



# Case-based reasoning in the health sciences: What's next?

Isabelle Bichindaritz<sup>a,\*</sup>, Cindy Marling<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Washington, 1900 Commerce Street, Tacoma, WA 98402, USA

<sup>b</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA

Received 15 December 2004; received in revised form 22 October 2005; accepted 25 October 2005

## KEYWORDS

Case-based reasoning;  
Bioinformatics;  
Medical informatics;  
Artificial intelligence in  
medicine

## Summary

**Objectives:** This paper presents current work in case-based reasoning (CBR) in the health sciences, describes current trends and issues, and projects future directions for work in this field.

**Methods and material:** It represents the contributions of researchers at two workshops on case-based reasoning in the health sciences. These workshops were held at the Fifth International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (ICCBR-03) and the Seventh European Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (ECCBR-04).

**Results:** Current research in CBR in the health sciences is marked by its richness. Highlighted trends include work in bioinformatics, support to the elderly and people with disabilities, formalization of CBR in biomedicine, and feature and case mining.

**Conclusion:** CBR systems are being better designed to account for the complexity of biomedicine, to integrate into clinical settings and to communicate and interact with diverse systems and methods.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Case-based reasoning (CBR) is an artificial intelligence (AI) approach that capitalizes on past experience to solve current problems. It may be viewed, simultaneously, as a research paradigm, as a perspective on human cognition and as a methodology

for building practical intelligent systems [1]. CBR has proven to be especially applicable to problem solving and decision support in the health sciences. Among the reasons for this are:

- case histories have long been essential in the training of health care professionals;
- the medical literature is filled with anecdotal accounts of the treatments of individual patients;
- many diseases are not well enough understood for formal models or universally applicable guidelines to be available;

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 253 692 4605;  
fax: +1 253 692 5862.

E-mail addresses: [ibichind@u.washington.edu](mailto:ibichind@u.washington.edu)  
(I. Bichindaritz), [marling@ohio.edu](mailto:marling@ohio.edu) (C. Marling).

- when guidelines are available, they provide a general framework to guide clinicians, and require consequent background knowledge to become operational, which is precisely the kind of information recorded in practice cases; cases complement guidelines very well and help to interpret them;
- a biological system like the human body is difficult to describe by general models;
- even in domains where a deep model can represent the disease process – such as hypertension or heart disease – often several diagnoses interact to manifest the symptoms;
- reasoning from examples is natural for healthcare professionals;
- medicine is a highly data intensive field where it is advantageous to develop a system capable of reasoning from pre-existing cases from an electronic medical record, for instance, or from cases mined from the data.

Both research and the practical application of CBR in the health sciences are currently experiencing rapid growth and development. Two workshops on case-based reasoning in the health sciences were recently held to provide a forum for identifying important contributions and research opportunities. The first of these was held at the International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (ICCBR-03), in Trondheim, Norway, in 2003 [2]. The second was held at the European Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (ECCBR-04), in Madrid, Spain, in 2004 [3]. In total, 17 papers were presented, representing the research and experience of 37 authors, working in 10 different countries, on a wide range of problems and projects. The insights and contributions of those who participated in these workshops are manifested in this paper. Here, we reflect on some pioneering efforts in this field, summarize the current state of the art, describe current trends and issues, and look forward to future research directions.

## 2. Early work

The first noted application of CBR in the health sciences was a proof of the concept that CBR could be applied to the medical field [4]. Anecdotally, these authors decided to collaborate on some research when they met at a conference and were pleased to discover that they had the same last name. From this chance meeting grew the idea for a paper by Janet Kolodner – a CBR researcher – and Robert Kolodner—a psychiatrist and researcher in mental health computing. Their paper

[4] presented SHRINK, a CBR system designed to be a psychiatric diagnostician, capable of reasoning from a combination of category descriptors and cases to guide diagnosis and treatment. One of SHRINK's characteristics was that it would get better over time, as its memory would learn new cases as it processed them—following the CBR paradigm of learning from success and failure situations. Although SHRINK was not a fully implemented system, many of its ideas have since been implemented in MNAOMIA [5], a case-based diagnosis and treatment system for eating disorders in psychiatry.

CASEY was one of the first CBR systems built in a health sciences domain [6]. CASEY diagnosed heart failure patients by comparing them to earlier patients whose diagnoses were known. It incorporated and extended an earlier model-based system, which diagnosed heart failures based on a physiologic model of the human heart. A diagnosis, in CASEY, was represented as a graph, showing the causality among the different symptoms and features of a patient. CASEY was originally cited for its efficiency, as it produced diagnoses comparable to the model-based system, but it did so at a faster order of magnitude. CASEY has since been recognized for pioneering the integration of CBR with another reasoning methodology, a trend that continues to this day in health sciences applications.

PROTOS was another early CBR system, which diagnosed audiological disorders [7]. PROTOS incorporated the knowledge of an expert audiologist and approximately 200 actual patient cases. A diagnosis in PROTOS was a categorical label, assigning a new patient to one of a number of pre-specified diagnostic categories. A new patient was effectively classified as having the same diagnosis as other similar past patients. PROTOS was influential in that its approach was adopted for building systems in other domains. For example, ProtoISIS was developed using the same techniques to select the most appropriate diagnostic imaging procedures for a patient [8]. An in-depth review of early work in CBR in the health sciences is available in several excellent summary articles [9–11]. Here, we briefly note some other early influential systems:

- MEDIC diagnoses pulmonary disease [12];
- ALEXIA determines a patient's hypertension etiology [13];
- FLORENCE assists with nursing diagnosis, prognosis and prescription [14];
- ROENTGEN helps to design radiation therapy plans [15];
- MacRad helps to interpret radiological images [16];

- HPISIS diagnoses degenerative brain diseases through image segmentation of CT and MR brain images [17];
- MNAOMIA supports diagnosis, treatment and research for psychiatric eating disorders [5];
- ICONS advises physicians about antibiotics to prescribe for patients with bacterial infections in intensive care units [18,19];
- CARE-PARTNER supports long-term follow-up care of stem-cell transplantation patients [20];
- the Auguste Project advises physicians about neuroleptic drugs to prescribe for Alzheimer's disease patients with behavioral aberrations [21];
- CAMP plans daily menus to meet the nutrition requirements of individuals constraining their intake of fat, cholesterol, sodium or other nutrients [22];
- T-IDDM supports insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus patient management [23];
- the Sickle Cell Counselor teaches museum visitors about the risks of passing the sickle cell anemia trait from parent to offspring [24].

### 3. Current work

Table 1 provides a brief overview of the systems discussed at the two Workshops on CBR in the health sciences. Here, we discuss these systems and the trends and issues they represent.

ALEXIA, MNAOMIA and CARE-PARTNER are influential systems that have been presented earlier in other venues [25,5,20,13]. Here, they are of continuing interest in that (a) safety issues are being addressed [26] and (b) they are being integrated to provide a basis for semantic interoperability in CBR systems in biology and medicine [27]. ALEXIA com-

bines CBR with a causal, physiopathological model to diagnose hypertension disorders. MNAOMIA assists clinical staff members with diagnosis, treatment and research hypotheses for psychiatric eating disorders. It relies on cases, concepts, prototypes and models in its reasoning processes. CARE-PARTNER combines CBR with rule-based reasoning to provide decision support for the long-term follow-up care of stem-cell transplant patients. As stem-cell transplants are still new, CARE-PARTNER implements evidence-based medical practice by formalizing guidelines established for the care of stem-cell transplant patients. Some current trends evidenced by these systems include the use of multi-modal reasoning and the need to represent time series data in order to reason about patient progress over time [28].

The issue of patient safety is raised and addressed by CARE-PARTNER [26]. CARE-PARTNER provides advice to hometown healthcare providers after stem-cell transplant patients return to their home communities. Because these patients are immuno-compromised, seemingly small problems can escalate quickly; timely, accurate advice is essential. The possibility that a patient could be harmed by a system error was a major issue to overcome in fielding this system. CARE-PARTNER employs an introspective reasoning process in which it determines which signs, symptoms and recommendations are safety-critical. It provides non-safety-critical advice immediately, but contacts expert clinicians to assist in critical situations. Safety is an important issue for all CBR systems that influence patient treatment, especially those that automatically generate patient recommendations, rather than simply providing retrieved cases to health care professionals to support their own reasoning.

**Table 1** Systems discussed at the workshops on CBR in the health sciences

System	Description
CARE-PARTNER	Supports long-term follow-up care of stem-cell transplant patients [7,9]
CASEREC	Interprets digital microscopic images to identify hazardous airborne fungi [34,35]
Endocrinology aid	Supports prescription and monitoring of levothyroxine dosage for endocrine therapy [41,42]
FM-Ultranet	Interprets ultrasound scans and diagnoses fetal malformations [14]
Gene Finder	Identifies coding regions in strands of mammalian DNA [12]
HR3Modul	Classifies sensor measurements to diagnose stress-related disorders [31,39]
Mémoire	Provides a framework for the semantic interoperability of CBR systems in biology and medicine [8]
NutriGenomics	Provides nutrition counseling based on individual genetics, health and lifestyle [43]
RHENE	Assesses the efficiency of hemodialysis treatment for patients with end stage renal disease [30]
SISAIH	Supports decision making in hospital admissions authorization [25]
SMARTHOME	Matches assistive living technologies to the needs of the elderly and disabled [13]
Somnus	Supports diagnosis and treatment of obstructive sleep apnea [24]
WHAT	Prescribes exercise regimens for cardiac and pulmonary patients [15]

Most recently, ALEXIA, MNAOMIA and CARE-PARTNER have provided the test bed for Mémoire, a framework for unifying and sharing case bases and biomedical CBR systems [27]. Most CBR systems to date have been stand-alone systems, which makes it difficult for system users to find all the support they need and for CBR systems developers to build upon each others' efforts. Issues raised include patient privacy, the security of patient data and adopting the best technological approach to facilitate interoperability. Mémoire employs a semantic approach, similar to that developed for the semantic Web, for sharing and distributing cases, prototypical cases, case-based ontologies and CBR systems in biology and medicine.

Gene Finder [29] and the system for NutriGenomics [30] are two research prototypes that portend CBR's promise for applications in bioinformatics. Gene Finder analyzes nucleotide sequences to identify DNA segments that encode biological functionality. It employs a case library of nucleotide segments that have already been classified as coding or non-coding to find the coding regions in previously unseen strands of DNA. The long-range goal of this system is to advance gene therapy, through the identification and eventual alteration of genes that cause disease. The system for NutriGenomics provides personalized nutrition counseling by leveraging emerging knowledge of how molecular nutrients affect gene expression to promote health and to prevent disease. It makes use of ontologies to represent domain knowledge, data mining techniques to extract knowledge from external databases and a distributed case base structure. It configures a dietary strategy for an individual, considering his/her own genetic makeup as well as his/her current health status and dietary lifestyle. While neither system is fully implemented, both provide frameworks for continuing work in case-based bioinformatics. Additional work in this area was recently reported in ref. [31]. Here, it was noted that CBR is a good fit for bioinformatics applications as there are massive amounts of data, many unknowns, and an incomplete, evolving, domain theory.

CASEREC, which identifies hazardous airborne fungi [32,33] and HR3Modul, which classifies respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) sequences [34,35], illustrate a growing capacity to automate analysis of medical images and biophysical signals. While the use of images and continuous measurements is not new to medical CBR systems, many prior systems have depended upon manual extraction of relevant features and/or manual analysis of retrieved images. To automate these tasks, there is a focus on developing novel metrics to detect similarity in non-textual data. CASEREC analyzes digital micro-

scopic images to detect contaminants in working environments. It combines CBR with model-based image analysis to recognize fungi spores by learning shape models from past images and applying them to new images. In this way, it detects objects in images that are highly likely to be fungi spores and then identifies the types of fungi spores detected. HR3Modul classifies RSA sequences by analyzing sensor readings of the variations in heart rate that occur with breathing. Each measurement is taken for 10–15 min and includes 60–80 respiration cycles. The sequence analysis is used to diagnose stress-related disorders.

The Wellworks/Heartworks Advisor/Trainer (WHAT) [36], SMARTHOME [37] and the support system for endocrine therapy [38,39] are prescriptive systems. WHAT helps sports medicine students learn to prescribe exercise regimens for patients already diagnosed with cardiac or pulmonary problems. This system serves an educational role by automatically generating independent case-based and rule-based prescriptions for the same patient and allowing students to compare them. The SMARTHOME prototype recommends the most effective technological aids for individuals impaired in their capabilities needed for successful independent living. Using the commercial ReCall shell with an iterative decision tree retrieval, this prototype was able to produce recommendations comparable to an expert's. The endocrinology system prescribes and monitors levothyroxine dosages for patients diagnosed with hypothyroidism. This system extends the prescriptive capabilities of WHAT and SMARTHOME, in that it follows patients over time and adjusts dosages in response to patient conditions. It also provides a framework for other medical conditions in which initial therapies may not be effective and may need adjustment. Other systems with prescriptive components include MNAOMIA, CARE-PARTNER, NutriGenomics and Somnus. Other systems with tutorial functionality include CARE-PARTNER, Somnus and FM-Ultranet. Other systems that follow patients and adjust treatment over time are CARE-PARTNER, MNAOMIA and RHENE.

Assessing treatment efficacy using case-based retrieval is the focus of RHENE, which monitors hemodialysis sessions for patients with end-stage renal disease [40]. A typical hemodialysis session lasts for 4 h, during which 25 variables are automatically sampled at 1 s intervals. Each hemodialysis session is represented as a case, containing the time-series data collected and additional static features that characterize the patient. This system allows physicians to analyze current problems by considering past patterns of failure over time and the solutions provided in terms of prescribed

dialysis flow rates. It also supports quality assessment of the hemodialysis service. FM-Ultranet [41] and Somnus [42] are primarily diagnostic systems. Diagnosis was the first task supported by medical CBR systems, and diagnostic systems continue to make important contributions.

FM-Ultranet [41] supports ultrasonographers in detecting fetal malformations. When a practitioner detects an abnormality during a routine ultrasound screening, FM-Ultranet helps to determine if the abnormality is dangerous. Future plans for this system include incorporating automated image analysis and pattern recognition techniques. FM-Ultranet was implemented using the commercial CBR-Works shell. Somnus [42] is a prototypical system for respiratory therapy students in sleep disorders clinics. It helps students diagnose and treat obstructive sleep apnea, a breathing disorder that repeatedly wakes patients from sleep. Somnus provides support by retrieving cases similar to a student's current case for comparison. It also allows students to review patient profiles and to analyze treatments and patient compliance. Somnus combines semiotics, fuzzy logic and database query techniques with CBR.

SISAIH [43] provides decision support for authorizing admissions to Brazilian hospitals. It supports financial accountability by ensuring that admissions are justified. It detects billing errors by comparing current admissions records to past ones, focusing on multiple admissions of the same patient. As the requisite financial data had already been maintained online, SISAIH was able to streamline a cumbersome manual review process using a standard CBR approach.

## 4. What's next?

As pioneering work and the work presented at these workshops illustrate, the health sciences have proven to be a fruitful application domain for CBR. CBR systems in the health sciences have started from systems aimed at modeling medical expertise [4], and case-based learning as the process by which physicians learn their expertise through medical practice. They have evolved into the design of case-based assistants for clinical practice, quality control and clinical forecast. It is expected that the interest in CBR systems in the health sciences is going to grow, as longer life expectancy forces a focus on health care and human biology.

A physician — a psychiatrist — once portrayed what he envisioned as the perfect computer program to assist him in his clinical work. He described representing in a database all the patients he had

been treating, with all the details of their history, environment, symptoms, diseases, treatments and evaluations. He also imagined that he could get access to patient cases of his colleagues. When encountering a new patient or a new disease episode, the system would find the most similar patients and patient episodes, would show them to him, would explain the similarities and differences and how each episode was solved successfully, and finally would provide recommendations for diagnosis and treatment for the particular episode he was dealing with. Ultimately, realizing this vision is one of the main goals of CBR in the health sciences. With this in mind, we here propose a roadmap for the future and point out some pitfalls that may arise along the way.

### 4.1. Roadmap for the future

Highlights of the trends and opportunities that may develop for CBR in the health sciences are the following:

- *Formalization of CBR in the health sciences:* Many case-based reasoning systems have been successfully developed in the medical and biological domains. A next step in this area of research is to study what is common among these systems, starting from case representation formalism. In particular, formalized case representation language [27], case structure and reasoning processes [38,39] will become more available and more important. We can see this as a necessary evolution paralleling the evolution of the World Wide Web from HTML, to XML and on to OWL as a representation language for Web resources. The benefits of this evolution are numerous, among them the ability to make case bases and CBR systems interoperable, to provide a universal query language for case bases and to allow the integration of CBR with information search and retrieval, as well as with other systems and methods [25].
- *Bioinformatics applications:* The decoding the Human Genome and the growing availability of genetic data are going to foster the development of CBR applications in bioinformatics and the integration of genetic data into the medical profile of a patient case. Since biomedical informatics is rapidly developing at the frontier between medical informatics and bioinformatics, CBR systems will also have to reason from both types of data and to integrate methods from both fields. CBR potentially can be a method of interest in bioinformatics itself [29–31]. We also note here the work of some researchers who see CBR as a method to assist biomedical research [20].



- *Integration with electronic patient records in healthcare settings:* Methods from the cognitive sciences will assist in this process, as will close cooperation with health informatics specialists. CBR systems in healthcare environments will have to be safe [26], usable and incorporate standards of care, in particular, evidence-based medical practice [20]. The formalization efforts mentioned above will also play an important role in this integration. When CBR systems are able to take advantage of patients' representations in electronic health records, they will become applicable to a wide range of diseases. It is exciting to think of the vast number of potential case bases, the knowledge of which is dormant simply because CBR systems have been developed from a different tradition—artificial intelligence versus health informatics. CBR researchers need to invest time learning from the Informatics community decades of work representing patient data, exchanging patient records and formalizing clinical knowledge. Efforts and achievements such as HL7 are highly pertinent for CBR research. CBR researchers will then be able to integrate this work and bridge the gap between their medical case representations and those developed for electronic patient records.
- *Integration with information retrieval:* CBR shares a common framework with information retrieval; with the growing availability of textual information, including that in medical records, co-operations between textual CBR and information retrieval will develop. Methods for learning cases from text will facilitate the development of CBR systems from medical literature [30] and CBR systems without initial cases. Many medical institutions either encourage or force care providers — physicians, physician assistants or nurses — to take electronic notes about patient visits, consultations or hospitalizations. An example is the VA system, which has reached a completely electronic state for patient records. These textual traces of the clinical problem-solving process are currently an untapped source of case bases that textual CBR could take advantage of.
- *Feature mining:* In several applications, the case representation will be more complex than in past CBR systems. For example, case data will come partly from sensors [34,11], images [41,32,33] or time series [40]. Feature mining consists of synthesizing adequate features for CBR. For instance, feature mining can involve reducing the number of features in highly dimensional data, such as the ones found in microarrays for bioinformatics cases [31]. Another example is identifying features from physiological signals [34]. From textual records, finding the features among documents is another variant of feature mining.
- *Case mining:* Mining for cases from existing data sources will become more and more important, particularly as the integration of CBR with electronic health records progresses. It consists of learning cases by mining databases or datasets, sometimes involving the consolidation of data from diverse tables, records or other sources into a unified case structure. Currently, few CBR systems take advantage of this method, and this is why more research is needed in this area. It is often noted by researchers that information about the diagnostic and/or treatment process is not well recorded in patient databases; the information is incomplete. With electronic health records, a more comprehensive view of patient problem situations becomes available to facilitate the case mining process. Here again, mining for cases will often take the form of text mining in progress notes.
- *Support for the elderly and disabled:* As the population ages, these applications will grow in number and significance. CBR researchers have already tackled the problems of enabling independent living for the elderly [37] and of providing support for patients with Alzheimer's Disease [21]. Many additional opportunities await.
- *Accounting for the complexity of biomedical domains:* Systems will tackle the growing mass of information, in particular for quality control and monitoring [43,40], mixing their recommendations with probabilities and statistics, and taking into account the co-occurrence of several ailments [42]. One important focus will be how case-based reasoning can associate probabilities and statistics with its results, and how the two different methodologies can complement one another.
- *Life-long learning:* CBR systems in medicine should not be designed only to reuse past episodes with little modification. Medicine is a rapidly changing field, and medical practice guidelines are regularly updated. Medications change, and new treatments emerge constantly. Therefore, the recommendations for diagnosis, assessment and treatment that were the norm 5 years ago may today be obsolete in part. The long-term follow-up CBR system [20] should be designed as a life-long learning system, integrating case base maintenance to propagate new findings into the case base, or to take recent developments into account at reuse time. Another facet of this is to prioritize recent cases over old cases when a choice is available.
- *Guidelines complementarity:* The evolution of medicine toward evidence-based practice is a

world-wide trend that will foster the development of decision support in medicine. Clinicians are supposed to keep updated in their knowledge of recent guidelines in several medical domains, eventually with assistance from software for disseminating the state-of-the-art in medical science. Cases are a necessary complement to guidelines because they provide the detailed context that is generally lacking in the interpretation of guidelines by computerized systems. They also provide some common sense knowledge that is crucially missing in expert systems. Another role envisioned for cases is to generate hypotheses for amending the guidelines from clinical practice, either complementing guidelines where there are gaps or refining the interpretation context, or even providing alternate courses of action and ideas for improving future guidelines.

- *Data mining for CBR*: CBR systems implement a variety of data-mining tasks and methods such as classification. MNAOMIA [25] proposed to go one step further by using the memory structures learned during CBR to perform a data-mining task as a side effect of case-based reasoning to assist the diagnosis and treatment tasks. The memory structures learned were called concepts, or trends, because they synthesized information common to sub-hierarchies of concepts and cases in the memory. When this declarative type of learning occurs, data mining can be added as a complement to CBR, as a meta-level technique capable of answering such questions from clinicians as "What has your system learned?" and eventually providing clinicians with research hypotheses. A particular form of data mining of interest for CBR systems would be prototype mining. Many CBR systems use some kind of prototypical cases, variously known as prototypes, classes or abstract cases. These entities could be mined from cases, and may again be of interest to clinicians in addition to being of value for CBR.

#### 4.2. Pitfalls along the way

Biomedicine is certainly a domain with many pitfalls and puzzling aspects. Among the main ones that may hinder the development of CBR in the health sciences are the following:

- *Legal issues*: Legal issues surrounding patient data restrict access to medical data and require advanced methods for data protection. Related to this are the issues of safety [16] and medical responsibility with regard to using computer-based systems in decision support.

- *Reliance on biostatistics over other computational methods*: In the medical field, statistics add a scientific dimension to clinical research. Complementary connections between statistics and CBR should be made to ensure acceptance of CBR among clinicians.
- *Building medical knowledge bases is a long process*, for which the availability of practice guidelines and their computerization provides a starting point. Future case-based reasoning systems will have to co-operate with one another and with other methodologies in order to grasp the vastness of this specialized knowledge.
- *Differential diagnosis*. Medical diagnosis involves providing a network and ranking of underlying causes accounting for exhibited symptoms. This again links to statistical considerations and the ability to connect different computerized systems with one another.

### 5. Summary and conclusion

This paper has described the current state of the art in case-based reasoning in the health sciences, projected future opportunities and challenges, and warned of potential pitfalls. Current research is marked by its richness, with its wide range of application domains and its integration with numerous complementary approaches and technologies. Opportunities abound, including new contributions to bioinformatics, support for the elderly and disabled, formalization of CBR in biomedicine, feature and case mining, and ultimately the development of systems better designed to account for the complexity of biomedicine and to integrate them into clinical settings.

This paper grew out of work presented and discussions generated at two international workshops on case-based reasoning in the health sciences. A third workshop will be held at the Sixth International Conference on Case-Based Reasoning (ICCBR-05) to build upon progress made to date. As researchers join together to exploit the opportunities, while avoiding the pitfalls, CBR will find an even more fruitful niche in the health sciences.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the participants of the first and second workshops on case-based reasoning in the health sciences for their insights and contributions.

## References

- [1] Kolodner J. Case-based reasoning. San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufman; 1993.
- [2] McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003.
- [3] Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004.
- [4] Kolodner JL, Kolodner RM. Using experience in clinical problem solving: introduction and framework. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern* 1987;17(3):420–431.
- [5] Bichindaritz I. MNAOMIA: improving case-based reasoning for an application in psychiatry. In: Kohane I, Patil R, Shahar Y, Szolovits P, Uckun S, editors. *Artificial intelligence in medicine: applications of current technologies*, Working Notes of the AAAI-96 Spring Symposium. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press; 1996. p. 14–20.
- [6] Koton P. Reasoning about evidence in causal explanations. In: Mitchell TM, Smith RG, editors. *Proceedings AAAI-88*. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press; 1988. p. 256–61.
- [7] Bareiss R. Exemplar-based knowledge acquisition: a unified approach to concept representation, classification, and learning. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 1989.
- [8] Kahn CE, Anderson GM. Case-based reasoning and imaging procedure selection. *Invest Radiol* 1994;29:1643–7.
- [9] Schmidt R, Montani S, Bellazzi R, Portinale L, Gierl L. Case-based reasoning for medical knowledge-based systems. *Int J Med Inform* 2001;64(2–3):355–67.
- [10] Gierl L, Bull M, Schmidt R. CBR in medicine. In: Lenz M, Bartsch-Sporl B, Burkhard HD, Wess S, editors. *Case-based reasoning technology: from foundations to applications*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1998. p. 273–97.
- [11] Nilsson M, Sollenborn M. Advancements and trends in medical case-based reasoning: an overview of systems and system development. In: Barr V, Markov Z, editors. *Proceedings of the 17th international florida artificial intelligence research society conference—special track on case-based reasoning*. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press; 2004. p. 178–83.
- [12] Turner RM. Using schemas for diagnosis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 1989;30:199–208.
- [13] Bichindaritz B, Séroussi B. Contraindre l'Analogie par la Causalité. *Tech Sci Inf* 1992;11(4):69–98.
- [14] Bradburn C, Zeleznikow J. The application of case-based reasoning to the tasks of health care planning. In: Wess S, Althoff KD, Richter MM, editors. *Topics in case-based reasoning: first European Workshop, EWCBR-93*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1994. p. 365–78.
- [15] Berger J. Roentgen: radiation therapy and case-based reasoning. In: O'Leary D, Selfridge P, editors. *Proceedings of the 10th conference on artificial intelligence for applications*. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press; 1994. p. 171–7.
- [16] Macura RT, Macura KJ. McRad: radiology image resource with a case-based retrieval system. In: Veloso M, Aamodt A, editors. *Case-based reasoning research and development: first international conference*, Proceedings ICCBR-95. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1995. p. 43–54.
- [17] Perner P. An architecture for a CBR image segmentation system. *Eng Appl Artif Intell* 1999;12(6):749–59.
- [18] Heindl B, Schmidt R, Schmidt G, Haller M, Pfaller P, Gierl L, et al. A case-based consiliarius for therapy recommendation (ICONS): computer-based advice for calculated antibiotic therapy in intensive care medicine. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed* 1997;52:117–27.
- [19] Schmidt R, Pollwein B, Gierl L. Case-based reasoning for antibiotics therapy advice. In: Althoff KD, Bergmann R, Branting LK, editors. *Case-based reasoning research and development: third international conference on case-based reasoning*, ICCBR-99. Berlin: Springer; 1999. p. 550–9.
- [20] Bichindaritz I, Kansu E, Sullivan KM. Case-based reasoning in CAREPARTNER: gathering evidence for evidence-based medical practice. In: Smyth B, Cunningham P, editors. *Advances in case-based reasoning: 4th European Workshop*, Proceedings EWCBR-98. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1998. p. 334–45.
- [21] Marling C, Whitehouse P. Case-based reasoning in the care of Alzheimer's disease patients. In: Aha DW, Watson I, editors. *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on case-based reasoning*, ICCBR-01. Berlin: Springer; 2001. p. 702–15.
- [22] Marling CR, Petot GJ, Sterling LS. Integrating case-based and rule-based reasoning to meet multiple design constraints. *Comput Intell* 1999;15(3):308–32.
- [23] Bellazi R, Montani S, Portinale L, Riva A. In: Althoff KD, Bergmann R, Branting LK, editors. *Case-based reasoning research and development: 3rd international conference on case-based reasoning*, ICCBR-99. Berlin: Springer; 1999. p. 386–400.
- [24] Bell B, Bareiss R, Beckwith R. Sickie Cell Counselor: a prototype goal-based scenario for instruction in a museum environment. Technical Report 56. Evanston, IL: The Institute for the Learning Sciences; 1994.
- [25] Bichindaritz I. Case-based reasoning adaptive to several cognitive tasks. In: Veloso M, Aamodt A, editors. *Case-based reasoning research and development: first international conference*, Proceedings ICCBR-95. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1995. p. 391–400.
- [26] Bichindaritz I. Solving safety implications in a case-based decision-support system. In: McGinty L, editor. *Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning*. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 9–18.
- [27] Bichindaritz I. Case based reasoning meets the semantic web in biology and medicine, European Conference on case based reasoning. In: Funk P, Gonzales P, editors. *Proceedings ECCBR-04*. 2004. p. 47–61.
- [28] Shahar Y, Miksch S, Johnson P. The Asgaard project: a task-specific framework for the application and critiquing of time-oriented clinical guidelines. *Artif Intell Med* 1998;14:29–51.
- [29] Costello E, Wilson DC. A case-based approach to gene finding. In: McGinty L, editor. *Workshop Proceedings of the fifth international conference on case-based reasoning*. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 19–28.
- [30] Wu D, Weber R, Abramson FC. A case-based framework for leveraging NutriGenomics knowledge and personalized nutrition counseling. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. *Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning*. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 73–82.
- [31] Jurisica I, Glasgow J. Applications of case-based reasoning in molecular biology. *AI Mag* 2004;25(1):85–95.
- [32] Perner P, Gunther T, Perner H. Airborne fungi identification by case-based reasoning. In: McGinty L, editor. *Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning*. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 73–9.
- [33] Perner P, Perner H, Janichen S, Buhning A. Recognition of airborne fungi spores in digital microscopic images. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. *Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning*. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 45–54.
- [34] Nilsson M, Funk P, Sollenborn M. Complex measurement classification in medical applications using a case-based approach. In: McGinty L, editor. *Proceedings of the 5th*



- international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 63–72.
- [35] Sollenborn M, Nilsson M. Building a case-base for stress diagnosis: an analysis of classified respiratory sinus arrhythmia sequences. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 55–64.
- [36] Evans-Romaine K, Marling C. Prescribing exercise regimens for cardiac and pulmonary disease patients with CBR. In: McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 45–52.
- [37] Vorobieva O, Gierl L, Schmidt R. Adaptation methods in an endocrine therapy support system. In: McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 80–8.
- [38] Davis G, Wiratunga N, Taylor B, Craw S. Matching SMART-HOUSE technology to needs of the elderly and disabled. In: McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 29–36.
- [39] Vorobieva O, Schmidt R. CBR investigation of therapy inefficacies. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 65–72.
- [40] Montani S, Portinale L, Leonardi G, Bellazzi R. Applying case-based retrieval to hemodialysis treatment. In: McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 53–62.
- [41] El Balaa Z, Strauss A, Uziel P, Maximini K, Traphoner R. FM-Ultranet: a decision support system using case-based reasoning applied to ultrasonography. In: McGinty L, editor. Workshop Proceedings of the 5th international conference on case-based reasoning. Trondheim, Norway: NTNU; 2003. p. 37–44.
- [42] Kwiatkowska M, Atkins S. Case representation and retrieval in the diagnosis and treatment of obstructive sleep apnea: a semio-fuzzy approach. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 25–34.
- [43] Lorenzi F, Abel M, Ricci F. SISAIH: a case-based reasoning tool for hospital admission authorization management. In: Gupta KM, Gervas P, editors. Workshop Proceedings of the 7th European conference on case-based reasoning. Madrid, Spain: UCM; 2004. p. 35–44.