On economic, societal, and political aspects in ICN

Pouyan Fotouhi Tehrani¹, Jochen Schiller², Thomas C. Schmidt³, Matthias Wählisch²

¹Weizenbaum Institut / Fraunhofer FOKUS ²Freie Universität Berlin ³Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

September 30, 2020



weizenbaum institut





A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

- 1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.
- 2. Users are only interested in content and barely care about its location within the network (what vs where).

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

- 1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.
- 2. Users are only interested in content and barely care about its location within the network (what vs where).

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

- 1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.
- 2. Users are only interested in content and barely care about its location within the network (what vs where).

Fundamental bindings:

Name to topolgy: maps a name to a topological position where data is available.

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

- 1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.
- 2. Users are only interested in content and barely care about its location within the network (what vs where).

Fundamental bindings:

Name to topolgy: maps a name to a topological position where data is available.

Name to data: maps a name to actual bits.

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

- 1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.
- 2. Users are only interested in content and barely care about its location within the network (what vs where).

Fundamental bindings:

Name to topolgy: maps a name to a topological position where data is available.

Name to data: maps a name to actual bits.

Name to owner: maps a name to an authorized producer.

A paradigm shift

The basic premise of ICN:

1. Internet's primary use is de facto content distribution.

What are the non-technical impacts of these newly introduced bindings?

Name to data: maps a name to actual bits.

Name to owner: maps a name to an authorized producer.

Names as commodity
Power structures in ICN
Information-centric trust
Accountability in ICN
Private communication in ICN

- An emerging market for popular names
- 2. Fair namespace management
- Mechanisms of conflict/dispute resolution*

^{*} The Missing Piece: On Namespace Management in NDN and How DNSSEC Might Help
ICN '19: Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Information-Centric Networking · September 2019 · Pages 37–43
https://doi.org/10.1145/3357150.3357401

Names as commodity

Power structures in ICN

Information-centric trust

Accountability in ICN

Private communication in ICN

- 1. Power over data retrieval
- 2. Power over data naming
- 3. Power over name ownership

Names as commodity

Power structures in ICN

Information-centric trust

Accountability in ICN

Private communication in ICN

- Trustworthy data discovery and delivery
- 2. Trustworthy data provision
- 3. Trustworthy namespace management

Names as commodity

Power structures in ICN

Information-centric trust

Accountability in ICN

Private communication in ICN

- Accountability in data discovery and delivery
- 2. Accountability in data inquiry and provision
- 3. Accountability in namespace and name allocation

Names as commodity

Power structures in ICN

Information-centric trust

Accountability in ICN

Private communication in ICN

- 1. Private as restricted
- 2. Private as confidential
- 3. Private as covert

Conclusion

Surely users care about data, **but** they also care about trust, accountability, private communication, legal certainty, and everything else that the current Internet provides beside mere content!

Conclusion

Surely users care about data, **but** they also care about trust, accountability, private communication, legal certainty, and everything else that the current Internet provides beside mere content!



