

Contrasting TLS-derived orthogonal stem profiles, in poplar plantations

Puletti N., Grotti M., Scotti R.

june 2018

Contents

General scope	1
Setup and fetch the data	1
Data synthesis	2
To be careful about!	3
Plot all profiles	4
Selection of the most convenient segment length level for detailed analysis	10
Profile's difference analysed by Hierarchical Linear Modeling (HLM)	13

General scope

Assessment of poplar profiles sensitivity to competition anisotropy and to plantation density. Three plots have been established in a poplar plantation of 10 years in Viadana (Mantova). Poplar rows are alternated with rows of other valuable (but less fast growing) species, one every two poplar rows. Distances from stem to stem within the plantation rows vary between the plots: 4 m, 4.5 m and 5 m. Distance between the plantation rows is 9 m. Each plot includes 12 stems from two facing rows. Based on TLS-data, stem profiles from two orthogonal vertical crosssections have been determined: one along the rows direction and the other on the between-rows direction. Profiles have been sampled systematically adopting different segment lengths (Segm_lenght) estimating stem crosssection width (diam_btw_rows and diam_wti_rows) at different heights along the stem (Sect_height)

[MEMO: credo sia opportuno ricordarsi di guardare Rubio-Cuadrado Á, Bravo-Oviedo A, Mutke S, Del Río M (2018). Climate effects on growth differ according to height and diameter along the stem in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. *iForest* 11: 237-242. – doi: 10.3832/ifor2318-011 [online 2018-03-12]]

Setup and fetch the data


```
library(tidyverse)
library(magrittr)
library(ggplot2)

source("DataWrangling.R")
```

Data synthesis

```
library(knitr)

tff %>%
  select(Treat, TreeId, dbh = DBH) %>%
  unique() %>%
  ggplot(aes(y = dbh, x = Treat)) + geom_boxplot(colour = "darkgrey") +
  geom_jitter(width = .1, height = 0.15) +
  ggtitle("Effect of plantation density on breast height diameter (dbh)") +
  ylab("dbh [cm]")
```



```
tff %>%
  select(Treat, TreeId, dbh = DBH) %>%
  unique() %>%
  lm(dbh ~ Treat, data = .) %>%
  summary() %>% tidy() %>%
  kable(digits = 3, format.args = list(zero.print = F),
        caption = "Plantation density effect on 'dbh'")
```

Table 1: Plantation density effect on 'dbh'

term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	37.417	0.635	58.957	
TreatV450	2.167	0.898	2.414	0.021
TreatV500	2.417	0.898	2.693	0.011

```

TLSdbh_df <- tff %>%
  filter(abs(1.3 - Sect_height)<.0001) %>%
  select(Treat, treid, TreeId, dbh = DBH, starts_with('diam')) %>%
  gather(direction, TLSdbh, starts_with('diam')) %>%
  mutate(TLSdbh = 100 * TLSdbh)

TLSdbh_df %>%
  lm(TLSdbh ~ Treat + direction, data = .) %>%
  summary() %>% tidy() %>%
  kable(digits=c(0, 2, 3, 3, 5),
        caption = "Plantation density and measurement directions effects on TLS estimated dbh")

```

Table 2: Plantation density and measurement directions effects on TLS estimated dbh

term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
(Intercept)	37.54	0.540	69.560	0.00000
TreatV450	2.09	0.661	3.158	0.00237
TreatV500	2.60	0.661	3.928	0.00020
directiondiam_wti_rows	-1.84	0.540	-3.401	0.00113

In the observed conditions poplar stems display an elliptical crosssection: width measured along the direction where competitors are nearer (within plantation rows) is almost 2 cm smaller than the orthogonal measure (between rows, where the competing subjects are relatively further away).

The difference observed at breast height level corresponds to the gross average of the differences measured up to 5 m along the stem.

To be careful about!


```

TLSdbh_df %>%
  spread(direction, TLSdbh) %>%
  mutate( dbh = jitter(dbh, factor = 1)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = dbh, color = Treat)) +
  geom_abline(slope=1, color = "grey") +
  geom_errorbar(aes(ymin=diam_wti_rows, ymax=diam_btw_rows),
               width = .2, linetype =2, size = .5) +
  geom_line(aes(y = I((diam_wti_rows + diam_btw_rows)/2))) +
  geom_point(aes(y = I((diam_wti_rows + diam_btw_rows)/2)), shape = 1) +
  scale_x_continuous(breaks = seq(0, 100, 1), minor_breaks = NULL) +
  scale_y_continuous(breaks = seq(0, 100, 1), minor_breaks = NULL) +
  ggtitle("Field measured dbh and corresponding TLS estimates",
         subtitle = "between- and within-rows estimates by plantation density")

```

Field measured dbh and corresponding TLS estimates

between- and within-rows estimates by plantation density




Plot all profiles

```
Diameters <- TLSderivedDiam %>%
  select( -ends_with("centro")) %>%
  filter(complete.cases(.)) %>%
  gather(direction, diam, starts_with("diam"))

gl <- Diameters %$%
  levels(tesi) %>%
  map(
    ~ Diameters %>%
      filter(tesi == .x) %>%
      ggplot(aes(slice, diam)) +
      geom_line(aes(color = direction)) +
      facet_grid(treid ~ length_toppo, scales = "free") +
      ggtitle(paste0("Tesis: '", .x, "'")) +
      theme(plot.title = element_text(hjust = 0.5))
  )
print(gl)


## [[1]]
```

Tesis: 'V400'




[[2]]

Tesis: 'V450'




[[3]]



```
# install.packages("svglite")
for(i in 1:3) ggsave(paste0("AllProfiles",i,".svg"), gl[[i]], scale = 2)
```

```
## Saving 14 x 18 in image
## Saving 10.9 x 9.98 in image
## Saving 10.9 x 9.98 in image
```


In the direction with less competition stem cross-sections are 1.6–1.8 cm wider but even accounting for tree-level effects, the variability of the difference between orth still completely hides the effect of plantation density (means = crossed circles)



Selection of the most convenient segment length level for detailed analysis


```
tff %>%
  filter(complete.cases(.)) %>%
  select(Segm_length, Sect_height) %>%
  unique() %$%
  table(Segm_length) %>% t() %>%
  cbind(t(matrix("freq", dimnames = list("segment length" )), .) %>%
  kable( align = 'c',
        caption = "Number of sections estimated on each tree for each segment-length level")
```

Table 3: Number of sections estimated on each tree for each segment-length level

segment length	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
freq	55	27	18	13	11

```
tff %>%
  group_by(Segm_length, Treat) %>%
  summarise(mean_delta = mean(delta_d_cm)) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Segm_length, y = mean_delta, colour = Treat)) +
  geom_point() + geom_line() +
  ylab("BetweenRowsDiam - WithinRowsDiameter [cm] Averages") +
  xlab("Lenght of analysis segments [m]") +
  ggtitle("Stem crossections are significantly wider in the direction with less competition (between p
```


Stem crosssections are significantly wider in the direction with less competition
 Average difference between orthogonal profiles are clearly greater at low
 Length of analysis segments has little influence, but ... (next graph)



```
tff %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Treat, y = delta_d_cm, colour = Treat)) +
  geom_boxplot() +
  stat_summary(fun.y = mean, geom = "point", shape = 13, size = 4) +
  facet_grid(~Segm_length, labeller = label_both) +
  ylab("BetweenRowsDiam - WithinRowsDiameter [cm]") +
  ggtitle("The variability of the difference between orthogonal profiles\n hides completely the effect of")
```

The variability of the difference between orthogonal profiles
hides completely the effect of plantation density (means = crossed circles)

Will tree level modelling let the effect emerge?



```
tff %>%
  group_by(Segm_length) %>%
  do( fit = tidy(lm(data = ., delta_d ~ Treat * TreeId ))) %>%
  unnest() %>%
  filter(substr(term, 1, 5) %in% c("Treat")) %>%
  select(-c(3:5)) %>%
  spread(term, p.value) %>%
  kable(caption = "ANOVA p.values for Treat by Segm_length from: lm(delta_d ~ Treat * TreeId) ")
```

Table 4: ANOVA p.values for Treat by Segm_length from:
lm(delta_d ~ Treat * TreeId)

Segm_length	TreatV450	TreatV500
0.1	0.0017793	0.0069014
0.2	0.0088386	0.0245643
0.3	0.0430879	0.0825336
0.4	0.0962377	0.0698999
0.5	0.1407219	0.1240557

```
oll <- 0.1 # optimal Log Length for profiles discrimination
# Segm_length ottimale: compromesso tra min lag correlation e conservazione del dettaglio della forma
stff <- tff %>%
  filter(Segm_length == oll)
```

Profile's difference analysed by Hierarchical Linear Modeling (HLM)


Tree level modelling has been developed based on crosssections estimated dividing the stem in segments of 0.1 meters.

```
library(lme4)
library(HLMdiag)

mc <- function(frml, main = paste0(as.expression(frml)), ...) {
  # evaluate the model (specified as formula) and produce the residulas control plot
  fm <- stff %>% lmer(data = ., frml, ... )
  p <- qplot(x = stff$Sect_height, y = residuals(fm),
    geom = c("point", "smooth")) +
    xlab("cross-section height [m]") +
    ylab("level-1 residuals") +
    ggtitle(main)
  print(p)
  return(fm)
}

# prova CON Sect_height, al cubo (con RML=T, non converge!)
fm13 <- mc(delta_d_cm ~ Treat + (1 | TreeId)
  + Sect_height *(1 | TreeId)
  + I(Sect_height^2)* (1 | TreeId)
  + I(Sect_height^3)* (1 | TreeId)
  , REML = F
  , main = "delta_d ~ Treat + (poly(Sect_height, 3)| TreeId)")


## `geom_smooth()` using method = 'gam'
```



```
stiff %>% ggplot() +
  geom_point(aes(x = Sect_height, y = delta_d_cm)) +
  geom_smooth(aes(x = Sect_height, y = delta_d_cm)) +
  geom_line(aes(x = Sect_height, y = fitted(fm13)), color = "yellow", size = 1) +
  facet_wrap(~TreeId) +
  ggtitle("Nested models fitting profile differences by tree")

## `geom_smooth()` using method = 'loess'
```

Nested models fitting profile differences by tree




```
resid2_fm13 <- HLMresid(object = fm13, level = "TreeId")
names(resid2_fm13) <- paste0("pw",0:3,"coeff")
# head(resid2_fm13)

stff %>%
  select(Treat, TreeId) %>%
  unique() %>%
  inner_join(mutate(resid2_fm13, TreeId = row.names(resid2_fm13))) %>%
  gather(param, value, 3:6) %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Treat, y = value)) +
  geom_boxplot(color = "darkgrey") +
  geom_jitter(width = .15, height = 0) +
  geom_hline(yintercept = 0) +
  facet_wrap(~param, scales = "free") +
  ggtitle("Level 2 (Treat = plantation distance) residuals")

## Joining, by = "TreeId"
```

Level 2 (Treat = plantation distance) residuals




```
Treat_anova <- stiff %>%
  lmer(data = ., delta_d_cm ~
    1 * (1 | TreeId)
    + Sect_height * (1 | TreeId)
    + I(Sect_height^2) * (1 | TreeId)
    + I(Sect_height^3) * (1 | TreeId)
    , REML = F) %>%
  anova(fm13)

Treat_anova %>%
  mutate_all(funs(ifelse(is.na(.), 0, .))) %>%
  kable(digits = c(0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 0, 4), format.args = list(zero.print = F),
    caption = cat(paste("Contribution of plantation distance (Treat) to profiles differences variance e
```

```
## Contribution of plantation distance (Treat) to profiles differences variance explanation Models:
## .: delta_d_cm ~ 1 * (1 | TreeId) + Sect_height * (1 | TreeId) +
## .: I(Sect_height^2) * (1 | TreeId) + I(Sect_height^3) * (1 |
## .: TreeId)
## fm13: delta_d_cm ~ Treat + (1 | TreeId) + Sect_height * (1 | TreeId) +
## fm13: I(Sect_height^2) * (1 | TreeId) + I(Sect_height^3) * (1 |
## fm13: TreeId)
```

Df	AIC	BIC	logLik	deviance	Chisq	Chi Df	Pr(>Chisq)
9	5150.99	5201.19	-2566.50	5132.99			
11	5154.44	5215.79	-2566.22	5132.44	0.5501	2	0.7595


```
fm13 %>%
  augment() %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = Treat, y = .fitted, colour = Treat)) +
  geom_boxplot() +
  stat_summary(fun.y = mean, geom = "point", shape = 13, size = 4) +
  ylab("BetweenRowsDiam - WithinRowsDiameter [cm] Model estimates") +
  ggtitle("In the direction with less competition stem cross-sections are 1.6–1.8 cm wider but,\n even accounting for tree-level effects, the variability of the difference between\n still completely hides the effect of plantation density (means = crossed circle)")
```



```
[rmarkdown::render("AnalysisOfTLSbasedOrthogonalStemProfiles_inArectangulalySpacedPoplarPlantation.Rmd",
  encoding="UTF-8")]
```