

ER 2023

①

②

ROC curves are related to the discriminative power of a feature by plotting sensitivity against specificity. A good feature separates classes well alone. We can calculate the area under the curve for each feature's ROC curve and select the best ones.

③ That feature is a perfect classifier and there is a threshold that perfectly separates the classes.

④ Features 2 and 3. Since the AUCs are similar, we should select the least redundant feature ( $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are the least correlated).

⑤

⑥ Some examples:

→ Reduce dimensionality with LDA and then use MDC for classification.

→ Train binary classifiers and decompose the multiclass problem as one of the following problems:

→ One-Against-All:

train one classifier per class (that class against every other class). Ideally, only 1  $d_i(x)$  should be positive while all other  $d_j(x)$ ,  $j \neq i$  should be negative, then, choose class  $w_i$ .

→ One-Against-One:

train  $K(K-1)/2$  binary classifiers, each  $d_{ij}(x)$  is trained to discriminate between  $w_i$  and  $w_j$  ( $d_{ij}(x) > 0$  if  $x$  belongs to  $w_i$ ). The final result comes from majority voting.

→ Error-Correcting Output Codes (ECC) is the general framework (OAA and OAO) are instances of it). Here we have a "coding matrix" that determines which classes each binary classifier discriminates. The final decision comes from comparing the vector of binary classifier outputs with the code words for each class (e.g., with Hamming distance metric) to find closest match.

⑥  $D=3$  (3 rows in each mean vector)

i.b  $K=3$  (3 mean vectors)

ii.b 1- Get projected mean for each class

$$\mu'_K = W^T \mu_K$$

$$\mu'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0,26 & -0,37 & -0,58 \\ -0,25 & 0,65 & 0,12 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} +0,13 \\ -0,16 \\ -0,29 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1,01 \\ -0,03 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mu'_2 = W^T \begin{pmatrix} -0,26 \\ -0,19 \\ -0,27 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0,24 \\ 0,04 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mu'_3 \approx \begin{pmatrix} -1,24 \\ -0,01 \end{pmatrix}$$

2- Project sample

$$x' = W^T x \Rightarrow W \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2,76 \\ 0,16 \end{pmatrix}$$

3- Compute scores

$$d^2 = |(x_1 - \mu_{K1})^2 + (x_2 - \mu_{K2})^2|^2$$

$$w_1 = ((-2,76 - 1,01)^2 + (0,16 + 0,03)^2)^2 \approx 14,2$$

$w_2$  : Do the same for the others and select  
 $w_3$  : the best score



(iii) •

MDC decision boundary:

$$(m_1 - m_2)^T x - 0,5 (\|m_1\|^2 - \|m_2\|^2) = 0$$

in LDA space:  
 $x \rightarrow W^T x$   
 $m_k \rightarrow u'_k$

$$(u'_1 - u'_2)^T (W^T x) - 0,5 (\|u'_1\|^2 - \|u'_2\|^2) = 0$$

$$(AB)^T = B^T A^T$$

$$\underbrace{(W(u'_1 - u'_2))^T x}_{\text{weights vector}} - \underbrace{0,5 (\|u'_1\|^2 - \|u'_2\|^2)}_{\text{bias}} = 0$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$w = W(u'_1 - u'_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0,26 & -0,75 \\ -0,72 & 0,65 \\ -0,58 & 0,12 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0,77 \\ -0,07 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0,25 \\ -0,64 \\ -0,46 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$b = -0,5 (1,01^2 - 0,24^2) \approx -0,48$$

$$w^T x - b = 0,25x_1 - 0,64x_2 - 0,46x_3 - 0,48$$

③

① It is lazy learner because there is no explicit training phase, we just need to store the labelled data.

② The training algorithm does not exist.

③



mais ou moins...

Note: in the actual graph from the exam,  
(4,4) belongs to  $w_1$  (misclassification)

④ (According to the actual exam's figure)

$K=1$   $S_1$   $S_2$   $S_3$

↓ ↓ ↓  
predict: 3 2 3  
X ✓ ✓

66% accuracy

$K=3$   $S_1$   $S_2$   $S_3$

↓ ↓ ↓  
1 1 3  
✓ X ✓

66% accuracy

④

② Sep. margin  $\gamma$  is given by  $\gamma = \frac{2}{\|w\|}$

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\|w_3\|} = 2 / \sqrt{1^2 + 1.3^2} \approx 1.22$$

⑥

One-vs-All;

3 classes

Classifier  $i$  is trained w/  $i$  as (+1) and others as (-1)

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For example, when using SVM 3,  $w_3$  is (+1) while  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are (-1)

rows  $\Rightarrow$  classes

cols  $\Rightarrow$  classifiers

One-vs-One:

we need  $\frac{K(K-1)}{2} = \frac{3(2)}{2} = 3$  classifiers ✓

Ignored classes are marked as 0

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & +1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & +1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



© A sample is a support vector if it's <sup>exactly</sup> on the margin boundary of the canonical hyperplane for at least one classifier (if  $|w^T x + b| = 1$ )

Test SVM1:

$$g_1(x) = w_1^T x + b =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + 7 = -9 + 1 + 7 = -1$$

$|-1| = 1 \Rightarrow x$  is support vector for SVM1

(on the negative side)

$x$  is a support vector, now <sup>bc</sup> let's check its class

$$g_1(x) = -1 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = -1$$

$$g_2(x) = 0,4 \times 3 + 1,6 \times 1,4 = 1 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = +1$$

$$g_3(x) = 3 + 1,3 - 6,3 = -4 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = -1$$

So, we have  $(-1 \ +1 \ -1)$ , which is the same as row 2 from the OVA matrix  
 $\Rightarrow x$  belongs to  $w_2$

↓  
(Hamming distance = 0)

④

$$g_1(x) = -3 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = -1$$

$$g_2(x) = -0,2 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = -1$$

$$g_3(x) = -1,2 \rightarrow \text{Sign} = -1$$

Yes, this is a special case, the Hamming distance is the same between  $(-1 \ -1 \ -1)$  and all of the rows in the OVA matrix.

⇒ Ambiguous region

⑤

import numpy as np

def bayes\_training(Xtr, Ttr)

$X\_c1 = Xtr[:, Ttr == 1]$  } Get samples from  
 $X\_c2 = Xtr[:, Ttr == 2]$  } each class

total = Xtr.shape[1]

prior1 = X\_c1.shape[1] / total } Get priors:  
 prior2 = X\_c2.shape[1] / total }  $P(1)$  and  $P(1)$

mean1 = np.mean(X\_c1, axis=1, keepdims=True) } Get class  
 mean2 = np.mean(X\_c2, axis=1, keepdims=True) } centroids

cov1 = np.cov(X\_c1, bias=True) } Get cov  
 cov2 = np.cov(X\_c2, bias=True) } matrices  
 (bias=True normalizes by N)

model = {

"mu1": mean1,

"mu2": mean2,

"cov1": cov1,

"cov2": cov2,

"p1": prior1,

"p2": prior2,

} → return model



```
def gaussian_pdf(X, mu, cov):
```

```
    D = X.shape[0]
```

```
    X_centered = X - mu
```

```
    det_cov = np.linalg.det(cov)
```

```
    inv_cov = np.linalg.inv(cov)
```

```
    const = 1 / ((2 * np.pi) ** (D/2) * (det_cov ** 0.5))
```

↑  
#  $\frac{1}{2^{\frac{D}{2}} \sqrt{\det(cov)}}$

```
    exp = -0.5 * np.sum(np.dot(X_centered.T, inv_cov) *  
        X_centered.T, axis=1)
```

```
    return const * np.exp(exp)
```

```
def bayes_testing(Xte, Tte, model):
```

```
    likelihood1 = gaussian_pdf(Xte, model['mu1'], model['cov1'])
```

```
    likelihood2 = gaussian_pdf(Xte, model['mu2'], model['cov2'])
```

```
    post1 = likelihood1 * model['p1']
```

```
    post2 = likelihood2 * model['p2']
```

```
    out = np.where(post1 >= post2, 1, 2)
```

```
    return out
```

maybe also  
return  
performance  
metrics

multivariate  
gaussian

↑

$P(x|w)$

{ Get posteriors

$(\alpha P(x|w_i) P(w_i))$

{ if post1 > post2  
assign 1, else 2