Lecture notes Einführung in die Logik 2024W

Dieses Skript ist noch nicht vollständig und wird regelmäßig aktualisiert.

Contents

Τ.	Pro	Propositional logic 2					
	1.1	Truth assignments	3				
	1.2	A parsing algorithm					
	1.3	Induction and recursion					
	1.4	Sentential connectives	5				
	1.5	Compactness Theorem	7				
2	Predicate - / first order logic						
	2.1	Formulas	8				
	2.2	Semantics of first order logic					
	2.3	logical implication	10				
	2.4	definability in a structure	10				
	2.5	Homomorphisms of structures	10				
	2.6	A parsing algorithm for first order logic	10				
	2.7	Unique readability for terms	10				
	2.8	Deductions (formal proofs)	10				
	2.9	Generalization and deduction theorem	10				
3	Boolean Algebra 1						
4			13				
	4.1	Axioms of ZFC	13				

List of Abbreviations

prop.	-	propositional	2
exp.	-	expression(s)	2
sent.	-	$sentence(s) \ \dots $	2
seq.	-	sequence	2
TA	-	truth assignment	2
fla.	-	formula	3
TV	-	truth value	3
w/ -	-	with	4
i.e.	-	id est (that is)	6

Propositional logic

Language Definition 1.1. Language of PL: The Language of Propositional logic is a set containing

- logical symbols: consisting of the sentential connective symbols $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ and parenthesis (,)
- non-logical symbols: A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots (also called sentential atoms, variables)

from which we assume (for unique readability) that no symbol is a finite sequence of any other symbols.

Note:

- 1. The role of the logical symbols doesn't change, the sentential atoms we see as variables, they function as placeholders or variables.
- 2. we assumed the set of non-logical symbols is countable, for most of our conclusions you could use any set of prop. atoms of any size

Definition 1.2. Expression / prop. sentence: An expression is a any finite sequence of symbols We define grammatically correct exp. recursive

- 1. every prop. atom is a prop. sentence
- 2. if α, β are prop. sentences, then also $\neg \alpha, \alpha \land \beta, \alpha \lor \beta, \alpha \to \beta, \alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$
- 3. nothing else

and call them **prop.** sentences. Equivalently stated every prop. sentence. is built up by applying finitly many operations TODO This allows us to symbolize the **expression tree**

Definition 1.3. Construction sequence: Given a prop. sentence α a construction sequence of α is a finite sequence $\langle \alpha_1, \dots \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha \rangle$ such that for all $i \leq n$ the following holds

- α_i is a sentential atom
- or $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_{\neg}(\alpha_j)$ for some j < i
- or $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_{\square}(\alpha_j, \alpha_k)$ for some j, k < i and $\square \in \{\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$

Definition 1.4.: Let S be a set. We say S is closed under an n-ary operational symbol f iff for all $s \in S$ it holds $f(s) \in S$

Induction principle: Suppose S is a set of prop. sentences containing all prop. atoms and closed under the 5 formula building operations, then S is the set of all prop. sentences.

Proof. let PS = set of all prop. sent.

```
S \subseteq PS: is clear
```

 $S \supseteq PS$: let $\alpha \in PS$ then α has a construction seq. $\langle \alpha_1, \dots \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha \rangle$ and $\alpha_1 \in S$ lets assume that α_k for k < n is in S then α_{k+1} is either an atom and therefore in S or its obtained by one of the formula building operations and therefore $\alpha_{k+1} \in S$

1.1 TRUTH ASSIGNMENTS

We will answer the question when does a prop. sent. follow from other prop. sentences.

Definition 1.5. Truth assignment: Let $\{0,1\}$ be the set of truth values. A truth assignment (TA) for a set S of prop. atoms is a map $\nu: S \to \{0,1\}$

Truth assigment TA

We now want to extend ν to $\overline{\nu}: \overline{S} \to \{0,1\}$, where \overline{S} is the closure of S under the 5 fla. building operations such that

- 1. $\overline{\nu}(A) = \nu(A)$
- 2. $\overline{\nu}(\neg \alpha) = 1 \nu(\alpha)$

3.
$$\overline{\nu}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } \overline{\nu}(\alpha) = 1 = \overline{\nu}(\beta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4.
$$\overline{\nu}(\alpha \vee \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } \overline{\nu}(\alpha) = 1 \text{ or } \overline{\nu}(\beta) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

5.
$$\overline{\nu}(\alpha \to \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } \overline{\nu}(\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } \overline{\nu}(\beta) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

6.
$$\overline{\nu}(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{iff } \overline{\nu}(\alpha) = \overline{\nu}(\beta) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1.: \forall TA ν for a set $S \exists ! \overline{\nu} : \overline{S} \to \{0,1\}$ satisfying the above properties

We will proof this later

Definition 1.6. Satisfaction: A TA ν satisfies a prop. sent. α iff $\overline{\nu}(\alpha) = 1$ (that is, provided that everery atom of α is in the domain of ν)

Definition 1.7. Tautological implication: Let Σ be a set of prop. sent. and α a prop. sent. then we say: Σ tautologically imlies α iff \forall TA that satisfies Σ then α is also satisfied and we write $\Sigma \models \alpha$

If $\Sigma = \{\beta\}$, we simply write $\beta \models \alpha$ If $\Sigma = \emptyset$ then we write $\models \alpha$ for $\emptyset \models \alpha$ and α is called a **tautology**

 α, β are called **tautologically equivalent** iff $\alpha \models \beta$ and $\beta \models \alpha$ we then write $\alpha \models \beta$

Note: Suppose there is no TA that satisfies Σ , then we have $\Sigma \models \alpha$ for every prop. sent. α

Example 1.1.:
$$\{\neg A \lor B\} = \models A \to B$$

Note: In order to check if a prop. sent. is satisfiable we need to check 2^N TAs, where N = # of atoms. It is unknown if this can be done by an algorithm in polynomial time. Answering this would settle the debate whether P = NP

TODO: Add section here?

Theorem 1.2. Compactness theorem: Let Σ be an infinite set op prop. sent. such that

$$\forall \Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma, \Sigma_0 \text{finite} \exists \text{ TA satisfying every member of } \Sigma_0$$

then there is a TA satisfying every member of Σ .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_0, A_1, \dots\}$ be the set of all prop. atoms. We are going to identify TAs with elements in $\{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{A}} := \{f : \mathcal{A} \to \{0, 1\}\}$ TODO

1.2 A PARSING ALGORITHM

To prove Thm. Theorem 1.1 we essentially need to show that we have enough parenthesis to make the reading of a prop. sent. unique. TODO Bsp

Lemma 1.1. : Every prop. sent. has the same number of left and right parenthesis.

Proof. Let M= set of prop. sent. w/ # left parenthesis = # right parenthesis and PS= set of all prop. sent. We have $M\subseteq PS$. Since atoms have no parenthesis, they are in M, we just need to show that M is closed under the 5 construction operations. $\varepsilon_{\neg}=(\neg\alpha)\ldots$

Lemma 1.2.: No proper initial segment of a prop. sent. is itself a prop. sent.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ be a prop. sent. By proper initial segment we understand $\beta = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i < n$. We will prove that every proper initial segment has an excess of left parenthesis, then we use the previous lemma.

- Atoms: since the empty sequence is no prop. sent. they have no proper initial segment.
- If the above is true for α, β then the proper initial segments of $(\neg \alpha)$ are of the form

```
(\neg \alpha) (\neg \alpha' where \alpha' is a propper initial segment of \alpha) ( or (\neg
```

Therefore ε_{\neg} preserves this property and under $\varepsilon_{\wedge}, \varepsilon_{\vee}, \varepsilon_{\rightarrow}, \varepsilon_{\leftrightarrow}$ this is also the case.

Parsing algorithm

We now give a parsing algorithm procedure. For input we take some expression τ and the algorithm will determine if τ is a prop. sent. If so, it will generate a unique construction tree (in form of a rooted tree) for τ .

- 0. create the root and label it τ
- 1. HALT if all leaves are labled w/ prop. atom and return: " τ is a prop. sent."
- 2. select a leaf of the graph which is not labled w/ prop. atom
- 3. if the first symbol of label under consideration is not a left parenthesis, then halt and return: " τ is not a prop. sent."
- 4. if the second symbol of the label is " \neg " then GOTO 6.
- 5. scan the expression from left to right if we reach a proper initial segment of the form "(β " where $\#lp(\beta) = \#rp(\beta)$ and β is followed by one of thesection $\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ and the remainder of the expression is of the form β'), where $\#lp(\beta') = \#rp(\beta')$

Then: create two child nodes (left,right) to the selected element and label them (left $:= \beta$, right $:= \beta'$) GOTO 1.

Else: HALT and return " τ is not a prop. sent."

6. if the expression is of the form $(\neg \beta)$ where $\#lp(\beta) = \#rp(\beta)$

Then: construct one childnode and label it β and GOTO 1.

Else: HALT and return: " τ is not a prop. sent."

Example 1.2. TODO:

Correctness of the parsing algorithm

- The algorithm always halts, because the length of a child is less than the label of a parent.
- If the algorithm halts with the conclusion that τ is a prop. sent. then we can prove inductively (starting from the leaves) that each label is a prop. sent
- Unique way to make choices in the algorithm: in particular β, β' in step 5. If there was a shorter choice for β it would be a proper initial segment of β but such prop. sent. can not exist. (This also works under the assumption that a longer choice exists).
- rejections are made correctly

Back to proving the existence and uniqueness of $\overline{\nu}$ in Theorem 1.1. Let α be a prop. sent. of \overline{S} . We apply the parsing algorithm to α to get a unique construction tree For the leaves, use ν go get the truth values then work our way up using the conditions (1-6) in Definition 1.5

A more formal notation

TODO

1.3 Induction and recursion

A simple case: let \mathcal{U} be a set and $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ our initial set. $\mathcal{F} = \{f, g\}$ a class of functions containing just f and g, where

$$f: \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}, \qquad g: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}$$

We want to construct the smallest subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is closed under all elements of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 1.8. Closedness, Inductiveness: We say C is

- closed under f and g iff $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{C} (f(x, y) \in \mathcal{C} \land g(x) \in \mathcal{C})$
- inductive if $B \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is closed under \mathcal{F}

Big TODO

1.4 SENTENTIAL CONNECTIVES

Definition 1.9. Tautological equivalence relation: For α, β prop. sent. we define $\alpha \beta$ iff $\alpha = \mid = \beta$. This defines an equivalent relation.

Example 1.3. :
$$A \rightarrow B = \models \neg A \lor B$$

Note: A k-place boolean function is a function of the form $f: \{0,1\}^k \to \{0,1\}$ and we define 0, 1 as the 0-place boolean functions.

If α is a prop. sent. then it determines a k-place boolean function, where k is the number of atoms, α is built up from. If α is $A_1 \vee \neg A_2$ then $B_{\alpha} : \{0,1\}^2 \to \{0,1\}$ and asign its values corresponding a truth table. TODO extend / rearange function

Theorem 1.3. : If α, β are prop. sent. with at most n prop. Atoms (combined), then

- 1. $\alpha \models \beta$ iff $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n$ it holds $B_{\alpha}(x) \leq B_{\beta}(x)$
- 2. $\alpha = \mid = \beta \text{ iff } \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ it holds } B_{\alpha}(x) = B_{\beta}(x)$
- 3. $\models \alpha \text{ iff } \forall x \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ it holds } B_{\alpha}(x) = 1$

Theorem 1.4. Realisation: Let G be an n-ary boolean function for $n \ge 1$. Then there is a prop. sent. α such that. $B_{\alpha} = G$. We say α realizes G.

Example:

Proof. 1. if G is constantly equal to 0 then set α to $A_1 \wedge \neg A_1$.

2. Otherwise the set of inputs $\{\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots \vec{x}_k\}$ for which $G(\vec{x}_i) = 1$ holds is not empty. We denote $\vec{x}_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots x_{in})$ and define a matrix $(x_{ij})_{k \times n}$ We further set $\beta_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_j & \text{iff } x_{ij} = 1 \\ \neg A_j & \text{iff } x_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$

$$(x_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leadsto \begin{pmatrix} \neg A_1 & A_2 & \neg A_3 \\ A_1 & A_2 & \neg A_3 \end{pmatrix} = (\beta_{ij})$$

We define γ_i as $\beta_{i1} \wedge \beta_{i2} \wedge \dots \beta_{in}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and α as $\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2 \vee \dots \gamma_k = \bigvee_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$ Then $B_{\alpha} = G$ is fulfilled.

Note: α as constructed in the proof is in the so-called Disjunctive normal form (DNF).

Corollary 1.4. Every prop. sent. is tautologically equivalent to a sentence in DNF

Corollary 1.4. $\{\neg, \land, \lor\}$ is a complete set of logical connectives, i.e. every prop. sent. is tautologically equivalent to a sentence built up from atoms and \neg, \land, \lor .

Theorem 1.5.: Both $\{\neg, \land\}$ and $\{\neg, \lor\}$ are complete.

Proof. Its sufficient to show that every k-place boolean function is realisable by a prop. sent. built up using only \neg and \land . This is, because $\alpha \land \beta = \models \neg(\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta)$ We prove this by induction over the number of disjuctions of a prop. sent. α in DNF. Suppose the statement is true for $k \le n$. For n+1 and $\alpha = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n+1} \gamma_j$ there exists an $\alpha' = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_j$ and

$$\alpha = \bigvee_{j=1}^{n+1} \gamma_j = \models \alpha' \vee \gamma_{n+1} = \models \neg(\neg \alpha' \wedge \neg \gamma_{n+1})$$

Note: We used the observation that, if $\alpha = \mid = \beta$ and we replace a subsequence of α by a so called tautological equivalence then the result is also tautologically equivalent to β TODO S.10

Example 1.4. $\{\rightarrow, \land\}$ is not complete.: Let $\alpha \in PS$ built up from only \rightarrow, \land from the atoms $A_1, \ldots A_n$ then we claim

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \models \alpha$$

We can also say $\{\rightarrow, \land\}$ is not complete bc. $\neg A$ is not tautological equivalent to a sent. built up from \rightarrow, \land

Proof. Let $C := \{ \alpha \in PS \text{ built up from } \to, \land \text{ and } A_1, \dots A_n \text{ for which } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i \models \alpha \}$ we want to show that $C = \{ \alpha \in PS \text{ built up from } \to, \land \text{ and } A_1, \dots A_n \}$

- We have $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n\} \subseteq C$
- for $\alpha, \beta \in C$ it holds
 - (1) $A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \models \alpha \rightarrow \beta$
 - (2) $A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \models \alpha \wedge \beta$

Therefore C is closed under the fla. building operations and we have proven our claim. \Box

Note: $\{\land, \lor, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ is still not complete.

Note: The number of n-ary boolean functions existing is 2^{2^n} We define a notation for n=0: \bot (for TV = 0) and \top (for TV = 1) We can conclude that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ and $\{\rightarrow, \bot\}$ are both complete, it holds $\neg A = \models A \rightarrow \bot$

Definition 1.10. Satisfiability:

A set of prop. sent. Σ is called satisfiable iff \exists TA that satisfies every member of Σ .

П

1.5 COMPACTNESS THEOREM

Theorem 1.6. Compactness Theorem: Σ is satisfiable iff every finite subset $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$ is satisfiable. (i.e. Σ is finitely satisfied)

Proof. Let Σ be a finitely satisfiable set of prop. sent. Outline of the proof:

- 1. extend Σ to a maximal finitely satisfiable set Δ of prop. sent.
- 2. construct a thruth assignment using Δ
- 1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ be an enumeration of all prop. sent. and define Δ_n inductively by $\Delta_0 := \Sigma$

$$\Delta_{n+1} := \begin{cases} \Delta_n \cup \{\alpha_{n+1}\} & \text{if satisfiable} \\ \Delta_n \cup \{\neg \alpha_{n+1}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Claim: Δ_n is finitely satisfiable for each

proof of claim. By regular induction over n. Δ_0 is finitely satisfiable. Let us assume Δ_n is finitely satisfiable. If $\Delta_{n+1} = \Delta_n \cup \{\alpha_{n+1}\}$ then we are finished. Otherwise let $\Delta' \subseteq \Delta_n$ be a finite set that $\Delta' \cup \{\alpha_{n+1}\}$ is not satisfiable. It holds $\Delta' \models \neg \alpha_{n+1}$. We assume that $\Delta_n \cup \{\neg \alpha_{n+1}\}\$ is not finitely satisfiable. Then there exists a finite subset $\Delta'' \subseteq \Delta_n$ such that $\Delta'' \cup \{\neg \alpha_{n+1}\}$ is (finite and) not satisfiable. It therefore holds $\Delta'' \models \alpha_{n+1}$ But $\Delta' \cup \Delta''$ is a finite subset of Δ_n and by above observations $\Delta' \cup \Delta'' \models \alpha_{n+1}$ and $\Delta' \cup \Delta'' \models \neg \alpha_{n+1}$ A contradiction to the assumption that Δ_n is finitely satisfiable.

We set $\Delta := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_i$ and get

- (a) $\Sigma \subseteq \Delta$
- (b) (Maximality): for every prop. sent. α it holds $\alpha \in \Delta$ or $\neg \alpha \in \Delta$
- (c) (Satisfiability): Δ is finitely satisfiable. For every finite subset there exists a Δ_n which is a superset.
- 2. Let ν be a TA for the prop. atoms A_1, A_2, \ldots such that $\nu(A) = 1$ iff $A \in \Delta$

Claim: For every prop. sent. φ it holds $\overline{\nu}(\varphi) = 1$ iff $\varphi \in \Delta$. proof of claim. Let $S = \{ \varphi \in PS \text{ s.t. } \overline{\nu}(\varphi) = 1 \text{ iff } \varphi \in \Delta \}.$

- $PS \supset S$ is clear.
- $PS \subseteq S$
 - (a) $\{A_1, A_2 \dots\} \subseteq S$ by definition of ν
 - (b) closure under ϵ_{\neg} : Let $\varphi \in S$ then we get by maximality and satisfiability of

$$\overline{\nu}(\neg\varphi) = 1$$
 iff
$$\overline{\nu}(\varphi) = 0$$
 iff
$$\varphi \notin \Delta$$
 iff
$$(\neg\varphi) \in \Delta$$

closure under ϵ_{\rightarrow} : Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in S$ similarly

$$\begin{split} \overline{\nu}(\varphi_1 \to \varphi_2) &= 0 \\ \text{iff} \quad \overline{\nu}(\varphi_1) &= 1 \text{ and } \overline{\nu}(\varphi_2) = 0 \\ \text{iff} \quad \varphi_1 \in \Delta \text{ and } \varphi_2 \notin \Delta \\ \text{iff} \quad (\varphi_1 \to \varphi_2) \notin \Delta \end{split}$$

The closure under the other fla. building operations are similar.

By this claim $\overline{\nu}$ satisfies Σ .

Corollary 1.6. If $\Sigma \models \tau$ then there exists a finite subset $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ s.t. $\Sigma' \models \tau$

Proof. Recall: $\Sigma \models \tau$ iff $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \tau\}$ is not satisfiable. Suppose $\Sigma \models \tau$ but no finite subset

Then $\forall \Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$ finite $\Sigma' \cup \{\neg \tau\}$ is satisfiable. By the compactness theorem $\Sigma \cup \{\neg \tau\}$ is satisfiable which is a contradiction to $\Sigma \models \tau$.

Note: Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.6 are equivalent.

 \boxtimes

Predicate - / first order logic

Definition 2.1. A First order Language: consists of infinetely many distinct symbols such that no symbol is a proper initial segment of another symbol and the symbols are divided into 2 groups:

1. logical symbols (These elements have a fixed meaning and the equivalence symbol = is optional)

$$(,), \neg, \rightarrow, v_1, v_2, \ldots, =$$

- 2. parameters
 - quantifier symbol: ∀ (the range is subject of interpretation)
 - predicate symbols: $\forall n > 0$ we have a set of n-ary predicates
 - constant symbols: Some set of constants (could be \emptyset)
 - function symbols: $\forall n > 0$ we have a set of n-ary function symbols

Note:

- We could drop constants and instead introduce 0-ary function symbols
- to specify language we need to specify the parameters and say if = is included

Example 2.1.:

- $\mathcal{L}_{set} = \{ \in \}, = included$
- $\mathcal{L}_{arith} = \{<, 0, S, E, +, \cdot\}$
 - = included
 - < is a binary rel. symbol
 - 0 is a constant
 - S is a unary function symbol
 - E exponentiation TODO
 - $+,\cdot\,$ binary function symbols

2.1 FORMULAS

Definition 2.2. Expression: An expression is any finite sequence of symbols. There exist two kinds of expressions

Terms: - the names of objects

- they are built up from variables and constants (by use of polish notation)

Formulas: - They express assertions about objects,

- they are built up from atomic formulas
- atomic formulas these are built up from terms using predicate symbols and

Seite 8 von 14

Definition 2.3. Building Operations: $\forall n > 0$ and for every n-place function symbol f let \mathcal{F}_f be an n-place term building operation, that is $\mathcal{F}_f(\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n) := f(\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n)$ The Set of Terms we then define as the set of expressions that are built up from variables and constants by applying the building operations finitely many times.

Example 2.2.: Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{arith}$ then the set of terms will contain 0, v_{42} , S0, SSS0, Sv_1 , $+SOv_1$

Definition 2.4. Atomic formula: Any expression of the form

 $t_1 = t_2$ of $P(t_1, \dots t_n)$, where $t_1, \dots t_n$ are terms and P is an n-ary predicate symbol

Note: Atomic formulas are not defined inductively.

Example 2.3.: cont. = v_1v_{42} , < S0SS0 are atomic formulas, but $\neg = v_1v_{42}$ is not.

Definition 2.5. Formulas: Let ε_{\neg} , $\varepsilon_{\rightarrow}$, Q_i be fla. building operations $\varepsilon_{\neg}(\alpha) = (\neg \alpha)$, $\varepsilon_{\rightarrow} = (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ and $Q_i(\gamma) = \forall v_i \gamma$ The set of formulas is the set of expressions built up from atomic formulas by applying the fla. building operations finitely many times.

Free variables

Example 2.4. "Every non-zero natual number is a successor" $\forall x(x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y S(y) = x)$ is different then "if a number is not 0, then it is a successor" $x \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists y S(y) = x$. In the latter, x occurs free in the fla.

Definition 2.6. Free variables: Let x be a variable. x occurs free in ϕ is defined inductively as follows:

- 1. If ϕ is an atomic fla. then x occurs free in ϕ iff x occurs in ϕ
- 2. If $\phi = (\neg \alpha)$ then x occurs free in ϕ iff x occurs free in α
- 3. If $\phi = (\alpha \to \beta)$ then x occurs free in ϕ iff x occurs free in α or β
- 4. If $\phi = \forall v_i \alpha$ then x occurs free in ϕ iff x occurs free in α and $x \neg v_i$

TODO

2.2 Semantics of first order logic

Definition 2.7. structure: A structure \mathcal{A} for a first order language \mathcal{L} is a non-empty set set A called **universe** or **underlying set** of \mathcal{A} together with an interpretation of each parameter of \mathcal{L} i.e.

- \forall ranges over the universe A
- for an n-ary pred. symbol $P \in \mathcal{L}$ its interpretation PA is a subset of A^n
- for a constant $c \in \mathcal{L}$ its interpretation $c\mathcal{A}$ is an element of A
- for an *n*-ary function symbol $f \in \mathcal{L}$ its interpretation $f^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a total function $f^{\mathcal{A}} : A^n \to A$

Example 2.5.: Let $\mathcal{L} = \{ \in \}$ where \in is a binary relation "An example of an \mathcal{L} structure is $(\mathbb{N}, \in^{\mathbb{N}})$ where $\in^{\mathbb{N}} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : x < y\}$

- 2.3 LOGICAL IMPLICATION
- 2.4 DEFINABILITY IN A STRUCTURE
- 2.5 HOMOMORPHISMS OF STRUCTURES
- 2.6 A PARSING ALGORITHM FOR FIRST ORDER LOGIC
- 2.7 UNIQUE READABILITY FOR TERMS
- **DEDUCTIONS (FORMAL PROOFS)** 2.8
- 2.9 GENERALIZATION AND DEDUCTION THEOREM

TODO evt noch sectioons

Boolean Algebra

Definition 3.1. Boolean Algebra: A boolean algebra is a set B with

- distinguished elements 0, 1 (called zero and unit of B)
- a unary operation ' on B (called **complementation**)
- two binary operations \vee called **join** and \wedge called **meet** s.t. for all $x, y, z \in B$
 - $1. \ x \lor 0 = x \qquad x \land 1 = x$
 - $2. \ x \lor x' = 1 \qquad x \land x' = 0$
 - 3. $x \lor y = y \lor x$ $x \land y = y \land x$
 - 4. $(x \lor y) \lor z = x \lor (y \lor z)$ $(x \land y) \land z = x \land (y \land z)$
 - 5. $x \lor (y \land z) = (x \lor y) \land (x \lor z)$ $x \land (y \lor z) = (x \land y) \lor (x \land z)$

Example 3.1.: Let S be a set, $B := \mathcal{P}(S)$ the power set of $S, 0 := \emptyset$ and 1 := S,

$$': \mathcal{P}(S) \to \mathcal{P}(S), x' := S \setminus x \qquad x \vee y := x \cup y, \quad x \wedge y := x \cap y \text{ for } x, y \in \mathcal{P}(S)$$

Lemma 3.1.: Let $(B, ', \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ be a boolean algebra. Then it holds

- a) 0' = 1, 1' = 0
- b) $x \lor x = x, x \land x = x$
- c) (x')' = x
- d) $(x \lor y)' = x' \land y', (x \land y)' = x' \lor y'$
- e) $x \lor y = y$ iff $x \land y = x$

Lemma 3.2. :

- a) $x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \vee y = y$ defines a partial ordering on B (inclusion) and it holds
- b) $x \vee y$ is the least upper bound of $\{x, y\}$ in B $x \wedge y$ is the greatest lower bound of $\{x, y\}$ in B
- c) $0 \le x \le 1$ for all $x \in B$

Note: A boolean algebra is a complemented distributive lattice.

Definition 3.2. Opposite of boolean algebra: Let $(B,',\vee,\wedge,0,1)$ be a boolean algebra. The boolean algebra B^{op} is defined by

$$B^{\operatorname{op}} := B, \quad 0^{\operatorname{op}} := 1, \quad 1^{\operatorname{op}} := 0, \quad \text{$'$ stayes the same as for B,} \quad \vee^{\operatorname{op}} := \wedge, \quad \wedge^{\operatorname{op}} := \vee$$

Note: $(B^{op})^{op} = B$

Definition 3.3. Subalgebra: A subalgebra of B is a subset $A \subseteq B$ s.t. $0, 1 \in A$ and A is closed under $', \land, \lor$. The subalgebra generated by $P \subseteq B$ is defined to be the smallest subalgebra containing P. Equivalently it is the intersection of all Subalgebras of B that contain P.

Example 3.2. Power set algebra: Let S be a set then $\mathcal{P}(S)$ defines a boolean algebra on S. $B := \{x \in \mathcal{P}(S) : x \text{ is finite or cofinite}\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ w/ set of generators $\{\{s\} : s \in S\}$

Note: We will prove the Tarski-Stone Theorem: every boolean algebra is isomorphic to an algebra on a set.

Example 3.3. Lindenbaum Algebra of Σ : Let A be a set of prop. atoms, $\operatorname{Prop}(A)$ the set of prop. generated by A. Further let $\Sigma \subseteq \operatorname{Prop}(A)$ and p,q,r range over $\operatorname{Prop}(A)$. We say p is Σ -equivalent to q iff $\Sigma \models_{\text{taut}} p \leftrightarrow q$ Σ -Equivalence is an equivalent relation on $\operatorname{Prop}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Prop}(A)/\Sigma$ is a boolean algebra with

 $0 := \pm/\Sigma$, $1 := \top/\Sigma$, $(p/\Sigma)' := (\neg p)/\Sigma$, $(p/\Sigma \lor q/\Sigma) := (p \lor q)/\Sigma$, $(p/\Sigma \land q/\Sigma) := (p \land q)/\Sigma$ a set of generators is $\{a/\Sigma : a \in A\}$

Definition 3.4. Homomorphisms of boolean algebras: Let B, C be boolean algebras. A map $\phi: B \to C$ is a (homo)morphism of boolean algebras iff $\forall x, y \in B$ it holds

- $\phi(0_B) = 0_C$
- $\phi(x') = \phi(x)'$
- $\phi(x \vee y) = \phi(x) \vee \phi(y)$
- $\phi(x \wedge y) = \phi(x) \wedge \phi(y)$

If $\phi: B \to C$ is bijective too , we call ϕ an isomorphism and $\phi^{-1}: C \to B$ is also a morphism of boolean algebras.

Note: $\phi(B)$ is subalgebra of C

Example 3.4.: Let S,T be sets then a function $f:S\to T$ induces a morphism of boolean algebras $\mathcal{P}(T)\to\mathcal{P}(S):y\mapsto f^{-1}(y)$ If $S\subseteq T$ and f the inclusion map $S\hookrightarrow T$ then we get a boolean algebra morphism $Y\to Y\cap S$.

• $id_B: B \to B$ • $x \mapsto x': B \to B^{op}$ are both isomorphism

Note: A boolean algebra morphism $\phi: B \to C$ is injective iff ker $f = 0_B$

Lemma 3.3.: Let $X_1, ... X_m \subseteq S$ and \mathcal{A} a boolean algebra on S generated by $\{X_1, ... X_m\}$. Then \mathcal{A} is finite and isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\{1, 2, ... n\})$ for some $n \leq 2^m$.

$$Proof.$$
 TODO

Definition 3.5. Trivial algebras:

- B is trivial if |B| = 1 (equivalently $0 = 1 \in B$) according to Lemma 3.3 B is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\emptyset)$
- If |S| = 1 then $|\mathcal{P}(S)| = 2$ TODO

Definition 3.6. *Ideal*: An ideal of B is a subset of $I \subseteq B$ s.t.

- (I1) $0 \in I$
- (I2) $\forall a, b \in B$ it holds $a \leq b$ and $b \in I \implies a \in I$ and $a, b \in I \implies a \vee b \in I$

Example 3.5.: $F_{\text{in}} = \{ F \subseteq S : F \text{ finite} \} \text{ is ideal in } \mathcal{P}(S).$

Note: If I is an ideal of B then $I \vee b := \{x \in B : x = a \vee b \text{ for some } a \in I\}$ is the smallest ideal w/ respect of \subseteq of B that contains $I \cup \{b\}$.

Example 3.6.:

- For a boolean algebra morphism $\phi: B \to C$ the kernel $\ker(\phi)$ is an ideal in B.
- If I is an ideal in B then $a =_I b :\Leftrightarrow a \lor x = b \lor x$ for some $x \in I$ defines an equivalent relation and $B/_{=_I}$ is a boolean algebra w/

$$0 := 0/_{=_I} \quad 1 := 1/_{=_I} \quad (a/_{=_I})' := a'/_{=_I} \quad a/_{=_I} \lor b/_{=_I} := (a \lor b)/_{=_I} \quad a/_{=_I} \land b/_{=_I} := (a \land b)/_{=_I}$$

Then $\phi: B \to B/_{=_I}: b \mapsto b/_{=_I}$ is a boolean algebra morphism w/ $\ker(\phi) = I$

Set Theory

Example 4.1. Russel's paradox: Let $A = \{a : a \notin a\}$. If any collection of elements is a set, then A would be a set. Question: is $A \in A$? if yes, then $A \notin A$, if not then $A \in A$

Trying to resolve this, we will introduce the ZFC (Zermelo-Frankel axioms w/ choice) System. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\in\}$ be a Language of first order, where \in ... binary relation "beeing element of" For (\mathcal{U}, \in) If $(\mathcal{U}, \in) \models$ ZFC, then the elements of the universe \mathcal{U} are called sets. TODO

4.1 AXIOMS OF ZFC

Definition 4.1. Axiom of extensionality:

$$\forall x \forall y (x = y \leftrightarrow \forall u (u \in x \leftrightarrow u \in y))$$

Definition 4.2. Pairing Axiom: for any two sets a, b one can form a set whose elements are precicely a, b

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z (u \in z \leftrightarrow (u = x \lor u = y))$$

Our notation will be $z = \{x, y\}$

Note: $\{x, y\}$ is unique by Definition 4.1

Lemma 4.1. Let x, y be sets. We define $(x, y) := \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$. Then it holds (x, y) = (a, b) iff x = a and y = b

Proof. • if x = y, then $(x, y) = \{\{x\}\}$ therefore a = b and by Definition 4.1 it holds x = a.

• if $x \neq y$, then $\{\{x\}, \{x,y\}\} = \{\{a\}, \{a,b\}\}$ iff $\{x\} = \{a\}$ and $\{x,y\} = \{a,b\}$. That is, iff x = a and y = b.

TODO oredered n-tuples

Definition 4.3. Union Axiom: For every set x there is a set z consisting of all elements of the elements of x.

$$\forall x \exists z \forall y (y \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists uu \in x \land y \in u))$$

We call z the union of x, notation: $\bigcup_x := z$

Definition 4.4. *Power set Axiom:* Let $x \subseteq y$ be the abbreviation for $\forall z (z \in x \to z \in y)$ The **Powerset Axiom** states, that for every set x there exists a set z consisting of all subsetes $y \subseteq x$ that are themselve sets.

$$\forall x \exists z \forall y (y \in z \leftrightarrow y \subseteq x)$$

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(x) := z$.

TODO class relations

Definition 4.5. Axiom of replacement / substitution: Let $\varphi(x, y, \underline{\mathbf{a}})$ a \mathcal{L} -fla., w/ free variables among x, y and set-parameters $\underline{\mathbf{a}}$. Suppose φ defines a class function on \mathcal{U} , than the following is an axiom:

$$\forall u \exists z \forall y (y \in z \leftrightarrow \exists x (x \in u \land \varphi(x, y, \mathbf{a})))$$

i.e. the image of a set under a class function is a set.

Definition 4.6. Axiom scheme of comprehension: TODO

Seite 13 von 14

List of definitions

Denintion 1.1	Language of FL	4
Definition 1.2	Expression / prop. sentence	2
Definition 1.3	Construction sequence	2
Definition 1.4		2
Definition 1.5	Truth assignment	3
Definition 1.6	Satisfaction	3
Definition 1.7	Tautological implication	3
Definition 1.8	Closedness, Inductiveness	-
Definition 1.9	Tautological equivalence relation	-
Definition 1.10	Satisfiability	6
Definition 2.1	A First order Language	8
Definition 2.2	Expression	8
Definition 2.3	Building Operations	G
Definition 2.4	Atomic formula	G
Definition 2.5	Formulas	G
Definition 2.6	Free variables	G
Definition 2.7	structure	G
Definition 3.1	Boolean Algebra	11
Definition 3.2	Opposite of boolean algebra	11
Definition 3.3	Subalgebra	11
Definition 3.4	Homomorphisms of boolean algebras	12
Definition 3.5	Trivial algebras	12
Definition 3.6	Ideal	12
Definition 4.1	Axiom of extensionality	13
Definition 4.2	Pairing Axiom	13
Definition 4.3	Union Axiom	13
Definition 4.4	Power set Axiom	13
Definition 4.5		13
Definition 4.6	Axiom scheme of comprehension	13