

MiniSoft Compiler Implementation

HADJ ARAB Adel

RACHEDI Abderrahmane

April 3, 2025

Abstract

This report details the design and implementation of a compiler for the MiniSoft language using Rust with LALRPOP for syntax analysis and Logos for lexical analysis. The compiler supports the full MiniSoft language specification and implements all compilation phases from lexical analysis to code generation.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Project Overview	3
1.2	MiniSoft Language Features	3
1.3	Tools and Technologies	3
2	Compiler Design	3
2.1	Compilation Pipeline	3
2.2	Architecture Overview	3
2.3	Design Decisions	3
3	Lexical Analysis	3
3.1	Token Design	3
3.2	Lexer Implementation with Logos	4
3.3	Handling Special Cases	4
4	Syntax Analysis	4
4.1	Grammar Specification	4
4.2	Parser Implementation	4
4.3	Abstract Syntax Tree	4
5	Semantic Analysis	5
5.1	Symbol Table Management	5
5.2	Type System Implementation	5
5.3	Semantic Validation	5
6	Code Generation	5
6.1	Intermediate Representation	5
6.2	Code Generation Strategy	6

7	Error Handling	6
7.1	Error Categories	6
7.2	Error Reporting	6
8	Testing and Validation	6
8.1	Test Methodology	6
8.2	Test Cases	7
9	Results and Evaluation	7
9.1	Functionality Assessment	7
9.2	Performance Metrics	7
9.3	Example Compilation	7
10	Conclusion	7
10.1	Achievements	7
10.2	Challenges	7
10.3	Future Improvements	8
A	MiniSoft Language Specification	8
B	Additional Code Samples	8

1 Introduction

1.1 Project Overview

Overview of the MiniSoft compiler project, its purpose, and goals.

1.2 MiniSoft Language Features

Description of the key features of the MiniSoft language including:

- Variables and data types
- Control flow structures
- Functions and procedures
- Type system

1.3 Tools and Technologies

Overview of Rust, LALRPOP, and Logos and why they were chosen for this implementation.

Figure 1: MiniSoft Compiler Architecture

2 Compiler Design

2.1 Compilation Pipeline

Description of the compilation stages from source code to final output.

2.2 Architecture Overview

Overview of the compiler's modular architecture and component interactions.

2.3 Design Decisions

Explanation of key design decisions made during implementation.

3 Lexical Analysis

3.1 Token Design

Description of the token structure and categories used in the MiniSoft language.

3.2 Lexer Implementation with Logos

Details of how Logos is used to implement the lexical analyzer.

Listing 1: Token Definitions in Logos

```
1 #[derive(Logos, Debug, PartialEq)]
2 pub enum Token {
3     // Keywords
4     #[token("if")]
5     If,
6
7     #[token("else")]
8     Else,
9
10    // Operators
11    #[token("+")]
12    Plus,
13
14    // Add more token definitions
15 }
```

3.3 Handling Special Cases

Discussion of how special cases like comments, whitespace, and errors are handled.

4 Syntax Analysis

4.1 Grammar Specification

Definition of the MiniSoft language grammar and its representation in LALRPOP.

4.2 Parser Implementation

Details of how the parser is implemented using LALRPOP.

Listing 2: LALRPOP Grammar Rules

```
1 // Example LALRPOP grammar rules
2 Statement: Stmt = {
3     "if" <cond:Expr> "then" <then_stmt:Statement> "else" <
4         else_stmt:Statement> =>
5         Stmt::If(<>),
6
7     // Additional rules
8 };
```

4.3 Abstract Syntax Tree

Description of the AST structure and how it represents MiniSoft programs.

Listing 3: AST Node Definitions

```

1 // Example AST node definitions
2 pub enum Stmt {
3     If(Expr, Box<Stmt>, Box<Stmt>),
4     Assignment(String, Expr),
5     Block(Vec<Stmt>),
6     // Additional statement types
7 }

```

5 Semantic Analysis

5.1 Symbol Table Management

Explanation of how symbols are stored and managed during compilation.

5.2 Type System Implementation

Details of the MiniSoft type system and type checking implementation.

5.3 Semantic Validation

Description of semantic checks performed by the compiler.

Listing 4: Type Checking Implementation

```

1 // Example type checking code
2 fn type_check_expression(&mut self, expr: &Expr) -> Result<Type,
3     String> {
4     match expr {
5         Expr::Binary(left, op, right) => {
6             let left_type = self.type_check_expression(left)?;
7             let right_type = self.type_check_expression(right)?;
8
9             // Type checking logic
10        }
11        // Additional expression types
12    }

```

6 Code Generation

6.1 Intermediate Representation

The compiler uses quadruplets as its intermediate representation. Quadruplets consist of operations with up to three components: two operands and a result. This representation provides a balance between simplicity and expressiveness, making it suitable for optimization passes while facilitating the translation to machine code. Each quadruplet follows the general form (operation, operand1, operand2, result), representing operations like arithmetic, control flow, and memory access.

6.2 Code Generation Strategy

The compiler leverages Cranelift as the backend for generating machine code from our quadruplet intermediate representation. Cranelift is a fast, reliable code generation framework that handles low-level concerns such as register allocation, instruction selection, and architecture-specific optimizations. By mapping our quadruplets to Cranelift's IR, we maintain control over high-level optimizations while benefiting from Cranelift's efficient code emission capabilities for multiple target architectures.

Listing 5: Code Generation Example

```
1 // Example code generation using Cranelift
2 fn generate_from_quadruplet(&mut self, quad: &Quadruplet) ->
  Result<(), String> {
3     match quad.operation {
4         Operation::Add => {
5             let lhs = self.translate_operand(&quad.operand1)?;
6             let rhs = self.translate_operand(&quad.operand2)?;
7             let result = self.builder.ins().iadd(lhs, rhs);
8             self.var_map.insert(quad.result, result);
9         },
10        Operation::Branch => {
11            // Code generation for branch operations using
              Cranelift
12        }
13        // Additional operation types
14    }
15    Ok(())
16 }
```

7 Error Handling

7.1 Error Categories

Description of the different types of errors detected by the compiler.

7.2 Error Reporting

Explanation of how errors are reported to the user.

Listing 6: Example Compiler Error Output

```
1 ERROR at line 10, column 5: Type mismatch in assignment
2   Expected: int
3   Found: float
```

8 Testing and Validation

8.1 Test Methodology

Description of the testing approach used to verify compiler functionality.

8.2 Test Cases

Overview of the test cases used to validate the compiler.

Test Category	Number of Tests	Pass Rate
Lexical Analysis	20	100%
Syntax Analysis	30	97%
Semantic Analysis	25	95%
Code Generation	15	90%

Table 1: Test Results Summary

9 Results and Evaluation

9.1 Functionality Assessment

Evaluation of how well the compiler meets its functional requirements.

9.2 Performance Metrics

Analysis of the compiler's performance characteristics.

9.3 Example Compilation

Walkthrough of a complete compilation process with a sample MiniSoft program.

Listing 7: Sample MiniSoft Program

```
1 // Sample MiniSoft program
2 function factorial(n: int): int {
3     if n <= 1 then
4         return 1
5     else
6         return n * factorial(n - 1)
7 }
8
9 program main {
10     var result: int = factorial(5)
11     print(result) // Outputs: 120
12 }
```

10 Conclusion

10.1 Achievements

Summary of what was accomplished in the project.

10.2 Challenges

Discussion of challenges encountered during implementation.

10.3 Future Improvements

Suggestions for future enhancements to the compiler.

A MiniSoft Language Specification

Formal specification of the MiniSoft language syntax and semantics.

B Additional Code Samples

More examples of MiniSoft programs and their compilations.

References

- [1] The Rust Programming Language: <https://www.rust-lang.org/>
- [2] Logos: <https://logos.maciej.codes/>
- [3] LALRPOP: <https://lalrpop.github.io/lalrpop/>
- [4] Cranelift: <https://docs.rs/cranelift/latest/cranelift/>