

Modeling Functions

Peter Stuckey

Deciding Functions

- ▶ Many combinatorial problems have the form:
 - assign to each object in one set DOM
 - a value from another set COD
- ▶ We can interpret this as
 - Defining a function $\text{DOM} \rightarrow \text{COD}$
 - Or partitioning the set DOM (in sets labelled by COD)
- ▶ This function could be
 - injective: assignment problem
 - bijective ($\text{DOM} = \text{COD}$): matching problem

Assignment problems

► Pure Assignment Problem

- n workers
- and m tasks
- assign each worker to a different task to maximize profit.

► Example problem

- $n = 4$, $m = 5$, profit matrix is

	t1	t2	t3	t4	t5
w1	7	1	3	4	6
w2	8	2	5	1	4
w3	4	3	7	2	5
w4	3	1	6	3	6

Assignment Data and Decisions

► Data

```
int: n;  
set of int: DOM = 1..n;  
int: m;  
set of int: COD = 1..m;  
array[DOM,COD] of int: profit;
```

► What are the decisions?

```
array[DOM] of var COD: task;
```

► What is the objective?

```
maximize sum(w in DOM)  
           (profit[w,task[w]]);
```


Assignment Constraints

- ▶ Each task is assigned to at most one worker

```
forall (t in COD)
    (sum (w in DOM)
        (bool2int (task[w] = t)) <= 1);
```

- ▶ Alternatively

- ▶ Each two workers are assigned different tasks

```
forall (w1, w2 in DOM where w1 < w2)
    (task[w1] != task[w2]);
```

- ▶ Which is **better**?

Alldifferent

- ▶ Global constraint version

```
alldifferent(task);
```

- ▶ Enforces that each worker is assigned a different task.
- ▶ Solvers can make use of the substructure to solve better.
- ▶ The first example of a global constraint
- ▶ Captures the
 - assignment substructure, or alternatively
 - deciding an injective function

Include items

- ▶ Global constraints are defined in library files.
- ▶ We can include files into a MiniZinc model using
 - An **inclusion** item

`include <filename (which is a string literal)>;`

- ▶ To use `alldifferent` we need to either

`include "alldifferent.mzn";`

- ▶ or

`include "globals.mzn";`

- ▶ which includes all globals

Assignment Data and Decisions

► Data

```
int: n;  
set of int: DOM = 1..n;  
int: m;  
set of int: COD = 1..m;  
array[DOM,COD] of int: profit;
```

► Decisions

```
array[DOM] of var COD: task;
```

► Constraints

```
include "alldifferent.mzn";  
alldifferent(task);
```

► Objective

```
maximize sum(w in DOM)  
           (profit[w,task[w]]);
```


Assignment Problem

- ▶ The **pure assignment problem** is very well studied
 - specialized polynomial (**fast**) algorithms
 - maximal weighted matching
- ▶ If you have a pure assignment problem
 - use a specialized algorithm
- ▶ **BUT** the real world is never pure
 - add some side constraints and these specialised algorithms almost always **break!**

Example Assignment Problem

Peter Stuckey

CellBlock Question

- ▶ Assign k prisoners each to a different cell
- ▶ Cells are in a grid of size $n * m$
- ▶ No prisoner should be in a cell adjacent (north, south, east or west) to a dangerous prisoner (given by set `danger`)
- ▶ All female prisoners (given by set `female`) are in rows $1 \dots (n+1) \div 2$
- ▶ All male prisoners (remaining prisoners) are in rows $n \div 2 + 1 \dots n$
- ▶ Each cell (i,j) has a `cost[i,j]` for occupation
- ▶ Minimize the cost of housing prisoners

CellBlock Data

```
int: k;  
set of int: PRISONER = 1..k;  
int: n;  
set of int: ROW = 1..n;  
int: m;  
set of int: COL = 1..m;  
array[ROW,COL] of int: cost;  
set of PRISONER: danger;  
set of PRISONER: female;  
set of PRISONER: male  
    = PRISONER diff female;
```

dependent parameter declarations

CellBlock Decisions

- ▶ What are the objects of the domain?
 - `DOM = PRISONER`
- ▶ What are the objects of the codomain?
 - `COD = ROW x COL`
- ▶ Representation: two functions

```
array[PRISONER] of var ROW: r;  
array[PRISONER] of var COL: c;
```

CellBlock Constraints

- ▶ No two prisoners in the same cell

```
forall(p1, p2 in PRISONER where p1 < p2)
  (abs(r[p1] - r[p2]) + abs(c[p1] - c[p2]) > 0);
```

- ▶ Cant we use alldifferent?

- ▶ Yes

```
alldifferent([r[p] * m + c[p] | p in PRISONER]);
```

- ▶ Mapping each cell block to a unique number

- ▶ Noone adjacent to dangerous prisoners

```
forall(p in PRISONER, d in DANGER where p != d)
  (abs(r[p] - r[d]) + abs(c[p] - c[d]) > 1);
```

CellBlock Constraints + Objective

► Gender constraints

```
forall(p in female) (r[p] <= (n + 1) div 2);
```

```
forall(p in male) (r[p] >= n div 2 + 1);
```

► Note the use of `male`

– clearer than replacing with definition

► Objective function

```
var int: totalcost = sum(p in PRISONER)
                        (cost[r[p], c[p]]);
solve minimize totalcost;
```

Modeling Partitions

Peter Stuckey

Partitioning Problems

- ▶ Finding a function $f: \text{DOM} \rightarrow \text{COD}$
- ▶ Can be considered a **partitioning problem**
- ▶ This may give additional **insight** if
 - we want to constrain or manipulate the sets
 - $f^{-1}(c) = \{ d \text{ in DOM} \mid f(d) = c \}$

Rostering Problem

- ▶ Given k nurses schedule them for each of the next m days as either day shift, night shift, or day off:
 - There are o nurses on day shift each day
 - There are between l and u nurses on each night shift
 - No nurse has more than two night shifts in a row
 - No nurse has a night shift followed by day shift

Rostering Objects

- ▶ Defining the sets of objects we are reasoning about.
 - Note that we give **names** to types of shift, to make the model more readable

```
int: k; % number of nurses
set of int: NURSE = 1..k;
int: m; % number of days
set of int: DAY = 1..m;
set of int: SHIFT = 1..3;
int: day = 1; int: night = 2; int: dayoff = 3;
```


Rostering Decisions

- ▶ What are the objects of the domain?
 - $\text{DOM} = \text{NURSE} \times \text{DAY}$
- ▶ What are the objects of the codomain?
 - $\text{COD} = \text{SHIFT}$
- ▶ For each nurse and day choose the shift
`array[NURSE, DAY] of var SHIFT: x;`
- ▶ Can be considered a **partitioning problem**
 - partitions nurses by shift type
 - reasons about the sets of nurses on a shift

Rostering Constraints

► Day Constraints

- There are o nurses on day shift each day

```
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = day)) = o);
```

- There are between l and u nurses on each night shift

```
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = night)) >= l);
```

```
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = night)) <= u);
```

- Common subexpressions!

Intermediate Variables

► Intermediate variables

- Store values of expressions that are reused
- Are dependent on decisions
- (note: intermediate parameters too!)

```
array[DAY] of var 0..k: onnight =  
    [sum(n in NURSE) (bool2int(x[n,d] = night))  
    | d in DAY];  
constraint forall(d in DAY)  
    (onnight[d] >= 1 /\ onnight[d] <= u);
```

► Choose bounds for intermediates well

► Or simply

```
array[DAY] of var 1..u: onnight =  
    [sum(n in NURSE) (bool2int(x[n,d] = night))  
    | d in DAY];
```

Nurse Constraints

► Constraints

- No nurse has more than two night shifts in a row

► How do we express this?

```
forall(n in NURSE, fd in 1..m-2)
    (sum(d in fd..fd+2) (bool2int(x[n,d] = night))
    <= 2);
```

► Yikes not very clear

► Use logical connectives to be explicit

```
forall(n in NURSE, d in 1..m-2)
    (x[n,d] = night /\ x[n,d+1] = night
    -> x[n,d+2] != night);
```

- No nurse has a night shift followed by day shift

```
forall(n in NURSE, d in 1..m-1)
    (x[n,d] = night -> x[n,d+1] != day);
```


Logical Connectives

► Boolean expressions

- `true`
- `false`
- `/\` conjunction
- `\/` disjunction
- `->` implication
- `<->` bi-implication or equality
- `not` negation

► Allow us to combine constraints in powerful ways

- But **beware** combining logical constraints and global constraints! More later ...

Nurse Constraints

► Constraints

- No nurse has more than two night shifts in a row
- No nurse has a night shift followed by day shift

► After two night shifts what is possible?

- only a dayoff

```
forall(n in NURSE, d in 1..m-2)
  (x[n,d] = night /\ x[n,d+1] = night
   -> x[n,d+2] = dayoff);
```

► Stronger constraint by combining information

Partitioning Problems

- ▶ Many times when we are partitioning a set we have to partition it with bounds on the size of the partitions
 - e.g. $\text{day} = 0, 1 \leq \text{night} \leq u$
- ▶ We have special constraints for partitioning with size bounds
 - `global_cardinality_low_up(x, v, lo, hi)`
 - Constrains $lo_i \leq \sum_{j \text{ in } 1..n} \text{bool2int}(x_j = v_i) \leq hi_i$
 - Bounds the count of the number of occurrences of v_i

Global Cardinality

► Replace

```
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = day)) = o);
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = night)) >= 1);
forall(d in DAY)
    (sum(n in NURSE)
        (bool2int(x[n,d] = night)) <= u);
```

► By

```
forall(d in DAY)
    (global_cardinality_low_up([x[n,d]
                                | n in NURSE ],
        [ day, night ], [ o, 1 ], [o, u]));
```


Global Cardinality Variants

- ▶ There are a number of variants of global cardinality

- ▶ Requiring that every value is counted

`global_cardinality_low_up_closed(x, v, l, u)`

– Additionally forall i . exists j . $x_i = v_j$

- ▶ Collecting the counts

`global_cardinality(x, v, c)`

– Constrains $c_i = \sum_{j \text{ in } 1..n} \text{bool2int}(x_j = v_i)$

- ▶ And collecting counts, and requiring every value is counted

`global_cardinality_closed(x, v, c)`

Pure Partitioning

Peter Stuckey

Pure Partitioning Problems

- ▶ Finding a function $f: \text{DOM} \rightarrow \text{COD}$
- ▶ Can be considered a **partitioning problem**
- ▶ But what if we simply want to partition DOM
 - COD is meaningless not given
- ▶ Easy case: bounded number of partitions k
 - COD = 1.. k ;
- ▶ Harder case: we don't know how many?

Pure Partitioning

- ▶ Partition DOM into k parts
- ▶ Simple array representation
`int[DOM] of var 1..k: x`
- ▶ Beware multiple representations
- ▶ e.g. DOM = 1..4, k = 3
 - $x = [1, 2, 1, 3]$; $x = [3, 1, 3, 2]$; $x = [3, 2, 3, 1]$
 - all represent partition $\{1, 3\} \{2\} \{4\}$

Multiple representations

- ▶ Add constraints to leave only one representative for each partition
- ▶ Value symmetry
 - each of the values $1..k$ are symmetric
 - we don't care about the names of the groups
- ▶ This feature arises in many discrete optimization problems

Removing value symmetry

- ▶ How to we enforce exactly one representation?
- ▶ Order the sets of the partition by their least element
 - e.g. $\{2\}, \{4\}, \{1,3\}$ is ordered $\{1,3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}$
 - unique representation $x = [1,2,1,3]$
- ▶ How do we enforce this constraint
 - the least element in partition i is less than the least element in partition $i+1$

```
forall(i in 1..k-1)
(  min([ j | j in DOM where x[j] = i]
    < min([ j | j in DOM where x[j] = i+1])));
```

Cluster Problem

- ▶ Given a set of n points in divide them into at most k clusters so that
 - no two points in the same cluster are more than maxdiam away from each other
 - maximize the minimal distance between any two points in different clusters

Cluster Problem Data

► Data defining the cluster instance.

```
int: n; % points to be clustered
set of int: POINT = 1..n;
array[POINT,POINT] of int: dist;
    % distance between two points
int: maxdist = max([ dist[i,j] | i,j in POINT]);

int: k; % number of clusters
set of int: CLUSTER = 1..k;

int: maxdiam;
```


Cluster Problem

► Decisions

```
array[POINT] of var CLUSTER: x;
```

► Value symmetry

```
forall(i in 1..k-1)
    ( min([ j | j in POINT where x[j] = i])
      < min([ j | j in POINT where x[j] = i+1]));
```

► Constraints: max distance within cluster

```
forall(i,j in POINT where i < j /\ x[i] = x[j])
    (dist[i,j] <= maxdiam);
```

► Objective

```
int: obj = min( i,j in POINT where i < j )
    (if x[i]=x[j] then maxdist else dist[i,j]
    endif);
solve maximize obj;
```


value_precede_chain

- ▶ MiniZinc includes a global constraint for removing value symmetry

```
value_precede_chain(array[int] of int: c,  
                    array[int] of var int: x)
```

- ▶ Enforces that the first occurrence of $c[i]$ in x is before the first occurrence of $c[i+1]$ in x
- ▶ We can replace our symmetry eliminating constraint by

```
value_precede_chain([ i | i in 1..k ], x)
```

Cluster Problem

- ▶ This formulation does not require exactly k clusters
 - there can be fewer
- ▶ We can easily add a constraint to enforce that each cluster has a member
 - lower bound = 1
 - upper bound = $n - k + 1$

```
global_cardinality_low_up_closed(x,  
    [ i | i in 1..k], [ 1 | i in 1..k],  
    [ n - k + 1 | i in 1..k ]);
```

Pure Partitioning

- ▶ What about when we don't know how many clusters
- ▶ Simple: there can be no more partitions than n
 - int: $k = n$;

Overview

- ▶ Pure partitioning with
 - bounded set of clusters k
 - known number of clusters k
 - unknown number of clusters
- ▶ Cluster numbers are irrelevant
 - induces a value symmetry
- ▶ Remove value symmetry with
`value_precede_chain`