

# Smooth Manifolds

Nutan Nepal

September 29, 2022

---

## Homework 1

1. Prove that the open disks  $D_r(p)$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Solution:** To prove that the open disks  $D_r(p)$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we show that for every point  $x \in D_r(p)$  we have another open disk  $D_\epsilon(x)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $D_\epsilon(x) \subset D_r(p)$ .

For any  $x \in D_r(p)$ , we have  $\delta = d(x, p) < r$ , we take  $0 < \epsilon < r - \delta$ . Then we see that for all  $y \in D_\epsilon(x)$

$$d(p, y) \leq d(p, x) + d(x, y) < \delta + \epsilon < \delta + r - \delta = r.$$

Hence,  $y \in D_r(p)$  for all  $y \in D_\epsilon(x)$  which implies that  $D_\epsilon(x) \subset D_r(p)$ . So,  $D_r(p)$  is an open subset.

2. Prove the second part of Proposition 2.17 (a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is continuous everywhere if and only if for all open subsets  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the preimage  $f^{-1}(V)$  of  $V$  under  $f$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

**Solution:** The Proposition 2.17 is reproduced below:

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a function and let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $q = f(p)$ .

- i.  $f$  is continuous at  $p$  if and only if for any open neighborhood  $V$  of  $q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the preimage of  $V$  under  $f$  (i.e.  $f^{-1}(V)$ ) contains an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which in turn contains  $p$ , i.e. there is some open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $f$  sends  $U$  inside  $V$ .
- ii.  $f$  is continuous (everywhere) if and only if for any open subset  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the preimage of  $V$  under  $f$  (i.e.  $f^{-1}(V)$ ) is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For  $\Leftarrow$  : If for all open subsets  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the preimage  $f^{-1}(V)$  of  $V$  under  $f$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $f$  is continuous.

Let  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open subset such that  $f(x) \in V$ . Then we have an open disk  $D_\epsilon(f(x)) \subset V$ . As the disk  $D_\epsilon(f(x))$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , we have the preimage  $f^{-1}(D_\epsilon(f(x))) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  which is open and contains  $x$ . Then we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $D_\delta(x) \subset f^{-1}(D_\epsilon(f(x)))$ . That is, for every  $\epsilon$ -ball around  $f(x)$ , we can find a  $\delta$ -ball around  $x$  such that

$$y \in D_\delta(x) \implies f(y) \in D_\epsilon(f(x))$$

for some  $y$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous.

3. Show that a composition of continuous functions  $\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R}^m \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous.

**Solution:** Since  $g$  is continuous, we have  $g^{-1}(V)$  open for all open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . Similarly we have  $f$  continuous, so  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open for all open sets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(V)$  is open for all open sets  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Hence the composition is continuous.

4. Show that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between sets is invertible if and only if it is bijective.

**Solution:** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is invertible if there exists a function  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$  and  $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$ .

i.  $f$  invertible  $\implies f$  bijective

Note that since  $\text{id}_Y$  is surjective,  $f$  must be surjective. Now for injectivity, we observe that if  $f(x) = f(y)$  then

$$x = (g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(f(y)) = (g \circ f)(y) = y.$$

Hence,  $f$  is bijective.

ii.  $f$  bijective  $\implies f$  invertible

Since  $f$  is both injective and surjective, we define a function  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  by  $g(y) = x$  for  $y \in Y$  whenever  $f(x) = y$ . Note that  $g$  is well-defined since there exists only one  $y$  for each  $x$ . Then, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $g(f(x)) = g(y) = x \implies g \circ f = \text{id}_X$ . Similarly, for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $f(g(y)) = f(x) = y \implies f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$ . So,  $f$  is invertible.

5. Show that the product topology on a product  $X \times Y$  of topological spaces is a valid topology.

**Solution:**  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces. We define a set  $U \subset X \times Y$  to be open if for all  $(x, y) \in U$  we have open neighborhoods  $U_x \subset X$  and  $U_y \subset Y$  such that  $U_x \times U_y \subset U$ .

i. Clearly the null set  $\phi$  and the whole set  $X \times Y$  are open since  $X$  is open in  $X$  and  $Y$  is open in  $Y$ .

ii. Arbitrary union  $\bigcup U_\alpha$  of open sets is open.

Let  $(x, y)$  be an arbitrary point in  $\bigcup U_\alpha$ , then  $(x, y) \in U_i$  for some  $i$ . Then, by definition, there are open neighborhoods  $U_x \subset X$  and  $U_y \subset Y$  such that  $U_x \times U_y \subset U_i \subset \bigcup U_\alpha$ .

iii. Finite intersection  $U_i \cap U_j$  of open sets is open.

Let  $(x, y)$  be an arbitrary point of  $U_i \cap U_j$ , then  $(x, y) \in U_i$  and  $(x, y) \in U_j$ . Then, by definition, there are open neighborhoods  $U_{ix}, U_{jx} \subset X$  and  $U_{iy}, U_{jy} \subset Y$  such that  $U_{ix} \times U_{iy} \subset U_i$  and  $U_{jx} \times U_{jy} \subset U_j$ . Then

$$U_i \cap U_j \supset (U_{ix} \times U_{iy}) \cap (U_{jx} \times U_{jy}) = (U_{ix} \cap U_{jx}) \times (U_{iy} \cap U_{jy}) \ni (x, y).$$

Since  $(U_{ix} \times U_{jx})$  and  $(U_{iy} \times U_{jy})$  are open in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, we see that  $U_i \cap U_j$  is open.

6. Verify the three basic properties of closed sets that correspond to the three axioms for open sets.

**Solution:** We define a set  $V \subset X$  to be closed if its complement  $V^c$  is open in  $X$ .

i. The null set  $\phi$  and the whole set  $X$  are closed.

$\phi^c = X$  and  $X^c = \phi$  which are open in  $X$ .

ii. Arbitrary intersection  $\bigcap V_\alpha$  of closed sets is closed.

Here we use the set-theoretic fact that

$$\left( \bigcup U_\beta \right)^c = \bigcap U_\beta^c \tag{1}$$

where  $\{U_\beta\}$  is the collection of indexed sets. Since each sets  $V_\alpha$  are closed, we write  $V_\alpha$  as  $U_\alpha^c$  where  $U_\alpha$  is

an open set of  $X$ . Then from 1 we have

$$\bigcap V_\alpha = \bigcap U_\alpha^c = \left( \bigcup U_\alpha \right)^c \quad (2)$$

Hence, since  $\bigcup U_\alpha$  is open in  $X$ ,  $\bigcap V_\alpha$  must be closed.

iii. Finite union  $V_i \cup V_j$  of closed sets is closed.

We have  $V_i \cup V_j = U_i^c \cup U_j^c = (U_i \cap U_j)^c$ . Since finite intersection of open sets are open, we observe that  $V_i \cup V_j$  is the complement of an open set. Hence  $V_i \cup V_j$  is closed.

7. Show that if we have  $X'' \subset X' \subset X$ , then the “subspace of a subspace” topology on  $X''$  is the same as the “subspace of the biggest space” topology on  $X''$ .

**Solution:** Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space. The subspace topology on  $X'$  is given by

$$\tau' = \{U' \subset X' : U' = U \cap X' \text{ for some } U \in \tau\}$$

and the subspace topology on  $X''$  induced by  $\tau'$  is given by

$$\tau'' = \{U'' \subset X'' : U'' = U' \cap X'' \text{ for some } U' \in \tau'\}.$$

We need to show that  $\tau''$  is equal to the the subspace topology on  $X''$  induced by  $\tau$

$$T = \{U'' \subset X'' : U'' = U \cap X'' \text{ for some } U \in \tau\}.$$

Let  $A \in \tau''$ , then  $A = U' \cap X''$  for some  $U' \in \tau'$ . Since  $U' = U \cap X'$  for some  $U \in \tau$  we have,  $A = U \cap X' \cap X'' = U \cap X'' \in T$ . Hence  $\tau'' \subset T$ . Similarly, let  $B \in T$ , then  $B = U \cap X''$  for some  $U \in \tau$ . Since we can write  $X''$  as  $X' \cap X''$  we have  $B = U \cap X' \cap X'' = U' \cap X'' \in \tau''$  for some  $U'$  in  $\tau'$ . Hence  $T \subset \tau''$  which gives  $T = \tau''$  ending our proof.

## Homework 2

1. Do Exercise 2.6 (show that for topological spaces  $X, Y, Z$ , the “rearrange-the-parentheses” map from  $(X \times Y) \times Z$  to  $X \times (Y \times Z)$  is a homeomorphism).

**Solution:** Let the function  $f : (X \times Y) \times Z \rightarrow X \times (Y \times Z)$  be defined as

$$f((x, y), z) = f(x, (y, z))$$

where  $x, y$  and  $z$  are respective points of the topological spaces. We see that the map is clearly bijective and hence invertible.

Now, for each open set  $U_x \times (U_y \times U_z)$ , the preimage of  $f$  is given by  $(U_x \times U_y) \times U_z$  which is open in  $(X \times Y) \times Z$ . Similarly, for each open set  $(U_x \times U_y) \times U_z$ , the preimage of  $f^{-1}$  is given by  $U_x \times (U_y \times U_z)$  which is open in  $X \times (Y \times Z)$ . Hence  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are both continuous and the “rearrange the parentheses” map is homeomorphism.

2. Do Exercise 2.7 (show that the product topology and the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  agree).

**Solution:** Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  be the product topology and  $\mathcal{T}$  be the usual topology in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $U$  be open in the product topology, then for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in U$  there exists open neighborhoods  $U_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_i \in U_i$  and  $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \subset U$ . Then for all  $x_i$ , there exists an open interval  $(x_i - \delta_i, x_i + \delta_i)$  for some  $\delta_i > 0$ . Let  $\delta = \min\{\delta_i\}$  taken over all  $i$  from 1 to  $n$ . Clearly,  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \in B_\delta(x) \subset U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \subset U$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{T}$ .

Now let  $U$  be open with respect to the usual topology. Then for all  $x \in U$ , there exists an open ball  $B_\delta(x)$  containing  $x$  such that  $B_\delta(x) \subset U$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\delta_i = \delta/\sqrt{2}$ . Then each  $x_i$  is contained in the interval  $U_i = (x_i - \delta_i, x_i + \delta_i)$  and we see that  $B_\delta(x) \supset U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ . Then  $x \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_n \subset B_\delta(x) \subset U$ . Hence  $U$  is open in the product topology and  $\mathcal{P} \supset \mathcal{T}$ . So we see that the two topologies agree.

3. The following exercises are about the “line with two origins” of Example 2.44, which we will call  $X$ .

- (a) Show that the construction in Example 2.44 defines a topology on  $X$ .
- (b) Show that with this topology,  $X$  is locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (c) Show that  $X$  is not Hausdorff.

**Solution:** The construction in Example 2.44 is reproduced below:

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the set of subsets of  $X$  that have one of the following two forms:

- i. open intervals  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  (with  $a$  and  $b$  finite and  $a < b$ );
- ii. sets of the form  $((a, b) \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{\bar{0}\}$  whenever  $a < 0 < b$ .

- (a) We declare a subset  $U$  of  $X$  to be open if, for all  $x \in U$ , there exists a subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B$  and  $B \subset U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the collection of open sets as defined above. We now show that it is a topology.

- a. Clearly,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$  and also  $X \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- b. Let  $A = \bigcup_i U_i$  be the union of arbitrary collection of indexed open sets. For all  $x \in A$  then there exists a  $U_i$  such that  $x \in U_i$ . So, there exists a subset  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B$  and  $B \subset U_i \subset A$ . Hence,  $A$  is open.
- c. Let  $A = U_1 \cap U_2$  be the finite intersection of open sets of  $X$ . For any  $x \in A$  we see that  $x \in U_1$  and  $x \in U_2$ . Then there exists a subset  $B_1$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B_1$  and  $B_1 \subset U_1$  and there exists a subset  $B_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $x \in B_2$  and  $B_2 \subset U_2$ . If  $x \neq \bar{0}$  then the problem reduces to  $\mathbb{R}$  which implies that  $A$  is open. If  $x = \bar{0}$  then we see that  $B_1 \cap B_2$  is the intersection of open intervals and  $\bar{0}$  which is again open in  $X$ .

Thus  $X$  is a topological space with the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

- (b) For any point  $x \neq \bar{0}$  in  $X$ , we observe that there is an open ball  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$  around  $x$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since any open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  are homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  itself, we see that  $X$  is locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  for every point  $x \neq \bar{0}$ .

Now, when  $x = \bar{0}$  we take  $Y = (-\delta, 0) \cup (0, \delta) \cup \{\bar{0}\}$  and define a function  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(\bar{0}) = 0$  and  $f(y) = \tan(\pi y/2\delta)$ . We see that  $f$  is invertible, continuous and has a continuous inverse and hence is a homeomorphism. Thus,  $X$  is locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (c) For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the neighborhood  $N_\epsilon(0)$  of the point  $0$  intersects with the neighborhood around the point  $\bar{0}$  non-trivially. So,  $X$  is not Hausdorff.

## Homework 3

1. Do Exercise 3.1 (show that if  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  are open, then a function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is smooth if and only if each of its component functions  $f^i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are smooth).

**Solution:** If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (f^1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f^m(x_1, \dots, x_n))$  then for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the first-order partial derivative at  $p$  is given by the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p + te_i) - f(p)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f^1(p + te_i) - f^1(p), \dots, f^j(p + te_i) - f^j(p), \dots, f^m(p + te_i) - f^m(p))}{t} \quad (3)$$

Then for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , the partial derivative exists at  $p \in U$  iff the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f^j(p_1, \dots, p_i + t, \dots, p_n) - f^j(p_1, \dots, p_n)}{t} \quad (4)$$

exists at  $p$ . But the limit on equation (2) is the partial derivative of the component function  $f^j$  at  $x_i$ . Hence, the derivative of  $f$  exists at  $p$  iff each of its component functions are differentiable. The partial derivative at a point  $p$ , again, is a function  $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then, as above, we see that the partial derivatives of  $g$  exist iff each of its component functions are differentiable.

If  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is smooth then all  $k^{th}$ -order partial derivatives exist on  $U$  for all  $k$ . Then, inductively, from above, all  $k^{th}$ -order partial derivatives of each component functions also exist on  $U$  for all  $k$ . Similarly, if all  $k^{th}$ -order partial derivatives of each component functions exist on  $U$  for all  $k$ , then  $f$  is also smooth.

2. Check that Definition 3.6 gives an equivalence relation (a binary relation that is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive) on the set of smooth atlases on a given topological manifold  $X$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{(V_\beta, \psi_\beta) : \beta \in B\}$  be smooth atlases on the topological manifold  $X$  for some indexed set  $A$  and  $B$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$  if their union is a smooth atlas on  $X$ . The reflexive ( $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{A}$ ) and symmetric ( $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{A}$ ) properties are obvious. We now prove for the transitivity of the relation  $\sim$ .

If  $\mathcal{A} \sim \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \sim \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mathcal{C} = \{(W_\gamma, \zeta_\gamma) : \gamma \in C\}$  for some indexed set  $C$ , then for all  $\alpha \in A$  and  $\beta \in B$  such that  $U_\alpha \cap V_\beta$  is non-empty, the map

$$\psi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap V_\beta) \rightarrow \psi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap V_\beta) \quad (5)$$

is smooth, and for all  $\gamma \in C$  and  $\beta \in B$  such that  $W_\gamma \cap V_\beta$  is non-empty, the map

$$\zeta_\gamma \circ \psi_\beta^{-1} : \psi_\beta(W_\gamma \cap V_\beta) \rightarrow \zeta_\gamma(W_\gamma \cap V_\beta) \quad (6)$$

is smooth. Then, we take all  $\alpha \in A$  and  $\gamma \in C$  such that  $U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma$  is non-empty. For each  $x \in U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma$ , we take a chart  $(V, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}$  that contains  $x \in X$ . Then from (3) and (4), we get the composition of smooth maps

$$\zeta_\gamma \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} = \zeta_\gamma \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$$

from  $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma) \rightarrow \zeta_\gamma(U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma)$  which is smooth. Analogously, we can show that the inverse map

$$\varphi_\alpha \circ \zeta_\gamma^{-1} : \zeta_\gamma(U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma) \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap W_\gamma)$$

is also smooth. Hence, this proves transitivity and that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

3. Do Exercise 3.2 (Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological manifolds equipped with smooth atlases  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  respectively. Show that  $\{U \times V : U \in \mathcal{A}, V \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a smooth atlas on the topological manifold  $X \times Y$ ).

**Solution:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{(V_\beta, \psi_\beta) : \beta \in B\}$  be smooth atlases on the topological manifolds  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively for some indexed set  $A$  and  $B$ . Then the product of the smooth atlases is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} = \{(U_\alpha \times V_\beta, \varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta) : \alpha \in A, \beta \in B\}.$$

1. If  $X$  is  $m$ -manifold and  $Y$  is  $n$ -manifold, then  $\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta : U_\alpha \times V_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$  is given by

$$(\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta)(x, y) = (\varphi_\alpha^1(x), \dots, \varphi_\alpha^m(x), \psi_\beta^1(y), \dots, \psi_\beta^n(y))$$

for all  $x \in U_\alpha$  and  $y \in V_\beta$ . Since, each component functions are smooth, we see that  $\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta$  is a smooth function on the product topology.

2. Each  $\varphi_\alpha$  is a homeomorphism from  $U_\alpha$  to an open disk  $D_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\psi_\beta$  is a homeomorphism from  $V_\beta$  to an open disk  $D_\beta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Clearly,  $D_\alpha \times D_\beta$  is an open disk in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ . We define  $\varphi_\alpha^{-1} \times \psi_\beta^{-1} : D_\alpha \times D_\beta \rightarrow U_\alpha \times V_\beta$  by

$$(\varphi_\alpha^{-1} \times \psi_\beta^{-1})(z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+n}) = (\varphi_\alpha^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}), \psi_\beta^{-1}(z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+n}))$$

Since each component functions are continuous, we see that  $\varphi_\alpha^{-1} \times \psi_\beta^{-1}$  is the continuous inverse of the map  $\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta$ . Hence  $\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta$  is a homeomorphism from  $U_\alpha \times V_\beta$  to an open disk in  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ .

3. Since every point of  $X$  is in at least one  $U_\alpha$  and every point of  $Y$  is in  $V_\beta$ , every point of  $X \times Y$  is in some  $U_\alpha \times V_\beta$  (by definition of the product topology).

4. If  $(U_\alpha \times V_\beta) \cap (U_{\alpha'} \times V_{\beta'})$  is non-empty, then the transition map  $(\varphi_{\alpha'} \times \psi_{\beta'}) \circ (\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta)^{-1} : (\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta)((U_\alpha \times V_\beta) \cap (U_{\alpha'} \times V_{\beta'})) \rightarrow (\varphi_{\alpha'} \times \psi_{\beta'})((U_\alpha \times V_\beta) \cap (U_{\alpha'} \times V_{\beta'}))$  is given by  $(\varphi_{\alpha'} \times \psi_{\beta'}) \circ (\varphi_\alpha \times \psi_\beta)^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_m, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+n}) =$

$$(\varphi_{\alpha'} \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(z_1), \dots, \varphi_{\alpha'} \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(z_m), \psi_{\beta'} \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}(z_{m+1}), \dots, \psi_{\beta'} \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}(z_{m+n}))$$

Since each component functions are smooth, we see that the transition map is smooth.

Hence the product of atlases is an atlas in the product of topological manifolds.

4. Define  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  by

$$f(u, v) = \left( \cos(u^2v) - e^{u-v}, \frac{u^2-3}{u^2+v^2}, e^{uv} \right)$$

Compute the Jacobian matrix of  $f$ .

**Solution:**

$$(Jf)_{(u,v)} = \begin{bmatrix} -2uv \sin(u^2v) - e^{u-v} & u^2 \sin(u^2v) + e^{u-v} \\ \frac{2uv^2-6u}{(u^2+v^2)^2} & \frac{2v(3-u^2)}{u^2+v^2} \\ ve^{uv} & ue^{uv} \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Homework 4

1. Precisely specify a function  $f$  and an element  $c$  of the codomain of  $f$  such that the level set of  $f$  at level  $c$  is the ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by the equation

$$x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2 = 4.$$

**Solution:** The given equation is the level set of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2$$

at level  $c = 4$ .

2. Precisely specify a function  $f$  and an element  $c$  of the codomain of  $f$  such that the level set of  $f$  at level  $c$  is the graph of

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathbb{R}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ g(x, y) &= x^3 - y^4. \end{aligned}$$

**Solution:** The graph of  $g$  is given by the set

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = x^3 - y^4\}.$$

Then, this graph of  $g$  is the level set of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$f(x, y, z) = x^3 - y^4 - z$$

at level  $c = 0$ .

3. Precisely specify a function  $f$  whose image is the line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined by the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} y = 2; \\ x - 3z = 5. \end{cases}$$

**Solution:** In the given line, the second coordinate is always 2 and the first coordinate can be written as a function of the third coordinate as  $x = 5 + 3z$ . Then, the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined as

$$f(x) = (5 + 3x, 2, x)$$

has the given line as its image.

4. Do Exercise 3.3: check that the total derivative  $T$  of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  at a point  $p$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (if it exists) is unique.

**Solution:** Let  $T$  and  $T'$  both be the derivative of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  at the point  $p$ . Then for the total derivative  $T$ ,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow p} \frac{f(q) - f(p) - T(q - p)}{\|q - p\|} = 0.$$

Let  $h = q - p$ , so we have,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(p + h) - f(p) - T(h)}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

Now, for  $T$  and  $T'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T(h) - T'(h)\|}{\|h\|} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(p + h) - f(p) - T'(h) - (f(p + h) - f(p) - T(h))\|}{\|h\|} \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(p + h) - f(p) - T'(h)\| + \|(f(p + h) - f(p) - T(h))\|}{\|h\|} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, since  $tx \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we can say that, for  $x \neq 0$  and  $h = tx$  we have, (by linearity of  $T$  and  $T'$ )

$$0 = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T(h) - T'(h)\|}{\|h\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T(tx) - T'(tx)\|}{\|tx\|} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|t| \|T(x) - T'(x)\|}{\|tx\|} = \frac{\|T(x) - T'(x)\|}{\|x\|}.$$

Hence  $\|T(x) - T'(x)\| \implies T = T'$ . So, the total derivative  $T$  is unique.

5. Do Exercise 3.4: establish the given formula for the Jacobian of the “matrix multiplication” map

$$\mu : \mathbb{R}^{km} \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{kn}.$$

**Solution:** Let  $T$  be the Jacobian of the map  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^{km} \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{kn}$  at  $(a, b)$ . Then  $T$  is given by

$$\lim_{(A, B) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(a + A, b + B) - \mu(a, b) - T(A, B)}{\|(A, B)\|} = 0.$$

Here  $\mu$  is the matrix multiplication map that takes  $k \times m$  matrix and  $m \times n$  matrix.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(A, B) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(a + A, b + B) - \mu(a, b) - T(A, B)}{\|(A, B)\|} &= 0 \\ \implies \lim_{(A, B) \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mu(a + A, b + B) - \mu(a, b) - T(A, B)\|}{\|(A, B)\|} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $tA \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $tB \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , when  $(A, B) \neq 0$ , we can write the above limit as

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\mu(a + tA, b + tB) - \mu(a, b) - T(tA, tB)\|}{\|(tA, tB)\|} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|ab + tAb + taB + t^2AB - ab - tT(A, B)\|}{\|(tA, tB)\|} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|t| \|Ab + aB + tAB - T(A, B)\|}{|t| \|(A, B)\|} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|Ab + aB + tAB - T(A, B)\|}{\|(A, B)\|} \\ &= \frac{\|Ab + aB - T(A, B)\|}{\|(A, B)\|} \end{aligned}$$

Since above limit equals 0, we see that the Jacobian  $T(A, B)$  evaluated at  $(a, b)$  equals  $Ab + aB$ .