1. Risk Management Framework (ISO 31000 Applied)

Key Terms Applied

- Asset: User credentials and project data.
- Threat: Phishing attack, DoS attempt.
- Vulnerability: Weak passwords, unpatched systems.
- Control: MFA, logging, encryption, backups.

Governance Structure

- CISO: Oversees risk management.
- IT Security Team: Implements technical controls.
- Incident Response Lead: Manages breach handling and escalation.
- Escalation Procedures: Incidents reported → IR Lead → CISO → Executive board (if high-impact).

Regulatory Compliance Matrix (HIPAA Example)

| Control Area | HIPAA Requirement | Project Implementation |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Access Control | Unique IDs, role-based access | MFA + least privilege access policies |
| Encryption | Encrypt PHI data at rest & transit | AES + SSL/TLS on sensitive data |
| Breach Notification | Report breaches within 60 days | IR plan includes notification protocol |

Visual Framework (Alignment)

 ISO 31000 framework connects risk identification → assessment → evaluation → mitigation → monitoringdirectly into project lifecycle.

2. Risk Assessment Techniques

Identification Methods

- Brainstorming: Security team identifies phishing and malware risks.
- Checklists: Use NIST controls list for standard threats.
- SWOT Analysis:
 - o Strengths: Encryption, MFA.
 - Weaknesses: Limited user awareness.
 - Opportunities: Better automation.

o Threats: Phishing campaigns.

Qualitative Analysis – 5x5 Risk Matrix

- Likelihood (1–5) × Impact (1–5).
- Example: Phishing → Likelihood = 4, Impact = 4 → Score = 16 (High).

Quantitative Analysis

- EMV (Expected Monetary Value): \$100,000 potential loss × 0.3 probability = \$30,000 risk exposure.
- ALE (Annualized Loss Expectancy): If one phishing breach costs \$20,000 and happens twice yearly, ALE = \$40,000.

Threat/Vulnerability Assessment Findings

• Threat: Phishing. Vulnerability: Weak passwords. Asset: Credentials. Control: MFA.

Risk Register (Excerpt)

| Threat | Likelihood | Impact | Risk Score | Control | Status |
|----------|------------|--------|------------|---------------|--------|
| Phishing | 4 | 4 | 16 (High) | MFA, training | Active |
| DoS | 3 | 5 | 15 (High) | Rate-limiting | Active |

3. Risk Evaluation

Multi-Criteria Model

Weights: Financial (30%), Operational (25%), Compliance (25%), Reputation (20%).

Business Impact Analysis (BIA)

- RTO (Recovery Time Objective): 12 hours.
- RPO (Recovery Point Objective): 1 hour of data loss acceptable.
- MTD (Maximum Tolerable Downtime): 48 hours.

Critical Asset Register

| Asset | Threat | Vulnerability | Dependency | Exposure |
|-------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Credentials | Phishing | Weak passwords | Authentication system | High |

Availability DoS Lack of scaling Web server High

4. Risk Mitigation Planning

Controls (ISO 27001/NIST CSF)

- Technical: MFA, IDS, encryption.
- Administrative: Security policies, training.
- Physical: Secure server storage.

Treatment Options

- Mitigate: MFA reduces phishing risk.
- Avoid: Eliminate unused admin accounts.
- Transfer: Cyber insurance.
- Accept: Low-impact risks with minimal exposure.

Residual Risk

Phishing reduced from High to Medium with MFA + training.

5. Risk Communication

Executive Risk Report (Key Findings)

- High risk of phishing mitigated with MFA.
- DoS risks addressed with rate-limiting.
- ALE for phishing: \$40,000 → reduced to \$10,000 post-controls.

Stakeholder Communication Plan

- Audience: Executives, IT staff, users.
- Message: Tailored executives get financial impact, IT staff get technical details, users get awareness training.
- Schedule: Monthly reports + immediate breach notifications.

Visual Dashboard

- Metrics: # of incidents, detection time, downtime, ALE reduction.
- Automated alerts: Sent when thresholds exceeded.

6. Risk Management Implementation

Program Plan

• Phase 1: Identify & assess risks.

• Phase 2: Apply controls & mitigation strategies.

Phase 3: Continuous monitoring.

Framework Used: ISO 31000 customized for project scope.

Gap Analysis: Weak user training → add awareness program.

Continuous Monitoring

Automated IDS alerts.

- Risk register updated quarterly.
- KPIs track response times, incident frequency, financial losses.

Final Wrap-Up

This single document combines:

- Incident Response Plan
- Security Policies & CIA Triad Protections
- Encryption/Hashing Demonstrations
- Legal & Ethical Compliance
- Full Risk Management Program (ISO 31000)

The mini threat model project is now tied directly to professional cybersecurity standards, risk management practices, and compliance requirements, creating a polished package suitable for executive review or academic grading.