

# Analysis I

Nutan Nepal

September 20, 2022

## Homework 1

1. Choose either  $d_1$  or  $d_2$  below and show that it is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$d_1(x, y) = \max\{|x_i - y_i|\} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i| \quad (\text{taxicab metric}).$$

### Solution:

- i. Since  $d_2$  is a finite sum of positive numbers,  $0 \leq d_2(x, y) < \infty$ .
- ii.  $d_2(x, y) = d_2(y, x)$  since  $|x_i - y_i| = |y_i - x_i|$  for all  $i$ .
- iii.  $d_2(x, x) = 0$  since it is the sum of zeros.
- iv. Since we have  $|x_i - z_i| = |(x_i - y_i) + (y_i - z_i)| \leq |x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|$  (using triangle inequality for each  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ), we get

$$d_2(x, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - z_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (|x_i - y_i| + |y_i - z_i|) = d_2(x, y) + d_2(y, z).$$

Hence,  $d_2$  also satisfies the triangle inequality for  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Thus,  $d_2$  is a metric in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . □

2. Let  $C[0, 1]$  be the space of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

Show that  $d(f, g) = \int_0^1 |f(x) - g(x)| dx$  is a metric on  $C[0, 1]$

**Solution:** For all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $f$  is bounded. Let  $|f(x)| < M$  and  $|g(x)| < N$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

- i. Then  $0 \leq \int_0^1 |f(x) - g(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 |f(x)| + |g(x)| dx \leq (M + N)(1 - 0) < \infty$ . Hence  $0 \leq d(f, g) < \infty$ .
- ii.  $d(f, g) = d(g, f)$  since  $|f(x) - g(x)| = |g(x) - f(x)|$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .
- iii.  $d(f, f) = 0$  since it is the integration of zero function.
- iv. For each  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $f, g, h \in C[0, 1]$ , we have  $|f(x) - h(x)| = |(f(x) - g(x)) + (g(x) - h(x))| \leq |f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - h(x)|$  (using triangle inequality for real numbers). Then

$$d(f, h) = \int_0^1 |f(x) - h(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 (|f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - h(x)|) dx = d(f, g) + d(g, h).$$

Hence,  $d$  satisfies the triangle inequality for  $f, g, h \in C[0, 1]$ .

Thus,  $d$  is a metric on  $C[0, 1]$ . □

3. Let  $x = \{x_n\}_1^\infty$  be a sequence.

a. True or False: If  $x \in l^p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Justify your answer.

**Solution:** True. If  $x \in l^p$  for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $(\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p} < \infty$ . Hence  $\sum_i^\infty |x_i|^p$  is a convergent series and  $|x_i|^p \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  which implies that  $x_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

b. True or False: If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $x_n \in l^p$ , for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Justify your answer.

**Solution:** False. The sequence given by  $x = \{x_i = \frac{1}{\log(i+1)}\}_1^\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  but the sum  $\sum_2^\infty |x_i|^p$  does not converge for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . So,  $x \notin l^p$  for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

4. Let  $a, b \geq 0$ , and  $p \geq 1$ . Prove that

$$(a + b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p).$$

**Solution:** Let  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Since  $f$  is a *convex* function, we have

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Taking  $\alpha = 1/2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2}\right) &\leq \frac{f(a)}{2} + \frac{f(b)}{2} \\ \text{or, } \frac{1}{2^p} f(a + b) &\leq \frac{1}{2} (f(a) + f(b)) \\ \text{or, } (a + b)^p &\leq 2^{p-1} (a^p + b^p). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5. For  $p > 1$ , let  $q$  be its conjugate, i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Prove the **Young's Inequality**:

$$u \cdot v \leq \frac{1}{p} u^p + \frac{1}{q} v^q, \quad \forall u, v \geq 0$$

**Solution:** If either  $u$  or  $v$  equals 0, then the inequality follows immediately. Suppose  $u > 0$ ,  $v > 0$  and let  $f(x) = e^x$ . Since  $f$  is a *convex* function,

$$\begin{aligned} u \cdot v &= \exp(\log u + \log v) \\ &= f\left(\frac{1}{p} \log u^p + \frac{1}{q} \log v^q\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} f(\log u^p) + \frac{1}{q} f(\log v^q) \\ &= \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. Prove Holder's Inequality for Sums.

**Solution:**

**Holder's inequality:** Let  $p, q \geq 1$  be conjugate exponents. Let  $x = \{x_i\}_1^\infty \in l^p$  and  $y = \{y_i\}_1^\infty \in l^q$ . Then

a.  $xy = \{x_i y_i\}_1^\infty \in l^1$  and

b.  $\sum_1^\infty |x_i y_i| \leq (\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

Let  $u_i = \frac{x_i}{(\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p}}$  and  $v_i = \frac{y_i}{(\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q)^{1/q}}$ . Then by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i \cdot v_i &= \frac{x_i}{(\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{y_i}{(\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q)^{1/q}} \\ &\leq \frac{x_i^p}{p \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p} + \frac{y_i^q}{q \sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m = (\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$  and  $n = (\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q)^{1/q}$ . Then from above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^\infty |x_i y_i| &= mn \sum_1^\infty |u_i v_i| \leq mn \sum_1^\infty \left| \frac{1}{pm^p} x_i^p + \frac{1}{qn^q} y_i^q \right| \leq mn \left( \frac{1}{pm^p} \cdot \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p + \frac{1}{qn^q} \sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q \right) \\ &= mn \left( \frac{1}{pm^p} \cdot m^p + \frac{1}{qn^q} \cdot n^q \right) = mn \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_1^\infty |x_i y_i| \leq mn = (\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{1/p} \cdot (\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^q)^{1/q}$  which proves (b). Since  $0 \leq \sum_1^\infty |x_i y_i| < \infty$ , we also have (a) by definition.  $\square$

## 7. Prove Minkowski's Inequality for Sums.

**Solution:**

**Minkowski's inequality :** Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $x = \{x_i\}_1^\infty \in l^p$  and  $y = \{y_i\}_1^\infty \in l^p$ . Then

a.  $x + y = \{x_i + y_i\}_1^\infty \in l^p$  and

b.  $(\sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (\sum_1^\infty |y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

First we show that  $x + y \in l^p$  by showing that

$$\left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

We have,

$$\sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \leq \sum_i^\infty (|x_i| + |y_i|)^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left( \sum_i^\infty |x_i|^p + \sum_i^\infty |y_i|^p \right) < \infty.$$

Now, since  $x, y \in l^p$ ,  $d_p(x, y) < \infty$ . If  $p = 1$  then the Minkowski inequality follows from the triangle inequality of real numbers. Let  $p > 1$  then

$$\sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p = \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} \leq \sum_1^\infty (|x_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} + |y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}) \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_1^\infty (|x_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}) + \sum_1^\infty (|y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}) \quad (2)$$

Now let  $q$  be the conjugate exponent of  $p$ , then we have  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \iff p + q = pq \iff p = p(q-1)$ . Then, at line 2

$$\left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^{(p-1)q} \right)^{1/q} = \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

which shows that  $\{|x_i + y_i|^{p-1}\}_i^\infty \in l^q$ . Then by Holder's inequality,

$$\sum_1^\infty |x_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1} \leq \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^{(p-1)q} \right)^{1/q} \quad (3)$$

$$= \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1/q} \quad (4)$$

Using the results from line 2 and line 4 on line 1,

$$\sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \leq \sum_1^\infty (|x_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}) + \sum_1^\infty (|y_i| |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{or, } \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \leq \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p \right)^{1/q} \cdot \left( \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_1^\infty |y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right) \quad (6)$$

Dividing both sides by  $(\sum_1^\infty |x_i + y_i|^p)^{1/q}$ , we get the Minkowski's inequality (since  $1 - \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p}$ ).

□

8. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $l^p = \{x = \{x_i\}_1^\infty \mid \sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p < \infty\}$ . For any  $x, y \in l^p$ , define

$$d_p(x, y) = \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

Prove that  $(l^p, d_p)$  is a metric space.

**Solution:**

- i. Since  $d_p(x, y)$  is the  $p$ th root of a sum of positive numbers,  $d_p \geq 0$ . Also from Minkowski inequality (a.), we have  $d_p < \infty$ .
- ii.  $d_p(x, y) = d_p(y, x)$  since  $|x_i - y_i| = |y_i - x_i|$  for all  $i$ .
- iii.  $d_p(x, x) = 0$  since  $|x_i - x_i| = 0$  for all  $i$ .
- iv. The triangle inequality for  $d_p$  follows from the Minkowski inequality (b.)

$$\left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \sum_1^\infty |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_1^\infty |y_i - z_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

or,  $d_p(x, z) \leq d_p(x, y) + d_p(y, z)$

□

9. Prove Jensen's Inequality for Sums.

**Solution:**

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^{p_2} \right)^{1/p_2} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^{p_1} \right)^{1/p_1} \quad \forall 1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$$

Let  $|y_i| = |x_i|^{p_1}$ . Then we need to show that  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|$ . First we show that this is true for a finite sequence  $\{x_i\}_1^n$  using induction on  $n$ . Then we take the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to prove Jensen's inequality.

When  $n = 1$ ,  $(|y_1|^{p_2/p_1})^{p_1/p_2} = y_1$ . (True)

Let  $H(k) : \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|$  be true for some integer  $k > 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |y_i|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|^{p_2/p_1} + |y_{k+1}|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} + \left( |y_{k+1}|^{p_2/p_1} \right)^{p_1/p_2} \quad [\text{by Minkowski inequality}] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |y_i| + |y_{k+1}| \quad [\text{by induction hypothesis}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |y_i| \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H(k) \implies H(k+1)$  which proves that  $H(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get the required Jensen's inequality.  $\square$

10. Show that  $l^1 \subset l^2$  without using Jensen's inequality. Then show that inclusion is strict, i.e., find an element in  $l^2$  that is not in  $l^1$ .

**Solution:** Let  $x \in l^1$  then  $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| < \infty$  which implies that the sequence  $x$  converges to 0. Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_i < 1$  for all  $i > N$ . Then for  $i > N$ , we have  $|x_i|^2 < |x_i|$ . Hence,

$$0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 < \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i|^2 + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} |x_i| < \infty.$$

So,  $l^1 \subset l^2$ .

The harmonic series given by the sequence  $x = \{x_i = \frac{1}{i}\}_1^{\infty}$  does not converge. However

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} < \infty.$$

Here we see that  $x \notin l^1$  but  $x \in l^2$ . Hence, the inclusion is strict.  $\square$

## Homework 2

1. Let  $(X, \rho)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be metric spaces, and let  $f : (X, \rho) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a map such that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $X$ , for all  $V$  open in  $Y$ . Show that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

**Solution:** Let  $V$  be the open set around the image  $f(x)$  of a point  $x \in X$ . Then there exists an open set  $f^{-1}(V) \in X$  such that  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $V$  be the open ball  $B_{x,\varepsilon}(f(x))$  around the point  $f(x)$  for some given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $f^{-1}(B_{x,\varepsilon}(f(x)))$  is also open in  $X$ . Since  $x$  is in the open set  $f^{-1}(B_{x,\varepsilon}(f(x)))$ , we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \in B_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(B_{x,\varepsilon}(f(x)))$ . This means that for all  $z \in X$  such that  $d(z, x) < \delta$  we have  $d(f(z), f(x)) < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . Since we can do this at every point  $x \in X$ , we see that  $f$  is continuous on  $X$ .

2. (**Continuous mapping**) Show that a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if the inverse image of any closed set  $M \subset Y$  is a closed set in  $X$ .

**Solution:** We first note that for any set  $A \subset Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(A) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in A\}$  and  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus A) = \{x \in X : f(x) \notin A\}$ . Then clearly,  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus A)$  are disjoint sets of  $X$ . Particularly,

$$f^{-1}(Y \setminus A) = X \setminus f^{-1}(A).$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $V$  be a closed set of  $Y$ . Then there exists a closed set  $f^{-1}(V)$  in  $X$ . Since all open sets can be written as a complement of closed set, we see that for any open set  $Y \setminus V$  in  $Y$  there exists an open set  $X \setminus f^{-1}(V)$  in  $X$ . Since  $X \setminus f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus V)$ , the preimage of any open set of  $Y$  is open in  $X$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous.

( $\Rightarrow$ )

3. Assume that  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, d_1 = \text{Euclidean metric}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, d_2 = \text{taxicab metric}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is also continuous at  $x$ .

**Solution:** Since  $f : (\mathbb{R}^2, d_1 = \text{Euclidean metric}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, we know that  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , for all  $V$  open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Our proof will be complete if we can show that every open set  $U$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_1)$  is also open with respect to the taxicab metric.

Let  $V$  be open in  $(\mathbb{R}^2, d_1)$ . Then for every point  $(p, q) \in V$  there exists an open ball  $B_\delta((p, q)) \subset V$  containing  $(p, q)$ .

$$B_\delta((p, q)) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x - p)^2 + (y - q)^2 < \delta^2\}$$

4. Show that the discrete metric space  $(X, d)$  is separable iff  $X$  is countable.

**Solution:** If  $X$  is countable, then  $X$  is the countable dense subset in  $X$ . So, it is separable.

Now, we show that if  $(X, d)$  is separable, then it is countable. Let  $Y \subset X$  be the countable dense subset of  $X$ . Then every open set of  $X$  must contain a point from  $Y$ . We note that all the singleton sets of  $X$  are open in  $X$  and so  $Y$  must contain all the points from the singleton sets. Hence we get  $X \subset Y \implies X = Y$ . So,  $X$  is countable.

5. Show that  $l^p$ , with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is separable.

**Solution:** We will show that the set  $M$  of sequences in  $l^p$  with finite non-zero rational terms is the countable dense subset of  $l^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . That is  $M$  has all the sequences  $x$  of the form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$$

with each  $x_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . First, we show that  $M$  is countable. Note that for a fixed  $n$ , the set of sequences in  $l^p$  with rational terms and all but the first  $n$  terms zero is countable. Then  $M$  is the countable union of countable sets

and, hence, is countable.

Now, we show that the set  $M$  is dense in  $l^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $x = \{x_i\}_1^\infty \in l^p$ . Then  $\sum_1^\infty |x_i|^p$  is convergent and so for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that,

$$\sum_{N+1}^\infty |x_i|^p < \varepsilon^p/2.$$

Then, for the first  $N$  terms, since rational numbers are dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we can choose rational numbers  $y_i$  such that  $|x_i - y_i|^p < \varepsilon^p/2N$ . So  $(y_1, \dots, y_N, 0, 0, \dots)$  is a point in  $M$  and we see that

$$d(x, y) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - y_i|^p + \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty |x_i - y_i|^p \right)^{1/p} < (\varepsilon^p/2 + \varepsilon^p/2)^{1/p} = \varepsilon$$

So, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find a point of  $M$  in the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of every point  $x \in l^p$ . Hence  $l^p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is separable.

6. Show that  $l^\infty$  is not separable.

**Solution:** Let  $x = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be the sequence of zeros and ones. Then since the sequence is bounded, it is in  $l^\infty$ . Now we will show that the set of such sequences  $x$  are uncountably many and have disjoint open neighborhoods for some radius.

For each sequence  $x$  we associate a real number  $y$  whose binary representation is

$$\sum_1^\infty \frac{x_i}{2^i}.$$

Then for each  $y \in [0, 1]$ , there exists a unique sequence of zeros and ones as each  $y$  has a unique binary representation. Since there are uncountably many  $y$ , the sequences associated with them are also uncountable. The metric on  $l^\infty$  given by

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i - y_i|$$

implies that any two distinct binary sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  must be 1 distance apart. Then we can take  $r = 1/2$  to get the disjoint neighborhoods in  $l^\infty$  associated with each sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ .

Now, if  $M$  is any dense set in  $l^\infty$ , then every open set in  $l^\infty$  must contain a point of  $M$ . So, for each disjoint open neighborhood constructed above,  $M$  contains a point in the neighborhood. Hence, any dense set  $M$  is uncountable in  $l^\infty$  and  $l^\infty$  is not separable.

7. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in a m.s.  $(X, d)$  which converges to  $x$ . Show that  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence. Then let  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $(X, d)$  which converges to  $y$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = d(x, y)$ .

**Solution:** Since the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $x$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . If we take  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we see  $x_n \leq |x| + 1$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Let  $M = \max\{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ . Then

$$x_n \leq \max\{M, |x| + 1\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence,  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded.

If  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $x$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $y$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that

$d(x, x_n) < \varepsilon/2$  for  $n > N_1$  and  $d(y, y_n) < \varepsilon/2$  for  $n > N_2$ . Then for  $n > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ ,

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(x, y_n) \leq d(x, x_n) + d(y, y_n) + d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon + d(x, y).$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow d(x, y)$ .

8. Show that any nonempty set  $A \subset (X, d)$  is open if and only if it is a union of open balls.

**Solution:** If  $A$  is open in  $X$  then for all  $x \in A$  we exists an open ball  $B_{\delta_x}(x)$  such that  $x \in B_{\delta_x}(x) \subset A$ . Let

$$B = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\delta_x}(x).$$

Clearly,  $B \subset A$  since each  $B_{\delta_x}(x)$  is contained in  $A$ . Also, since  $B$  contains all the points of  $A$ , we have  $A = B$ . So,  $A$  is a union of open balls.

Now, if  $A = \bigcup B_\alpha$  is a union of open balls  $B_\alpha$  then for each  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \in B_\alpha$  for some  $B_\alpha$ . That means that for every  $x \in A$  we have some open ball  $B_\alpha$  such that  $x \in B_\alpha \subset A$ . Hence  $A$  is open in  $X$ .

9. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space,  $E \subset X$ , and  $x \in X$ . Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $x \in \overline{E}$
- (b)  $B(x, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset, \forall r > 0$
- (c)  $\exists \{x_n\} \in E$  s.t.  $x_n \rightarrow x$

**Solution:** (a)  $\implies$  (b). Let  $x \in \overline{E} = X \setminus (X \setminus E)^\circ$ . Then  $x \notin (X \setminus E)^\circ$ . Negating this statement, we see that for all  $r > 0$ ,  $B(x, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ .

(b)  $\implies$  (c). We first define the radii  $r_n = 1/n$  of the open balls around the point  $x \in X$ . Since  $B(x, r) \cap E \neq \emptyset, \forall r > 0$ , we can take a sequences of points  $\{x_i\}_1^\infty$  in  $E$  such that  $x_i \in B(x, r_i)$  for each  $i$ . Then this is the sequence in  $E$  which converges to the point  $x$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (a). Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a  $N$  such that  $d(x, x_n) < \varepsilon$  all  $n > N$ . That is, every open ball around  $x$  contains a point of  $E$ . So  $x \notin (X \setminus E)^\circ \implies x \in X \setminus (X \setminus E)^\circ$ . Thus  $x$  is in the closure  $\overline{E}$ .

10. If  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are metrics on the same set  $X$  and there are positive numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$ad_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq bd_1(x, y),$$

show that the Cauchy sequences in  $(X, d_1)$  and  $(X, d_2)$  are the same.

**Solution:** Let  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d_1)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , we can find an integer  $N$  such that  $d_1(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon'$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Then taking  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'/b$  we have  $d_2(x_m, x_n) \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d_2)$ .

Now, let  $\{y_n\}_1^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d_2)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , we can find an integer  $N$  such that  $d_2(y_m, y_n) < \varepsilon'$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Then taking  $\varepsilon = a\varepsilon'$  we have  $ad_1(y_m, y_n) \leq a\varepsilon \implies d_1(y_m, y_n) \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence the sequence  $\{y_n\}_1^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d_1)$ .

11. Show that  $l^p$ , with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is complete.



**Solution:** Let  $\{\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^\infty\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in the space  $l^p$  where for each  $n$ ,  $\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is a convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that

$$d(x_i^m, x_i^n) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i^m - x_i^n|^p \right)^{1/p} < \varepsilon$$

for all  $m, n > N$ . Then for each fixed  $i$ , the term  $|x_i^m - x_i^n|^p < \varepsilon^p \implies |x_i^m - x_i^n| < \varepsilon$ . So  $\{x_i^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence of real numbers for each fixed  $i$  and it converges to some number that we can call  $x_i$ . We define  $x = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and show that this is the limit of our sequence  $\{\{x_i^n\}_{i=1}^\infty\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $l^p$ .

From above, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i^m - x_i^n|^p < \varepsilon^p$$

for all  $m, n > N$ . Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have (by definition) for  $m > N$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |x_i^m - x_i|^p \leq \varepsilon^p.$$

Then as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i^m - x_i|^p \leq \varepsilon^p$$

12. Prove that  $(\mathbb{R}, d(x, y) = |x - y|)$  is complete.

**Solution:** First, we show that every Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  is bounded. Let  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find an integer  $N$  such that  $d(x_m, x_n) = |x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Then when  $m = N + 1$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ , we see that  $|x_m| - |x_{N+1}| \leq |x_m - x_{N+1}| < \varepsilon$ . So  $|x_m| \leq |x_{N+1}| + 1$  for all  $m > N$ . Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is bounded by  $M$  where  $M = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_N|, |x_{N+1}| + 1\}$ . Then, since  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  is bounded, by Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, we know that  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ . Let  $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we show that our sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  itself converges to the point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$ , we have, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Similarly, in the convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , there exists  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{n_i} - x| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $i > N'$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N, N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n > N$  and  $i > N'$  with  $n_i > N$ , we have

$$|x_n - x| \leq |x_n - x_{n_i}| + |x_{n_i} - x| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges in  $(\mathbb{R}, d)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete.

13. Prove that  $(\mathbb{Q}, d(x, y) = |x - y|)$  is incomplete.

**Solution:** We take the sequence  $\{x_n\}_1^\infty$  of rational numbers given by  $x_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$ . Note that this is a convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the same metric and hence it is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{Q}$  too. However, it does not converge to any number in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not complete.

14. Prove that  $(C[-1, 1], d(f, g) = \int_{-1}^1 |f(t) - g(t)| dt)$  is incomplete.

**Solution:** We take the sequence  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  of piecewise defined functions in  $C[-1, 1]$  such that

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > \frac{1}{n} \\ nx, & -\frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ -1, & x < -\frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

We first observe that  $d(f_m, f_n) = 2 \times \text{area of the triangle with base } |\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n}| \text{ and height } 1 = |1/m - 1/n|$ . Then, the sequence is Cauchy since for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have an integer  $N > 1/\varepsilon$  such that for all  $m, n > N$ ,  $d(f_m, f_n) < \varepsilon$ .

Now, for every  $g \in C[0, 1]$ , we have

$$d(f_n, g) = \int_{-1}^1 |f_n(t) - g(t)| dt = \int_{-1}^{-\frac{1}{n}} |-1 - g(t)| dt + \int_{-\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{n}} |f_n(t) - g(t)| dt + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 |1 - g(t)| dt$$

Since  $d \geq 0$  for all  $g \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $d(f_n, g) \rightarrow 0$  implies that each integral on the right should also approach 0. Then we should have

$$g(t) = -1 \quad \text{for } t \in [-1, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, 1].$$

But then  $g$  cannot be continuous so we have a contradiction. So, the Cauchy sequence  $\{f_n\}_1^\infty$  does not converge in  $C[0, 1]$  and the space is not complete.

15. Determine whether or not the discrete metric space is complete. Justify your answer.

**Solution:** Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in the discrete metric space. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $m, n > N$ , we have

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon.$$

If we take  $\varepsilon < 1$  then we see that  $x_m = x_n = x$  for all  $m, n > N$  for some  $N$ . So the sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$  converges to  $x$  and the space is complete.

16. Prove the Completion of a Metric Space Theorem.

**Solution:**

**Theorem 1** (Completion of a Metric Space). *For a metric space  $X = (X, d)$  there exists a complete metric space  $X' = (X', d')$  which has a subspace  $W$  that is isometric with  $X$  and is dense in  $X'$ . This space  $X'$  is unique except for isometries, that is, if  $\tilde{X}$  is any complete metric space having a dense subspace  $\tilde{W}$  isometric with  $X$ , then  $X'$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are isometric.*

*Proof.* We prove the theorem in the following steps.

- (a) We first define a relation  $\sim$  on Cauchy sequences of  $X$  and show that it is a well-defined equivalence relation. For the Cauchy sequences  $x = \{x_i\}_1^\infty$ ,  $y = \{y_i\}_1^\infty$  in  $X$ , we say that  $x \sim y$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0.$$

Clearly, this relation is symmetric and reflexive. For transitivity we see that if  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$  then

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z_n) = 0.$$

Hence  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on the Cauchy sequences of  $X$ . Now, let  $X'$  be the set of all equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences on  $X$  and define the function  $d' : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d'(x', y') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$$

where  $x'$  and  $y'$  are the equivalence classes of  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. We show that this limit exists and the function  $d'$  is well defined. We have,

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_m) + d(y_n, y_m)$$

or,  $|d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m).$

Taking limit as  $m, n$  go to  $\infty$  on both sides we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_m) + d(y_n, y_m)] = 0$$

Hence the limit  $d'(x', y') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$  exists.

Now, if  $x \sim x'$  and  $y \sim y'$ , then

$$d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x'_n) + d(x'_n, y'_n) + d(y_n, y'_n)$$

And as before,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n) - d(x'_n, y'_n)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x'_n) + d(y_n, y'_n)] = 0$$

which implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x'_n, y'_n)$ . Hence  $d'$  is a well-defined function on  $X'$ .

We now show that  $d'$  is a metric on  $X'$ . Clearly  $0 \leq d' < \infty$  since the limit exists and  $d'(x', x') = 0$ . Furthermore,

$$d'(x', y') = 0 \implies x \sim y \implies x' \sim y'.$$

And,

$$d'(x', z') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z_n) = d'(x', y') + d'(y', z').$$

So,  $d'$  satisfies the definition of a metric.

- (b) Now, we construct an isometry  $T : X \rightarrow W$  where  $W$  is a dense subset of  $X'$ . Let  $T$  be a function that takes each element to the equivalence class  $x'$  in  $X'$  of the Cauchy sequence  $\{x\}_1^\infty = (x, x, x, \dots)$  associated with that element. Then  $T$  is an isometry since for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$d'(T(x), T(y)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = d(x, y).$$

We note that isometry is injective map and if  $W = T(X)$ , then  $T : X \rightarrow W$  is surjective. So,  $W$  and  $X$  are isometric.

We need to show that  $W$  is dense in  $X'$ . Let  $x' \in X'$  be the equivalence class of the Cauchy sequence  $\{y_i\}_1^\infty$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Let  $z = y_{N+1}$ . Then, if  $z'$  is the image of  $z$  under  $T$ ,

$$d'(y', z') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, z) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we see that every open neighborhood around the point  $x'$  in  $X'$  contains a point  $z'$  of  $W$  and so,  $W$  is dense in  $X'$ .

- (c) We now show that  $X'$  is a complete metric space. Let  $\{x'_i\}_1^\infty$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X'$ . Since  $W$  is dense in  $X'$ , every open neighborhood in  $X'$  contains a point of  $W$ . So, for each  $i$ , there exists  $z'_i \in W$  such that

$$d'(x'_i, z'_i) < 1/n$$

Then

$$d'(z'_j, z'_i) \leq d'(z'_j, x'_j) + d'(x'_j, x'_i) + d'(x'_i, z'_i) < 1/j + 1/i + d'(x'_j, x'_i)$$

So, since  $\{x'_i\}_1^\infty$  is Cauchy, as  $i, j \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d'(z'_j, z'_i) \rightarrow 0$ . So the sequence  $\{z'_i\}_1^\infty$  is Cauchy in  $X'$ . Since  $T$  is an isometry, we see that the sequence  $T^{-1}(\{z'_i\}_1^\infty) = (T^{-1}(z'_1), T^{-1}(z'_2), T^{-1}(z'_3), \dots) = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$  is

Cauchy in  $X$ . Let  $x'$  be the equivalence class of the Cauchy sequence  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$ . We now show that  $x'$  is the limit of our Cauchy sequence  $\{x'_i\}_1^\infty$  in  $X'$ . We have

$$d'(x'_i, x') \leq d'(x'_i, z'_i) + d'(x', z'_i) < 1/n + d'(x', z'_i)$$

for  $z'_i \in W$ . Then, since  $x'$  is the equivalence class of the Cauchy sequence  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$  and  $z'_i$  is the equivalence class of  $(z_i, z_i, z_i, \dots)$ ,  $d'(x', z'_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z_i)$ . Then

$$d'(x'_i, x') < 1/n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, z_i).$$

The right hand side goes to zero as  $n, i \rightarrow \infty$ . So the Cauchy sequence  $\{x'_i\}_1^\infty$  is convergent in  $X'$  and  $X'$  is complete.

- (d) Now, we show that the space  $X'$  is unique upto isometry. If  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d})$  is another space that contains a dense subset  $\tilde{W}$  isometric to  $X$ , then for any  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{X}$ , we have sequences  $\{\tilde{x}_n\}_1^\infty$  and  $\{\tilde{y}_n\}_1^\infty$  in  $\tilde{W}$  such that  $\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}_n \rightarrow \tilde{y}$  with

$$\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{y}_n).$$

Since  $W$  and  $\tilde{W}$  are isometric and the closure of  $W$  in  $X'$  is  $X'$  itself,  $X'$  and  $\tilde{X}$  must be isometric.

□