
Prime-Capturing Polynomial!!?

Nripendra Kumar Deb

October 2024

Problem : Show that there exists **no** non-constant multivariate polynomial f with integer coefficients such that for all naturals x_1, \dots, x_n we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a prime.

Solution: It is enough to prove that there is no such uni-variate polynomial. To the contrary let's assume f is such a uni-variate polynomial. We will be using the fact that

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m} \implies f(a) \equiv f(b) \pmod{m}$$

We choose some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ¹ $|f(n_0)| > 1$ and let $p = |f(n_0)|$, which must be a prime by our hypothesis. Then we have

$$f(n_0 + pt) \equiv f(n_0) \pmod{p} \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

But since p divides $f(n_0)$ hence p must divide $f(n_0 + pt)$. But since $f(n_0 + pt)$ is a prime so we have $|f(n_0 + pt)| = p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, which contradicts the fact that $|f(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. \square

HW 2 (ii): Show that there exists **no** non-constant multivariate polynomial f with rational coefficients such that for all naturals x_1, \dots, x_n we have $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a prime.

Solution: It is enough to prove that there is no such uni-variate polynomial. To the contrary let's assume f is such a uni-variate polynomial. Note that we can write

$$f(x) = \frac{g(x)}{d}, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

Let $f(1) = p$ which is a prime by our hypothesis. Then $g(1) = pd$ and we have

$$g(1 + pdt) \equiv g(1) \pmod{pd} \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence pd divides $g(1 + pdt)$ and thus p divides $f(1 + pdt) = \frac{g(1 + pdt)}{d}$. But $f(1 + pdt)$ is a prime so $|f(1 + pdt)| = p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. It contradicts the fact that f is a non-constant polynomial. \square

¹From our hypothesis $n_0 = 1$ also works