# Business Processes Dataflow Low-Code Solution: From Modeling to Execution Evaluation

No Author Given

No Institute Given

## 1 Objectif

In this document, we will discuss the assessment results of our experiments. Section 2 presents the BPDML understandability experiment. Moreover, in section 3, we assess the usability of our solution. Lastly, in section 4, we will provide the LLM assessment of the Gherkin language.

### 2 BPDML Understandability Experimentation

In this section, we provide a more detailed explanation of the experimental planning and design underlying the empirical evaluation of the undestandability BPDML. In particular, we provide a summary of the main concepts explained during subject training, show the complete text of conducted exercises and related questionnaires, and describe the obtained raw results, their interpretation and the chosen correction methods.

The proposed two-phased experimental evaluation follows a survey approach, that is, it makes use of questionnaires to gather human attitudes, opinions, and impressions on the proposed modeling method. The phases of the experiment are detailed in Fig. 1.





Fig. 1. Evaluation phases of the understandability of BPDML

#### 2.1 Phase1 - Tutorial

Phase 1 consisted of a 30-minute tutorial on BPDML, which included a 12-question quiz. Since all the participants had already taken a course on BPMN, we began by explaining the limitations of BPMN. We then illustrated how BPDML resolves these limitations, followed by an explanation on how to use the symbols of BPDML, supported by examples. Next, we conducted a quiz to ensure that the participants fully understood the symbols before moving on to Phase 2. All the quiz questions are illustrated below.

| 6.  | DA-BPMN quiz  |
|-----|---|
| 6.  | DA-BPMN quiz  |
| 6.  |   |
|     | What is DA-BPMN? *  |
|     | Check all that apply.   |
|     | Extention of BPMN graphical representation tabular representation             |
|     | tabular representation  |
|     |   |
| 7.  | What kind of element can DA-BPMN represent? *                                 |
|     | Check all that apply.   |
|     | Data object     Data store (database)     Data from User                      |
|     | Data from system  |
| 8.  | What does this shape represent? *  OrdenD  Mark only one oval.  Input  Output |
|     |   |
| 9.  | What is the type of this shape? *   |
|     | orderID  Mark only one oval.  |
|     | Data object Data store (database) User data System data                       |
| 10. | What does this shape represent? *   |
|     | Jupdate] order  Mark only one oval.   |
|     | Input data store (database)   |
|     | Input user data   |
|     | Output data store (database)  |
|     | Output system data  |
|     |   |
|     | 9.  |

#### 4 No Author Given



## 2.2 Phase2 - Understanding of BPDML

Phase 2 was designed to evaluate BPDML and consisted of two subjects (see Fig. 1), with an overall duration of around 80 minutes. Each subject was provided with two exercises, both involving a textual description of a business process, its data access to

a database, the BPMN process model, and the UML Class Diagram of the accessed domain database.

However, for each subject, one group was also provided with the BPDML replacing BPMN, while the other group was asked to solve the same exercise without BPDML. The experimental design ensured that the same subjects solved the exercises both with and without BPDML. This setup required a paired t-test accounting for both the systematic variability between groups and variability between subjects [1].

Furthermore, the group provided with BPDML had two runs: the first involved only the process model (BPDML), and the second included all the other information. This affected the execution time of the second run.

Our primary goal was to evaluate whether and to what extent (i) subjects provided with the BPDML could answer the questions more quickly, (ii) the accuracy of the answers improved with the help of BPDML, and (iii) textual descriptions were necessary when using BPDML. The second aspect strongly correlates with the understandability of the proposed model, as the subjects must thoroughly comprehend BPDML to use it correctly.


The texts and questionnaires of the two subjects from Phase 2 are reported below. We have replaced the open-ended questions with multiple-choice questions to facilitate our survey.


#### Exercise 1 - Shipping order from website

The process describes a purchase order on a web-site.

A new process instance is created when an order request is received from a customer. The order request contains information about the customer, the order and the ordered items. We assume that all the customers are already registered and their information saved in the database. Then, an employee checks the availability of the order items from the warehouse database. If these items are not available, the customer receives an email that her or his order is rejected. Otherwise, the order is automatically added to the database. An order contains a preamble of general information, such as its number, priority, and shipping costs, but it also contains the list of items and the related quantity. Afterwards the ordered items are obtained from the warehouse and are boxed for shipment. While obtaining the items and boxing them, an invoice is created and, then, it is sent to the customer. When invoice creation begins, the order number and the number of the purchasing customer must be checked and, then, the new invoice is created and added to the database. Then, the process waits for the payment to be received. The operator that receives the proof of payment must record it in the database, prior to updating the status of the order to "Ready". Then, the parcel is shipped to the customer.

The process ends when the order is fulfilled. The BPMN diagram and the UML class diagram corresponding to the description above are provided below.






| Questions:   |     |
|--|-----|
| Correct answers have been reported in between parentheses in blue, for completeness purposes   |     |
| Start time:(hh:mm)   |     |
| 1. Is there a data class that has access to the activity "Check stock availability"? Which ones, if any? (Item)                                      |     |
| 2. Is there a data class that has access to the activity "Add order"? Which ones, if any?  (Order, ItemQuantity)                                     |     |
| 4. Are there activities that have updated the data class "ItemQuantity"? If so, which ones? (Add order)  |     |
| 5. Which data classes are most frequently utilized by activities?  (Order)   |     |
| 6. Which are the activities that take input from the user?   |     |
| 7. Are there activities that are connected to external resources? if so, which ones?  (Send email)   |     |
| 8. Are there any user operations on the activity "Create invoice"? if so, what are?(discount)  |     |
| 9. Does the user make a decision based on data selected from the database? if so, in which activity it does?   |     |
| (None)   |     |
| 10. After the "receive payment" has been done, could you specify the status of the order? if so, what is(Ready)                                      | it? |
| 11. Is there any relation between the data object "Purchase Order" and data store "DB" in the activity "Check stock availability"? If so, detail it? |     |
| 12. Are there activities that access the same data classes multiple times? If so, which data classes?  |     |
| 13. Are there classes that are used only for <u>read</u> operations? If so, which ones?  (YES. Item)   |     |
| End time: (hh:mm)  |     |
| Total time: (in minutes)   |     |

#### Exercise 2 - Book Lending

#### The process describes book lending.

A new process instance is created when a book request is received from a requestor. The book request contains all the information of the book and the requestor. We assume that all the customers and the book information are already registered and their information saved in the database. Then, a service checks the availability of the book. If the book is available the requestor checks the book and then receives an email and updates the status of the book to "Loan" in the database. Otherwise, the requestor decides if he or she needs to hold or cancel her or his request, with a maximum of one week to automatically cancel the request. In two cases, he or she receives an email notification to approve their decision. Then, if the requestor requests a hold, after one week the process to check the availability of the book is repeated.

The process ends when the order is fulfilled. The BPMN diagram and the UML class diagram corresponding to the description above are provided below.



#### Questions:

Correct answers have been reported in between parentheses in blue, for completeness purposes

| Start time:        | (hh:mm)   |
|--------------------|---|
|                    | class that has access to the activity "Get book status"? Which ones, if any?  (Book)                                |
| 2. Is there a data | class that has access to the activity "One load ready"? Which ones, if any?  (None)                                 |
| 3.Are there activ  | ities that have updated the data class "Book"? If so, which ones?  (Checkout reply)                                 |
|                    | asses are most frequently utilized by activities? (Book)  |
| 5. Which are the   | activities that take input from the user?(On Loan Reply)  |
| 6. Are there activ | rities that are connected to external resources? if so, which ones?  (Checkout reply, Cancel request, Request hold) |
|                    | user operations on the activity "On Loan Reply"? if so, what are?(hold)   |
| it does?           | make a decision based on data selected from the database? if so, in which activity                                  |
|                    | (None) ckout reply" has been done, could you specify the status of the book? if so, what                            |
|                    | (Loan)  |
|                    | elation between the data object "Book request" and data store "DB" in the stock availability"? If so, detail it?    |
| = ISBN of book     | (ISBN of book request table from DB)  |
|                    | ivities that access the same data classes multiple times? If so, which data classes?  (None)                        |
|                    | sses that are used only for <u>read</u> operations? If so, which ones?  (No)  |
| End time:          | (hh:mm)   |
| Total time:        | (in minutes)  |

**Raw Results.** The exercises were evaluated using the most restrictive criteria for correctness, meaning they were considered correct only if the answers were both accurate and complete. Each question was worth one point. However, we deducted 0.5 point for each extra selected response or for each missing response.

#### 10 No Author Given

The detailed results are shown in Fig. 2. The numbers within the tables represent the answers to the questions in each exercise for each subject. In both subjects, BPDML outperforms BPMN in terms of correct answers.

|      |    |    |    |    |    | s    | ubject   | 1        |    |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    | s    | ubject   | 2       |    |     |     |     |    |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|------|----------|----------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|----------|---------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
|      |    |    |    |    |    |      | BPMN     |          |    |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |      | BPMN     |         |    |     |     |     |    |
| Note | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8       | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8      | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  |
| 1    | 17 | 3  | 6  | 23 | 2  | 9    | 8        | 6        | 23 | 10  | 4   | 2   | 23 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 22 | 1  | 0    | 6        | 6       | 18 | 18  | 5   | 4   | 41 |
| 0.5  | 9  | 28 | 6  | 2  | 11 | 6    | 6        | 0        | 0  | 0   | 2   | 8   | 16 | 2  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 5    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2  |
| 0    | 15 | 10 | 29 | 16 | 28 | 26   | 27       | 35       | 18 | 31  | 35  | 31  | 61 | 1  | 16 | 6  | 5  | 27 | 23   | 22       | 22      | 10 | 10  | 23  | 24  | 56 |
|      |    |    |    |    |    |      |          |          |    |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |      |          |         |    |     |     |     |    |
|      |    |    |    |    |    | BDPN | 1L witho | out text |    |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    | BDPN | /L witho | ut text |    |     |     |     |    |
| Note | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8       | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8      | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  |
| 1    | 51 | 44 | 57 | 58 | 49 | 36   | 58       | 51       | 47 | 50  | 33  | 39  | 74 | 50 | 42 | 44 | 50 | 44 | 33   | 50       | 35      | 47 | 44  | 35  | 28  | 79 |
| 0.5  | 10 | 10 | 1  | 1  | 3  | 1    | 0        | 0        | 0  | 0   | 5   | 4   | 5  | 3  | 0  | 2  | 3  | 0  | 6    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2  |
| 0    | 0  | 7  | 3  | 2  | 9  | 24   | 5        | 20       | 14 | 11  | 56  | 18  | 22 | 0  | 11 | 7  | 0  | 9  | 14   | 3        | 18      | 6  | 9   | 18  | 25  | 19 |
|      |    |    |    |    |    | BDP  | ML with  | n text   |    |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    | BDF  | ML with  | n text  |    |     |     |     |    |
| Note | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8       | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6   | Q7       | Q8      | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 | %  |
| 1    | 49 | 43 | 55 | 53 | 45 | 35   | 42       | 37       | 46 | 47  | 34  | 35  | 67 | 48 | 45 | 45 | 48 | 44 | 36   | 51       | 33      | 48 | 41  | 39  | 16  | 78 |
| 0.5  | 9  | 11 | 0  | 3  | 4  | 1    | 0        | 0        | 0  | 0   | 7   | 7   | 5  | 5  | 0  | 2  | 0  | 0  | 4    | 0        | 0       | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2  |
| 0    | 3  | 7  | 6  | 5  | 12 | 25   | 16       | 24       | 15 | 14  | 20  | 19  | 21 | 0  | 8  | 6  | 5  | 9  | 13   | 2        | 20      | 5  | 12  | 14  | 37  | 21 |

Fig. 2. Result of our experimentation

#### 3 BPDML Usability Experimentation

In this section, we provide a more detailed explanation of the experimental planning and design underlying the empirical evaluation of the usability of BPDML. In particular, similar to the evaluation of the undestandability we conducted human evaluation by providing a summary of the main concepts explained during subject training, show the complete text of conducted exercises and ask the participant to model and implement the exercice, and describe the obtained raw results, their interpretation and the chosen correction methods.

The phases of the experiment are detailed in Fig. 3.

#### 3.1 Phase1 - Tutorial

Phase 1 consisted of a 60-minute tutorial on BPDML, which included a 12-question quiz (same question of the first evaluation). Since all the participants had basic knowloadge of BPMN, we began by explaining the BPMN and their limitations. We then illustrated how BPDML resolves these limitations, followed by an explanation on how to use the symbols of BPDML, supported by examples. Next, we explain how to use Bonita, and how to use BPDML tool. Then a video contain a demo of each tool to help the participant to well understand these tools. Next, we conducted a quiz to ensure that the




Fig. 3. Evaluation phases of the usability of BPDML

participants fully understood the symbols before moving on to Phase 2. All the quiz questions are illustrated in section 2.

#### 3.2 Phase2 - Usability of BPDML

Phase 2 was designed to evaluate the usability of BPDML and consisted of one subject (see Fig. 3), with an minimum duration of around 60 minutes. This subject was provided with an exercise, involving a textual description of a business process, its data access to a database, the BPMN process model, and the UML Class Diagram of the accessed domain database.

While we acknowledge that only four participants may not be sufficient for an indepth quantitative analyze, this initial phase is critical in verifying our approach before doing additional investigations with a larger participant pool.

This phase involved two groups: one group utilized the BPDML tool for modeling, automatically converted for execution within Bonita, while the other group implemented the model directly within Bonita. The primary goal was to compare the modeling and implementation time between BPDML and the Bonita ecosystem, with time measurements taken during different phases (modeling/implementation). The exercise included textual descriptions of the model, BPMN, and UML class diagram.

#### Exercise - HR Salary Calculation

The process describes a HR salary calculation.

The HR salary calculation process is initiated upon receiving a request to calculate an employee's salary. The process begins when the HR member (referred to as the "user" in the remainder of this description) decides if he/she needs to add a new employee or not. If the user is tasked with adding new employees to the system, they are required to input the following personal information for each new employee (Full name, Monthly work hours, Base salary) and then store this information in the database. In cases where new employees are not being added, the user selects an existing employee stored from the HR database for salary calculation. After selecting an employee, the user collects the following information: work hours, deduction, bonus. Then, the Gross salary calculation begins, which includes the following steps:

- Calculate overtime hours as (monthly work hours) (work hours).
- Calculate overtime pay using the formula:
  - Overtime Pay = (Overtime Hours) \* (Overtime Rate)
- Overtime Rate = (Base Salary) / (Work Hours)
- If the employee works more than 10 hours overtime, calculate the overtime rate \* 1.5.
- · Calculate the gross salary as follows:
- Gross Salary = Base Salary + Bonus + Overtime Pay

Then, the deductions will be automatically calculated, which is the sum of tax, and 35 euro insurance, and the deduction collected during employee information collection. Moreover, determine the tax rate based on the employee's monthly base salary. Tax rates are as follows:


- No tax for annual incomes up to 10,722.
- 11% tax for annual incomes ranging from 10,722 to 27,478.
- 30% tax for annual incomes ranging from 27,478 to 78,570.
- 41% tax for annual incomes ranging from 78,570 to 168,994.
- $\bullet \ \ 45\% \ tax \ for \ annual \ \ incomes \ exceeding \ 168,994.$

Followed, Calculate the net salary using the formula:

Net Salary = Gross Salary - Total Deductions

Finally, HR initiates the payment to the employee based on the net salary calculated, and records the gross salary and deductions in the HR database for future reference and reporting.

The process ends when the order is fulfilled. The BPMN diagram and the UML class diagram corresponding to the description above are provided below.



Then we ask each group to start by creating the BDM within Bonita, followed by modeling, followed by implementation, and we ask the participants to add the time for each step.

## 4 LLM Experimentation

#### 4.1 Prompt Engineering

Prompt engineering refers to the systematic practice of constructing prompts to improve the generated output of a generative model. In our github <sup>1</sup>, you can find the templates for the prompts employed in our methodology. Two templates are designed to generate Gherkin language and validate the syntax in conjunction with the input and output of the data processing operator. Conversely, two other templates are employed to create Groovy script and verify the variable names within the Groovy script, aligning them with the input and output.


#### 4.2 Evaluation LLM

This section outlines the results employed to evaluate LLM within the described scenarios. We used few-shot learning to generate a specific format of output, enabling the execution of BPDML without any modification of the code  $^2$ .

<sup>1</sup> https://github.com/NourEldin-Ali/BPDML/tree/main/prompts

Detailed scenarios:https://github.com/NourEldin-Ali/BPDML/tree/main/ scenarios

## The results of GPT 3.5



#### 25 scenarios => 5 scenarios each with 5 variation:

|          | Text        | to Gherkin |      | Gherkin to code |          |   |      |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|-------------|------------|------|-----------------|----------|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| scenario | correctness | semantic   | time | correctness     | semantic | comments                                | time |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1      | 1           | 1          | 15   | 1               | 1        |   | 7    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2      | 1           | 1          | 16   | 1               | 1        |   | 8    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3      | 1           | 1          | 17   | 1               | 1        |   | 6    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.4      | 1           | 1          | 14   | 1               | 1        |   | 7    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5      | 1           | 1          | 15   | 1               | 1        |   | 6    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1      | 1           | 1          | 6    | 1               | 1        |   | 5    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.2      | 1           | 1          | 7    | 1               | 0        | add data example, error in the sematic  | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.3      | 1           | 1          | 6    | 1               | 1        |   | 5    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4      | 1           | 1          | 9    | 1               | 0        | add data example, error in the sematic  | 13   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5      | 1           | 1          | 8    | 1               | 0        | add data example, error in the sematic  | 8    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1      | 1           | 1          | 16   | 1               | 1        |   | 7    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2      | 1           | 1          | 15   | 1               | 1        |   | 7    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.3      | 1           | 1          | 10   | 1               | 1        |   | 7    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.4      | 1           | 1          | 20   | 1               | 1        |   | 3    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5      | 1           | 1          | 9    | 1               | 1        |   | 6    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1      | 1           | 1          | 12   | 0               | 1        | not call function to execut it directly | 13   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.2      | 1           | 1          | 12   | 1               | 0        |   | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3      | 1           | 1          | 12   | 1               | 0        |   | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4      | 1           | 1          | 15   | 1               | 0        |   | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.5      | 1           | 1          | 11   | 1               | 1        |   | 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1      | 1           | 0          | 13   | 1               | 0        |   | 13   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.2      | 1           | 1          | 13   | 0               | 1        | not call function to execut it directly | 14   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3      | 1           | 1          | 13   | 0               | 0        |   | 11   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.4      | 1           | 1          | 11   | 1               | 1        |   | 12   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5      | 1           | 0          | 16   | 0               | 0        |   | 13   |  |  |  |  |  |

turbo

## The results of GPT 4



25 scenarios => 5 scenarios each with 5 variations

|          |             |          |   | Gherkin | to code     |          |      |
|----------|-------------|----------|---|---------|-------------|----------|------|
| scenario | correctness | semantic | comments                                | time    | correctness | semantic | time |
| 1.1      | 1           | 1        |   | 15      | 1           | 1        | 10   |
| 1.2      | 1           | 1        |   | 14      | 1           | 1        | 10   |
| 1.3      | 1           | 1        |   | 14      | 1           | 1        | 8    |
| 1.4      | 1           | 1        |   | 11      | 1           | 1        | 8    |
| 1.5      | 1           | 1        |   | 12      | 1           | 1        | 8    |
| 2.1      | 1           | 1        |   | 6       | 1           | 1        | 6    |
| 2.2      | 1           | 1        |   | 8       | 1           | 1        | 6    |
| 2.3      | 1           | 1        |   | 6       | 1           | 1        | 7    |
| 2.4      | 1           | 1        |   | 9       | 1           | 1        | 6    |
| 2.5      | 1           | 1        |   | 8       | 1           | 1        | 4    |
| 3.1      | 1           | 1        |   | 15      | 1           | 1        | 7    |
| 3.2      | 1           | 1        |   | 14      | 1           | 1        | 7    |
| 3.3      | 1           | 1        |   | 8       | 1           | 1        | 7    |
| 3.4      | 1           | 1        |   | 17      | 1           | 1        | 6    |
| 3.5      | 1           | 1        |   | 17      | 1           | 1        | 7    |
| 4.1      | 1           | 1        |   | 13      | 1           | 1        | 10   |
| 4.2      | 1           | 1        |   | 10      | 1           | 1        | 9    |
| 4.3      | 1           | 1        |   | 14      | 1           | 1        | 13   |
| 4.4      | 1           | 1        |   | 13      | 1           | 1        | 10   |
| 4.5      | 1           | 1        |   | 12      | 1           | 1        | 9    |
| 5.1      | 1           | 0        | error in understand our needs           | 13      | 1           | 0        | 15   |
| 5.2      | 1           | 0        | it does not contain all the information | 12      | 1           | 0        | 13   |
| 5.3      | 1           | 1        |   | 12      | 1           | 1        | 14   |
| 5.4      | 1           | 1        |   | 15      | 1           | 1        | 13   |
| 5.5      | 1           | 0        | error in understand our needs           | 14      | 1           | 0        | 15   |

## References

1. Motulsky, H.: Intuitive biostatistics: a nonmathematical guide to statistical thinking. Oxford University Press, USA (2014)