

CS 291
Homework 5

Jingbo Wang
jw6347@truman.edu

Section 8.1, problem 2.b Prove that each of the following wffs is correct. Assume that the domain is the set of integers.

$\{a > b\} \ x := -a; \ y := -b \ \{x < y\}.$

Answer:

- | | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| 1. | $\{x < -b\} \ y := -b \ \{x < y\}$ | AA |
| 2. | $\{-a < -b\} \ x := -a \ \{x < -b\}$ | AA |
| 3. | $a > b$ | P [for $(a > b) \rightarrow (-a < -b)$] |
| 4. | $-a < -b$ | 2, T |
| 5. | $(a > b) \rightarrow (-a < -b)$ | 3, 4, CP |
| 6. | $\{a > b\} \ x := -a; \ y := -b \ \{x < y\}$ | 1, 5, Consequence |
| <i>QED.</i> | | |

Section 8.1, problem 4.a Prove that each of the following wffs is correct. Assume that the domain is the set of integers.

$\{x < 10\} \ \mathbf{if} \ x \geq 5 \ \mathbf{then} \ x := 4 \ \{x < 5\}.$

Answer:

- | | | |
|-------------|--|-------------------|
| 1. | $\{4 < 5\} \ x := 4 \ \{x < 5\}$ | AA |
| 2. | $(x < 10) \wedge (x \geq 5)$ | P [for CP] |
| 3. | $4 < 5$ | 2, T |
| 4. | $(x < 10) \wedge (x \geq 5) \rightarrow (4 < 5)$ | 2, 3, CP |
| 5. | $\{(x < 10) \wedge (x \geq 5)\} \ x := 4 \ \{x < 5\}$ | 1, 4, Consequence |
| 6. | $(x < 10) \wedge \neg(x \geq 5)$ | P [for CP] |
| 7. | $x < 5$ | 6, Simp |
| 8. | $(x < 10) \wedge \neg(x \geq 5) \rightarrow (x < 5)$ | 6, 7, CP |
| 9. | $\{x < 10\} \ \mathbf{if} \ x \geq 5 \ \mathbf{then} \ x := 4 \ \{x < 5\}$ | 5, 8, If-Then |
| <i>QED.</i> | | |

Section 8.1, problem 4.b Prove that each of the following wffs is correct. Assume that the domain is the set of integers.

$\{True\}$ **if** $x \neq y$ **then** $x := y$ $\{x = y\}$.

Answer:

- | | | |
|----|--|-------------------|
| 1. | $\{y = y\} \ x := y \ \{x = y\}$ | AA |
| 2. | $((True) \wedge (x \neq y))$ | P [for CP] |
| 3. | $y = y$ | 3, T |
| 4. | $((True) \wedge (x \neq y)) \rightarrow (y = y)$ | 2, 3, CP |
| 5. | $\{(True) \wedge (x \neq y)\} \ x := y \ \{x = y\}$ | 1, 4, Consequence |
| 6. | $(True) \wedge \neg(x \neq y)$ | P [for CP] |
| 7. | $x = y$ | 6, Simp |
| 8. | $((True) \wedge \neg(x \neq y)) \rightarrow (x = y)$ | 6, 7, CP |
| 9. | $\{True\}$ if $x \neq y$ then $x := y$ $\{x = y\}$ | 5, 8, If-Then |
- QED.*

Section 8.3, problem 1.c Use Skolem's algorithm, if necessary, to transform each of the following wffs into a clausal form.

$\exists y \ \forall x \ (p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x)).$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists y \ \forall x \ (p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x)) &\equiv \exists y \ \forall x \ (\neg p(x, y) \vee q(x)) && \text{remove } \rightarrow \\ &\equiv \forall x \ (\neg p(x, c) \vee q(x)) && \text{Skolem's rule} \end{aligned}$$

Section 8.3, problem 1.d Prove that each of the following wffs is correct. Assume that the domain is the set of integers.

$\exists y \ \forall x \ p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x).$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists y \ \forall x \ p(x, y) \rightarrow q(x) &\equiv \forall y \ \exists x \ \neg p(x, y) \vee q(x) && \text{remove } \rightarrow \\ &\equiv \forall y \ \neg p(f(y), y) \vee q(f(y)) && \text{Skolem's rule} \end{aligned}$$

Section 8.3, problem 3.c Find a resolution proof to show that each of the following sets of propositional clauses is unsatisfiable.

$\{A \vee B, A \vee \neg C, \neg A \vee C, \neg A \vee \neg B, C \vee \neg B, \neg C \vee B\}$.

Answer:

1.	$A \vee B$	P
2.	$A \vee \neg C$	P
3.	$\neg A \vee C$	P
4.	$\neg A \vee \neg B$	P
5.	$C \vee \neg B$	P
6.	$\neg C \vee B$	P
7.	$B \vee C$	1, 3, R
8.	$B \vee B$	6, 7, R
9.	$\neg A$	4, 8, R
10.	$\neg C$	2, 9, R
11.	$\neg B$	5, 10, R
12.	A	1, 11, R
13.	$[]$	9, 12, R
	$QED.$	

Section 8.3, problem 5.c Use Robinson's unification algorithm to find a most general unifier for each of the following sets of atoms.

$\{p(f(x, g(y)), y), p(f(g(a), z), b)\}$.

Answer:

1. Set $\theta_0 = \epsilon$.
2. $S\theta_0 = S \in= S$, is not a singleton. $D_0 = \{x, g(a)\}$.
3. Variable x does not occur in the term $g(a)$ of D_0 .
Put $\theta_1 = \theta_0\{x/g(a)\} = \{x/g(a)\}$.
4. $S\theta_1 = p(f(g(a), g(y)), y), p(f(g(a), z), b)$ is not a singleton. $D_1 = \{g(y), z\}$.
5. Variable z does not occur in the term $g(y)$ of D_1 .
Put $\theta_2 = \theta_1 \{z/g(y)\} = \{x/g(a)\} \{z/g(y)\} = \{x/g(a), z/g(y)\}$.
6. $S\theta_2 = \{p(f(g(a), g(y)), y), p(f(g(a), g(b)), b)\}$, is not a singleton. $D_2 = \{y, b\}$.
7. Variable y does not occur in the term b of D_2 .
Put $\theta_3 = \theta_2 y/b = \{x/g(a), z/g(y)\} \{y/b\} = \{x/g(a), z/g(b), y/b\}$.
8. $S\theta_3 = p(f(g(a), g(b)), b)$, is a singleton.
Therefore, the algorithm terminates with the most general unifier $\{x/g(a), z/g(b), y/b\}$ for the given set S .

Section 8.3, problem 5.d Use Robinson's unification algorithm to find a most general unifier for each of the following sets of atoms.

$\{p(x, f(x), y), p(x, y, z), p(w, f(a), b)\}$.

Answer:

1. Set $\theta_0 = \epsilon$.
2. $S\theta_0 = S \in= S$, is not a singleton. $D_0 = \{x, w\}$.
3. Variable x does not occur in the term $g(a)$ of D_0 .
Put $\theta_1 = \theta_0\{x/w\} = \{x/w\}$.
4. $S\theta_1 = \{p(w, f(w), y), p(w, y, z), p(w, f(a), b)\}$ is not a singleton. $D_1 = \{y, f(w)\}$.
5. Variable y does not occur in the term $f(w)$ of D_1 .
Put $\theta_2 = \theta_1\{y/f(w)\} = \{x/w\}\{y/f(w)\} = \{x/w, y/f(w)\}$.
6. $S\theta_2 = \{p(w, f(w), f(a)), p(w, f(w), z), p(w, f(a), b)\}$, is not a singleton. $D_2 = \{w, a\}$.
7. As we do not have a variable in this disagreement set, the algorithm terminates here, with the conclusion that, the given set S is not unifiable.

Section 8.3, problem 8.c Use resolution to show that each of the following sets of clauses is unsatisfiable

$\{p(a) \vee p(x), \neg p(a) \vee \neg p(y)\}$

Answer:

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | $p(a) \vee p(x)$ | P |
| 2. | $\neg p(a) \vee \neg p(y)$ | P |
| 3. | $[]$ | $1, 2, R, \{x/a, y/a\}$ |
| | $QED.$ | |

Section 8.3, problem 9.c Use Robinson's unification algorithm to find a most general unifier for each of the following sets of atoms.

$$(p \vee q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow s) \rightarrow (p \vee s).$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \vee q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow s) \rightarrow (p \vee s) \\ \equiv & \neg((p \vee q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \wedge (r \rightarrow s) \wedge (p \vee s)) \\ \equiv & (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee s) \wedge \neg(p \vee s) \\ \equiv & (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg r \vee s) \wedge \neg p \wedge \neg s \end{aligned}$$

Giving us five clauses:

$$p \vee q, \quad \neg q \vee r, \quad \neg r \vee s, \quad \neg p, \quad \neg s$$

After negating the statement and putting the result in clausal form, we obtain the following proof:

1.	$p \vee q$	P
2.	$\neg q \vee r$	P
3.	$\neg r \vee s$	P
4.	$\neg p$	P
5.	$\neg s$	P
6.	$\neg r$	3, 5, R
7.	$\neg q$	2, 6, R
8.	q	1, 4, R
9.	[]	7, 8, R
	<i>QED.</i>	

Section 8.3, problem 10.d Use Robinson's unification algorithm to find a most general unifier for each of the following sets of atoms.

$$\exists x \forall y p(x, y) \wedge \forall x (p(x, x) \rightarrow \exists y q(y, x)) \rightarrow \exists y \exists x q(x, y).$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x \forall y p(x, y) \wedge \forall x (p(x, x) \rightarrow \exists y q(y, x)) \rightarrow \exists y \exists x q(x, y) \\ \equiv & \neg(\exists x \forall y p(x, y) \wedge \forall z (p(z, z) \rightarrow \exists m q(m, z)) \rightarrow \exists h \exists n q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(renamed variable)} \\ \equiv & \neg(\exists x \forall y p(x, y) \wedge \forall z (p(z, z) \rightarrow \exists m q(m, z)) \wedge \exists h \exists n q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(removed outside } \rightarrow) \\ \equiv & (\exists x \forall y p(x, y) \wedge \forall z (p(z, z) \rightarrow \exists m q(m, z))) \wedge \forall h \forall n \neg(q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(moved } \neg \text{ inside)} \\ \equiv & (\exists x \forall y p(x, y)) \wedge (\forall z (\neg p(z, z) \vee \exists m q(m, z))) \wedge \forall h \forall n \neg(q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(removed inside } \rightarrow) \\ \equiv & \exists x \forall y \forall z \forall h \forall n (p(x, y) \wedge (\neg p(z, z) \vee \exists m q(m, z)) \wedge \neg q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(moved } \forall h \forall n \forall x \exists x \text{ out)} \\ \equiv & \exists x \forall y \forall z \exists m \forall h \forall n (p(x, y) \wedge (\neg p(z, z) \vee q(m, z)) \wedge \neg q(n, h)) \\ & \text{(moved } \exists m \text{ out and constructed CNF)} \end{aligned}$$

Apply Skolem's Rule to eliminate $\exists x, \exists m$.

$$\forall y \forall z \forall h \forall n (p(a, y) \wedge (\neg p(z, z) \vee q(f(z), z)) \wedge \neg q(n, h))$$

Giving us three clauses:

$$p(a, y), \neg p(z, z) \vee q(f(z), z), \neg q(n, h)$$

After negating the statement and putting the result in clausal form, we obtain the following proof:

1.	$p(a, y)$	P
2.	$\neg p(z, z) \vee q(f(z), z)$	P
3.	$\neg q(n, h)$	P
4.	$q(f(a), a)$	1, 2, R, $\{z/a, y/z\}$
5.	$[]$	3, 4, R, $\{n/f(z), h/z\}$
	<i>QED.</i>	

Section 8.3, problem 10.e Use Robinson's unification algorithm to find a most general unifier for each of the following sets of atoms.

$$\forall x \ p(x) \vee \forall x \ q(x) \rightarrow \forall x \ (p(x) \vee q(x)).$$

Answer:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \forall x \ p(x) \vee \forall x \ q(x) \rightarrow \forall y \ (p(y) \vee q(y)) \\
 \equiv & \forall x \ p(x) \vee \forall x \ q(x) \rightarrow \forall z \ (p(z) \vee q(z)) && \text{(renamed variable)} \\
 \equiv & \neg(\forall x \ p(x) \vee \forall y \ q(y) \wedge \neg(\forall z \ (p(z) \vee q(z)))) && \text{(removed } \rightarrow) \\
 \equiv & (\forall x \ p(x) \vee \forall y \ q(y)) \wedge \exists z \ \neg(p(z) \vee q(z)) && \text{(moved } \neg \text{ inside)} \\
 \equiv & \forall x \ \forall y \ (p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge \exists z \ (\neg p(z) \wedge \neg q(z)) && \text{(moved } \forall x, \forall y \text{ out)} \\
 \equiv & \exists z \ \forall x \ \forall y \ (p(x) \vee q(y) \wedge \neg p(z) \wedge \neg q(z)) && \text{(moved } \exists z \text{ out)} \\
 \equiv & \exists z \ \forall x \ \forall y \ ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge \neg p(z) \wedge \neg q(z)) && \text{(constructed CNF)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply Skolem's Rule to eliminate $\exists z$.

$$\forall x \ \forall y ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge \neg p(a) \wedge \neg q(a))$$

Giving us three clauses:

$$p(x) \vee q(y), \quad \neg p(a), \quad \neg q(a)$$

After negating the statement and putting the result in clausal form, we obtain the following proof:

1.	$p(x) \vee q(y)$	P
2.	$\neg p(a)$	P
3.	$\neg q(a)$	P
4.	$q(y)$	1, 2, R, $\{x/a\}$
5.	[]	3, 4, R, $\{y/a\}$
	<i>QED.</i>	