
Chapter 9: Main Memory





Chapter 9: Memory Management

- Background
- Contiguous Memory Allocation
- Paging
- Structure of the Page Table
- Swapping
- Example: The Intel 32 and 64-bit Architectures
- Example: ARMv8 Architecture





Objectives

- To provide a detailed description of various ways of organizing memory hardware
- To discuss various memory-management techniques,
- To provide a detailed description of the Intel Pentium, which supports both pure segmentation and segmentation with paging





Background


- Program must be brought (from disk) into memory and placed within a process for it to be run
- Main memory and registers are only storage CPU can access directly
- Memory unit only sees a stream of:
 - addresses + read requests, or
 - address + data and write requests
- Register access is done in one CPU clock (or less)
- Main memory can take many cycles, causing a stall
- Cache sits between main memory and CPU registers
- Protection of memory required to ensure correct operation





Protection

- Need to ensure that a process can access only those addresses in its address space.
- We can provide this protection by using a pair of base and limit registers define the logical address space of a process








Hardware Address Protection

 CPU must check every memory access generated in user mode to be sure it is between base and limit for that user



the instructions to loading the base and limit registers are privileged





Address Binding

- Programs on disk, ready to be brought into memory to execute form an input queue
 - Without support, must be loaded into address 0000
- Inconvenient to have first user process physical address always at 0000
 - How can it not be?
- Addresses represented in different ways at different stages of a program's life
 - Source code addresses usually symbolic
 - Compiled code addresses bind to relocatable addresses
 - i.e., "14 bytes from beginning of this module"
 - Linker or loader will bind relocatable addresses to absolute addresses
 - ▶ i.e., 74014
 - Each binding maps one address space to another






- Address binding of instructions and data to memory addresses can happen at three different stages
 - Compile time: If memory location known a priori, absolute code can be generated; must recompile code if starting location changes
 - Load time: Must generate relocatable code if memory location is not known at compile time
 - Execution time: Binding delayed until run time if the process can be moved during its execution from one memory segment to another
 - Need hardware support for address maps (e.g., base and limit registers)





Multistep Processing of a User Program






Logical vs. Physical Address Space


- The concept of a logical address space that is bound to a separate physical address space is central to proper memory management
 - Logical address generated by the CPU; also referred to as virtual address
 - Physical address address seen by the memory unit
- Logical and physical addresses are the same in compile-time and load-time address-binding schemes; logical (virtual) and physical addresses differ in execution-time address-binding scheme
- Logical address space is the set of all logical addresses generated by a program
- Physical address space is the set of all physical addresses generated by a program






Memory-Management Unit (MMU)

Hardware device that at run time maps virtual to physical address



Many methods possible, covered in the rest of this chapter






Memory-Management Unit (Cont.)


- Consider simple scheme. which is a generalization of the baseregister scheme.
- The base register now called relocation register
- The value in the relocation register is added to every address generated by a user process at the time it is sent to memory
- The user program deals with logical addresses; it never sees the real physical addresses
 - Execution-time binding occurs when reference is made to location in memory
 - Logical address bound to physical addresses





Memory-Management Unit (Cont.)

- Consider simple scheme. which is a generalization of the baseregister scheme.
- The base register now called relocation register
- The value in the relocation register is added to every address generated by a user process at the time it is sent to memory







Dynamic Loading

- The entire program does need to be in memory to execute
- Routine is not loaded until it is called
- Better memory-space utilization; unused routine is never loaded
- All routines kept on disk in relocatable load format
- Useful when large amounts of code are needed to handle infrequently occurring cases
- No special support from the operating system is required
 - Implemented through program design
 - OS can help by providing libraries to implement dynamic loading





Dynamic Linking

- Static linking system libraries and program code combined by the loader into the binary program image
- Dynamic linking –linking postponed until execution time
- Small piece of code, stub, used to locate the appropriate memoryresident library routine
- Stub replaces itself with the address of the routine, and executes the routine
- Operating system checks if routine is in processes' memory address
 - If not in address space, add to address space
- Dynamic linking is particularly useful for libraries
- System also known as shared libraries
- Consider applicability to patching system libraries
 - Versioning may be needed





Contiguous Allocation

- Main memory must support both OS and user processes
- Limited resource, must allocate efficiently
- Contiguous allocation is one early method
- Main memory usually into two partitions:
 - Resident operating system, usually held in low memory with interrupt vector
 - User processes then held in high memory
 - Each process contained in single contiguous section of memory






Contiguous Allocation (Cont.)

- Relocation registers used to protect user processes from each other, and from changing operating-system code and data
 - Base register contains value of smallest physical address
 - Limit register contains range of logical addresses each logical address must be less than the limit register
 - MMU maps logical address dynamically
 - Can then allow actions such as kernel code being transient and kernel changing size



Hardware Support for Relocation and Limit Registers








Variable Partition

- Multiple-partition allocation
 - Degree of multiprogramming limited by number of partitions
 - Variable-partition sizes for efficiency (sized to a given process' needs)
 - Hole block of available memory; holes of various size are scattered throughout memory
 - When a process arrives, it is allocated memory from a hole large enough to accommodate it
 - Process exiting frees its partition, adjacent free partitions combined
 - Operating system maintains information about:
 a) allocated partitions
 b) free partitions (hole)






Dynamic Storage-Allocation Problem

How to satisfy a request of size *n* from a list of free holes?

- First-fit: Allocate the first hole that is big enough
- Best-fit: Allocate the smallest hole that is big enough; must search entire list, unless ordered by size
 - Produces the smallest leftover hole
- Worst-fit: Allocate the *largest* hole; must also search entire list
 - Produces the largest leftover hole

First-fit and best-fit better than worst-fit in terms of speed and storage utilization





Fragmentation

- External Fragmentation total memory space exists to satisfy a request, but it is not contiguous
- Internal Fragmentation allocated memory may be slightly larger than requested memory; this size difference is memory internal to a partition, but not being used
- First fit analysis reveals that given N blocks allocated, 0.5 N blocks lost to fragmentation
 - 1/3 may be unusable -> 50-percent rule





Fragmentation (Cont.)

- Reduce external fragmentation by compaction
 - Shuffle memory contents to place all free memory together in one large block
 - Compaction is possible only if relocation is dynamic, and is done at execution time
 - I/O problem
 - Latch job in memory while it is involved in I/O
 - Do I/O only into OS buffers
- Now consider that backing store has same fragmentation problems






Paging

- Physical address space of a process can be noncontiguous; process is allocated physical memory whenever the latter is available
 - Avoids external fragmentation
 - Avoids problem of varying sized memory chunks
- Divide physical memory into fixed-sized blocks called frames
 - Size is power of 2, between 512 bytes and 16 Mbytes
- Divide logical memory into blocks of same size called pages
- Keep track of all free frames
- To run a program of size N pages, need to find N free frames and load program
- Set up a page table to translate logical to physical addresses
- Backing store likewise split into pages
- Still have Internal fragmentation





Address Translation Scheme

- Address generated by CPU is divided into:
 - Page number (p) used as an index into a page table which contains base address of each page in physical memory
 - Page offset (d) combined with base address to define the physical memory address that is sent to the memory unit


page number	page offset
р	d
m -n	n

For given logical address space 2^m and page size 2ⁿ





Paging Hardware







Paging Model of Logical and Physical Memory

frame

page 0

page 1

page 2

page 3

logical memory

number 0 page 0 page 2 4 page 1 5 6 page 3 physical memory




Paging Example

 Logical address: n = 2 and m = 4. Using a page size of 4 bytes and a physical memory of 32 bytes (8 pages)

0	a
1	b
2	С
2	d
4 5	е
5	f
6	g
7	h
8	i
9	i
10	j k
11	1
12	m
13	n
14	0
15	р

0	5	
1	6	
2	1	1
3	2	1









Paging -- Calculating internal fragmentation

- Page size = 2,048 bytes
- Process size = 72,766 bytes
- 35 pages + 1,086 bytes
- Internal fragmentation of 2,048 1,086 = 962 bytes
- Worst case fragmentation = 1 frame 1 byte
- On average fragmentation = 1 / 2 frame size
- So small frame sizes desirable?
- But each page table entry takes memory to track
- Page sizes growing over time
 - Solaris supports two page sizes 8 KB and 4 MB





Free Frames



Before allocation

After allocation





Implementation of Page Table

- Page table is kept in main memory
 - Page-table base register (PTBR) points to the page table
 - Page-table length register (PTLR) indicates size of the page table
- In this scheme every data/instruction access requires two memory accesses
 - One for the page table and one for the data / instruction
- The two-memory access problem can be solved by the use of a special fast-lookup hardware cache called translation look-aside buffers (TLBs) (also called associative memory).





Translation Look-Aside Buffer

- Some TLBs store address-space identifiers (ASIDs) in each TLB entry – uniquely identifies each process to provide addressspace protection for that process
 - Otherwise need to flush at every context switch
- TLBs typically small (64 to 1,024 entries)
- On a TLB miss, value is loaded into the TLB for faster access next time
 - Replacement policies must be considered
 - Some entries can be wired down for permanent fast access





Hardware

Associative memory – parallel search


Page #	Frame #

- Address translation (p, d)
 - If p is in associative register, get frame # out
 - Otherwise get frame # from page table in memory





Paging Hardware With TLB







Effective Access Time

- Hit ratio percentage of times that a page number is found in the TLB
- An 80% hit ratio means that we find the desired page number in the TLB 80% of the time.
- Suppose that 10 nanoseconds to access memory.
 - If we find the desired page in TLB then a mapped-memory access take 10 ns
 - Otherwise we need two memory access so it is 20 ns
- Effective Access Time (EAT)

$$EAT = 0.80 \times 10 + 0.20 \times 20 = 12$$
 nanoseconds

implying 20% slowdown in access time

Consider amore realistic hit ratio of 99%,

$$EAT = 0.99 \times 10 + 0.01 \times 20 = 10.1 \text{ns}$$

implying only 1% slowdown in access time.






Memory Protection


- Memory protection implemented by associating protection bit with each frame to indicate if read-only or read-write access is allowed
 - Can also add more bits to indicate page execute-only, and so on
- Valid-invalid bit attached to each entry in the page table:
 - "valid" indicates that the associated page is in the process' logical address space, and is thus a legal page
 - "invalid" indicates that the page is not in the process' logical address space
 - Or use page-table length register (PTLR)
- Any violations result in a trap to the kernel






Valid (v) or Invalid (i) Bit In A Page Table





9	
0	
1	
2	page 0
3	page 1
4	page 2
5	
6	, and a second
7	page 3
8	page 4
9	page 5
	page n





Shared Pages

Shared code

- One copy of read-only (reentrant) code shared among processes (i.e., text editors, compilers, window systems)
- Similar to multiple threads sharing the same process space
- Also useful for interprocess communication if sharing of read-write pages is allowed


Private code and data

- Each process keeps a separate copy of the code and data
- The pages for the private code and data can appear anywhere in the logical address space





Shared Pages Example







Structure of the Page Table


- Memory structures for paging can get huge using straight-forward methods
 - Consider a 32-bit logical address space as on modern computers
 - Page size of 4 KB (2¹²)
 - Page table would have 1 million entries (2³² / 2¹²)
 - If each entry is 4 bytes → each process 4 MB of physical address space for the page table alone
 - Don't want to allocate that contiguously in main memory
 - One simple solution is to divide the page table into smaller units
 - Hierarchical Paging
 - Hashed Page Tables
 - Inverted Page Tables





Hierarchical Page Tables

- Break up the logical address space into multiple page tables
- A simple technique is a two-level page table
- We then page the page table







Two-Level Paging Example

- A logical address (on 32-bit machine with 4K page size) is divided into:
 - a page number consisting of 20 bits
 - a page offset consisting of 12 bits
- Since the page table is paged, the page number is further divided into:
 - a 10-bit page number
 - a 10-bit page offset
- Thus, a logical address is as follows:


page	number	page offset			
p_1	p_2	d			
10	10	12			

- where p_1 is an index into the outer page table, and p_2 is the displacement within the page of the inner page table
- Known as forward-mapped page table






Address-Translation Scheme







64-bit Logical Address Space

- Even two-level paging scheme not sufficient
- If page size is 4 KB (2¹²)
 - Then page table has 2⁵² entries
 - If two level scheme, inner page tables could be 2¹⁰ 4-byte entries
 - Address would look like

outer page	inner page	offset
p_1	p_2	d
42	10	12

- Outer page table has 2⁴² entries or 2⁴⁴ bytes
- One solution is to add a 2nd outer page table
- But in the following example the 2nd outer page table is still 2³⁴ bytes in size
 - And possibly 4 memory access to get to one physical memory location



Three-level Paging Scheme

outer page	inner page	offset
p_1	p_2	d
42	10	12

2nd outer page	outer page	inner page	offset
p_1	p_2	p_3	d
32	10	10	12






Hashed Page Tables

- Common in address spaces > 32 bits
- The virtual page number is hashed into a page table
 - This page table contains a chain of elements hashing to the same location
- Each element contains (1) the virtual page number (2) the value of the mapped page frame (3) a pointer to the next element
- Virtual page numbers are compared in this chain searching for a match
 - If a match is found, the corresponding physical frame is extracted
- Variation for 64-bit addresses is clustered page tables
 - Similar to hashed but each entry refers to several pages (such as 16) rather than 1
 - Especially useful for sparse address spaces (where memory references are non-contiguous and scattered)





Hashed Page Table








Inverted Page Table

- Rather than each process having a page table and keeping track of all possible logical pages, track all physical pages
- One entry for each real page of memory
- Entry consists of the virtual address of the page stored in that real memory location, with information about the process that owns that page
- Decreases memory needed to store each page table, but increases time needed to search the table when a page reference occurs
- Use hash table to limit the search to one or at most a few pagetable entries
 - TLB can accelerate access
- But how to implement shared memory?
 - One mapping of a virtual address to the shared physical address





Inverted Page Table Architecture







Oracle SPARC Solaris

- Consider modern, 64-bit operating system example with tightly integrated HW
 - Goals are efficiency, low overhead
- Based on hashing, but more complex
- Two hash tables
 - One kernel and one for all user processes
 - Each maps memory addresses from virtual to physical memory
 - Each entry represents a contiguous area of mapped virtual memory,
 - More efficient than having a separate hash-table entry for each page
 - Each entry has base address and span (indicating the number of pages the entry represents)





Oracle SPARC Solaris (Cont.)

- TLB holds translation table entries (TTEs) for fast hardware lookups
 - A cache of TTEs reside in a translation storage buffer (TSB)
 - Includes an entry per recently accessed page
- Virtual address reference causes TLB search
 - If miss, hardware walks the in-memory TSB looking for the TTE corresponding to the address
 - If match found, the CPU copies the TSB entry into the TLB and translation completes
 - If no match found, kernel interrupted to search the hash table
 - The kernel then creates a TTE from the appropriate hash table and stores it in the TSB, Interrupt handler returns control to the MMU, which completes the address translation.





Swapping

- A process can be swapped temporarily out of memory to a backing store, and then brought back into memory for continued execution
 - Total physical memory space of processes can exceed physical memory
- Backing store fast disk large enough to accommodate copies of all memory images for all users; must provide direct access to these memory images
- Roll out, roll in swapping variant used for priority-based scheduling algorithms; lower-priority process is swapped out so higher-priority process can be loaded and executed
- Major part of swap time is transfer time; total transfer time is directly proportional to the amount of memory swapped
- System maintains a ready queue of ready-to-run processes which have memory images on disk






Swapping (Cont.)

- Does the swapped out process need to swap back in to same physical addresses?
- Depends on address binding method
 - Plus consider pending I/O to / from process memory space
- Modified versions of swapping are found on many systems (i.e., UNIX, Linux, and Windows)
 - Swapping normally disabled
 - Started if more than threshold amount of memory allocated
 - Disabled again once memory demand reduced below threshold





Schematic View of Swapping





Context Switch Time including Swapping

- If next processes to be put on CPU is not in memory, need to swap out a process and swap in target process
- Context switch time can then be very high
- 100MB process swapping to hard disk with transfer rate of 50MB/sec
 - Swap out time of 2000 ms
 - Plus swap in of same sized process
 - Total context switch swapping component time of 4000ms (4 seconds)
- Can reduce if reduce size of memory swapped by knowing how much memory really being used
 - System calls to inform OS of memory use via request_memory() and release_memory()





- Other constraints as well on swapping
 - Pending I/O can't swap out as I/O would occur to wrong process
 - Or always transfer I/O to kernel space, then to I/O device
 - Known as double buffering, adds overhead
- Standard swapping not used in modern operating systems
 - But modified version common
 - Swap only when free memory extremely low






Swapping on Mobile Systems

- Not typically supported
 - Flash memory based
 - Small amount of space
 - Limited number of write cycles
 - Poor throughput between flash memory and CPU on mobile platform
- Instead use other methods to free memory if low
 - iOS asks apps to voluntarily relinquish allocated memory
 - Read-only data thrown out and reloaded from flash if needed
 - Failure to free can result in termination
 - Android terminates apps if low free memory, but first writes application state to flash for fast restart
 - Both OSes support paging as discussed below





Swapping with Paging








- Dominant industry chips
- Pentium CPUs are 32-bit and called IA-32 architecture
- Current Intel CPUs are 64-bit and called IA-64 architecture
- Many variations in the chips, cover the main ideas here






Example: The Intel IA-32 Architecture

- Supports both segmentation and segmentation with paging
 - Each segment can be 4 GB
 - Up to 16 K segments per process
 - Divided into two partitions
 - First partition of up to 8 K segments are private to process (kept in local descriptor table (LDT))
 - Second partition of up to 8K segments shared among all processes (kept in global descriptor table (GDT))




- CPU generates logical address
 - Selector given to segmentation unit
 - Which produces linear addresses



- Linear address given to paging unit
 - Which generates physical address in main memory
 - Paging units form equivalent of MMU
 - Pages sizes can be 4 KB or 4 MB




Logical to Physical Address Translation in IA-32



page r	number	page offset			
p_1	p_2	d			
10	10	12			




Intel IA-32 Segmentation








Intel IA-32 Paging Architecture





Intel IA-32 Page Address Extensions

- 32-bit address limits led Intel to create page address extension (PAE), allowing 32-bit apps access to more than 4GB of memory space
 - Paging went to a 3-level scheme
 - Top two bits refer to a page directory pointer table
 - Page-directory and page-table entries moved to 64-bits in size
 - Net effect is increasing address space to 36 bits 64GB of physical memory





Intel x86-64

- Current generation Intel x86 architecture
- 64 bits is ginormous (> 16 exabytes)
- In practice only implement 48 bit addressing
 - Page sizes of 4 KB, 2 MB, 1 GB
 - Four levels of paging hierarchy
- Can also use PAE so virtual addresses are 48 bits and physical addresses are 52 bits


		pag	ge map	pag	e directory		page		page			
unused		le	evel 4	poi	inter table		directory		table		offset	
63	48	47	39	38	30	29		21 20		12 11		0





Example: ARM Architecture

- Dominant mobile platform chip (Apple iOS and Google Android devices for example)
- Modern, energy efficient, 32-bit
 CPU
- 4 KB and 16 KB pages
- 1 MB and 16 MB pages (termed sections)
- One-level paging for sections, two-level for smaller pages
- Two levels of TLBs
 - Outer level has two micro TLBs (one data, one instruction)
 - Inner is single main TLB
 - First inner is checked, on miss outers are checked, and on miss page table walk performed by CPU





End of Chapter 9

