

Data Structures (CSC212)

Third Trimester 2023

Course Project

25 Marks

Due Date: Phase 1 (18 May 2023 11:59pm). Due Date: Phase 2 (3 June 2023 11:59 pm).

Project Report 2

Group: 2 Section: 72088

Leader name: AlJouri Abdulaziz AlSarami Instructor name: Lubna Alhinti

Student Name	Student ID
Amal Mousa Aljassas	442201829
Nouv Batey AlQahtani	442201905
AlJouri Abdulaziz AlSarami	442202991

Tasks Distribution

Student Name	Tasks
Amal Mousa Aljassas	 Creating and formatting the report. Provide a criticism of the method follow. Calculating the time complexity of methods follow1(String path), follow(MNode<t> t, String path), findkey(int tkey), and findkey1(int tkey, MNode<t> p).</t></t>
Nouv Batey AlQahtani	 Provide a criticism of the method escape. Calculating the time complexity of methods escape (MNode<t>t) and escape1().</t>
AlJouri Abdulaziz AlSarami	 Provide a criticism of the method shortPath and shortPath1. Calculate the time complexity of both the methods.



Review and Critique:

- 1. As for the *follow* method, their code is very simple and fulfils the requirement, but it does not allow the user to enter the key and then the path, which means that the code was not built to interact with the user's input.
- 2. As for the *escape* method, the code is functional, and the code is performing the preorder traversal of the binary tree. However, there are a few improvements and suggestions that I would like to point out, the code should include a condition to check if the current node is a leaf node in the binary tree before checking its right and left child nodes by checking the nullity of its two children.
 And a recursive implementation could be used instead of using a stack, which would be more memory-efficient and easier to read.
- 3. As for the *shortPath* method, the code provided seems to be correct and fullfills all the functionalities that are required from it. However, I have noticed that it lacks the input validation on the method shortPath(), which could lead to possible null pointer exceptions. After analyzing how these two methods work together, I have noticed that it uses unnecessary redundancy and lacks efficiency in watching out for unnecessary recursive calls.

Time complexity:

1. Time complexity of method follow1(String path):

	Statements	Step/ Execution	Freq.	Total
1	<pre>private boolean follow1(String path) {</pre>	0	1	-
2	return follow (current, path));	1	T(n)	$2^{n}(8) + 10m + 1$
3	}	0	-	-
Total:	$2^{n}(8) + 10m + 1$			
0:	$O(2^n)$ Exponential Growth			



• Time complexity of assist methods of method follow1(String path):

o Time complexity of method follow(MNode<T> t, String path):

Assume: m = path.length()

	Statements	Step/	Freq.	Total
		Execution	7	
1	<pre>private boolean follow(MNode<t> t, String path){</t></pre>	0	-	-
2	if(t == null)	1	1	1
3	return false;	1	1	1
4	<pre>if (!findkey(t.key))</pre>	1	$2^{n}(8) - 5$	$2^{n}(8)$ - 5
5	return false;	1	1	1
6	MNode < T > p = t;	1	1	1
7	<pre>for(int i =0;i<path.length();i++){< pre=""></path.length();i++){<></pre>	1	m+1	m+1
8	<pre>if ((char)p.data != path.charAt(i))</pre>	1	m	m
9	return false;	1	m	m
10	<pre>if(i<path.length()-1){< pre=""></path.length()-1){<></pre>	1	m	m
11	<pre>if(p.left != null &&(char)p.left.data==path.charAt(i+1))</pre>	1	m	m
12	p=p.left;	1	m	m
13	else if (p.right != null &&(char)p.right.data==path.charAt(i+1))	1	m	m
14	p=p.right;	1	m	m
15	else	1	m	m
16	return false;	1	m	m
17	}	0	-	-
18	}	0	-	-
19	return true;	1	1	1
20	}	0	-	-
Total:	$2^{n}(8) + 10m + 1$			
	$O(2^n)$			
O :	Exponential Growth			

Time complexity of method findkey(int tkey):

	Statements	Step/ Execution	Freq.	Total
1	private boolean findkey(int tkey) {	0	-	-
2	<pre>return (findkey1(tkey, root));</pre>	1	T(n)	$2^{n}(8) - 5$
3	}	0	-	-
Total:	$2^{n}(8) - 5$			
O:	$O(2^n)$ Exponential Growth			

○ Time complexity of method *findkey1(int tkey, MNode<T> p)*:

	Statements	Step/ Execution	Freq.	Total
1	<pre>private boolean findkey1(int tkey,MNode<t> p) {</t></pre>	0	-	-
2	$if(empty() \parallel p == null)$	1	1	1
3	return false;	1	1	1
4	if(p.key == tkey)	1	1	1
5	return true;	1	1	1
6	else {	1	1	1
7	boolean n = findkey1(tkey, p.left);	1	T(n-1)	T(n-1)
8	if (n != false)	1	1	1
9	return n;	1	1	1
10	else	1	1	1
11	<pre>return findkey1(tkey, p.right);</pre>	1	T(n-1)	T(n-1)
12	}	0	•	-
13	}	0	-	-
Total:	$8(2)^n - 2$			
0:	$O(2^n)$			
	Exponential Growth			

Total:

$$T(0) = 3 \to O(1)$$

$$T(n) = 5 + T(n-1) + T(n-1)$$

$$T(n) = 5 + 2T(n-1)$$

$$T(n) = 15 + 4T(n-2)$$



$$T(n) = 35 + 8T(n - 3)$$

$$T(n) = 5(2^k - 1) + 2^k T(n - k)$$

$$T(n) = 5(2^{n} - 1) + 2^{n}(3)$$
 when $n = k$

$$T(n) = 2^n(8) - 5$$

Therefore, $O(2^n)$

2. Time complexity of method escape() and its assist method escape1():

	Statements	Step/ Execution	Freq.	Total
1	public boolean escape1(){	0	-	-
2	return escape(current);	1	T(n)	8n + 7
3	}	0	-	-
Total:	8n+7			
O :	O(n)			
0.	Exponential Growth			



	Statements	Step/	F. 10.00	Tatal
	Statements	Execution	Freq.	Total
1	<pre>private boolean escape(MNode<t>t){</t></pre>	0	-	-
2	if (t == null)	1	1	1
3	return false;	1	1	1
4	MNode < T > p = t;	1	1	1
5	LinkedStack <mnode<t>> stack = new LinkedStack <>();</mnode<t>	1	1	1
6	stack.push(p);	1	1	1
7	<pre>while (! stack.empty()){</pre>	1	n+1	n+1
8	p= stack.pop();	1	n	n
9	if((char)p.data == 'X')	1	n	n
10	return true;	1	n	n
11	<pre>if (p.right != null)</pre>	1	n	n
12	stack.push(p.right);	1	n	n
13	if (p.left!=null)	1	n	n
14	stack.push(p.left);	1	n	n
15	}	0	-	-
16	return false;	1	1	1
17	}	0	-	-
Total:	8n + 7			
0:	0(n)			
<u>J.</u>	Exponential Growth			



3. Time complexity of method shortPath():

	Statements	Step/	Freq.	Total
		Execution		
1	<pre>private String shortPath(<t> t){</t></pre>	0	-	-
2	String path1,path2,path;	1	1	1
3	path1=path2=path="";	1	1	1
4	<pre>if(!escape(t))</pre>	1	1	1
5	return null;	1	1	1
6	MNode < T > p = t;	1	1	1
7	path=""+p.data;	1	1	1
8	<pre>if(escape(p.right))</pre>	1	1	1
9	path1=shortPath(p.right);	1	T(n/2)	T(n/2)
10	<pre>if(escape(p.left))</pre>	1	1	1
11	path2=shortPath(p.left);	1	T(n/2)	T(n/2)
12	<pre>if(path1.equals(""))</pre>	1	1	1
13	return path+path2;	1	1	1
14	else if(path2.equals(""))	1	1	1
15	return path+path1;	1	1	1
16	<pre>if(path1.length()<path2.length())< pre=""></path2.length())<></pre>	1	1	1
17	return path+path1;	1	1	1
18	<pre>else if (path2.length()<=path1.length())</pre>	1	1	1
19	return path+path2;	1	1	1
20	return null;	1	1	1
21	}	0	-	-
Total:	$2\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) + 17$			
O:	$O(n \log)$			
<u> </u>	Logarithmic Growth			

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(1)$$

$$a = 2$$
 , $b = 2$, $d = 1$

$$\frac{a}{b^d} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$$

Using the master theorem, we conclude that: $O(n^d \log n) = O(n \log)$



• Time complexity of method shortPath1():

	Statements	Step/ Execution	Freq.	Total
1	<pre>public String shortPath1(){</pre>	0	-	-
2	return shortpath(root);	1	T(n)	2(T/n) + 17
3	}	0	-	-
Total:	$2\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) + 17$			
0:	$O(n \log)$			
	Logarithmic Growth			