OASIS+ modules meeting 2 (8.9.23) - notes and suggested action points

*Apologies: Gill Campbell, Lorrain Higbee, Emily Johnson, Wendy Smith, Adrienne Powell, Martyn Allen*

## 1. OASIS V and the OASIS+ modules (Tim)

-Discussion of fields already stored in OASIS V. Geology is already drawn from BGS data in the geophysics options

-Can discuss how geological data etc already stored in OASIS V can be redisplayed within the zooarch/archbot module for the person entering

-Outputs: there is an existing API for developers and programmers to access and pull-out data, as well as simpler options for PDF, CSV and JSON exports

-Currently it is only possible to access your own records - so either need to request data grabs via the ADS or develop a public portal

-Geophysics data is already fed into an ADS interface - something similar could be done for the enviro modules

-Generally agreed that the data inputted into this module needs to be publicly available and query-able

- Key questions for the working group to resolve are (a) where and (b) how specialists and researchers want to access data

**Action Point: Create doodle poll with potential options to share initially with working group, then with wider community at workshop in December?**

## 2. Update on OASIS+ module for human remains (Anwen)

-Feedback from July workshop on human remains modules

-Anwen shared fields proposed for the human remains module- quite simple, straightforward, limited number of fields

-Human remains working group are willing to share progress and insight, work together as we develop our module

**Action Point: Share initial suggested human remains OASIS+ module fields via GitHub**

***3. OASIS V training (Ruth) (5 mins)***

*­*-MSDS are hosting OASIS V training to familiarise specialists with core OASIS V functions

-Allocated funding prioritises PZG and AWG, with remaining places to be advertised to specialists who are not members of these groups

-Potential for opening up invite to any working group members not part of these groups

-Dates: 25th September and 20th October at 1pm-4pm (details to be circulated)

**Action Points:**

* **~~Add Sean to PZG list~~**
* **Check that Dana has been invited to workshop via AWG list; if not, invite her**

## 4. Feedback on GitHub discussions/progress so far (Ruth)

-No specific issues reported with using GitHub as a platform

-Noted by some members that they had accessed discussions but not posted as they broadly agreed with points made

-Noted that in future it would be useful if people could ‘react’ to posts to indicate shared opinions as this is very useful to know

-Possible to subscribe/unsubscribe to receive notifications from particular discussion threads - we can send more info out about this

**Action Points: Send info about GitHub**

## 5. Ideas for streamlining the process/feedback on these ideas (Anwen, HE and Tina)

- Going forward we are proposing:

(a) Mostly **separate** **GitHub discussions for plant/animal specialists** as regards assemblage data, potentially coming together to discuss findings/interpretative elements, etc. Plant specialists can still access animal specialists’ discussions in GitHub and vice versa.

(b) **Joint discussions/feedback in online working group meetings** which align/compare specialist discussions and address shared issues.

(c) A **priority list of discussion topics has been established** based on initial working group feedback forms- these were outlined by HE and broadly agreed on

(d) **Increased pace of discussions on GitHub**, which will focus more keenly on individual topics

(e) **Visualisation of progress** in a mock-up form as we resolve themes/issues with suggested fields in the GitHub discussion, and **periodic feedback on this mock-up** so we can think through the practicalities of the module as we go along

-It will be useful for this mock-up to include data regarding vocabulary options and field types

-We may also want to consider whether the archbot/zooarch module option is only enabled for certain types of archaeological events

-Existing OASIS V options to indicate presence e.g. of charred plant remains and how consistently this is entered need to be considered further/discussed

**Action Points:**

* **Launch next round of GitHub discussions**

## 6. Moving on

- Date of next meeting: choice of dates to be circulated

**~~Action Points: Create Doodle poll for next working group meeting in week beginning 9 Octob~~er**

**Link to Doodle poll:** [**https://doodle.com/meeting/participate/id/dB63DMod**](https://doodle.com/meeting/participate/id/dB63DMod)

**Link to meeting recording:** [**https://drive.google.com/file/d/1bxGcc1I2KcVm2TH\_x\_TV8SAs-1VOIeNo/view?usp=sharing**](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1bxGcc1I2KcVm2TH_x_TV8SAs-1VOIeNo/view?usp=sharing)