Peer Feedback Guide

Vision: The projects you hand-in should be understandable to your fellow students, and you should be able to understand other students' projects. You are therefore required to provide peer feedback on the projects of two other groups. Realize that the real benefit of this exercise is not that you get some feedback from others. The real benefit is that you learn a lot from investigating how others have attacked a task similar to yours.

You must provide the feedback directly on GitHub using the Issues function.

The feedback should focus on: Is it straightforward to run the code? Is it easy to understand what the code is doing? Are the results clearly explained? The issues should follow the template below and all comments should be as constructive as possible:

1. **The most elegant solution in the project was:** (explain what and why)

2. **The hardest section of code in the project to understand was:** (explain what)

3. **This part of the project could be better documented:** (explain what)

4. **An idea for an improvement/clarification could be:** (explain what and why)

5. **An idea for an extension could be:** (explain what and why)

Specific guidelines:

1. The assignment of peers to teams is randomized, and will different for the inaugural, data and model project.

2. Find the two groups for whom you need to provide peer feedback here:
   https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1XLagmDbHoMjsRQO-mw0Pf9O2JSmvJKrQ6IbeOrJTh5k/edit?usp=sharing

3. Go to their repositories:
   https://github.com/NumEconCopenhagen/projects-YEAR-GROUPNAME

   Otherwise, try to search for the groups here:
   https://github.com/NumEconCopenhagen

4. Clone their repositories (download them to your own computer).

5. Try to run their notebooks.

6. Create a new issue in their repositories (click the "Issues" tab) where you provide the feedback.