Analysis II Homework 6

Nutan Nepal

April 23, 2023

Pack Pledge: I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid on this test or assignment.

1. In class, we showed that [If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , and  $f_n \to f$  pointwise a.e.  $[\mu]$ , then  $f_n \to f$  in measure]. Give an example to show that the hypothesis  $\mu(X) < \infty$  cannot be omitted.

We take  $X = [0, \infty]$  which has Lebesgue measure infinity. Let  $f_n : [0, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f_n(x) = \chi_{[n-1,n)}$ . If f is the 0 function, then  $f_n \to f$  pointwise almost everywhere. However, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  we have,

$$\mu\{x: |f(x) - f_n(x)| > 1/2\} = \mu([n-1, n)) = 1,$$
 and thus,  

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mu\{x: |f(x) - f_n(x)| > 1/2\}) = 1.$$

This shows that  $f_n$  does not converge to f in measure.

2. Show that almost uniform convergence implies  $\mu$ -convergence and piecewise convergence a.e.

The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  almost uniformly converges to f on X if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a measurable set  $N_{\varepsilon}$  with  $\mu(N_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$  and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $M = X \setminus N_{\varepsilon}$ , that is, given  $\varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon'$  for all n > p and all  $x \in M$ .

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\varepsilon' > 0$  we have  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(\{x: |f - f_n| \ge \varepsilon' \text{ for } n > p\}) = \mu(N_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon.$$

This implies that  $f_n$  converges to f in measure.

Now, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we take the set  $N_{1/k}$  as defined above and let  $N = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{1/k}$ , the intersection of decreasing sets. Each of these sets are measurable and  $N_1 < 1$ . We have  $\mu(N) < 1/k$  for every k and so we have  $\mu(N) = 0$ . For each x in the complement of N we have  $x \in X \setminus N_{1/k}$  for some K and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly and thus  $f_n \to f$  pointwise.

3. (Egoroff's Theorem) Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $m(X) < \infty$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on X which converges pointwise on X to the real-valued function f. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

there is a closed set  $F \subset X$  for which

$$f_n \to f$$
 uniformly on  $F$  and  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .

Let A be the set where the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  does not converge to f. We define the sets

$$A_k^m = \{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| > 1/k \text{ for all } n > m\}.$$

If 
$$B_k = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_k^m$$
 then we see that  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k$ .

$$B_k = \{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge 1/k \text{ for infinitely many } n\}.$$

We then have  $\lim_{k\to\infty}$ 

4. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  measurable, with  $m(E) < \infty$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite disjoint collection of open intervals  $\{I_k\}_1^n$  for which if  $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_1^n I_k$ , then

$$m(E \setminus \mathcal{O}) + m(\mathcal{O} \setminus E) < \varepsilon.$$

Since E is measurable, we see that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an open set U containing E such that  $m(U \setminus E) < \varepsilon/2$ . Let U be the countable union of disjoint open sets  $\{I_k\}$ . Then for each natural number n, we have,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} m(I_k) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I_k\right) \le m(U) < \infty \implies \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(I_k) < \infty.$$

Hence we can choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} m(I_k) < \varepsilon/2$  and define  $\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I_k$ . Then  $m(\mathcal{O} \setminus E) \leq m(U \setminus E) < \varepsilon/2$  and we have (all these sets are measurable)

$$m(E \setminus \mathcal{O}) \le m(U \setminus \mathcal{O}) = m\left(\bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} I_k\right) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus, we have the required set  $\mathcal{O}$  satisfying the given condition.

5. (Lusin's Theorem) Let f be a measurable function on  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a continuous function g on  $\mathbb{R}$  and a closed set  $F \subset X$  s.t. f = g on F and  $m(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .

Since f is measurable, let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of simple functions on X that converges pointwise to f. By Proposition 11 (page 66), we choose a continuous function  $g_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and a closed set  $F_n$  with  $f_n = g_n$  on  $F_n$  and  $m(X \setminus F_n) < \varepsilon/2^{n+1}$ . By Egoroff's theorem, there is a closed set  $F_0$  in X such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $F_0$  and  $m(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon/2$ . Defining  $F = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n$  we have

$$m(X \setminus F) = m\left((X \setminus F_0) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \setminus F_n)\right) \le \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

F is closed and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on  $F \subset F_0$ . The corresponding functions  $g_n$  restricted to F

equals f and is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

6. Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $\overline{L_s^{\infty}(X)} = L^{\infty}(X)$ .

Let  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$ . Then f is bounded on the complement E of a set of measure 0 in X. By simple approximation lemma, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists simple functions  $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  on E such that  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \leq f \leq \psi_{\varepsilon}$  and  $0 \leq \psi_{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon$  on E. Thus, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have a simple function  $\varphi$  such that

$$||f - \varphi||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in E} |f - \varphi| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $L_s^{\infty}(X)$  is dense in  $L^{\infty}(X)$ .

7. Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Show that  $L^p(X)$  is separable.

For a closed interval [a, b] in  $\mathbb{R}$  we define S[a, b] to be the collection of step functions on [a, b]. We also define S'[a, b] to be the step functions f on [a, b] that take rational values and for which there is a partition  $P = \{x_0, \ldots, x_n\}$  of [a, b] with  $x_i$  rational and f constant on each partition  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . Clearly, S'[a, b] is dense in S[a, b] since rationals are dense in real numbers. Furthermore, the graph of each f in S'[a, b] is a partition of a line in  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  and hence S'[a, b] is countable. Since the step functions S[a, b] are dense in  $L^p[a, b]$ , we see that S'[a, b] is also dense in  $L^p[a, b]$ .

Now for each natural number n, we define  $\mathcal{F}_n$  to be the collection of functions that are 0 on the complement of [-n, n] and restrict to some function in S'[-n, n] in the interval [-n, n]. We define  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_n$  which, we note, is countable. For each  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , we see that, by monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{[-n,n]} |f|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p$$

where each function on the left is an element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ . For any measurable set X, the restriction of the functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  is also countable and dense in X and hence  $L^p(X)$  is separable.

8. Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $L^{\infty}(X)$  is not separable.

We show that  $L^{\infty}[a,b]$  is not separable which would imply that  $L^{\infty}(X)$  is not separable for any measurable set X.

Suppose to the contradiction that there exists a countable set  $\{f_n\}$  that is dense in  $L^{\infty}[a,b]$ . For each  $x \in [a,b]$ , we take natural number  $\eta(x)$  for which  $\|\chi_{[a,x]} - f_{\eta(x)}\|_{\infty} < 1/2$ . We see that

$$\|\chi_{[a,x_1]} - \chi_{[a,x_2]}\|_{\infty} = 1$$
 whenever  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

Thus  $\eta$  is an injective mapping of [a,b] onto the natural numbers which cannot be true. So,  $L^{\infty}[a,b]$  is not separable.

3

9. Show that  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is not dense in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hint: Take  $f = \chi_{(0,1)}$  and suppose there is a function  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  close to it.

Let g be a function in  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  such that g is non-zero on a compact set X containing I=(0,1). Then g must necessarily restrict to 1 on the set I, otherwise the norm  $||f-g||_{\infty}$  would be non-zero on the set I. Since g is continuous, it must attain every value in [0,1] on the set X. Then we have,  $||f-g||_{\infty} > \delta$  for any  $0 < \delta < 1$  on the set  $X \setminus E$ . Thus  $C_c(\mathbb{R})$  is no dense in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

10. Fix  $1 \le p < \infty$  and let  $f_n \in L^p([0,1])$  be a sequence of step functions defined as follows:

$$f_n(x) = (-1)^k$$
, for  $\frac{k}{2^n} \le x < \frac{k+1}{2^n}$ , and  $0 \le k \le 2^n - 1$ .

Show that  $\{f_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^p([0,1])$ , but there is no subsequence of  $f_n$  that is Cauchy in  $L^p([0,1])$ . Can  $f_n$  have a pointwise a.e. convergent subsequence?

For any  $f_n$  we have,  $||f_n||_p^p = \int_{[0,1]} |f_n| = 1$  and hence the sequence is bounded. For  $n \neq m$ , we have  $|f_n - f_m| = 2$  on a set of measure 1/2. Hence  $||f_n - f_m||_p > 2^{1-1/p}$  and hence there is no subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  that is Cauchy. There is also no subsequence that converges pointwise since such a sequence need to necessarily converge in  $L^p$  itself.

11. Show that  $L^p(\mu)$  is not a Hilbert space for  $p \neq 2$ . Hint: Show that the parallelogram law fails for every  $p \neq 2$ .

We know that if  $L^p$  with the p-norm is a Hilbert Space, it must satisfy the parallelogram law:

$$||x + y||_p^2 + ||x - y||_p^2 = 2(||x||_p^2 + ||y||_p^2)$$

for all  $x, y \in L^p(\mu)$ .

We take  $x=\chi_{[0,1/2)}$  and  $y=\chi_{[1/2,1]}$  and note that xy=0 and  $x,\,y\in L^p(\mu)$  for all p>0.

Furthermore, 
$$||x||_p^2 = ||y||_p^2 = \left(\int_{[0,1/2)}^{[0,1/2)} 1\right)^{2/p} = (1/2)^{2/p}$$
. Similarly,  $||x+y||_p^2 = ||x-y||_p^2 = ||x-y||_p^2$ 

 $\left(\int_{[0,1]} 1\right)^{2/p} = 1$ . Substituting these values in the equality, we have

$$2 = 2((1/2)^{2/p} + (1/2)^{2/p}) \implies 1/2 = (1/2)^{2/p}.$$

This satisfies only when p=2. Thus,  $L^p(\mu)$  is not a Hilbert space for any  $p\neq 2$ .

12. Prove Clarkson's 1st inequality (for real-valued functions).

## Clarkson's first inequality:

$$||x+y||_p^p + ||x-y||_p^p \le 2^{p-1}(||x||_p^p + ||y||_p^p)$$
 for all  $x, y \in L^p(\mu), 2 \le p < \infty$ .

We first note the inequality:

Let  $a, b \ge 0$ , and  $p \ge 1$ , then  $(a + b)^p \le 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$ .

We have, with respect to the p-norm,

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^p = \int \left| \frac{x+y}{2} \right|^p \le \int \left( \left| \frac{x}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{y}{2} \right| \right)^p \le 2^{p-1} \left( \int \left| \frac{x}{2} \right|^p + \int \left| \frac{y}{2} \right|^p \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p).$$

The same inequality holds for the other term and by adding the two, we have,

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^p + \left\| \frac{x-y}{2} \right\|^p \le \|x\|_p^p + \|y\|_p^p.$$

13. Use Clarkson's 2nd inequality to prove that  $L^p$  is uniformly convex, for 1 .

## Clarkson's second inequality:

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|_{p}^{q} + \left\| \frac{x-y}{2} \right\|_{p}^{q} \le \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\| x \right\|_{p}^{p} + \frac{1}{2} \left\| y \right\|_{p}^{p} \right)^{q/p}$$
 for all  $x, y \in L^{p}(\mu), 1 .$ 

Let  $\varepsilon > 0; \, x, \, y \in L^p(\mu)$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| < \varepsilon$ . We see that

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|_p^q \le 1 - (\varepsilon/2)^q.$$

Taking  $\delta = (1 - (\varepsilon/2)^q)^{1/q}$ , we see that  $\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|_p^q < 1 - \delta$ . Hence,  $L^p$  is uniformly convex for 1 .

14. Let X and Y be normed space, and  $T \in B(X,Y)$ . If  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$  in X, show that  $Tx_n \xrightarrow{w} Tx$ .

If f is a continuous (hence, bounded) linear functional on Y, then we note that  $f \circ T$  must be a continuous (hence, bounded) linear functional on X since the composition of linear (resp. continuous) operators is linear (resp. continuous).

Now, if  $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$ , then for every bounded linear functional S on X we have  $Sx_n \longrightarrow Sx$ . Then, for every bounded linear functional f on Y, since  $f \circ T$  is a bounded linear functional on X, we have

$$(f \circ T)x_n \longrightarrow (f \circ T)x \implies f(Tx_n) \longrightarrow f(Tx).$$

Thus  $\{Tx_n\}$  converges weakly to Tx by definition.