BITS 2523 - Cyberlaw & Security Policy

Assignment 2 (10%)

This is an **INDIVIDUAL** assignment.

Based on your chosen journal article in Assignment 1, you are required to present a **research poster** to summarise the journal article for proper presentation in an academic conference.

Submission:

1 page poster (36x48) inches in size.

Fonts and content should be suitable for a research poster.

File format must be in pdf (convert to pdf format).

Failure to adhere to these rules will incur a penalty.

Please submit your completed assignments before Friday, 6th May 2022 at 5.00 pm.

Markings:

Individual overall marks - 10%

Requirements:

The poster should have the following sections:

Abstract (250 words maximum): The abstract should summarize the research presented including the research problem, summary of results, and important conclusions.

Introduction: The introduction should put the research into the context of the literature on the subject, indicate the significance of the research topic, and state the research problem under investigation.

Results: The results should visually present research data in an interpretable fashion such that a scientist not familiar with the work can understand the approach taken, results and analysis of results.

Conclusion: The conclusions that can be drawn from the research should be stated succinctly and should logically follow from the results presented. The research question is answered.

Good posters tend to not be cluttered with text and convey as much information as possible through figures and graphics.

Guide and reference:

https://guides.nyu.edu/posters/design-tips

 $https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3561/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3561/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3561/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3561/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3561/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/local_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/graphical_resources/documents/pdf_documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/graphical_resources/documents/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites/graphical_resources/https://urc.ucdavis.edu/sites$

Assessment:

The assessment marks are based on:

- i. Due date
- ii. Originality (Plagiarism (from any source) may result in a ZERO (0) mark)
- iii. Quality content
- iv. Rubric as shown below.

Criteria	Expert	Proficient	Apprentice	Novice
Presentation of Research (30 pts)	(30) Prominently positions title/authors of paper thoroughly but concisely presents main points of introduction, hypotheses/ propositions, research methods, results, and conclusions in a well-organized manner Narration and/or answering of questions is engaging, thorough, and adds greatly to the presentation	Contains title/authors of paper adequately presents main points of introduction, hypotheses/ proposition, research methods, results, and conclusions in a fairly well-organized manner Narration and/or answering of questions is adequate and adds to the presentation	Contains title/authors of paper presents main points of introduction, hypotheses/ propositions, research methods, results, and conclusions but not as sufficiently and not as well-organized Narration and/or answering of questions is somewhat lacking	Title/authors absent Does not sufficiently present main points of introduction, hypotheses/ propositions, research methods, results, and conclusions and is not well-organized Narration and/or answering of questions is lacking
Visual Presentation (30 pts)	(30) Overall visually appealing; not cluttered; colors and patterns enhance readability; Uses font	(24) Overall visually appealing; not cluttered; colors and	(18) Visual appeal is adequate; somewhat cluttered;	(12) Not very visually appealing; cluttered; colors and patterns hinder readability

Documentation and Quality of Sources	and enhance the text content is clearly arranged so that the viewer can understand order without narration (8) Cites all data obtained	Graphics (e.g. tables, figures, etc.) enhance the text content is arranged so that the viewer can understand order without narration (6) Cites most data obtained	research is somewhat inconsistent/distractions Graphics (e.g., tables, figures, etc.) adequately enhance the text Content arrangement is somewhat confusing and does not adequately assist the viewer in understanding order without narration (4) Cites some data obtained	Content arrangement is somewhat confusing and does not adequately assist the viewer in understanding order without narration (3) Does not cite sources.
f	sizes/variations which facilitate the organization, presentation, and readability of the research Graphics (e.g., tables, figures, etc.) are engaging	patterns support readability Adequate use of font sizes/variations to facilitate the organization, presentation, and readability of the research	colors and patterns detract from readability Use of font sizes/variations to facilitate the organization, presentation, and readability of the	Use of font sizes/variations to facilitate the organization, presentation, and readability of the research is inconsistent/distracting Graphics (e.g., tables, figures, etc.) do not enhance the text

	/IEEE citation style is accurate	/IEEE citation style is accurate	Citation style is either inconsistent or incorrect.	
Spelling & Grammar (7 pts)	(7) No spelling & grammar mistakes	(5) Minimal spelling & grammar mistakes	(3) Noticeable spelling and grammar mistakes	(1) Excessive spelling and/or grammar mistakes
Overall Impression (5 pts)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)
Total (80 pts)				