

Name / Nouran Sameh Mohamed

Search

1. What is Collision? (ما هو التصادم؟)

- *In Hashing: When two different inputs produce the same hash value.*
(عندما يُنتج مدخلان مختلفان نفس قيمة الهاش)
- *In Networking: When two devices send data at the same time, causing a clash.*
(عندما يرسل جهازان بيانات في نفس الوقت، مما يُسبب تعارضًا)

Why Do Collisions Happen?

Causes:

1. *Shared Medium (Hubs, old Ethernet).*
2. *No Coordination (Devices transmit whenever ready).*
3. *High Traffic (Too many devices → more collisions).*

Visual: Bus topology vs. Star topology comparison.

Methods to Solve Collisions:

1. Separate Chaining (Open Hashing)

- *Uses linked lists to handle collisions.*
- *Each bucket (hash table entry) points to a list of elements with the same hash.*
- *Example: Java's HashMap (before Java 8).*

2. Open Addressing (Closed Hashing)

- All elements are stored in the hash table itself.
- Probing methods used to find next available slot:
 - Linear Probing: Check next slot sequentially.
 - Quadratic Probing: Check slots using a quadratic function.
 - Double Hashing: Use a second hash function to determine step size.

3. Robin Hood Hashing

- A variation of open addressing.
- **Reduces variance** in probe lengths by "stealing" slots from richer keys (those with shorter probe sequences).

4. Cuckoo Hashing

- Uses **two hash tables** with different hash functions.
- If a collision occurs, the existing key is **kicked out** and reinserted into the second table.

5. Dynamic Resizing (Rehashing)

- When load factor ($\alpha = \text{entries/slots}$) exceeds a threshold, **resize the table** and rehash all keys.
- Improves efficiency by reducing collisions.

6. Hopscotch Hashing

- Combines **open addressing** with **neighborhoods** (fixed-size regions).
- Reduces cache misses by keeping related keys close.

7. Perfect Hashing (for Static Data)

- Guarantees **zero collisions** by using a two-level hash scheme.
- Ideal for fixed datasets (e.g., compiler keyword tables).

8. Coalesced Hashing

- Mix of **separate chaining** and **open addressing**.
- Colliding elements are stored in the table but linked in a chain-like structure.

=====

Array

Array Operations Time Complexity

Operation	First (Beginning)	Last (End)	Any Index (Middle)	Why?
Insert	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	- Insert at first/middle : Requires shifting all right elements. - Insert at end : No shifting needed.
Delete	$O(n)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	- Delete at first/middle : Requires shifting all right elements left. - Delete at end : No shifting needed.
Search	$O(n)$ (if by value)	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	- Must scan the array linearly (unless indexed).
Update	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	- Direct access via index (always constant time).

Key Explanations:

1. Insertion

- o Beginning/Middle: $O(n)$ (requires shifting all subsequent elements).
- o End: $O(1)$ (no shifting needed).

2. Deletion

- o Beginning/Middle: $O(n)$ (shifts elements to fill the gap).
- o End: $O(1)$ (no shifting needed).

3. [Search](#)

- Always $O(n)$ for value-based search (must check each element).
- Index-based access: $O(1)$ (not listed above since it's trivial).

4. [Update](#)

- Always $O(1)$ (arrays support random access by index).

5. [Sorting](#)

- Average: $O(n \log n)$ for efficient algorithms.
- Worst-case: $O(n^2)$ for QuickSort (if poorly pivoted).

=====

Single linked list

Single Linked List Operations Time Complexity

Operation	First (Head)	Last (Tail)	Any Index (Middle)	Why?
Insert	$O(1)$	$O(n)^*$	$O(n)$	- First : Just update head. - Last/Middle : Must traverse entire list.
Delete	$O(1)$	$O(n)^*$	$O(n)$	- First : Update head. - Last/Middle : Traverse to find previous node.
Search	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Must traverse node-by-node (no random access).
Update	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Must traverse to find the node first.

* With a **tail pointer**, insertion/deletion at last becomes $O(1)$.

Key Notes:

1. Insert/Delete at Head:

- Always $O(1)$ → Just update the head pointer.

2. Insert/Delete at Tail:

- Without tail pointer: $O(n)$ (traverse entire list).
- With tail pointer: $O(1)$ (direct access to tail).

3. Search/Update:

- Always $O(n)$ → No random access; must traverse from head.

=====

Double linked list

Double Linked List Operations Time Complexity

Operation	First (Head)	Last (Tail)	Any Index (Middle)	Why?
Insert	$O(1)$	$O(1)^*$	$O(n)$	- First/Last: Direct head/tail access - Middle: Must traverse
Delete	$O(1)$	$O(1)^*$	$O(n)$	- First/Last: Direct access - Middle: Traverse + update neighbors
Search	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Must traverse node-by-node
Update	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	Must find node first

* Assumes maintaining **both head and tail pointers**

Comparison Table: Double vs Single vs Array

Operation	Double Linked	Single Linked	Array
Insert (First)	$O(1)$	$O(1)$	$O(n)$
Insert (Last)	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Delete (Middle)	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Random Access	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Memory Overhead	Higher (2 pointers/node)	Lower (1 pointer/node)	None

Thank you