XXXXXX OPENCHAIN COMPLIANCE CHECK

Nº	Spec	Checklist	Yes	No	Next steps	Responsible	Priority
1.a	1.1; 1.1.1	Do you have a documented policy that governs FOSS license compliance of the Supplied Software distribution (e.g., via training, internal wiki, or other practical communication method)?					
1.b	1.1.	Is the policy internally communicated?					
1.c	1.1.2	Do you have a documented procedure that communicates the existence of the FOSS policy to all Software Staff?					
1.d	1.2 - 1.2.1	Do you have FOSS training materials (e.g., slide decks or online course) covering the following topics?					
	1.2	- The FOSS policy and where to find it.					
	1.2	- Basics of Intellectual Property law pertaining to FOSS and FOSS licenses,					
	1.2	- FOSS licensing concepts (including the concepts of permissive and copyleft licenses),					
	1.2	- FOSS project licensing models					
	1.2	- Software Staff roles and responsibilities pertaining to FOSS compliance specifically and the FOSS policy ingeneral,					
	1.2	- Process for identifying, recording and/or tracking of FOSS components contained in Supplied Software?					
1.e	1.2.2	Do you track the completion of the training for all Software Staff?					
1.f	1.2; 1.2.3	Have 85% or more of the Software Staff completed a FOSS training within the last 24 months?					

1.g	1.3	Do you have a process for reviewing the Identified Licenses to determine the obligations, restrictions and rights granted by each license?					
1.h	1.3.1	Do you have a documented procedure to review and document the obligations, restrictions and rights granted by each Identified License governing the Supplied Software.					

Nº	Spec	Checklist	Yes	No	Next steps	Responsible	Priority
2.a	2.1, 2.2.1	Have you assigned individual(s) responsible for receiving external FOSS compliance inquiries ("FOSS Liaison")?					
2.b	2.1.1	Is the FOSS Liaison function publicly identified (e.g. via an email address and/or the Linux Foundation's Open Compliance Directory)?					
2.c	2.1.2, 2.2.3	Do you have a documented procedure that assigns responsibility for receiving FOSS compliance inquiries?					
2.d	2.2.1	Have you assigned a person, group or function responsible for managing internal FOSS compliance? The FOSS Compliance role and FOSS Liaison can be the same individual.					
2.e	2.2.2	Is legal expertise pertaining to FOSS compliance accessible to the FOSS Compliance Role (e.g., internal or external)?					
2.f	2.2.3	Have you assigned responsibilities to develop and maintain FOSS compliance policy and processes?					
2.g	2.2.4, 2.1.2	Do you have a documented procedure for handling review and remediation of non-compliant cases?					

Nº	Spec	Checklist	Yes	No	Next steps	Responsible	Priority
3.a	3.1.1	Do you have a documented procedure for identifying, tracking and archiving information about the collection of FOSS components from which a Supplied Software release is comprised?					
3.b	3.1.2	Do you have FOSS component records for each Supplied Software release which demonstrates the documented procedure was properly followed?					
3.c	3.2.1	Have you implemented a procedure that handles at least the following common FOSS license use cases for the FOSS components of each supplied Supplied Software release?					
	3.2	- distributed in binary form;					
	3.2	- distributed in source form;					
		- integrated with other FOSS such that it may trigger copyleft obligations;					
	3.2	- contains modified FOSS					
	3.2	- contains FOSS or other software under an incompatible license interacting with other components within the Supplied Software;					
	3.2	- contains FOSS with attribution requirements					

Nº	Spec	Checklist	Yes	No	Next steps	Responsible	Priority
4.a		Do you have a documented procedure that describes a process that ensures the Compliance Artifacts are distributed with Supplied Software as required by the Identified Licenses?					
4.b		Do you archive copies of the Compliance Artifacts of the Supplied Software?					
4.c		Can you easily retrieve the archived copies of the Compliance Artifacts of the Supplied Software?					
4.d		Are the copies of the Compliance Artifacts archived for at least as long as the Supplied Software is offered or as required by the Identified Licenses (whichever is longer)?					

Nº	Spec	Checklist	Yes	No	Next steps	Responsible	Priority
5.a	5.1	Do you allow employees to contribute to FOSS projects on behalf of your organization?					
5.b	5.1.1	Do you have a documented FOSS contribution policy?					
5.c	5.1.2	Is your Software Staff aware of the existence of the FOSS Contribution Policy (e.g. via training, internal wiki, or other practical communication method)?					
5.d	5.2	Provided the FOSS contribution policy permits contributions, do you have a documented procedure that describes the FOSS contribution process?					