSI/env-from; remove plaintext secrets from repo.
- ☐ Configure rotation for DB/API keys; surface status in CI.
- ☐ Add policy test that fails if opaque K8s Secrets hold known sensitive patterns.

## APPSEC-61 — Container Hardening as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Supply Chain
<b>Business Value</b>	standardize minimal, non-root images and enforce at deploy
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Senior developer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Registry; base images
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Breakages from base changes; canary rollout

**Story** *As a Senior developer, I want to Container Hardening as Code so that standardize minimal, non-root images and enforce at deploy.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Provide hardened base images (non-root, pinned digests) and usage guide.
- ☐ Add `hadolint` & `trivy image` with thresholds to CI.
- ☐ Enforce rootless, read-only FS via Helm/K8s manifests.

## APPSEC-62 — SBOM, Provenance & Signing

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Supply Chain
<b>Business Value</b>	improve provenance and verify artifacts automatically
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Release engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Cosign/Sigstore; CycloneDX/SPDX
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Tooling variance; start with top services

**Story** *As a Release engineer, I want to SBOM, Provenance & Signing so that improve provenance and verify artifacts automatically.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Generate SBOMs during build and publish as CI artifacts.
- ☐ Sign images and attest build provenance; verify at admission.
- ☐ Document KMS key rotation for signing; add failure runbook.

## APPSEC-63 — Security Unit & Contract Tests

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Testing
<b>Business Value</b>	convert requirements to executable checks that block risky changes
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	QA engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	AC library; test data
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Flaky tests; add quarantine/nightly runs

**Story** *As a QA engineer, I want to Security Unit & Contract Tests so that convert requirements to executable checks that block risky changes.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Add negative unit tests (authz, validation, encoding boundaries).
- ☐ Generate contract tests from OpenAPI (auth scopes, rate limits, schema).
- ☐ Publish JUnit; gate merges on critical failures.

## APPSEC-64 — API Security Tests in CI

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Testing
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent BOLA/IDOR and unsafe defaults with repeatable checks
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Security tester
<b>Dependencies</b>	OpenAPI/GraphQL schema
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Synthetic data required; avoid real PII

**Story** *As a Security tester, I want to API Security Tests in CI so that prevent BOLA/IDOR and unsafe defaults with repeatable checks.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Fuzz IDs with multi-identity accounts to detect IDOR/BOLA.
- ☐ Validate scopes/claims on sensitive endpoints; test CSRF/CORS.
- ☐ Fail pipeline on exploitable findings; auto-file tickets with repro.

## APPSEC-65 — Continuous Fuzzing as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Testing
<b>Business Value</b>	discover edge-case bugs via coverage-guided fuzzing
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	DevOps engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Fuzz harnesses
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Compute cost; run nightly for depth

**Story** *As a DevOps engineer, I want to Continuous Fuzzing as Code so that discover edge-case bugs via coverage-guided fuzzing.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Add fuzzers for parsers/critical libs; short run on PRs.
- ☐ Extended fuzz nightly; publish minimized crashes as artifacts.

## APPSEC-66 — Release Readiness as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Release
<b>Business Value</b>	ensure releases meet baseline security and ship evidence
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Release manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Previous SAC stories complete
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Last-minute surprises; precompute checklist

**Story** *As a Release manager, I want to Release Readiness as Code so that ensure releases meet baseline security and ship evidence.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Generate release checklist (AC met, tests green, SBOM present, signatures valid, secrets scan clean).
- ☐ Block release on criticals or expired waivers; publish security notes.



## APPSEC-67 — Runtime Detection Rules as Code

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Runtime
<b>Business Value</b>	detect abuse/misuse with declarative runtime policies
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	SRE lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	Centralized logs/metrics
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Noise risk; tune with incident feedback

**Story** *As a SRE lead, I want to Runtime Detection Rules as Code so that detect abuse/misuse with declarative runtime policies.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/all checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Deploy eBPF/Falco rules for exec in containers, sensitive file access, outbound spikes.
- ☐ Route alerts with enriched context (pod, image digest, commit SHA).

## APPSEC-68 — Compliance Mapping & Validations

**Epic / Feature** Security as Code Compliance  
**Business Value** prove control effectiveness continuously  
**Priority / Estimate** Priority: Should SP: 5  
**Persona** Program manager  
**Dependencies** Control catalog  
**Assumptions / Risks** Stale mappings; auto-generate from source

**Story** *As a Program manager, I want to Compliance Mapping & Validations so that prove control effectiveness continuously.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/ally checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Map controls to CIS/SSDF in machine-readable form (CSV/OSCAL).
- ☐ Schedule validations (InSpec/Conftest) and export pass/fail to a lake.
- ☐ Generate monthly effectiveness report with trends.

## APPSEC-69 — Drift Detection & Auto-Remediation

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Operations
<b>Business Value</b>	reduce exposure by catching and fixing drift quickly
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Platform engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	GitOps desired state
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	False remediation risk; start with suggest/fix PRs

**Story** *As a Platform engineer, I want to Drift Detection & Auto-Remediation so that reduce exposure by catching and fixing drift quickly.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Enable drift detectors; post annotated diffs to PRs.
- ☐ Auto-open remediation PRs for low-risk drifts; page on critical drift.

## APPSEC-70 — Evidence Pipeline & Dashboards

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Security as Code Metrics
<b>Business Value</b>	make posture visible and self-serve to product teams
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Data engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	CI artifacts; logs; SBOMs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Data sprawl; define a minimal schema

**Story** *As a Data engineer, I want to Evidence Pipeline & Dashboards so that make posture visible and self-serve to product teams.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Ingest JUnit, SARIF, SBOMs, attestations into a lake with app/tier labels.
- ☐ Build dashboards: pass/fail rates, vuln age, waiver counts, coverage %.
- ☐ Publish team scorecards and quarterly trend reports.

## APPSEC-16 — Unify Vulnerability Intake & SLAs

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Vulnerability Management
<b>Business Value</b>	prioritize by exploitability and asset criticality to reduce MTTR
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	Vuln management owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Scanner feeds, ticketing
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Duplicate noise; dedupe by CWE/package/asset

**Story** *As a Vuln management owner, I want to Unify Vulnerability Intake & SLAs so that prioritize by exploitability and asset criticality to reduce MTTR.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Define prioritization (CVSS/EPSS + criticality + exposure).
- ☐ Create unified intake and dedup logic across code/deps/containers/infra.
- ☐ Set SLAs per tier and auto-create tickets with owners and due dates.
- ☐ Build dashboard (age buckets, MTTR, reopen rate).

## APPSEC-17 — Integrate AppSec into Incident Response

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	App IR
<b>Business Value</b>	speed containment and comms for app-specific incidents
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	IR lead
<b>Dependencies</b>	On-call schedule, playbooks
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Confusion in roles; publish contact matrix

**Story** *As a IR lead, I want to Integrate AppSec into Incident Response so that speed containment and comms for app-specific incidents.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Write app-centric playbooks (auth bypass, data exfil, supply-chain).
- ☐ Define evidence capture and comms templates (legal/regulatory triggers).
- ☐ Run a tabletop; record actions and owners.
- ☐ Add lessons learned template and review cadence.

## APPSEC-18 — Set AI/ML Security Guardrails

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	AI/ML Security
<b>Business Value</b>	prevent model abuse and data leakage with standards and tests
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Could SP: 5
<b>Persona</b>	ML product owner
<b>Dependencies</b>	Model inventory, logs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Novel threats; start with one model/feature

**Story** As a ML product owner, I want to Set AI/ML Security Guardrails so that prevent model abuse and data leakage with standards and tests.

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

<b>Scenario</b>	Happy path
<b>Given</b>	the target repositories, environments, and program context are available
<b>When</b>	the <i>Hands-on Objectives</i> for this chapter are executed
<b>Then</b>	the stated <i>Outcomes/Deliverables</i> for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Threat-model one ML feature (prompt injection, data poisoning, model theft).
- ☐ Add adversarial test cases and output filters.
- ☐ Log model interactions for abuse patterns.
- ☐ Document red-team scenarios and escalation paths.

## APPSEC-19 — Automate Evidence & ChatOps

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Automation & Orchestration
<b>Business Value</b>	reduce toil and raise adoption with bots, policies-as-code, and summaries
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Should SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Automation engineer
<b>Dependencies</b>	Bot account, APIs
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Alert fatigue; keep messages concise with links

**Story** *As a Automation engineer, I want to Automate Evidence & ChatOps so that reduce toil and raise adoption with bots, policies-as-code, and summaries.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

## Tasks

- ☐ Auto-comment PRs with scanner summaries and fix hints.
- ☐ Scaffold “new service” with secure defaults via a bot command.
- ☐ Export evidence (SBOM, test reports, approvals) automatically.
- ☐ Maintain an automation backlog with value stream mapping.



## APPSEC-20 — Ship Metrics Dashboard & Maturity Plan

<b>Epic / Feature</b>	Metrics & Maturity
<b>Business Value</b>	prove risk reduction and align roadmap with measurable outcomes
<b>Priority / Estimate</b>	Priority: Must SP: 3
<b>Persona</b>	Program manager
<b>Dependencies</b>	Data sources, dashboard tool
<b>Assumptions / Risks</b>	Metric cargo-cult; define glossary and collection method

**Story** *As a Program manager, I want to Ship Metrics Dashboard & Maturity Plan so that prove risk reduction and align roadmap with measurable outcomes.*

**Non-Functional** Performance Security Reliability Accessibility Privacy i18n

### Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

**Scenario** Happy path

**Given** the target repositories, environments, and program context are available

**When** the *Hands-on Objectives* for this chapter are executed

**Then** the stated *Outcomes/Deliverables* for this chapter are produced, reviewed, and published

**Definition of Ready:** Persona clear; AC drafted; Dependencies known; Estimate set. • **Definition of Done:** All ACs pass; Tests green; Security/allly checks; Docs updated; Deployed/flagged.

### Tasks

- ☐ Choose north-star KPIs (risk reduced, MTTR, escape rate) and definitions.
- ☐ Build a dashboard with trends and targets; segment by tier/team.
- ☐ Run baseline maturity assessment (e.g., SAMM) and publish a 12-month plan.
- ☐ Review quarterly and adjust priorities based on results.

## Capstone & Milestones (Reference)

**Foundation:** Charter, control dictionary, inventory/tiering, risk register.

**Build-in Security:** Reference architectures, SSDLC, champions, secure coding, testing.

**Platform Guardrails:** SBOM/signing, API/cloud/K8s baselines, secrets/IAM, **policy as code**, security as code.

**Operate & Improve:** Vuln SLAs, App IR, AI/ML guardrails, automation, metrics+maturity.