## sievenna Testing

Onni Aarne

January 20, 2018

## 1 Unit Testing

A link to an up-to-date report can be found in the README.

## 2 Performance Testing

sievenna's Huffman coding has been tested on the Large Text Compression Benchmark [2] as well as an uncompressed tarball of the standard Calgary corpus [1].

Image compression benchmark used was an 8-bit RGB photograph called nightshot\_iso\_100 from imagecompression.info [3].

Results achieved can be seen in table 1.

## References

- [1] Timothy Bell, Ian H Witten, and John G Cleary. Modeling for text compression. **ACM** Computing Surveys (CSUR), 21(4):557–591, 1989.
- [2] Matt Mahoney. Large text compression benchmark, 2011.
- [3] Rawzor. The new test images. http://imagecompression.info/test\_images/, 2008. Accessed 26.12.2017.

File	Size	Compressed	Ratio	Comp. Time	Decomp. Time
nightshot_iso_100.ppm	$22.128~\mathrm{MB}$	17.843427  MB	1.240	2.654  s	1.989 s
enwik8	100  MB	63.862	1.566	$9.100 \mathrm{\ s}$	$6.900 \mathrm{\ s}$
enwik9	$1000~\mathrm{MB}$	$648.370~\mathrm{MB}$	1.542	$68 \mathrm{\ s}$	59  s
Calgary Corpus	$3.154~\mathrm{MB}$	$2.125~\mathrm{MB}$	1.484	$0.385 \mathrm{\ s}$	$0.321 \mathrm{\ s}$

Table 1: Performance statistics for Huffman coding. Note: enwik8 and enwik9 are different sizes of the Large Text Compression Benchmark.