

NIS Standards

NIS STANDARDS

Novean Institute of Standards

Contents

1	Guidelines	11
1.1	Changing Standards	11
1.1.1	What is a SIP?	11
1.1.2	SIP Types	11
1.1.3	SIP Workflow	12
1.2	Writing and Formatting	12
1.2.1	SIP Formatting	12
1.2.1.1	Terms	12
1.2.1.2	SIP Guides	13
1.2.1.2.1	Fundamentals SIP	13
1.2.1.2.2	Recommendation SIP	13
1.2.1.2.3	Emergency SIP	13
1.2.1.2.4	Errata SIP	13
1.3	Appeals	13
2	Identification	15
2.1	Semiotics	15
2.1.1	Flagging	15
2.1.2	Signs	15
2.1.2.1	Atmospheric Signs	15
2.1.2.2	Atmospheric Billboards	15
2.1.2.3	Space Signs	15
2.1.2.4	Space Billboards	15
3	Navigation	17
3.1	Port Interchange Protocol: 1ST DRAFT - PROPOSAL	17
3.1.1	Introduction	17
3.1.1.1	Motivation	17
3.1.1.2	Key Concepts	18
3.1.1.3	Issues not addressed by this proposal	18
3.1.2	The Port Interchange	18
3.1.2.1	Speed Zone	18
3.1.2.2	Alignment Zone	18
3.1.2.3	Transition Zone	19
3.1.2.3.1	Approach and Take-off Vectors	19
3.1.2.3.2	Vertical Take-off and Landing (VTOL) concepts	19
3.1.3	Implementation	19
3.1.3.1	For Pilots and Navigators	19
3.1.3.2	For Facility Owners	19

Acknowledgements

A special thank you is extended to all organizations and individuals who have contributed to this document, and have tested these procedures on the field.

Introduction

This space serves as a home to a developing set of standards for aviation communication and port interchange policies.

Section 1

Guidelines

The guidelines for the formatting NIS standards contained in this book.

- [Changing Standards](#)
- [Writing and Formatting](#)
- [Appeals](#)

1.1 Changing Standards

The guidelines for submitting a modification to the NIS Standards.

- [What is a SIP?](#)
- [SIP Types](#)
- [SIP Workflow](#)

1.1.1 What is a SIP?

SIP stands for Standards Improvement Proposal. A SIP is a design document providing information to NIS describing a new standard, recommendation, or a change to an existing standard. The SIP should provide a concise specification of the feature and a rationale for the feature.

SIPs are intended to be the primary mechanisms for proposing new standards, for collecting input on an issue, and for documenting the design decisions that have gone into the NIS Standards. The SIP author is responsible for building consensus and documenting dissenting opinions.

Because standards are maintained as text files in a versioned repository, their revision history is the historical record of the NIS Standards.

1.1.2 SIP Types

The following is a brief outline of the various types of SIPs, however each is covered in full detail in their respective sections.

- **Fundamentals SIP** - Will cover a standards proposal that will be required fundamental knowledge for all users impacted. If a Fundamentals SIP is accepted, a grace period will be enacted so that users can train and/or adapt to the changes as needed.

An example of a Fundamentals SIP would be the usage of UTC as the base time, in which all traffic controllers and pilots would need to be adapt to communicating only in UTC time.

- **Recommendation SIP** - Will cover a standards proposal for non-binding guidance or best practice recommendations. If a Recommendation SIP is accepted, it's not expected that any parties adhere to it, though it's understood that following it may lead to a better experience for impacted users.

An example of a Recommendation SIP would be using Illuminated Voxels in a specific pattern on a landing pad to denote nearby amenities for specific ship classes. While not necessary, it may improve the pilot landing experience.

- **Emergency SIP** - Will cover a standards proposal for emergency changes needed to adapt to a rapidly evolving situation. Typically this type of SIP will involve many partners working together to address an issue quickly so that the impact of the situation can be minimized.

An example of an Emergency SIP would be a change in LUA functionality that removes a feature, or changes the way a feature works, that would require codes changes and operational changes immediately.

- **Errata SIP** - These are brief SIPs for fixing misspellings and other errors in the standards.

1.1.3 SIP Workflow

- (Errata SIPs are exempted from this workflow; see [Errata SIP](#))

The SIP process begins with a new idea for the NIS Standards. Each potential SIP must have a champion (someone who writes the SIP using the style and format described below and shepherds the discussions in the appropriate channels) who must attempt to build consensus around the idea.

The SIP champion (a.k.a. Author) should first attempt to ascertain whether the idea is SIP-able. Using [Discord](#) is the best avenue for starting this and discussing ideas with others involved.

Vetting an idea publicly before going as far as writing a SIP is meant to save both the potential author and other contributors time. Asking the NIS community first if an idea is original helps prevent too much time being spent on something that is guaranteed to be rejected based on prior discussions.

It also helps to make sure the idea is applicable to others and not just the author.

Once the champion has asked the NIS community as to whether an idea has any chance of acceptance, a draft SIP should be presented to the appropriate discord [#SIP-drafts](#) channel. This gives the author a chance to flesh out the draft SIP to make it properly formatted, of high quality, and to address additional concerns about the proposal.

Following a discussion, the proposal should be submitted for a decision by the partner standards members on discord. This draft must be written in SIP style as described below, or else it will be sent back until proper formatting rules are followed. The standards partner members will determine the impact of the proposal and notify the author.

It is highly recommended that a single SIP contain a single key proposal or new idea. The more focused the SIP, the more successful it is likely to be. If in doubt, split your SIP into several well-focused ones.

Once a SIP has been accepted, the reference implementation must be completed with a pull request to the [Github Repo](#), with the SIP attached to the description field where it will be verified and merged into this document if accepted.

1.2 Writing and Formatting

The formatting guidelines and rules for formatting a SIP

- [SIP Formatting](#)
 - [Terms](#)
 - [SIP Guides](#)
 - * [Fundamentals SIP](#)
 - * [Recommendation SIP](#)
 - * [Emergency SIP](#)
 - * [Errata SIP](#)

1.2.1 SIP Formatting

1.2.1.1 Terms

Each SIP (depending on the type) will have at least one of the following parts:

- **Preamble** - A header containing meta-data about the SIP, including the SIP number, a short descriptive title, the names, and optionally the contact info for each author, etc.
- **Abstract** - a short (~200 word) description of the issue being addressed.
- **Specification** - The technical specification should describe the syntax and semantics of any new standard proposal. The specification should be detailed enough to allow for a complete understanding by impacted parties.

- **Motivation** -- The motivation is critical for SIPs. It should clearly explain why the existing standards specification are inadequate to address the problem that the SIP solves. SIP submissions without sufficient motivation may be rejected.
- **Rationale** - The rationale fleshes out the specification by describing what motivated the standards change and why particular decisions were made. It may also describe alternate ideas that were considered. Ideally it should provide evidence of consensus within the standards community and discuss important objections or concerns raised during discussion.
- **Reference Text** - The reference standards text to be added or changed should accompany all SIPs. Any SIP that does not contain a reference text will be rejected.

1.2.1.2 SIP Guides

1.2.1.2.1 Fundamentals SIP

1.2.1.2.2 Recommendation SIP

1.2.1.2.3 Emergency SIP

1.2.1.2.4 Errata SIP Submission

An errata SIP may be submitted directly to the [Github Repo](#) without needing to go through a standards partners approval process.

If it is determined that the scope of the SIP is beyond an errata change, it may be rejected and sent back for escalation as one of the other SIP types.

Template

```
Type: Errata
Title: <SIP title>
Author: <Author Name/Discord ID>
Created: <date created on, in ISO 8601 (yyyy-mm-dd) format>
Reference Text: <A description of the change needed>
```

1.3 Appeals

Appealing a decision on a submission.

Section 2

Identification

Identification is the process of self-identify yourself to a port, waystations and other ships.

- **Semiotics**

2.1 Semiotics

The standards for signs and symbols and their use or interpretation.

- **Flagging**
- **Signs**
 - Atmospheric Signs
 - Atmospheric Billboards
 - Space Signs
 - Space Billboards

2.1.1 Flagging

2.1.2 Signs

2.1.2.1 Atmospheric Signs

2.1.2.2 Atmospheric Billboards

2.1.2.3 Space Signs

2.1.2.4 Space Billboards

Section 3

Navigation

The guidelines for Navigation as defined by NIS.

- [Port Interchange](#)

3.1 Port Interchange Protocol: 1ST DRAFT - PROPOSAL

Summary: A standard of customs to be utilized for take-off from, and landing at, locations in Dual Universe.

- [Introduction](#)
 - [Motivation](#)
 - [Key Concepts](#)
 - [Issues not addressed by this proposal](#)
- [Port Interchange](#)
 - [Speed Zone](#)
 - [Alignment Zone](#)
 - [Transition Zone](#)
 - * [Approach and Take-off Vectors](#)
 - * [Vertical Take-off and Landing concepts](#)
- [Implementation](#)
 - [For Pilots and Navigators](#)
 - [For Facility Owners](#)

3.1.1 Introduction

This document describes a proposal for a set of standards, customs, and definitions for a protocol to be used when travelling from one player-made point of interest to another, specifically for those that provide *Port Facilities*.

It contains guidelines for a set of customs for players to follow when near such a location, and to define a set standards and practices to be implemented by Port Facility owners.

3.1.1.1 Motivation

The primary motivation for this proposal is safety - to offer players a way to travel from one location to another while mitigating concerns of collisions with the landscape, buildings, players, and other vehicles.

If a player can be assured that they have a specific plan to arrive at or depart from any given location, and that other players in the vicinity also have a similar plan, that player may develop a stronger sense of community within the game, simply because the act of travelling itself would be less worrisome.

Another motivation for this proposal is simplicity. As of this document's creation, Dual Universe has only one navigational indicator - the destination. This indicator is simply a representation of a point in space relative to the player, along with the relative distance from the player to the location.

This proposal currently utilizes this indicator, and builds its concepts around it.

3.1.1.2 Key Concepts

Key concepts and definitions used in this section.

Dead Reckoning. All elements of this standard are centered around information provided by waypoints (Destinations), therefore any element dealing with distance will use the player's distance from a particular waypoint.

Zones. A *Zone* is an area, defined in its simplest form as a circle, and extended into cylinders and spheres, whose radii are derived from the distance from a location's waypoint, indicating a specific pattern of behavior for a pilot to adhere to within the specified area.

Port Facilities. A *Port Facility* in the scope of this proposal is a specific, centralized location that any number of players may travel to and from using vehicles, and usually provides dedicated areas for landing, refitting/refueling, and take-off.

Examples of port facilities are organization headquarters, surface-borne player-made spaceports and space stations.

Navigational Aids. A *Navigational Aid* can be a waypoint(destination), or a vector indicator - a physical representation of the direction a vehicle should be travelling along when taking off or landing.

Currently, the waypoint and vector indicator are the only tools provided in-game.

Pilots' priorities. Due to the nature of the information available to pilots and the current limitation, this proposal cannot completely ensure safe and unobstructed travel near port facilities.

Therefore, pilots must still maintain situational awareness during flight within zones, and should yield to traffic already present in applicable zones.

Space. For the purposes of this document, the term *space* will refer to both "airspace" - the area above ground in relation to various concepts, as well as the "space" around an asteroid or space station.

3.1.1.3 Issues not addressed by this proposal

As of this document's creation, its sole purpose is to provide a singular standard for navigating the space associated with a location, using only in-game information available to any player.

It *does not* define a standard for any other aspect of navigation associated with landing and take-off, whether done through active interaction with other players(Air Traffic Controllers), or with the use of in-game scripting.

This standard also does not define any sort of its own enforcement, and its utilization should be done through mutual acceptance, or enforced from within a player's organization.

3.1.2 The Port Interchange

All zones of the Port Interchange will be concentric to a waypoint, placed just above the landing/take-off area of a location.

Typically, a map-generated waypoint will be inaccurate for the purposes of this standard, and therefore should be manually generated by a player/organization.

In addition, this waypoint should be shared with all players who would have access to its associated location.

3.1.2.1 Speed Zone

The *Speed Zone* of a location is the outermost boundary of the port interchange. It is the first interaction with an interchange for players arriving to a location, and the last interaction for players travelling away from a location.

For surface-based locations, it is a cylinder with a radius of N meters(m), with the base of which is coplanar with the surface around a location, extending upwards through a location's space.

For locations *in space*, it is a sphere with a radius of N m. While the player is inside of this zone, a vehicle's speed should remain as close to N kilometers per hour(km/h) as possible.

3.1.2.2 Alignment Zone

The *Alignment Zone* of a location is next closest boundary of the Port Interchange, and is concentric with the Speed Zone. For planar locations, it is a cylinder with a radius of N m, extending upward into the space around a location.

For space-based locations, it is a sphere of N m, which is concentric to the Speed Zone. The purpose of the Alignment Zone is to define an area from which a vehicle will change from travelling in a straight line towards/away from a location into the "circling" pattern defined by the Transition Zone.

All guidelines for vehicles in the Speed zone also apply to this zone.

3.1.2.3 Transition Zone

The *Transition Zone* of a location defines the path to be taken by vehicles before turning into *Approach* and *Take-off Vectors*. For planar locations, this zone will be a circle with a radius of N m, and runs parallel at a pre-defined height above the surface.

For orbital locations, this zone will be a circle that is coplanar with the approach and take-off vectors and aligned with the locations gravity(if any). pilots will use this zone to maintain a set distance from the location's waypoint.

Like the Alignment zone, the Transition zone is also within the speed zone, and all guidelines for vehicles within the speed zone apply to this zone as well.

3.1.2.3.1 Approach and Take-off Vectors The *Approach Vector* and *Take-off Vector* are separate direction indicators moving towards and away from a location, respectively.

For vehicles on an Approach Vector, a location may have a lower speed and right-of-way guidelines for the purpose of taxiing out of the vector and onto a final landing point. For vehicles intending to take off, location-specific guidelines may be made concerning right-of-way when entering a Take-off Vector.

3.1.2.3.2 Vertical Take-off and Landing (VTOL) concepts further discussion on this set of concepts is needed.

3.1.3 Implementation

3.1.3.1 For Pilots and Navigators

Guidelines for Pilots and Navigators

Speed Zone. For pilots approaching a location piloting vehicles that may not be able to completely slow their vehicle to the indicated limit *before* approaching the alignment zone, *must* start to reduce speed *before* entering the speed zone to ensure this limit is attainable.

Along with adhering to the speed limits, a pilot approaching a location and moving within the speed zone should begin to alter their course from a straight line pointing towards a location's waypoint, to one that is a tangent to the boundary of the alignment zone, placing the waypoint on the L/R side of the approaching vehicle.

Alignment Zone. This zone may also have a higher density of traffic, therefore pilots must be increasingly more cautious when entering and leaving this zone.

When pilots are departing from a location and are in the Alignment zone to the speed zone, their waypoint can be changed another in regards to their destination, and then may proceed in a straight vector towards it, while yielding to any traffic merging in from the Speed Zone.

Transition Zone. Approaching vehicles *must* remain in this zone until both a transition to the Approach Vector can be made without further intrusion into the location, and when there is no traffic blocking such a maneuver.

Inversely, pilots with the intent to take-off and merge into the Transition Zone from the Take-off Vector must yield to traffic entering or already present in the Transition Zone, or traffic merging in from the Alignment Zone.

3.1.3.2 For Facility Owners

Guidelines for Facility Owners

Zone Sizes and Speed Limits. For the purposes of the proposal of this standard, none of distances and speeds referenced within this proposal have been given real values.

Further discussion will be needed to discern the needs of this standard.

Approach and Take-off Vector Indicators. As a Facility Owner, there is no current guideline for the manner in which *Vector Indicators* should be advertised to Pilots, however, the visibility of these indicators should be clear and conspicuous to any pilot currently within the *Transition Zone*.

Any combination of voxels and/or screens may be used to communicate this information; with a strong preference towards voxels, as their visibility is much clearer compared to screens.