

TypeScript Cookbook — User Stories Study Plan

October 20, 2025

Contents

TEMPLATE — Replace with short, action-oriented title

Epic / Feature <epic/feature>
Business Value <why this matters to stakeholders>
Priority / Estimate **Priority:** Must/Should/Could **SP:** <story points>
Persona <who benefits>
Dependencies <tools, repos, environments>
Assumptions / Risks <key assumptions and risks>

Story As a <persona>, I want <capability> so that <value>.

Non-Functional Performance Security Reliability Accessibility **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario

Happy path

Given the target repo/project/context is available

When the hands-on objectives for this story are executed

Then the stated outcomes/deliverables are produced and reviewed

- ☐ <Task 1 (concrete, 15–60 minutes)>
- ☐ <Task 2>
- ☐ <Task 3>
- ☐ <Add 5–10 test assertions or type tests>
- ☐ <Document findings in README.md>

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Establish consistent TS project setup
across Node, React, and Deno to accelerate
later chapters and reduce configuration
risk.

Priority / Estimate
Persona

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
Full-stack developer starting a typed JS
codebase

Dependencies

Node LTS, pnpm/npm, TypeScript (strict),
Vitest/Jest, Vite (for React), Deno

Assumptions / Risks

Strict mode enabled; CI can run `tsc`
`-noEmit`. Risk: ESM/CJS mismatch;
different TS versions across tools.

Story *As a developer, I want to create a repeatable TS project baseline so that I can focus on learning the cookbook's patterns without configuration drift.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given the workstation has Node/Deno installed and a clean repo is available

When the setup scripts are executed for Node ESM, React (Vite), and Deno

Then CI can run type-checks and tests successfully; a README documents the setup and trade-offs

- ☐ Create `/ch01-setup` with `tsconfig.json` (strict) and `tsconfig.base.json`.
- ☐ Initialize Node ESM project; add Vitest; set `tsc -noEmit` in CI.
- ☐ Scaffold Vite React app; enable TS strict mode; run a sample test.
- ☐ Create a minimal Deno example with `deno.json` and `deno task`.
- ☐ Document module resolution (ESM/CJS), path aliases, and test runner choices.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Model data precisely using primitives, tuples, interfaces/types, and safe unknown handling to prevent runtime class of errors.

Priority / Estimate

Priority: Must **SP:** 3

Persona

Backend engineer touching external APIs

Dependencies

Strict null checks, ESLint + TS, type tests

Assumptions / Risks

Incoming data may be untrusted; avoid **any**.

Story *As an engineer, I want to replace loose **any** usage with **unknown** + refinements so that misuse is caught at compile time.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given a small JSON parsing module exists

When the parser is refactored to use precise tuples and type predicates

Then unit tests assert that unsafe access fails to compile while valid paths compile

- ☐ Convert an **any**-based parser to **unknown** + narrowing functions.
- ☐ Model a tuple return type for `parseUrl()` and update call sites.
- ☐ Introduce `symbol`-keyed registry for plugin lookups.
- ☐ Add 10 **expect-type** assertions proving safe/unsafe paths.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Use unions, intersections, discriminated unions, and exhaustiveness checks to model real-world state machines safely.

Priority / Estimate
Persona
Dependencies

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
Payments developer
Test runner, `assertNever` helper, `strictNullChecks`

Assumptions / Risks

Future states may be added; enforce exhaustive switches.

Story *As a payments dev, I want to encode workflow states with discriminated unions so that invalid transitions can't ship.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given a `Payment` union type is defined

When all reducers and handlers switch on the discriminator

Then adding a new state fails compilation until all handlers are updated

- ☐ Define `Payment = Created | Authorized | Captured | Refunded`.
- ☐ Implement handlers with `assertNever` to force exhaustiveness.
- ☐ Create a branded `UserId` nominal type and adapters.
- ☐ Add tests that simulate a new state to prove compile-time breakage.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Generalize utilities while keeping inference ergonomic to reduce duplication and improve DX.

Priority / Estimate
Persona
Dependencies

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
Library author

Generics playground, `ThisType`, assertion signatures

Assumptions / Risks

Over-generalization hurts inference; keep APIs readable.

Story *As a library author, I want generic helpers like `compose()` and typed builders so that consumers get strong inference with minimal annotations.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given utility function skeletons exist

When generic constraints and assertion signatures are added

Then type tests show correct inference across several call shapes

- ☐ Implement `compose()` and `mapValues()` with generics.
- ☐ Write `assertIsNonEmptyArray<T>` using assertion signatures.
- ☐ Prototype a fluent builder using `ThisType`.
- ☐ Add `tsd` tests for inference edge cases.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Transform types using `infer`, conditional
distribution, and never-filtering to model
advanced APIs.

Priority / Estimate
Persona

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
API designer

Dependencies

Type utilities workspace

Assumptions / Risks

Conditional types can become unreadable;
keep helpers focused.

Story *As an API designer, I want `PickByValue/OmitByValue` and shape-sensitive return types so that my APIs adapt to input flags.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given base utility types are created

When conditional versions are implemented with `infer`

Then tests prove behavior for unions, `any`, and `unknown`

- ❑ Implement `PickByValue<T,V>` and `OmitByValue<T,V>`.
- ❑ Build a flag-sensitive function with conditional return types.
- ❑ Create a `GroupByKind<T>` to partition unions via `infer`.
- ❑ Add edge-case tests for distribution behavior.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Leverage template literal types to type
event names, routes, and formatters while
extracting parameters safely.

Priority / Estimate
Persona

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
Frontend engineer

Dependencies

Vite React app; router skeleton

Assumptions / Risks

Recursive templates can hit depth limits;
keep patterns shallow.

Story *As a frontend engineer, I want typed event and route keys so that handler payloads and path params are inferred correctly.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given event and router modules exist

When template literal types are added for keys and params

Then handlers receive inferred payloads/params without casting

- ☐ Create `EventMap` with keys like `user:created`.
- ☐ Implement a `format()` enforcing named placeholders.
- ☐ Model `/users/:id` to infer `{ id: string }`.
- ☐ Type tests proving inference across several routes.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Use variadic tuples to type `curry/compose`
and to transform callback-style APIs into
promises.

Priority / Estimate

Priority: Must **SP:** 3

Persona

Node developer

Dependencies

Utility lib playground

Assumptions / Risks

Complex parameter lists can confuse
inference; keep overloads minimal.

Story *As a Node dev, I want fully typed `curry()` and `Promisify<F>` so that consumers get safe parameter and result inference.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given function utilities are scaffolded

When variadic tuple types are applied

Then test cases verify parameter splitting and return inference

- ☐ Implement `curry()` supporting multiple arg lengths.
- ☐ Write `Promisify<F>` for Node-style callbacks.
- ☐ Derive a union/enum from a tuple of literals.
- ☐ Add compile-time assertion tests.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Create reusable helper types to express “at least one”, “exactly one”, nested partial/required, and union-to-intersection.

Priority / Estimate
Persona
Dependencies

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
Library consumer/author
Type playground; **type-fest** for comparison

Assumptions / Risks

Helpers must be documented; prefer well-known names to reduce cognitive load.

Story *As a library author, I want a small helper set so that teams share a common vocabulary for optionality and exclusivity.*

Non-Functional Performance Security Reliability Accessibility **Acceptance Criteria (BDD)**

Scenario

Happy path

Given helper skeletons exist

When helpers are implemented and documented

Then tests confirm semantics vs **type-fest** equivalents

- ☐ Implement `AtLeastOne<T>` and `ExactlyOne<T>`.
- ☐ Implement `DeepPartial<T>` and `DeepRequired<T>`.
- ☐ Add `UnionToIntersection<U>` with tests.
- ☐ Compare ergonomics with **type-fest** in README.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Augment stdlib gaps (e.g., `Object.keys`)
and third-party packages to preserve literal
types and improve safety.

Priority / Estimate
Persona
Dependencies

Priority: Must **SP:** 3
App developer

Ambient `*.d.ts` typings folder, module
augmentation example

Assumptions / Risks

Augmentations should be minimal and
discoverable.

Story *As an app dev, I want safe wrappers and augmentations so that key/values and imports retain useful types.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given a typings folder exists

When safe `objectKeys<T>()` wrapper and module augmentation are added

Then React can import `*.svg` with types; unit tests compile

- ☐ Write `objectKeys<T>()` preserving key literals.
- ☐ Augment a third-party module to fix a missing type.
- ☐ Add `declare module '*.svg'` and React usage example.
- ☐ Add tests demonstrating safer usage vs raw stdlib calls.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Type hooks, polymorphic components,
contexts, and `forwardRef` to improve
safety and reuse.

Priority / Estimate

Priority: Must **SP:** 3

Persona

Frontend engineer working in React

Dependencies

Vite React app, Storybook (optional)

Assumptions / Risks

Prop generics can overfit; prefer
straightforward props where possible.

Story *As a React engineer, I want typed hooks and components so that consumers get safe props and proper ref types with minimal annotation.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given component library skeleton exists

When generic `forwardRef`, `useAsync<T>()`, and a polymorphic `Text` are implemented

Then storybook or tests verify prop inference and refs

- ☐ Implement `useAsync<T>()` with discriminated states.
- ☐ Create `List<T>` using `forwardRef` and render prop.
- ☐ Add polymorphic `Text` that forwards props safely.
- ☐ Add prop-level tests and a minimal Storybook.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Use visibility modifiers, **override**, strict
init, and decorators to build robust
class-based utilities.

Priority / Estimate
Persona
Dependencies

Priority: Should **SP:** 2
Services engineer
Decorator experiment (optional),
strictPropertyInitialization

Assumptions / Risks

Prefer composition where appropriate;
document design choices.

Story *As a services engineer, I want a typed **EventEmitter** and safe overrides so that misuse is prevented at compile time.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given class skeletons exist

When visibility and override rules are applied; decorator added for timing or memoization

Then tests validate behavior and typing

- ☐ Implement **EventEmitter<TMap>** with generic payloads.
- ☐ Enforce **override** on subclass methods.
- ☐ Add a method decorator for timing or memoization (optional).
- ☐ Document trade-offs vs functional patterns.

Epic / Feature
Business Value

TypeScript Cookbook Study
Develop a sustainable approach to
authoring types, using **satisfies**, type
tests, and runtime validation (e.g., Zod).

Priority / Estimate

Priority: Must **SP:** 3

Persona

Library maintainer

Dependencies

tsd/expect-type, Zod

Assumptions / Risks

Balance type power and maintainability;
avoid clever one-offs.

Story *As a maintainer, I want a small `@you/ts-utils` package with tests so that types evolve safely with semantic versioning.*

Non-Functional

Performance

Security

Reliability

Accessibility

Acceptance Criteria (BDD)

Scenario

Happy path

Given a utilities package skeleton exists

When helpers from earlier chapters are consolidated with type tests and Zod schemas

Then the package is published locally and documented with a CHANGELOG

- ☐ Create `@you/ts-utils` with 6–8 helpers.
- ☐ Add `tsd` tests and a few Zod schemas.
- ☐ Publish to local registry (e.g., Verdaccio) and write CHANGELOG.
- ☐ Draft “when to stop typing” checklist in README.