

Regular Expressions

Definitions

Equivalence to Finite Automata

RE's: Introduction

$$1^+ = \{1, 11, 111, \dots\}$$
$$1^* = \{\epsilon, 1, 11, \dots\}$$

- *Regular expressions* are an algebraic way to describe languages.
- They describe exactly the regular languages.
- If E is a regular expression, then $L(E)$ is the language it defines.
- We'll describe RE's and their languages recursively.

RE's: Definition

□ **Basis 1:** If a is any symbol, then \mathbf{a} is a RE, and $L(\mathbf{a}) = \{\mathbf{a}\}$.

□ **Note:** $\{a\}$ is the language containing one string, and that string is of length 1.

□ **Basis 2:** ϵ is a RE, and $L(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$.

□ **Basis 3:** \emptyset is a RE, and $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

→ empty

Set is ✓ $\{ \}$


RE's: Definition – (2)

- **Induction 1:** If E_1 and E_2 are regular expressions, then $E_1 + E_2$ is a regular expression, and $L(E_1 + E_2) = L(E_1) \cup L(E_2)$.
- **Induction 2:** If E_1 and E_2 are regular expressions, then $E_1 E_2$ is a regular expression, and $L(E_1 E_2) = L(E_1) L(E_2)$.

Concatenation : the set of strings wx such that w is in $L(E_1)$ and x is in $L(E_2)$.

RE's: Definition – (3)

□ **Induction 3:** If E is a RE, then E^* is a RE, and $L(E^*) = (L(E))^*$.



Closure, or “Kleene closure” = set of strings $w_1w_2...w_n$, for some $n \geq 0$, where each w_i is in $L(E)$.

Note: when $n=0$, the string is ϵ .

$AB^* \neq (AB)^*$
 \searrow
 $A(B)^*$

Precedence of Operators

- Parentheses may be used wherever needed to influence the grouping of operators.
- Order of precedence is * (highest), then concatenation, then + (lowest).

คำที่บอกลำดับ

Examples: RE's

- $L(\mathbf{01}) = \{01\}$.
- $L(\mathbf{01+0}) = \{01, 0\}$.
- $L(\mathbf{0(1+0)}) = \{01, 00\}$.
 - Note order of precedence of operators.
- $L(\mathbf{0^*}) = \{\epsilon, 0, 00, 000, \dots\}$.
- $L(\mathbf{(0+10)^*(\epsilon+1)}) =$ all strings of 0's and 1's without two consecutive 1's.

$\{\epsilon, 0, 10, 00, 1010, \dots, \epsilon, 1\}$

$\{\epsilon, 0, 1, 10, 00, 1010, \dots\}$

Equivalence of RE's and Automata


DFA
NFA

- We need to show that for every RE, there is an automaton that accepts the same language.
 - Pick the most powerful automaton type: the ϵ -NFA.
- And we need to show that for every automaton, there is a RE defining its language.
 - Pick the most restrictive type: the DFA.

Converting a RE to an ϵ -NFA


- Proof is an induction on the number of operators (+, concatenation, *) in the RE.
- We always construct an automaton of a special form (next slide).

Form of ϵ -NFA's Constructed




RE to ϵ -NFA: Basis

□ Symbol **a**:




□ ϵ :




□ \emptyset :


Handwritten red text: $\forall x \exists y (x \neq y \wedge y \in \emptyset)$




RE to ϵ -NFA: Induction 1 – Union




RE to ϵ -NFA: Induction 2 – Concatenation



RE to ϵ -NFA: Induction 3 – Closure



DFA-to-RE




- A strange sort of induction.
- States of the DFA are assumed to be $1, 2, \dots, n$.
- We construct RE's for the labels of restricted sets of paths.
 - **Basis**: single arcs or no arc at all.
 - **Induction**: paths that are allowed to traverse next state in order.

k-Paths

- A k-path is a path through the graph of the DFA that goes **through** no state numbered higher than k.
- Endpoints are not restricted; they can be any state.

Example: k-Paths



0-paths from 2 to 3:
RE for labels = **0**.

1-paths from 2 to 3:
RE for labels = **0+11**.

2-paths from 2 to 3:
RE for labels =
~~(10)*0+1(01)*1~~

3-paths from 2 to 3:
RE for labels = ??

020202

0+11

Summary

- Each of the three types of automata (DFA, NFA, ϵ -NFA) we discussed, and regular expressions as well, define exactly the same set of languages: the regular languages.

Algebraic Laws for RE's

- Union and concatenation behave sort of like addition and multiplication.
 - $+$ is commutative and associative; concatenation is associative.
 - Concatenation distributes over $+$.
 - **Exception**: Concatenation is not commutative.

Identities and Annihilators

- \emptyset is the identity for $+$.
 - $R + \emptyset = R.$
- ϵ is the identity for concatenation.
 - $\epsilon R = R\epsilon = R.$
- \emptyset is the annihilator for concatenation.
 - $\emptyset R = R\emptyset = \emptyset.$