

Speed-up methods for simulations of traveling waves in integrate-and-fire neural networks

Remus Osan¹, Bard Ermentrout

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Abstract

We consider single and multiple spike traveling waves solutions in an integrate-and-fire neural network. Due to the special properties of this system it is possible to deduce the dynamical state of each neuron in the full network from a small subset, using recurrence relationships. Since the dimension of the subset is on the order of the number of the traveling waves, this greatly reduces the computational time required for numerical simulations. These ideas are specific to the class of integrate-and-fire neural network models presented here.

Keywords: integrate-and-fire, traveling waves, numerical methods, optimisation

1 Introduction

Synaptically generated traveling waves are one of the most studied large-scale dynamics of cortical tissue as they provide insight into its organization and functionality. Ermentrout (1), Bressloff (2), Golomb and Ermentrout (4; 5), and Osan and Ermentrout (6), developed methods for studying the existence and evolution of such traveling waves of activity, under the assumption that each cell only fires once. The multiple traveling waves problem is much more complicated both from theoretical and computational points of view; however some analytical and computational results were obtained for integrate-and-fire neural networks with finite support (7) and exponential (8) support connectivity functions. Computational models of neural tissue usually use reduced neurons coupled together via symmetric synapses whose strength decrease with distance. For these types of models large-scale simulations are needed to test the existence and stability of such traveling waves. Conducting large-scale simulations in integrate-and-fire neural networks is often very time-consuming.

¹ Corresponding author. E-mail address: rosan@princeton.edu (Remus Osan)

It is thus important to devise methods that would decrease the overall computation time (9; 10).

For the particular class of neural networks considered here the firing times of the neurons can be computed 'exactly', that is, with arbitrary accuracy, by solving transcendental equations. A description of the integrate-and-fire neural network is presented in the next section. In the remaining sections we derive recurrence formulas that allow the computation of the dynamical state of one neuron as a function of the states of its neighbors.

2 Neural network description

The neural network we consider uses the integrate-and-fire model for a spiking neuron. Each 'neuron' is a linear integrator. Upon reaching a predefined threshold, V_T , the neuron emits a 'spike' and the voltage is reset to a lower voltage $V_R < V_T$. The effect of a spike on other neurons is to turn on a current whose time dependence is often a simple exponential function and whose magnitude is a function of the distance between the two connected neurons. Thus the system evolves according to

$$\tau_1 \frac{\partial V(x, t)}{\partial t} = -V(x, t) + g_{syn} \int_D J(|y - x|) \sum_k \alpha(t - t_k(y)) dy, \quad (1)$$

along with the reset condition such that if $V(x, t)$ crosses a threshold, V_T , it is reset to V_R . $t_k(y)$ represents the discrete set of times when neuron at position y fires the k^{th} time. Here $\alpha(t)$ is the time-dependent current that arises from an impulse. The function J describes the space dependence of the coupling strength of neuron at positions x and y . The parameter g_{syn} sets the scale of the coupling strength. In the simplest models the definitions of the functions used in equation (1) are

$$J(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|}{\sigma}}}{2\sigma} \quad (2)$$

$$\alpha(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}} H(t) \quad (3)$$

H is the Heaviside step function $H(t) = 0$, if $t < 0$ and $H(t) = 1$, if $t \geq 0$.

If the specific form of the α function is given by equation (3) then by integrating the differential equation (1) we obtain the integral formulation

$$V(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(x, t)} \eta(t - t_n(x)) + g_{syn} \int_D J(|y - x|) \sum_{m=1}^{N(y, t)} A(t - t_k(y)) dy \quad (4)$$

$$\eta(t) = (V_{reset} - V_T) e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} H(t) \quad (5)$$

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \left(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}} - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} \right) H(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) - \beta(t)}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \quad (6)$$

where $\beta(t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} H(t)$, and $N(y, t)$ represents the number of spikes the neuron at position y fired at time t . We use the following terminology when referring to the terms of the equation (4): 'decaying reset' for the first one and 'synaptic integral' for the second one. For numerical simulations the integral form (4) is more convenient than the differential form (1).

In order to simulate equation (4), with the choice of functions from equations (2, 3), we need to use a discretized one-dimensional domain. One way to do that is to use a uniform grid with distance δ between nodes. By denoting spatial position of each neuron by $x = i\delta$, where $i \in \mathbf{N}$ represents the numbering of each neuron, we can rewrite equation (4) as

$$V(i, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(i, t)} \eta(t - t_n(i)) + g_{syn} \delta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} J(|i - j|\delta) \sum_{m=1}^{N(j, t)} A(t - t_m(j)) \quad (7)$$

The computational time can be decreased by taking advantage of the symmetries that arise naturally in these types of networks for solitary and multiple traveling waves. We showed in (6) that for the type of network considered here the single spiking activity pattern arising after a domain is brought to firing is monotone, that is, neurons closer to the initial region fire before neurons further away. In this case we can completely determine the dynamics of the neural network by computing the synaptic integral from equation (7) only for the neighbor of the neuron that spiked last. In the next sections we show how this idea can be implemented for the solitary traveling wave and extended for multiple traveling waves.

3 Single-spike traveling wave solutions

The single spike assumption can be biologically justified by supposing strong synaptic depression. Using the convention $t(i\delta) = t_i$ and $V(i\delta, t) = V(i, t)$,

and omitting the decaying reset term, equation (7) takes the following form

$$V(i, t) = g_{syn} \delta \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} J(|i - j|\delta) A(t - t_j) \quad (8)$$

Let us consider a traveling wave moving towards the positive direction of the one-dimensional domain. We assume that at time t_{i_0} the traveling front reaches position i_0 , that is, the neuron located there fires. We use equations (8) and $A(0) = 0$ to compute the potential at position $j_0 > i_0$ at time t_{i_0}

$$V(j_0, t_{i_0}) = g_{syn} \delta \sum_{j=1}^{i_0} J(|j_0 - j|\delta) A(t_{i_0} - t_j) = V_T e^{-\frac{(j_0 - i_0)\delta}{\sigma}} \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) indicates that regardless of the details of the firing map, the potential on the right of the traveling front decays exponentially from V_T to zero as j_0 increases. Since the neuron one position ahead of the traveling front has the highest potential and receives the highest synaptic current it follows that it will fire next. This is the intuitive explanation for the firing times monotonicity proof we used in (6).

We can obtain a recurrence relationship between the synaptic integrals from different spatial locations. At times $t_{i_0-1} < t < t_{i_0}$ the synaptic integrals at positions i_0 and $j_0 > i_0$ are

$$V(i_0, t) = \frac{g_{syn} \delta}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}} \sum_{j=1}^{i_0-1} J(|i_0 - j|\delta) \sum_{\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}} c_{\gamma} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_j) \gamma(t - t_{i_0}) \quad (10)$$

$$V(j_0, t) = \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}} S_{\gamma}(i_0, t_{i_0-1}) \gamma(t - t_{i_0-1}) \right) e^{-\frac{(j_0 - i_0)\delta}{\sigma}} \quad (11)$$

where $c_{\alpha} = 1$, $c_{\gamma} = -1$ and

$$S_{\gamma}(i_0, t_{i_0-1}) = \frac{g_{syn} \delta}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \sum_{j=1}^{i_0-1} J((i_0 - j)\delta) \gamma(t_{i_0-1} - t_j)$$

We use equations (10 - 11) to compute the potential at position $y = (i_0 + 1)\delta$ for $t \in (t_{i_0}, t_{i_0+1}]$, that is, after neuron at $x = i_0\delta$ fires

$$V(i_0 + 1, t) = S_{\alpha}(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) \alpha(t - t_{i_0}) - S_{\beta}(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) \beta(t - t_{i_0}) \quad (12)$$

where $S_\alpha(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0})$ and $S_\beta(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0})$ are given by the recurrence formulas

$$S_\gamma(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) = S_\gamma(i_0, t_{i_0-1}) e^{-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_{i_0-1}) + \frac{g_{syn}}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \delta J\left(\frac{\delta}{\sigma}\right) \quad (13)$$

We obtained a relationship (13) which allows us to compute the coefficients $S_\alpha(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0})$ of the synaptic integral in equation (12) at location $x = (i_0 + 1)\delta$, as a function of previous synaptic components $S_\gamma(i_0, t_{i_0-1})$ and the last interspike interval $\Delta t = t_{i_0} - t_{i_0-1}$. The intuitive meaning of this relationship is that the α and β components of the synaptic integral at the new location are obtained by right 'shifting' the components at the previous location and adding the effects of the last spike. Note that shifting decreases the synaptic components by a factor of $e^{-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}}$. The time-decaying terms $\gamma(t_{i_0} - t_{i_0-1})$ also decrease the synaptic components, while the effects of the last spike lead to an increase in the overall synaptic integral.

4 Multiple traveling waves

The simple scheme outlined for the single spike traveling waves solution does not extend directly for multiple waves. While the potential outside the region where neurons spiked at least once still decays exponentially with the spatial width of the cortical function J , the voltage in the region between successive traveling waves does not have a simple formula. However, for the traveling waves case, it is possible to devise a numerical scheme that extends the same basic ideas and allows the computation of firing times based on recurrence formulas. More precisely we require that the firing map $t_k(y)$ is monotone in y , that is, $t_k(y) > t_k(x), y > x$. Under this assumption we monitor the set of neurons the will fire next, situated one position ahead of the traveling wave fronts.

Let us assume that the neuron located at position $x = i_0\delta$ is the last one to fire, at time t_{i_0} . The decaying reset term of a neuron located at position $j_0\delta$ depends only on its local spiking history and it can be computed as needed

$$\eta(i_0, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(i_0, t)} \eta(t - t_n(i)) \quad (14)$$

The synaptic integral $S(j_0, t) = g_{syn}\delta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J(|j_0 - k|\delta) \sum_{m=1}^{N(k, t)} A(t - t_m(k))$ can be expressed as a sum of left, central and right spatial contributions evaluated

at the time of the last spike t_{i_0} .

$$S(j_0, t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}} \left(\sum_{O=L, C, R} S_{\gamma O}(j_0, t_{i_0}) \right) c_\gamma \gamma(t - t_{i_0}) \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} S_{\gamma L}(j_0, t_{i_0}) = g_{syn} \delta \sum_{k=1}^{j_0-1} J(|j_0 - k| \delta) \sum_{m=1}^{N(k, t_{i_0})} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_m(j)) \\ S_{\gamma C}(j_0, t_{i_0}) = g_{syn} \delta J(0) \sum_{m=1}^{N(j_0, t_{i_0})} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_m(j_0)) \\ S_{\gamma R}(j_0, t_{i_0}) = g_{syn} \delta \sum_{k=j_0+1}^{\infty} J(|j_0 - k| \delta) \sum_{m=1}^{N(k, t_{i_0})} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_m(k)) \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

After last spike, in the set of monitored neurons, the neuron at node i_0 is replaced by the neuron at node $i_0 + 1$. The synaptic integral at $i_0 + 1$ becomes

$$S(i_0 + 1, t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}} c_\gamma \gamma(t - t_{i_0}) \left(e^{-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}} \sum_{O=L, C} S_{\gamma O}(i_0, t_{i_0}) + e^{\frac{\delta}{\sigma}} S_{\gamma R}(i_0, t_{i_0}) \right)$$

By computing the central self-contribution at the node $i_0 + 1$ at time $t = t_{i_0}$ and taking into account the effect of the last spike we find the recurrence formulas that advance formula (15) from i_0 to $i_0 + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\gamma L}(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) &= \sum_{O=L, C} S_{\gamma O}(i_0, t_{i_0-1}) e^{-\frac{\delta}{\sigma}} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_{i_0-1}) + \frac{g_{syn}}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \delta J\left(\frac{\delta}{\sigma}\right) \\ S_{\gamma R}(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) &= S_{\gamma R}(i_0, t_{i_0-1}) e^{\frac{\delta}{\sigma}} \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_{i_0-1}) - S_{\gamma C}(i_0 + 1, t_{i_0}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where t_k is the time of the last spike before t_{i_0} . The updated equations for the other neurons in the monitored set (located on at $j_0 > i_0$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(j_0, t_{i_0}) &= \eta(j_0, t_k) \beta(t_{i_0} - t_k) \\ S_{\gamma L}(j_0, t_{i_0}) &= S_{\gamma L}(j_0, t_k) \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_k) + \frac{g_{syn}}{1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2}} \delta J\left(-\frac{(j_0 - i_0)\delta}{\sigma}\right) \\ S_{\gamma S}(j_0, t_{i_0}) &= S_{\gamma S}(j_0, t_k) \gamma(t_{i_0} - t_k), \quad S = C, R \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Only the dynamical state of a small subset of the network, situated one position ahead of the traveling wave fronts, is monitored. As a new neuron spikes the focus shifts to its right neighbor for which the synaptic integrals can be computed using equations (14) and (17). For all the other neurons from the monitored set the synaptic integrals are updated using equations (18). In addition to a 'left shift', a 'right' shift is needed to compute the synaptic integrals.

5 Conclusions

We showed how the special spatial structure of traveling waves can be used to decrease the simulation time for an integrate-and-fire network, for both single and multiple spike waves. Effectively this allows simulations where the computation for the state of thousands or more neurons is reduced down to computations on the order of the number of traveling waves. As an example, this procedure was used in numerical simulations with 50,000 neurons and 50 traveling waves, giving a speed-up of around 1000.

The results of this paper apply to the widely used specific forms of the synaptic α function (3) and cortical connectivity function (2). However our findings do not extend directly to more complicated functions. For the one-dimensional domain the use of a different connectivity function, such as gaussian, voids the shifting property. Furthermore, extensions to the two-dimensional domain are possible only if the 'Manhattan distance' ($d(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = |a_x - b_x| + |a_y - b_y|$) is used as a measure of the separation between two neurons.

References

- [1] Ermentrout, G. B., (1998), J. of Comp. Neuro. 5:191-208.
- [2] Bressloff, P. C. (1999), Phys. Rev. Lett. 82:2979-2982
- [3] Bressloff, P. C. (2000), J. Math. Biology, 40:169-198
- [4] Golomb, D., Ermentrout, G. B. (1999), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96(23):13480-13485.
- [5] Golomb D., Ermentrout G. B. (2000), Network 11:221-246.
- [6] Osan R., Ermentrout G. B. (2002), PhysicaD 163:217-235.
- [7] Osan R., Rubin J., Curtu R., Ermentrout B., Preprint.
- [8] Osan R., Curtu R., Rubin J., Ermentrout B., Preprint.
- [9] Hansel D., Mato G., Meunier C, Neltner L (1998), Neural Comp. 10:467-483.
- [10] Shelley M. J., Tao L. (2001), J. Comp. Neurosc. 11:111-119.