# Quantum numbers and Angular Momentum Algebra

### Morten Hjorth-Jensen<sup>1</sup>

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA<sup>1</sup>

### Spring 2016

© 2013-2016, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

### Quantum numbers

#### Outline

- ► Discussion of single-particle and two-particle quantum numbers, uncoupled and coupled schemes
- ▶ Discussion of nuclear forces and models thereof (this material will not be covered in depth this spring)

For quantum numbers, chapter 1 on angular momentum and chapter 5 of Suhonen. See also chapters 5, 12 and 13 of Alex Brown. For models of the nuclear forces, see present lectures and pdf files. For a good discussion of isospin, see Alex Brown's lecture notes chapter 12, 13 and 19.

In order to understand the basics of the nucleon-nucleon interaction, we need to define the relevant quantum numbers and how we build up a single-particle state and a two-body state.

- For the single-particle states, due to the fact that we have the spin-orbit force, the quantum numbers for the projection of orbital momentum I, that is  $m_I$ , and for spin s, that is  $m_s$ , are no longer so-called good quantum numbers. The total angular momentum j and its projection  $m_j$  are then so-called good quantum numbers.
- ► This means that the operator  $\hat{J}^2$  does not commute with  $\hat{L}_z$  or  $\hat{S}_z$ .
- ▶ We also start normally with single-particle state functions defined using say the harmonic oscillator. For these functions, we have no explicit dependence on j. How can we introduce single-particle wave functions which have j and its projection m<sub>j</sub> as quantum numbers?

We have that the operators for the orbital momentum are given by

$$L_{x} = -i\hbar(y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) = yp_{z} - zp_{y},$$

$$L_{y} = -i\hbar(z\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = zp_{x} - xp_{z},$$

$$L_{z} = -i\hbar(x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) = xp_{y} - yp_{x}.$$

Since we have a spin orbit force which is strong, it is easy to show that the total angular momentum operator

$$\hat{J} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}$$

does not commute with  $\hat{L}_z$  and  $\hat{S}_z$ . To see this, we calculate for example

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{L}_{z}, \hat{J}^{2}] &= [\hat{L}_{z}, (\hat{L} + \hat{S})^{2}] \\ &= [\hat{L}_{z}, \hat{L}^{2} + \hat{S}^{2} + 2\hat{L}\hat{S}] \\ &= [\hat{L}_{z}, \hat{L}\hat{S}] = [\hat{L}_{z}, \hat{L}_{x}\hat{S}_{x} + \hat{L}_{y}\hat{S}_{y} + \hat{L}_{z}\hat{S}_{z}] \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

since we have that  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_x] = i\hbar \hat{L}_y$  and  $[\hat{L}_z, \hat{L}_y] = i\hbar \hat{L}_x$ .

We have also

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar \sqrt{J(J+1)},$$

with the the following degeneracy

$$M_J = -J, -J+1, \ldots, J-1, J.$$

With a given value of L and S we can then determine the possible values of J by studying the z component of  $\hat{J}$ . It is given by

$$\hat{J}_z = \hat{L}_z + \hat{S}_z.$$

The operators  $\hat{L}_z$  and  $\hat{S}_z$  have the quantum numbers  $L_z = M_L \hbar$  and  $S_z = M_S \hbar$ , respectively, meaning that

$$M_J\hbar=M_L\hbar+M_S\hbar,$$

or

For nucleons we have that the maximum value of  $M_S=m_s=1/2$ , yielding

$$(m_j)_{\max}=I+\frac{1}{2}.$$

Using this and the fact that the maximum value of  $M_J=m_j$  is j we have

$$j = l + \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{1}{2}, l - \frac{3}{2}, l - \frac{5}{2}, \dots$$

To decide where this series terminates, we use the vector inequality

$$|\hat{L} + \hat{S}| \ge \left||\hat{L}| - |\hat{S}|\right|.$$

Using  $\hat{J} = \hat{L} + \hat{S}$  we get

$$|\hat{J}| \ge |\hat{L}| - |\hat{S}|,$$

or

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar \sqrt{J(J+1)} \geq |\hbar \sqrt{L(L+1)} - \hbar \sqrt{S(S+1)}|.$$

If we limit ourselves to nucleons only with s=1/2 we find that

$$|\hat{J}| = \hbar \sqrt{j(j+1)} \ge |\hbar \sqrt{I(I+1)} - \hbar \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}+1)}|.$$

It is then easy to show that for nucleons there are only two possible values of j which satisfy the inequality, namely

$$j = l + \frac{1}{2}$$
 or  $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

and with I = 0 we get

$$j=\frac{1}{2}$$
.

Let us study some selected examples. We need also to keep in mind that parity is conserved. The strong and electromagnetic Hamiltonians conserve parity. Thus the eigenstates can be broken down into two classes of states labeled by their parity  $\pi=+1$  or  $\pi=-1$ . The nuclear interactions do not mix states with different parity.

For nuclear structure the total parity originates from the intrinsic parity of the nucleon which is  $\pi_{\mathrm{intrinsic}} = +1$  and the parities associated with the orbital angular momenta  $\pi_I = (-1)^I$ . The total parity is the product over all nucleons

$$\pi = \prod_i \pi_{\text{intrinsic}}(i)\pi_I(i) = \prod_i (-1)^{I_i}$$

The basis states we deal with are constructed so that they conserve parity and have thus a definite parity.

Note that we do have parity violating processes, more on this later although our focus will be mainly on non-parity viloating processes

Consider now the single-particle orbits of the 1s0d shell. For a 0d state we have the quantum numbers I=2,  $m_I=-2,-1,0,1,2$ , s+1/2,  $m_s=\pm 1/2$ , n=0 (the number of nodes of the wave function). This means that we have positive parity and

$$j = \frac{3}{2} = l - s$$
  $m_j = -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}.$ 

and

$$j = \frac{5}{2} = l + s$$
  $m_j = -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}.$ 

Our single-particle wave functions, if we use the harmonic oscillator, do however not contain the quantum numbers j and  $m_j$ . Normally what we have is an eigenfunction for the one-body problem defined as

$$\phi_{nlm_lsm_s}(r,\theta,\phi) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm_l}(\theta,\phi)\xi_{sm_s},$$

where we have used spherical coordinates (with a spherically symmetric potential) and the spherical harmonics

$$Y_{lm_l}(\theta,\phi) = P(\theta)F(\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l-m_l)!}{4\pi(l+m_l)!}}P_l^{m_l}(cos(\theta))\exp(im_l\phi),$$

with  $P_l^{m_l}$  being the so-called associated Legendre polynomials.

Examples are

$$Y_{00}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}},$$

for  $I = m_I = 0$ ,

$$Y_{10}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}cos(\theta),$$

for I=1 and  $m_I=0$ ,

$$Y_{1\pm1} = \sqrt{rac{3}{8\pi}} sin( heta) exp(\pm i\phi),$$

for I=1 and  $m_I=\pm 1$ ,

$$Y_{20} = \sqrt{rac{5}{16\pi}}(3cos^2( heta) - 1)$$

for I=2 and  $m_I=0$  etc.

How can we get a function in terms of j and  $m_j$ ? Define now

$$\phi_{nlm_lsm_s}(r,\theta,\phi) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm_l}(\theta,\phi)\xi_{sm_s},$$

and

$$\psi_{njm_j;lm_lsm_s}(r,\theta,\phi),$$

as the state with quantum numbers  $jm_j$ . Operating with

$$\hat{j}^2 = (\hat{l} + \hat{s})^2 = \hat{l}^2 + \hat{s}^2 + 2\hat{l}_z\hat{s}_z + \hat{l}_+\hat{s}_- + \hat{l}_-\hat{s}_+,$$

on the latter state we will obtain admixtures from possible  $\phi_{nlm_l sm_s}(r,\theta,\phi)$  states.

To see this, we consider the following example and fix

$$j=\frac{3}{2}=l-s \qquad m_j=\frac{3}{2}.$$

and

$$j=\frac{5}{2}=l+s \qquad m_j=\frac{3}{2}.$$

It means we can have, with l=2 and s=1/2 being fixed, in order to have  $m_j=3/2$  either  $m_l=1$  and  $m_s=1/2$  or  $m_l=2$  and  $m_s=-1/2$ . The two states

$$\psi_{n=0} = 5/2m = 3/2 : l = 2s = 1/2$$

and

$$\psi_{n=0} = 3/2 m_i = 3/2; l=2s=1/2$$

will have admixtures from  $\phi_{n=0/=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  and  $\phi_{n=0/=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2}$ . How do we find these admixtures? Note that we don't specify the values of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  in the functions  $\psi$ 

We operate with

$$\hat{j}^2 = (\hat{l} + \hat{s})^2 = \hat{l}^2 + \hat{s}^2 + 2\hat{l}_z\hat{s}_z + \hat{l}_+\hat{s}_- + \hat{l}_-\hat{s}_+$$

on the two  $jm_i$  states, that is

$$\hat{j}^2 \psi_{n=0j=5/2m_j=3/2; l=2s=1/2} = \alpha \hbar^2 [I(I+1) + \frac{3}{4} + 2m_l m_s] \phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s}$$
$$\beta \hbar^2 \sqrt{I(I+1) - m_l (m_l - 1)} \phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2},$$

and

$$\hat{j}^2 \psi_{n=0j=3/2m_j=3/2; l=2s=1/2} = \alpha \hbar^2 [I(I+1) + \frac{3}{4} + 2m_I m_s] + \phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2l}$$

$$\beta \hbar^2 \sqrt{I(I+1) - m_I(m_I+1)} \phi_{n=0l=2m_I=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}.$$

This means that the eigenvectors  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  etc are not eigenvectors of  $\hat{j}^2$ . The above problems gives a  $2\times 2$  matrix that mixes the vectors  $\psi_{n=0j=5/2m_j3/2;l=2m_ls=1/2m_s}$  and  $\psi_{n=0j=3/2m_j3/2;l=2m_ls=1/2m_s}$  with the states  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=2s=1/2m_s=-1/2}$  and  $\phi_{n=0l=2m_l=1s=1/2m_s=1/2}$ . The unknown coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  results from eigenvectors of this matrix. That is, inserting all values  $m_l$ , l,  $m_s$ , s we obtain the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 19/4 & 2 \\ 2 & 31/4 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose eigenvectors are the columns of

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} 2/\sqrt{5} & 1/\sqrt{5} \\ 1/\sqrt{5} & -2/\sqrt{5} \end{array}\right]$$

These numbers define the so-called Clebsch-Gordan coupling coefficients (the overlaps between the two basis sets). We can thus write

### Clebsch-Gordan coefficients

The Clebsch-Gordan coeffficients  $\langle Im_I sm_s | jm_j \rangle$  have some interesting properties for us, like the following orthogonality relations

$$\sum_{m_1m_2}\langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|JM\rangle\langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|J'M'\rangle=\delta_{J,J'}\delta_{M,M'},$$

$$\sum_{JM}\langle j_1m_1j_2m_2|JM\rangle\langle j_1m_1'j_2m_2'|JM\rangle=\delta_{m_1,m_1'}\delta_{m_2,m_2'},$$

$$\langle j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2 | JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_1+j_2-J} \langle j_2 m_2 j_1 m_1 | JM \rangle,$$

and many others. The latter will turn extremely useful when we are going to define two-body states and interactions in a coupled basis.

## Quantum numbers and the Schroeodinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

Summing up, for for the single-particle case, we have the following eigenfunctions  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\psi_{njm_j;ls} = \sum_{m_lm_s} \langle Im_l sm_s | jm_j \rangle \phi_{nlm_l sm_s},$$

where the coefficients  $\langle Im_I sm_s | jm_j \rangle$  are the so-called Clebsch-Gordan coeffficients. The relevant quantum numbers are n (related to the principal quantum number and the number of nodes of the wave function) and

$$\begin{split} \hat{j}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 j (j+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{j}_z \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar m_j \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{l}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 l (l+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \\ \hat{s}^2 \psi_{njm_j;ls} &= \hbar^2 s (s+1) \psi_{njm_j;ls}, \end{split}$$

# Quantum numbers and the Schroedinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

For a two-body state where we couple two angular momenta  $j_1$  and  $j_2$  to a final angular momentum J with projection  $M_J$ , we can define a similar transformation in terms of the Clebsch-Gordan coeffficients

$$\psi_{(j_1j_2)JM_J} = \sum_{m_{j_1}m_{j_2}} \langle j_1 m_{j_1} j_2 m_{j_2} | JM_J \rangle \psi_{n_1j_1m_{j_1}; l_1s_1} \psi_{n_2j_2m_{j_2}; l_2s_2}.$$

We will write these functions in a more compact form hereafter, namely,

$$|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle = \psi_{(j_1j_2)JM_J}$$

and

$$|j_i m_{j_i}\rangle = \psi_{n_i j_i m_{i_i}; l_i s_i},$$

where we have skipped the explicit reference to I, s and n. The spin of a nucleon is always 1/2 while the value of I can be deduced from the parity of the state. It is thus normal to label a state with

# Quantum numbers and the Schroedinger equation in relative and CM coordinates

Our two-body state can thus be written as

$$|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \langle j_1m_{j_1}j_2m_{j_2}|JM_J\rangle |j_1m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

Due to the coupling order of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient it reads as  $j_1$  coupled to  $j_2$  to yield a final angular momentum J. If we invert the order of coupling we would have

$$|(j_2j_1)JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m:m:} \langle j_2m_{j_2}j_1m_{j_1}|JM_J\rangle |j_1m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2m_{j_2}\rangle,$$

and due to the symmetry properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient we have

$$|(j_2j_1)JM_J\rangle=(-1)^{j_1+j_2-J}\sum_{m_i,m_i}\langle j_1m_{j_1}j_2m_{j_2}|JM_J\rangle|j_1m_{j_1}\rangle|j_2m_{j_2}
angle=(-1)^{j_1+j_2-J}$$

### Quantum numbers

We have thus the coupled basis

$$|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle = \sum_{m_{j_1}m_{j_2}} \langle j_1m_{j_1}j_2m_{j_2}|JM_J\rangle |j_1m_{j_1}\rangle |j_2m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

and the uncoupled basis

$$|j_1m_{j_1}\rangle|j_2m_{j_2}\rangle.$$

The latter can easily be generalized to many single-particle states whereas the first needs specific coupling coefficients and definitions of coupling orders. The *m*-scheme basis is easy to implement numerically and is used in most standard shell-model codes. Our coupled basis obeys also the following relations

$$\hat{J}^2|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle = \hbar^2J(J+1)|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle$$
  
 $\hat{J}_z|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle = \hbar M_J|(j_1j_2)JM_J\rangle,$ 

## Components of the force and isospin

The nuclear forces are almost charge independent. If we assume they are, we can introduce a new quantum number which is conserved. For nucleons only, that is a proton and neutron, we can limit ourselves to two possible values which allow us to distinguish between the two particles. If we assign an isospin value of  $\tau=1/2$  for protons and neutrons (they belong to an isospin doublet, in the same way as we discussed the spin 1/2 multiplet), we can define the neutron to have isospin projection  $\tau_z=+1/2$  and a proton to have  $\tau_z=-1/2$ . These assignements are the standard choices in low-energy nuclear physics.

This leads to the introduction of an additional quantum number called isospin. We can define a single-nucleon state function in terms of the quantum numbers n, j,  $m_j$ , l, s,  $\tau$  and  $\tau_z$ . Using our definitions in terms of an uncoupled basis, we had

$$\psi_{\mathit{njm_j};\mathit{ls}} = \sum_{\mathit{m_lm_s}} \langle \mathit{lm_lsm_s}|\mathit{jm_j}\rangle \phi_{\mathit{nlm_lsm_s}},$$

which we can now extend to

$$\psi_{\textit{njm}_{j};\textit{ls}}\xi_{\tau\tau_{z}} = \sum_{\textit{m}_{l}\textit{m}_{s}} \langle \textit{Im}_{l}\textit{sm}_{s}|\textit{jm}_{j}\rangle \phi_{\textit{nlm}_{l}\textit{sm}_{s}}\xi_{\tau\tau_{z}},$$

with the isospin spinors defined as

$$\xi_{\tau=1/2\tau_z=+1/2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),$$

and

We can in turn define the isospin Pauli matrices (in the same as we define the spin matrices) as

$$\hat{ au}_{\mathsf{x}} = \left( egin{array}{cc} \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{1} \ \mathsf{1} & \mathsf{0} \end{array} 
ight),$$

$$\hat{ au}_{y} = \left( egin{array}{cc} 0 & -\imath \ \imath & 0 \end{array} 
ight),$$

and

$$\hat{\tau}_z = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right),$$

and operating with  $\hat{\tau}_z$  on the proton state function we have

$$\hat{ au}_z \psi^p(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \psi^p(\mathbf{r}),$$

and for neutrons we have

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\alpha}(n(\mathbf{r})) = \frac{1}{\alpha(n(\mathbf{r}))}$$

We can now define the so-called charge operator as

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \hat{\tau}_z) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{cases},$$

which results in

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e}\psi^{p}(\mathbf{r})=\psi^{p}(\mathbf{r}),$$

and

$$\frac{\hat{Q}}{e}\psi^n(\mathbf{r})=0,$$

as it should be.

The total isospin is defined as

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \hat{\tau}_i,$$

and its corresponding isospin projection as

$$\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \hat{ au}_{z_i},$$

with eigenvalues T(T+1) for  $\hat{T}$  and 1/2(N-Z) for  $\hat{T}_z$ , where N is the number of neutrons and Z the number of protons. If charge is conserved, the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  commutes with  $\hat{T}_z$  and all members of a given isospin multiplet (that is the same value of T) have the same energy and there is no  $T_z$  dependence and we say that  $\hat{H}$  is a scalar in isospin space.

### Two-body matrix elements

Till now we have not said anything about the explicit calculation of two-body matrix elements. It is time to amend this deficiency. We have till now seen the following definitions of a two-body matrix elements. In m-scheme with quantum numbers  $p=j_pm_p$  etc we have a two-body state defined as

$$|(pq)M\rangle = a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  is a chosen reference state, say for example the Slater determinant which approximates  $^{16}\text{O}$  with the 0s and the 0p shells being filled, and  $M=m_p+m_q$ . Recall that we label single-particle states above the Fermi level as  $abcd\ldots$  and states below the Fermi level for  $ijkl\ldots$  In case of two-particles in the single-particle states a and b outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ , we would write the representation of the Slater determinant as

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |(ab)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger}a_b^{\dagger}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}\rangle.$$

In case of two-particles removed from say <sup>16</sup>O for example two

For a one-hole-one-particle state we have

$$|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{1p1h} = |(ai)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger}a_i|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi_i^a\rangle,$$

and finally for a two-particle-two-hole state we

$$|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{2p2h} = |\big(abij\big)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_j a_i|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}_{ij}\rangle.$$

Let us go back to the case of two-particles in the single-particle states a and b outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ . The representation of the Slater determinant is

$$|^{18}{
m O}
angle = |(ab)M
angle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger|^{16}{
m O}
angle = |\Phi^{ab}
angle.$$

The anti-symmetrized matrix element is detailed as

$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = \langle (j_am_aj_bm_b)M = m_a+m_b|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a+m_b|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M$$

and note that anti-symmetrization means

$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = -\langle (ba)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = \langle (ba)M|\hat{V}|(dc)M\rangle,$$
$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = -\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(dc)M\rangle.$$

This matrix element is the expectation value of

$$\langle ^{16}{
m O}|a_ba_arac{1}{4}\sum \langle (pq)M|\hat{V}|(rs)M'
angle a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}a_sa_ra_c^{\dagger}a_c^{\dagger}|^{16}{
m O}
angle.$$

We have also defined matrix elements in the coupled basis, the so-called J-coupled scheme. In this case the two-body wave function for two neutrons outside  $^{16}O$  is written as

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{J} = |(ab)JM\rangle = \left\{a_{a}^{\dagger}a_{b}^{\dagger}\right\}_{M}^{J}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = N_{ab}\sum_{m_{a}m_{b}}\langle j_{a}m_{a}j_{b}m_{b}|JM\rangle|\Phi^{ab}\rangle,$$

with

$$|\Phi^{ab}\rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger}|^{16} \mathrm{O}\rangle.$$

We have now an explicit coupling order, where the angular momentum  $j_a$  is coupled to the angular momentum  $j_b$  to yield a final two-body angular momentum J. The normalization factor (to be derived below) is

$$N_{ab} = rac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ab} imes (-1)^J}}{1 + \delta_{ab}}.$$

The implementation of the Pauli principle looks different in the *J*-scheme compared with the *m*-scheme. In the latter, no two fermions or more can have the same set of quantum numbers. In the *J*-scheme, when we write a state with the shorthand

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_J = |(ab)JM\rangle,$$

we do refer to the angular momenta only. This means that another way of writing the last state is

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_J = |(j_a j_b)JM\rangle.$$

We will use this notation throughout when we refer to a two-body state in J-scheme. The Kronecker  $\delta$  function in the normalization factor refers thus to the values of  $j_a$  and  $j_b$ . If two identical particles are in a state with the same j-value, then only even values of the total angular momentum apply.

Note also that, using the anti-commuting properties of the creation operators, we obtain

$$N_{ab}\sum_{m_am_b}\langle j_am_aj_bm_b|JM>|\Phi^{ab}
angle=-N_{ab}\sum_{m_am_b}\langle j_am_aj_bm_b|JM
angle|\Phi^{ba}
angle.$$

Furthermore, using the property of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient

$$\langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J} \langle j_b m_b j_a m_a | JM \rangle,$$

which can be used to show that

$$|(j_b j_a)JM\rangle = \left\{a_b^\dagger a_a^\dagger
ight\}_M^J|^{16}\mathrm{O}
angle = N_{ab}\sum_{m,m}\langle j_b m_b j_a m_a |JM
angle |\Phi^{ba}
angle,$$

is equal to

$$|(j_b j_a) JM\rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} |(j_a j_b) JM\rangle.$$

This relation is important since we will need it when using

The two-body matrix element is a scalar and since it obeys rotational symmetry, it is diagonal in J, meaning that the corresponding matrix element in J-scheme is

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = N_{ab} N_{cd} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c m_d} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle$$

$$\times \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle$$
, note that of the four *m*-values in the above sum, only three are

and note that of the four m-values in the above sum, only three are independent due to the constraint  $m_a + m_b = M = m_c + m_d$ . Since

$$|(j_bj_a)JM\rangle=(-1)^{j_a+j_b-J+1}|(j_aj_b)JM\rangle,$$

the anti-symmetrized matrix elements need now to obey the following relations

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} \langle (j_b j_a) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle,$$

$$\langle (i : i) JM | \hat{V} | (i : i) JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_c + j_d - J + 1} \langle (i : i) JM | \hat{V} | (i : i) JM \rangle$$

Using the orthogonality properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

$$\sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J'M' \rangle = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'},$$

and

$$\sum_{JM} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM 
angle \langle j_a m_a' j_b m_b' | JM 
angle = \delta_{m_a m_a'} \delta_{m_b m_b'},$$

we can also express the two-body matrix element in m-scheme in terms of that in J-scheme, that is, if we multiply with

$$\sum_{M,l,M'} \langle j_a m'_a j_b m'_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m'_c j_d m'_d | J'M' \rangle$$

from left in

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = N_{ab} N_{cd} \sum \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle$$

we obtain

$$\langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{ab} N_{cd}} \sum_{JM} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d \rangle$$

$$\times \langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle$$
.

#### Exercise 12

A simple parametrization of the nucleon-nucleon force is given by what is called the  $V_8$  potential model, where we have kept eight different operators. These operators contain a central force, a spin-orbit force, a spin-spin force and a tensor force. Several features of the nuclei can be explained in terms of these four components. Without the Pauli matrices for isospin the final form of such an interaction model results in the following form:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ C_c + C_\sigma \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + C_T \left( 1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right.$$
$$\left. + C_{SL} \left( \frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r}$$

where  $m_{\alpha}$  is the mass of the relevant meson and  $S_{12}$  is the familiar tensor term. The various coefficients  $C_i$  are normally fitted so that the potential reproduces experimental scattering cross sections. By adding terms which include the isospin Pauli matrices results in an interaction model with eight operators

### Angular momentum algebra

- ▶ We need to define the so-called 6*j* and 9*j* symbols
- The Wigner-Eckart theorem
- We will also study some specific examples, like the calculation of the tensor force.

Here you can look up Alex Brown's chapter 5 and Suhonen's chapters 1 and 2.

We define an irreducible spherical tensor  $T_\mu^\lambda$  of rank  $\lambda$  as an operator with  $2\lambda+1$  components  $\mu$  that satisfies the commutation relations  $(\hbar=1)$ 

$$[J_{\pm}, T_{\mu}^{\lambda}] = \sqrt{(\lambda \mp \mu)(\lambda \pm \mu + 1)} T_{\mu \pm 1}^{\lambda},$$

and

$$[J_z, T^{\lambda}_{\mu}] = \mu T^{\lambda}_{\mu}.$$

Our angular momentum coupled two-body wave function obeys clearly this definition, namely

$$|(ab)JM\rangle = \left\{a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger\right\}_M^J |\Phi_0\rangle = N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b |JM\rangle |\Phi^{ab}\rangle,$$

is a tensor of rank J with M components. Another well-known example is given by the spherical harmonics (see examples during today's lecture).

The product of two irreducible tensor operators

$$T_{\mu_3}^{\lambda_3} = \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \langle \lambda_1 \mu_1 \lambda_2 \mu_2 | \lambda_3 \mu_3 \rangle T_{\mu_1}^{\lambda_1} T_{\mu_2}^{\lambda_2}$$

is also a tensor operator of rank  $\lambda_3$ .

We wish to apply the above definitions to the computations of a matrix element

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle,$$

where we have skipped a reference to specific single-particle states. This is the expectation value for two specific states, labelled by angular momenta J' and J. These states form an orthonormal basis. Using the properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we can write

$$T_{\mu}^{\lambda}|\Phi_{M'}^{J'}\rangle=\sum_{J''M''}\langle\lambda\mu J'M'|J''M''\rangle|\Psi_{M''}^{J''}\rangle,$$

and assuming that states with different J and M are orthonormal we arrive at

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle.$$

We need to show that

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle$$
,

is independent of M. To show that

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle$$
,

is independent of M, we use the ladder operators for angular momentum.

We have that

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^{J} | \Psi_{M+1}^{J} \rangle = ((J-M)(J+M+1))^{-1/2} \langle \hat{J}_{+} \Phi_{M}^{J} | \Psi_{M+1}^{J} \rangle,$$

but this is also equal to

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle = \big( (J-M)(J+M+1) \big)^{-1/2} \, \langle \Phi_M^J | \hat{J}_- \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle,$$

meaning that

$$\langle \Phi_{M+1}^J | \Psi_{M+1}^J \rangle = \langle \Phi_M^J | \Psi_M^J \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi_M^J || \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} || \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle.$$

The double bars indicate that this expectation value is independent of the projection M.

The Wigner-Eckart theorem for an expectation value can then be written as

$$\langle \Phi_{M}^{J} | T_{\mu}^{\lambda} | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle \Phi^{J} | | T^{\lambda} | | \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

The double bars indicate that this expectation value is independent of the projection M. We can manipulate the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients using the relations

$$\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle = (-1)^{\lambda + J' - J} \langle J' M' \lambda \mu | JM \rangle$$

and

$$\langle J'M'\lambda\mu|JM\rangle = (-1)^{J'-M'}\frac{\sqrt{2J+1}}{\sqrt{2J+1}}\langle J'M'J-M|\lambda-\mu\rangle,$$

together with the so-called 3j symbols. It is then normal to encounter the Wigner-Eckart theorem in the form

. ( 1 \ " \ .

The 3i symbols obey the symmetry relation

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{array}\right) = (-1)^p \left(\begin{array}{ccc} j_a & j_b & j_c \\ m_a & m_b & m_c \end{array}\right),$$

with  $(-1)^p = 1$  when the columns a, b, c are even permutations of the columns  $1, 2, 3, p = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$  when the columns a, b, c are odd permutations of the columns 1, 2, 3 and  $p = j_1 + j_2 + j_3$  when all the magnetic quantum numbers  $m_i$  change sign. Their orthogonality is given by

$$\sum_{j_3,m_3} (2j_3+1) \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_{1'} & m_{2'} & m_3 \end{pmatrix} = \delta_{m_1 m_{1'}} \delta_{m_2 m_{2'}},$$

and

$$\sum_{m_1, m_2} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_{3'} \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_{3'} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{(2j_3+1)} \delta_{j_3j_{3'}} \delta_{m_3m_{3'}}.$$

For later use, the following special cases for the Clebsch-Gordan and 3j symbols are rather useful

$$\langle JMJ'M'|00\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{J-M}}{\sqrt{2J+1}}\delta_{JJ'}\delta_{MM'}.$$

and

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc} J\&1 & J \\ -M\&0 & M' \end{array} \right) = (-1)^{J-M} \frac{M}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(J+1)}} \delta_{MM'}.$$

Using 3j symbols we rewrote the Wigner-Eckart theorem as

$$\langle \Phi_M^J | T_\mu^\lambda | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv (-1)^{J-M} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J & \lambda & J' \\ -M & \mu & M' \end{array} \right) \langle \Phi^J || T^\lambda || \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

Multiplying from the left with the same 3j symbol and summing over  $M, \mu, M'$  we obtain the equivalent relation

$$\langle \Phi^J || T^{\lambda} || \Phi^{J'} \rangle \equiv \sum_{M,\mu,M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & \lambda & J' \\ -M & \mu & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi^J_M | T^{\lambda}_{\mu} | \Phi^{J'}_{M'} \rangle,$$

where we used the orthogonality properties of the 3j symbols from the previous page.

This relation can in turn be used to compute the expectation value of some simple reduced matrix elements like

$$\langle \Phi^J || \mathbf{1} || \Phi^{J'} \rangle = \sum_{M,M'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 & J' \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi^J_M |\mathbf{1} | \Phi^{J'}_{M'} \rangle = \sqrt{2J+1} \delta$$

where we used

$$\langle JMJ'M'|00\rangle = \frac{(-1)^{J-M}}{\sqrt{2J+1}}\delta_{JJ'}\delta_{MM'}.$$

Similarly, using

$$\left( egin{array}{ccc} J & 1 & J \ -M & 0 & M' \end{array} 
ight) = (-1)^{J-M} rac{M}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(J+1)}} \delta_{MM'},$$

we have that 
$$\langle \Phi^J || \mathbf{J} || \Phi^J \rangle = \sum_{MM'} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & 1 & J' \\ -M & 0 & M' \end{pmatrix} \langle \Phi^J_M |j_Z| \Phi^{J'}_{M'} \rangle = \sqrt{J(J+1)}$$

reduced matrix element  $\langle \frac{1}{2} ||\sigma|| \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \sqrt{6}.$ 

With the Pauli spin matrices  $\sigma$  and a state with J=1/2, the

properties of the Wigner-Eckart theorem plus some additional angular momenta relations.

The Wigner-Eckart theorem states that the expectation value for an irreducible spherical tensor can be written as

$$\langle \Phi_{M}^{J} | T_{\mu}^{\lambda} | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle \Phi^{J} || T^{\lambda} || \Phi^{J'} \rangle.$$

Since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients themselves are easy to evaluate, the interesting quantity is the reduced matrix element. Note also that the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients limit via the triangular relation among  $\lambda$ , J and J' the possible non-zero values. From the theorem we see also that

$$\langle \Phi_{M}^{J} | T_{\mu}^{\lambda} | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle = \frac{\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle}{\langle \lambda \mu_{0} J' M'_{0} | J M_{0} \rangle \langle} \langle \Phi_{M_{0}}^{J} | T_{\mu_{0}}^{\lambda} | \Phi_{M'_{0}}^{J'} \rangle,$$

meaning that if we know the matrix elements for say some  $\mu=\mu_0$ ,  $M'=M'_0$  and  $M=M_0$  we can calculate all other.

If we look at the hermitian adjoint of the operator  $T_\mu^\lambda$ , we see via the commutation relations that  $(T_\mu^\lambda)^\dagger$  is not an irreducible tensor, that is

$$[J_{\pm},(T_{\mu}^{\lambda})^{\dagger}] = -\sqrt{(\lambda \pm \mu)(\lambda \mp \mu + 1)}(T_{\mu \mp 1}^{\lambda})^{\dagger},$$

and

$$[J_z, (T_u^{\lambda})^{\dagger}] = -\mu (T_u^{\lambda})^{\dagger}.$$

The hermitian adjoint  $(T_{\mu}^{\lambda})^{\dagger}$  is not an irreducible tensor. As an example, consider the spherical harmonics for l=1 and  $m_l=\pm 1$ . These functions are

$$Y_{m_l=1}^{l=1}(\theta,\phi) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin(\theta)\exp{i\phi},$$

and

$$Y_{m_{l}=-1}^{l=1}(\theta,\phi)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin(\theta)\exp-\imath\phi,$$

It is easy to see that the Hermitian adjoint of these two functions

$$\left[Y_{m_l=1}^{l=1}(\theta,\phi)\right]^{\dagger} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin\left(\theta\right)\exp-\imath\phi,$$

and

$$\left[Y_{m_l=-1}^{l=1}(\theta,\phi)\right]^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}}\sin(\theta)\exp{\imath\phi},$$

do not behave as a spherical tensor. However, the modified quantity

$$ilde{T}_{\mu}^{\lambda} = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} (T_{-\mu}^{\lambda})^{\dagger},$$

does satisfy the above commutation relations.

With the modified quantity

$$\tilde{T}^{\lambda}_{\mu} = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu} (T^{\lambda}_{-\mu})^{\dagger},$$

we can then define the expectation value

$$\langle \Phi_{M}^{J} | \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{\lambda} | \Phi_{M'}^{J'} \rangle^{\dagger} = \langle \lambda \mu J' M' | JM \rangle \langle \Phi^{J} || \mathcal{T}^{\lambda} || \Phi^{J'} \rangle^{*},$$

since the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are real. The rhs is equivalent with

$$\langle \lambda \mu J' M' | J M \rangle \langle \Phi^J | | T^{\lambda} | | \Phi^{J'} \rangle^* = \langle \Phi^{J'}_{M'} | (T^{\lambda}_{\mu})^{\dagger} | \Phi^J_{M} \rangle,$$

which is equal to

$$\langle \Phi_{M'}^{J'} | (T_{\mu}^{\lambda})^{\dagger} | \Phi_{M}^{J} \rangle = (-1)^{-\lambda + \mu} \langle \lambda - \mu JM | J'M' \rangle \langle \Phi^{J'} | | \tilde{T}^{\lambda} | | \Phi^{J} \rangle.$$

We have till now seen the following definitions of a two-body matrix elements with quantum numbers  $p=j_pm_p$  etc we have a two-body state defined as

$$|(pq)M\rangle = a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  is a chosen reference state, say for example the Slater determinant which approximates  $^{16}\text{O}$  with the 0s and the 0p shells being filled, and  $M=m_p+m_q$ . Recall that we label single-particle states above the Fermi level as  $abcd\ldots$  and states below the Fermi level for  $ijkl\ldots$  In case of two-particles in the single-particle states a and b outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ , we would write the representation of the Slater determinant as

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |(ab)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}\rangle.$$

In case of two-particles removed from say  $^{16}\mathrm{O}$ , for example two

For a one-hole-one-particle state we have

$$|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{1p1h} = |(ai)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger}a_i|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi_i^a\rangle,$$

and finally for a two-particle-two-hole state we

$$|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{2p2h} = |(abij)M\rangle = a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_j a_i|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle.$$

Let us go back to the case of two-particles in the single-particle states a and b outside  $^{16}\text{O}$  as a closed shell core, say  $^{18}\text{O}$ . The representation of the Slater determinant is

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |(ab)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{ab}\rangle.$$

The anti-symmetrized matrix element is detailed as

$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = \langle (j_am_aj_bm_b)M = m_a + m_b|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_dm_d)M = m_a|\hat{V}|(j_cm_cj_d$$

and note that anti-symmetrization means

$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = -\langle (ba)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = \langle (ba)M|\hat{V}|(dc)M\rangle,$$
$$\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(cd)M\rangle = -\langle (ab)M|\hat{V}|(dc)M\rangle.$$

This matrix element is the expectation value of

$$\langle ^{16}{\rm O}|a_ba_a\frac{1}{4}\sum \langle (pq)M|\hat{V}|(rs)M'\rangle a_p^{\dagger}a_q^{\dagger}a_sa_ra_c^{\dagger}a_c^{\dagger}|^{16}{\rm O}\rangle.$$

We have also defined matrix elements in the coupled basis, the so-called J-coupled scheme. In this case the two-body wave function for two neutrons outside  $^{16}O$  is written as

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_{J} = |(ab)JM\rangle = \left\{a_{a}^{\dagger}a_{b}^{\dagger}\right\}_{M}^{J}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = N_{ab}\sum_{m_{a}m_{b}}\langle j_{a}m_{a}j_{b}m_{b}|JM\rangle|\Phi^{ab}\rangle,$$

with

$$|\Phi^{ab}\rangle=a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle.$$

We have now an explicit coupling order, where the angular momentum  $j_a$  is coupled to the angular momentum  $j_b$  to yield a final two-body angular momentum J. The normalization factor is

$$N_{ab} = rac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ab} imes (-1)^J}}{1 + \delta_{ab}}.$$

The implementation of the Pauli principle looks different in the J-scheme compared with the m-scheme. In the latter, no two fermions or more can have the same set of quantum numbers. In the J-scheme, when we write a state with the shorthand

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_J = |(ab)JM\rangle,$$

we do refer to the angular momenta only. This means that another way of writing the last state is

$$|^{18}\mathrm{O}\rangle_J = |(j_a j_b) JM\rangle.$$

We will use this notation throughout when we refer to a two-body state in J-scheme. The Kronecker  $\delta$  function in the normalization factor refers thus to the values of  $j_a$  and  $j_b$ . If two identical particles are in a state with the same j-value, then only even values of the total angular momentum apply.

Note also that, using the anti-commuting properties of the creation operators, we obtain

$$N_{ab}\sum_{m_am_b}\langle j_am_aj_bm_b|JM>|\Phi^{ab}
angle =-N_{ab}\sum_{m_am_b}\langle j_am_aj_bm_b|JM
angle|\Phi^{ba}
angle.$$

Furthermore, using the property of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient

$$\langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J} \langle j_b m_b j_a m_a | JM \rangle,$$

which can be used to show that

$$|(j_b j_a)JM\rangle = \left\{a_b^\dagger a_a^\dagger
ight\}_M^J |^{16}\mathrm{O}
angle = N_{ab} \sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_b m_b j_a m_a | JM
angle |\Phi^{ba}
angle,$$

is equal to

$$|(j_bj_a)JM\rangle=(-1)^{j_a+j_b-J+1}|(j_aj_b)JM\rangle.$$

The two-body matrix element is a scalar and since it obeys rotational symmetry, it is diagonal in J, meaning that the corresponding matrix element in J-scheme is

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = N_{ab} N_{cd} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c m_d} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle$$

$$\times \langle j_c m_c j_d m_d | JM \rangle \langle (j_a m_a j_b m_b) M | \hat{V} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) M \rangle$$

and note that of the four m-values in the above sum, only three are independent due to the constraint  $m_a + m_b = M = m_c + m_d$ .

Since

$$|(j_bj_a)JM\rangle=(-1)^{j_a+j_b-J+1}|(j_aj_b)JM\rangle,$$

the anti-symmetrized matrix elements need now to obey the following relations

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b - J + 1} \langle (j_b j_a) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle,$$

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_c + j_d - J + 1} \langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c) JM \rangle,$$

$$\langle (j_a j_b) JM | \hat{V} | (j_c j_d) JM \rangle = (-1)^{j_a + j_b + j_c + j_d} \langle (j_b j_a) JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c) JM \rangle = \langle (j_b j_a) JM | \hat{V} | (j_d j_c) JM \rangle.$$

where the last relations follows from the fact that J is an integer and 2J is always an even number.

Using the orthogonality properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients,

$$\sum_{m_a m_b} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J'M' \rangle = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'},$$

and

$$\sum_{JM}\langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m_a' j_b m_b' | JM \rangle = \delta_{m_a m_a'} \delta_{m_b m_b'},$$
 we can also express the two-body matrix element in *m*-scheme in

terms of that in *J*-scheme, that is, if we multiply with

$$\sum_{IMI'M'} \langle j_a m'_a j_b m'_b | JM \rangle \langle j_c m'_c j_d m'_d | J'M' \rangle$$

from left in

$$\langle (i_2i_b)JM|\hat{V}|(i_6i_d)JM\rangle \equiv N_{ab}N_{cd}$$
  $\sum \langle i_2m_2i_bm_b|JM\rangle \langle i_cm_ci_dm_d|JM\rangle$ 

Let us now apply the theorem to some selected expectation values. In several of the expectation values we will meet when evaluating explicit matrix elements, we will have to deal with expectation values involving spherical harmonics. A general central interaction can be expanded in a complete set of functions like the Legendre polynomials, that is, we have an interaction, with  $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$ ,

$$v(r_{ij}) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} v_{\nu}(r_{ij}) P_{\nu}(\cos(\theta_{ij}),$$

with  $P_{\nu}$  being a Legendre polynomials

$$P_{\nu}(\cos{(\theta_{ij})} = \sum_{\mu} \frac{4\pi}{2\mu + 1} Y_{\mu}^{\nu*}(\Omega_i) Y_{\mu}^{\nu}(\Omega_j).$$

We will come back later to how we split the above into a contribution that involves only one of the coordinates.

This means that we will need matrix elements of the type

$$\langle Y^{l'}||Y^{\lambda}||Y^{l}\rangle$$
.

We can rewrite the Wigner-Eckart theorem as

$$\langle Y^{l'}||Y^{\lambda}||Y^{l}\rangle = \sum_{m_{ll}} \langle \lambda \mu lm|l'm'\rangle Y_{\mu}^{\lambda} Y_{m}^{l},$$

This equation is true for all values of  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . It must also hold for  $\theta=0$ .

We have

$$\langle Y^{l'}||Y^{\lambda}||Y^{l}\rangle = \sum_{m_{ll}} \langle \lambda \mu lm|l'm'\rangle Y_{\mu}^{\lambda}Y_{m}^{l},$$

and for  $\theta = 0$ , the spherical harmonic

$$Y'_m(\theta=0,\phi)=\sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}\delta_{m0},$$

which results in

$$\langle Y'' || Y^{\lambda} || Y' \rangle = \left\{ \frac{(2l+1)(2\lambda+1)}{4\pi(2l'+1)} \right\}^{1/2} \langle \lambda 0/0 | l'0 \rangle.$$

Till now we have mainly been concerned with the coupling of two angular momenta  $j_aj_b$ to a final angular momentum J. If we wish to describe a three-body state with a final angular momentum J, we need to couple three angular momenta, say the two momenta  $j_a, j_b$  to a third one  $j_c$ . The coupling order is important and leads to a less trivial implementation of the Pauli principle. With three angular momenta there are obviously 3! ways by which we can combine the angular momenta. In m-scheme a three-body Slater determinant is represented as (say for the case of  $^{19}$ O, three neutrons outside the core of  $^{16}$ O),

$$|^{19}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |(abc)M\rangle = a_a^{\dagger} a_b^{\dagger} a_c^{\dagger}|^{16}\mathrm{O}\rangle = |\Phi^{abc}\rangle.$$

The Pauli principle is automagically implemented via the anti-commutation relations.

However, when we deal the same state in an angular momentum coupled basis, we need to be a little bit more careful. We can namely couple the states as follows

$$|([j_a o j_b]J_{ab} o j_c)J\rangle = \sum_{m_a m_b m_c} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} j_c m_c | JM \rangle |j_a m_b m_c \rangle$$

that is, we couple first  $j_a$  to  $j_b$  to yield an intermediate angular momentum  $J_{ab}$ , then to  $j_c$  yielding the final angular momentum J.

Now, nothing hinders us from recoupling this state by coupling  $j_b$  to  $j_c$ , yielding an intermediate angular momentum  $J_{bc}$  and then couple this angular momentum to  $j_a$ , resulting in the final angular momentum J'.

That is, we can have

$$|(j_a \rightarrow [j_b \rightarrow j_c]J_{bc})J\rangle = \sum_{m_a'm_b'm_c'} \langle j_b m_b' j_c m_c' | J_{bc} M_{bc} \rangle \langle j_a m_a' J_{bc} M_{bc} | J' M' \rangle |\Phi$$

We will always assume that we work with orthornormal states, this means that when we compute the overlap betweem these two possible ways of coupling angular momenta, we get

$$\begin{split} &\langle (j_a \to [j_b \to j_c] J_{bc}) J' M' | ([j_a \to j_b] J_{ab} \to j_c) JM \rangle = \\ &\delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'} \sum_{m_a m_b m_c} \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | J_{ab} M_{ab} \rangle \langle J_{ab} M_{ab} j_c m_c | JM \rangle \\ &\times \langle j_b m_b j_c m_c | J_{bc} M_{bc} \rangle \langle j_a m_a J_{bc} M_{bc} | JM \rangle. \end{split}$$

We use then the latter equation to define the so-called 6j-symbols

$$\begin{split} &\langle (j_{a} \rightarrow [j_{b} \rightarrow j_{c}]J_{bc})J'M'|([j_{a} \rightarrow j_{b}]J_{ab} \rightarrow j_{c})JM\rangle \\ &= \delta_{JJ'}\delta_{MM'}\sum_{m_{a}m_{b}m_{c}}\langle j_{a}m_{a}j_{b}m_{b}|J_{ab}M_{ab}\rangle\langle J_{ab}M_{ab}j_{c}m_{c}|JM\rangle \\ &\times \langle j_{b}m_{b}j_{c}m_{c}|J_{bc}M_{bc}\rangle\langle j_{a}m_{a}J_{bc}M_{bc}|JM\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{j_{a}+j_{b}+j_{c}+J}\sqrt{(2J_{ab}+1)(2J_{bc}+1)}\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} j_{a} & j_{b} & J_{ab} \\ j_{c} & J & J_{bc} \end{array} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where the symbol in curly brackets  $\{\}$  is the 6j symbol. A specific coupling order has to be respected in the symbol, that is, the so-called triangular relations between three angular momenta needs to be respected, that is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x & x & x \\ & & \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x \\ x & x \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x \\ x & x \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x \\ & x & x \end{array} \right\}$$

The 6*j* symbol is invariant under the permutation of any two columns

The 6j symbol is also invariant if upper and lower arguments are interchanged in any two columns

The 6j symbols satisfy this orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{j_3} (2j_3+1) \begin{cases} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \end{cases} \begin{cases} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6' \end{cases} = \frac{\delta_{j_6j_6'}}{2j_6+1} \{j_1, j_5, j_6\} \{j_4, j_2, j_6\}.$$

The symbol  $\{j_1j_2j_3\}$  (called the triangular delta) is equal to one if the triad  $(j_1j_2j_3)$  satisfies the triangular conditions and zero otherwise. A useful value is given when say one of the angular momenta are zero, say  $J_{bc}=0$ , then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} j_{a} & j_{b} & J_{ab} \\ j_{c} & J & 0 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{(-1)^{j_{a}+j_{b}+J_{ab}} \delta_{Jj_{a}} \delta_{j_{c}j_{b}}}{\sqrt{(2j_{a}+1)(2j_{b}+1)}}$$

With the 6j symbol defined, we can go back and and rewrite the overlap between the two ways of recoupling angular momenta in terms of the 6j symbol. That is, we can have

$$egin{aligned} |(j_{a} 
ightarrow [j_{b} 
ightarrow j_{c}]J_{bc})JM
angle = \ &\sum_{J_{ab}} (-1)^{j_{a}+j_{b}+j_{c}+J} \sqrt{(2J_{ab}+1)(2J_{bc}+1)} \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} j_{a} & j_{b} & J_{ab} \ j_{c} & J & J_{bc} \end{array} 
ight\} |([j_{a} 
ightarrow j_{b}]. \end{aligned}$$

Can you find the inverse relation? These relations can in turn be used to write out the fully anti-symmetrized three-body wave function in a J-scheme coupled basis. If you opt then for a specific coupling order, say  $|([j_a \rightarrow j_b]J_{ab} \rightarrow j_c)JM\rangle$ , you need to express this representation in terms of the other coupling possibilities.

Note that the two-body intermediate state is assumed to be antisymmetric but not normalized, that is, the state which involves the quantum numbers  $j_a$  and  $j_b$ . Assume that the intermediate two-body state is antisymmetric. With this coupling order, we can rewrite ( in a schematic way) the general three-particle Slater determinant as

$$\Phi(a,b,c) = \mathcal{A}|([j_a \to j_b]J_{ab} \to j_c)J\rangle,$$

with an implicit sum over  $J_{ab}$ . The antisymmetrization operator  $\mathcal A$  is used here to indicate that we need to antisymmetrize the state. **Challenge**: Use the definition of the 6j symbol and find an explicit expression for the above three-body state using the coupling order  $|([j_a \to j_b]J_{ab} \to j_c)J\rangle$ .

We can also coupled together four angular momenta. Consider two four-body states, with single-particle angular momenta  $j_a$ ,  $j_b$ ,  $j_c$  and  $j_d$  we can have a state with final J

$$|\Phi(a,b,c,d)\rangle_1 = |([j_a \rightarrow j_b]J_{ab} \times [j_c \rightarrow j_d]J_{cd})JM\rangle,$$

where we read the coupling order as  $j_a$  couples with  $j_b$  to given and intermediate angular momentum  $J_{ab}$ . Moreover,  $j_c$  couples with  $j_d$  to given and intermediate angular momentum  $J_{cd}$ . The two intermediate angular momenta  $J_{ab}$  and  $J_{cd}$  are in turn coupled to a final J. These operations involved three Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

Alternatively, we could couple in the following order

$$|\Phi(a,b,c,d)\rangle_2 = |([j_a \to j_c]J_{ac} \times [j_b \to j_d]J_{bd})JM\rangle,$$

The overlap between these two states

$$\langle ([j_a \rightarrow j_c] J_{ac} \times [j_b \rightarrow j_d] J_{bd}) JM | ([j_a \rightarrow j_b] J_{ab} \times [j_c \rightarrow j_d] J_{cd}) JM \rangle,$$

is equal to

$$\sum_{m_{i}M_{ij}} \langle j_{a}m_{a}j_{b}m_{b}|J_{ab}M_{ab}\rangle \langle j_{c}m_{c}j_{d}m_{d}|J_{cd}M_{cd}\rangle \langle J_{ab}M_{ab}J_{cd}M_{cd}|JM\rangle$$

$$\times \langle j_{a}m_{a}j_{c}m_{c}|J_{ac}M_{ac}\rangle \langle j_{b}m_{b}j_{d}m_{d}|J_{cd}M_{bd}\rangle \langle J_{ac}M_{ac}J_{bd}M_{bd}|JM\rangle \quad (2)$$

$$= \sqrt{(2J_{ab}+1)(2J_{cd}+1)(2J_{ac}+1)(2J_{bd}+1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} j_{a} & j_{b} & J_{ab} \\ j_{c} & j_{d} & J_{cd} \\ J_{ac} & J_{bd} & J \end{array} \right\},$$

with the symbol in curly brackets  $\{\}$  being the 9j-symbol. We see that a 6j symbol involves four Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, while the 9j symbol involves six.

A 9j symbol is invariant under reflection in either diagonal

The permutation of any two rows or any two columns yields a phase factor  $(-1)^S$ , where

$$S=\sum_{i=1}^9 j_i.$$

As an example we have

$$\begin{cases} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_7 & j_8 & j_9 \end{cases} = (-1)^S \begin{cases} j_4 & j_5 & j_6 \\ j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ j_7 & j_8 & j_9 \end{cases} = (-1)^S \begin{cases} j_2 & j_1 & j_3 \\ j_5 & j_4 & j_6 \\ j_8 & j_7 & j_9 \end{cases}.$$

A useful case is when say J = 0 in

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{a} & j_{b} & J_{ab} \\ j_{c} & j_{d} & J_{cd} \\ J_{ac} & J_{bd} & 0 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{\delta_{J_{ab}J_{cd}}\delta_{J_{ac}J_{bd}}}{\sqrt{(2J_{ab}+1)(2J_{ac}+1)}} (-1)^{j_{b}+J_{ab}+j_{c}+J_{ac}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j_{a} & j_{b} \\ j_{d} & j_{c} \end{array} \right.$$

The tensor operator in the nucleon-nucleon potential is given by

$$\langle ISJ|S_{12}|I'S'J\rangle = (-)^{S+J}\sqrt{30(2I+1)(2I'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)} \\ \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} J & S' & I' \\ 2 & I & S \end{array} \right\} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} I' & 2 & I \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ s_3 & s_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right\} \\ \times \langle s_1||\sigma_1||s_3\rangle \langle s_2||\sigma_2||s_4\rangle,$$

and it is zero for the  ${}^1S_0$  wave. How do we get here?

To derive the expectation value of the nuclear tensor force, we recall that the product of two irreducible tensor operators is

$$W_{m_r}^r = \sum_{m_p m_q} \langle p m_p q m_q | r m_r \rangle T_{m_p}^p U_{m_q}^q,$$

and using the orthogonality properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we can rewrite the above as

$$T^p_{m_p}U^q_{m_q} = \sum_{r=-r} \langle pm_pqm_q|rm_r \rangle W^r_{m_r}.$$

Assume now that the operators T and U act on different parts of say a wave function. The operator T could act on the spatial part only while the operator U acts only on the spin part. This means also that these operators commute. The reduced matrix element of this operator is thus, using the Wigner-Eckart theorem,

$$\langle (i,i,j)||W^r||(i,i,j)|t'\rangle = \sum_{r=1}^{N} (-1)^{J-M} \begin{pmatrix} J & r & J' \\ J & r & J' \end{pmatrix}$$

Starting with

$$\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' 
angle \equiv \sum_{M,m_r,M'} (-1)^{J-M} \left( egin{array}{ccc} J & r & J' \ -M & m_r & M' \end{array} 
ight)$$

$$\times \langle (j_a j_b JM | \left[ T^p_{m_p} U^q_{m_q} \right]^r_{m_r} | (j_c j_d) J'M' \rangle,$$

we assume now that T acts only on  $j_a$  and  $j_c$  and that U acts only on  $j_b$  and  $j_d$ . The matrix element  $\langle (j_a j_b JM | \left[ T^p_{m_p} U^q_{m_q} \right]^r_{m_r} | (j_c j_d) J'M' \rangle$  can be written out, when we insert a complete set of states  $|j_i m_i j_j m_j \rangle \langle j_i m_i j_j m_j |$  between T and U as

insert a complete set of states 
$$|j_i m_i j_j m_j\rangle \langle j_i m_i j_j m_j|$$
 between  $T$  and  $U$  as 
$$\langle (j_a j_b JM | \left[ T^p_{m_p} U^q_{m_q} \right]^r_{m_r} | (j_c j_d) J'M'\rangle = \sum_{m_i} \langle p m_p q m_q | r m_r \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | JM \rangle \langle j_a m_a j_b m_b | \left[ T^p_{m_p} \right]^r_{m_r} | (j_c m_c j_b m_b) \rangle \langle (j_c m_c j_b m_b) | \left[ U^q_{m_q} \right]^r_{m_r} | (j_c m_c j_d m_d) \rangle.$$

Combining the last two equations from the previous slide and and applying the Wigner-Eckart theorem, we arrive at (rearranging phase factors)

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} j_{a} & j_{b} & J \\ m_{a} & m_{b} & -M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_{c} & j_{d} & J' \\ -m_{c} & -m_{d} & M' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & q & r \\ -m_{p} & -m_{q} & m_{r} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} j_{a} & j_{c} & p \\ m_{a} & -m_{c} & -m_{p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_{b} & j_{d} & q \\ m_{b} & -m_{d} & -m_{q} \end{pmatrix} \langle j_{a}||T^{p}||j_{c}\rangle \times \langle j_{b}||U^{q}||j_{d}\rangle$$

 $\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle = \sqrt{(2J+1)(2r+1)(2J'+1)} \sum_{m:M,M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & r \\ -M & m_r \end{pmatrix}$ 

which can be rewritten in terms of a 9j symbol as

$$\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^r || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle = \sqrt{(2J+1)(2r+1)(2J'+1)} \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \langle j_b || U^q || j_c \rangle$$

From this expression we can in turn compute for example the spin-spin operator of the tensor force.

In case r=0, that is we two tensor operators coupled to a scalar, we can use (with p=q)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} j_{a} & j_{b} & J \\ j_{c} & j_{d} & J' \\ p & p & 0 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{\delta_{JJ'}\delta_{pq}}{\sqrt{(2J+1)(2J+1)}} (-1)^{j_{b}+j_{c}+2J} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j_{a} & j_{b} & J \\ j_{d} & j_{c} & p \end{matrix} \right\},$$

and obtain

$$\langle (j_a j_b) J || W^0 || (j_c j_d) J' \rangle = (-1)^{j_b + j_c + 2J} \langle j_a || T^p || j_c \rangle \langle j_b || U^p || j_d \rangle \begin{cases} j_a & j_b & J \\ j_d & j_c & p \end{cases}$$

Another very useful expression is the case where the operators act in just one space. We state here without showing that the reduced matrix element

$$\langle j_{a}||W^{r}||j_{b}\rangle = \langle j_{a}||[T^{p} \times T^{q}]^{r}||j_{b}\rangle = (-1)^{j_{a}+j_{b}+r}\sqrt{2r+1}\sum_{j_{c}}\begin{cases} j_{b} & j_{a} & r\\ p & q & j_{c} \end{cases}$$
$$\times \langle j_{a}||T^{p}||j_{c}\rangle\langle j_{c}||T^{q}||j_{b}\rangle.$$

The tensor operator in the nucleon-nucleon potential can be written as

$$V = rac{3}{r^2} \left[ [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} \otimes [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} 
ight]_0^{(0)}$$

Since the irreducible tensor  $[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)}$  operates only on the angular quantum numbers and  $[\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)}$  operates only on the spin states we can write the matrix element

$$\langle ISJ|V|ISJ\rangle = \langle ISJ| \left[ [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} \otimes [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} \right]_0^{(0)} |I'S'J\rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{J+I+S} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} I & S & J \\ I' & S' & 2 \end{array} \right\} \langle I|| [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} ||I'\rangle$$

$$\times \langle S|| [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} ||S'\rangle$$

We need that the coordinate vector  $\mathbf{r}$  can be written in terms of spherical components as

$$\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} = r\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_{1\alpha}$$

Using this expression we get

$$[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]_{\mu}^{(2)} = \frac{4\pi}{3} r^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | 2\mu \rangle Y_{1\alpha} Y_{1\beta}$$

The product of two spherical harmonics can be written as

$$Y_{l_1m_1}Y_{l_2m_2} = \sum_{lm} \sqrt{\frac{(2l_1+1)(2l_2+1)(2l+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ m_1 & m_2 & m \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\times \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{l-m}(-1)^m.$$

Using this relation we get

$$[\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]_{\mu}^{(2)} = \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \sum_{lm} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | 2\mu \rangle$$

$$\times \langle 1\alpha 1\beta | l - m \rangle \frac{(-1)^{1-1-m}}{\sqrt{2l+1}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{l-m} (-1)^m$$

$$= \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Y_{2-\mu}$$

$$= \sqrt{4\pi} r^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} Y_{2-\mu}$$

We can then use this relation to rewrite the reduced matrix element containing the position vector as

containing the position vector as 
$$\langle I || [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} || I' \rangle = \sqrt{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} r^2 \langle I || Y_2 || I' \rangle$$

$$\langle I|| [\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{r}]^{(2)} || I' \rangle = \sqrt{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} r^2 \langle I|| Y_2 || I' \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} r^2 (-1)^I \sqrt{\frac{(2I+1)5(2I'+1)}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} I & 2 & I' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the reduced matrix element of the spin operators defined as

$$\langle S || [\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2]^{(2)} || S' \rangle = \sqrt{(2S+1)(2S'+1)5} \left\{ egin{array}{ll} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ s_3 & s_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right\} \\ & \times \langle s_1 || \sigma_1 || s_3 \rangle \langle s_2 || \sigma_2 || s_4 \rangle \end{array}$$

and inserting these expressions for the two reduced matrix elements we get

$$\langle \mathit{ISJ} | \mathit{V} | \mathit{I'S'J} \rangle = (-1)^{S+J} \sqrt{30(2l+1)(2l'+1)(2S+1)(2S'+1)} \\ \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathit{I} & S & \mathit{J} \\ \mathit{I'} & S & 2 \end{array} \right\} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \mathit{I} & 2 & \mathit{I'} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathit{s}_1 & \mathit{s}_2 & S \\ \mathit{s}_3 & \mathit{s}_4 & S' \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right\} \\ \times \langle \mathit{s}_1 || \mathit{\sigma}_1 || \mathit{s}_3 \rangle \langle \mathit{s}_2 || \mathit{\sigma}_2 || \mathit{s}_4 \rangle.$$

Normally, we start we a nucleon-nucleon interaction fitted to reproduce scattering data. It is common then to represent this interaction in terms relative momenta k, the center-of-mass momentum K and various partial wave quantum numbers like the spin S, the total relative angular momentum  $\mathcal{J}$ , isospin T and relative orbital momentum I and finally the corresponding center-of-mass I. We can then write the free interaction matrix I0 as

$$\langle kKIL\mathcal{J}ST|\hat{V}|k'KI'L\mathcal{J}S'T\rangle$$
.

Transformations from the relative and center-of-mass motion system to the lab system will be discussed below.

To obtain a V-matrix in a h.o. basis, we need the transformation

$$\langle nNIL\mathcal{J}ST|\hat{V}|n'N'l'L'\mathcal{J}S'T\rangle$$
,

with n and N the principal quantum numbers of the relative and center-of-mass motion, respectively.

$$|nINLJST\rangle = \int k^2 K^2 dk dK R_{nl}(\sqrt{2}\alpha k) R_{NL}(\sqrt{1/2}\alpha K) |kIKLJST\rangle.$$

The parameter  $\alpha$  is the chosen oscillator length.

The most commonly employed sp basis is the harmonic oscillator, which in turn means that a two-particle wave function with total angular momentum J and isospin  $\mathcal{T}$  can be expressed as

$$|(n_{a}l_{a}j_{a})(n_{b}l_{b}j_{b})JT\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\delta_{12})}} \sum_{\lambda SJ} \sum_{nNIL} F \times \langle ab|\lambda SJ\rangle$$
$$\times (-1)^{\lambda+J-L-S} \hat{\lambda} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} L & l & \lambda \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\times \langle nlNL|n_{a}l_{a}n_{b}l_{b}\rangle |nlNL\mathcal{J}ST\rangle,$$

where the term  $\langle nINL|n_al_an_bl_b\rangle$  is the so-called Moshinsky-Talmi transformation coefficient (see chapter 18 of Alex Brown's notes).

The term  $\langle ab|LSJ \rangle$  is a shorthand for the LS-jj transformation coefficient,

$$\langle ab|\lambda SJ\rangle = \hat{j}_a\hat{j}_b\hat{\lambda}\hat{S} \left\{ egin{array}{ll} l_a & s_a & j_a \\ l_b & s_b & j_b \\ \lambda & S & J \end{array} 
ight\}.$$

Here we use  $\hat{x}=\sqrt{2x+1}$ . The factor F is defined as  $F=\frac{1-(-1)^{l+S+T}}{\sqrt{2}}$  if  $s_a=s_b$  and we .

The  $\hat{V}$ -matrix in terms of harmonic oscillator wave functions reads

$$\langle (ab)JT|\hat{V}|(cd)JT\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\lambda'SS'\mathcal{J}} \sum_{nln'l'NN'L} \frac{\left(1-(-1)^{l+S+T}\right)}{\sqrt{(1+\delta_{ab})(1+\delta_{cd})}}$$

$$\times \langle ab|\lambda SJ\rangle \langle cd|\lambda'S'J\rangle \langle nlNL|n_{a}l_{a}n_{b}l_{b}\lambda\rangle \langle n'l'NL|n_{c}l_{c}n_{d}l_{d}\lambda'\rangle }$$

$$\times \hat{\mathcal{J}}(-1)^{\lambda+\lambda'+l+l'} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} L & l & \lambda \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} L & l' & \lambda' \\ S & J & \mathcal{J} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\times \langle nNlL\mathcal{J}ST|\hat{V}|n'N'l'L'\mathcal{J}S'T\rangle.$$

The label a represents here all the single particle quantum numbers  $n_a l_a j_a$ .