Audit of the BFTG project

By OCamlPro

August 20, 2021

Table of Major and Critical Issues

Major issue: Incorrect computation in Padawan.onEstimateVotes 8	
Critical issue: Can empty voting rights in Padawan.onTokenWalletDeploy 9	
Critical issue: Unbounded voting rights in Padawan.onTokenWalletGetBalance	Ć
Critical issue: Race condition in Padawan.reclaimDeposit 10	
•	
Critical issue: No permission check on Proposal.onGetMembers 14	
Critical issue: Division by 0 in ProposalsoftMajority 16	
Critical issue: No permission check in Group.constructor 18	
Critical issue: No permission check in Group.addMember 19	
Critical issue: No permission check on removeMember 19	
Critical issue: Administrative Take-over in SmvRoot.constructor 20	
Major issue: No check on SmvRoot.deployGroup	
Critical issue: Administrative Take-over in BftgRoot.constructor 35	
Major issue: No initialization check performed in BftgRoot.constructor 35	
Critical issue: tvm.accept without check in BftgRoot.deployContest 37	
Major issue: Non-reentrant in BftgRoot.registerMemberJuryGroup 38	
Critical issue: No stage check in Contest.calcRewards 43	
Major issue: Wrong computation in Contest.calcRewards 43	
Critical issue: Missing permission checks in Contest.changeStage 44	
Critical issue: Multiple revelations in Contest.reveal 45	
Major issue: Unbounded storage in Contest.submit 46	
Critical issue: No permission check in Contest.updateCode 46	
Major issue: No gas check in Contest.updateCode 46	
Major issue: Wrong comparison in JuryGroup.withdraw 50	

Contents

1	Ove	erview 6
	1.1	Source code location
	1.2	Architecture
2	Cor	ntract Padawan 7
	2.1	Overview
	2.2	Public Method Definitions
		2.2.1 Function confirmVote
		2.2.2 Function on Estimate Votes
		2.2.3 Function on Token Wallet Deploy
		2.2.4 Function onTokenWalletGetBalance 9
		2.2.5 Function reclaimDeposit
		2.2.6 Function rejectVote
		2.2.7 Function updateStatus
3	Cor	ntract Proposal 13
	3.1	Overview
	3.2	Constructor Definitions
		3.2.1 Constructor
	3.3	Public Method Definitions
		3.3.1 Function onGetMembers
		3.3.2 Function queryStatus
		3.3.3 Function vote
	3.4	Internal Method Definitions
		3.4.1 Function softMajority
		3.4.2 Function _tryEarlyComplete
		3.4.3 Function _wrapUp
4	Cor	atract Group 18
	4.1	Overview
	4.2	Constructor Definitions
		4.2.1 Constructor
	4.3	Public Method Definitions
	-	4.3.1 Function addMember

3 CONTENTS

		4.3.2	Function r	emoveM	ember					 •					19
5	Con	tract S	SmvRoot												20
	5.1	Overv													20
	5.2	Consti	ructor Defir	nitions .											20
		5.2.1	Constructe												20
	5.3	Public	Method D	efinitions	S										21
		5.3.1	Function _	deployP	roposal								 		21
		5.3.2	Function of	leployGr	oup .								 		22
		5.3.3	Function of												22
		5.3.4	Function of												22
	5.4	Intern	al Method	Definitio:	$^{ m ns}$								 		23
		5.4.1	Function .												23
		0.1.1	1 411001011	0101011	оровал	Борго	- 5		•		•	•	 •	•	
6	Con	tract l	Padawan R	lesolver											25
	6.1	Overv	iew							 			 		25
	6.2	Intern	al Method l												25
		6.2.1	Function -												25
7	Con	tract 1	ProposalF	actoryR	Resolve	\mathbf{er}									2 6
	7.1	Overv	iew							 			 		26
	7.2	Intern	al Method	Definition	ns								 		26
		7.2.1	Function -	buildPro	posalF	actor	vSt	ate					 		26
					-										
8	Con	tract 1	${f ProposalR}$	esolver											27
	8.1		iew												27
	8.2	Intern	al Method l	Definitio:	ns					 			 		27
		8.2.1	Function												27
					_										
9	Con	tract ($\mathbf{GroupRes}$												28
	9.1	Overv													28
	9.2	Intern	al Method	Definitio:	ns					 					28
		9.2.1	Function .	buildGro	oupStat	te .									28
10	Con	tract S	$\mathbf{SmvRootS}$												2 9
		Overv													29
	10.2	Genera	al Minor-lev	vel Rema	rks .					 					29
	10.3	Public	Method D	efinitions	3					 					30
		10.3.1	Function of	queryAda	dr								 		30
		10.3.2	Function o	queryCoo	le								 		30
			Function s												30
			Function s												30
			Function s												31
		10.3.6	Function s	$\operatorname{setPropo}$	salFact	orvC	ode	, .					 		31

CONTENTS 3

4 CONTENTS

11		tract Base 3	2
	11.1	Overview	2
		Constant Definitions	2
	11.3	Modifier Definitions	3
		11.3.1 Modifier signed	
		11.3.2 Modifier accept	
		11.3.3 Modifier onlyContract	
		11.3.4 Modifier onlyMe	
		11.5.4 Modifier only Me	_
12	Con	tract BftgRoot 3	5
		Overview	
		Constructor Definitions	
		12.2.1 Constructor	
	19 3	Public Method Definitions	
	12.0	12.3.1 OnBounce function	
		12.3.2 Function deployContest	
		1 0 0 1	
		12.3.4 Function getMembersCallback	
		12.3.5 Function registerMemberJuryGroup	8
12	Con	tract BftgRootStore 3	a
10		Overview	
		General Minor-level Remarks	
	13.3	Public Method Definitions	
		13.3.1 Function queryAddr	
		13.3.2 Function queryCode	
		13.3.3 Function setContestCode	
		13.3.4 Function setJuryGroupCode 4	0
11	Com	tract Checks 4	1
14		Overview	
	14.2	Modifier Definitions	
		14.2.1 Modifier checksEmpty 4	1
15	Con	tract Contest 4	າ
10		Overview	
		Constructor Definitions	
	10.2		
	15.0		
	15.3	Public Method Definitions	
		15.3.1 OnBounce function	
		15.3.2 Function calcRewards	
		15.3.3 Function changeStage	
		15.3.4 Function claimPartisipantReward 4	
		15.3.5 Function getMembersCallback 4	
		15.3.6 Function reveal	
		15.3.7 Function stakePartisipantReward 4	5

CONTENTS 4

5 CONTENTS

		15.3.8 Function submit	46
		15.3.9 Function updateCode	46
			47
	15.4		47
			47
16	Con	tract ContestResolver	48
	16.1	Overview	48
			48
			48
17	Con	tract JuryGroup	49
	17.1	Overview	49
	17.2	Modifier Definitions	49
			49
	17.3		50
			50
	17.4		50
			50
			50
18	Con	tract JuryGroupResolver	51
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	51
			51
	10.2		51
		10.2.1 1 uncolon bundouty of outpotate	OΤ

CONTENTS 5

Overview

1.1 Source code location

The source code is available $\verb|https://github.com/RSquad/BFTG| at branch master with hash code equal to <math display="block">7c6ec7d811bcc1f228a3499ab19f6d20652ca94b$

1.2 Architecture

The architecture is mostly similar to the one detailed in the DENS-SMV audit report, with only a few differences:

- \bullet The Demiurge contract of DENS-SMV is called ${\tt SmvRoot}$ contract
- Voting can be restricted to a white list specified either directly or through a Group contract
- A Padawan contract can manage multiple token wallets, so that the user may have different voting rights for different proposals

Contract Padawan

Contents

2.1 O	verview	7
2.2 P	blic Method Definitions	7
2.2.	Function confirmVote	7
2.2.	Punction on Estimate Votes	8
2.2.	Function on Token Wallet Deploy	9
2.2.	Function onTokenWalletGetBalance	9
2.2.	Function reclaimDeposit	10
2.2.	Function rejectVote	11
2.2.	Function updateStatus	11

2.1 Overview

In file Padawan.sol

2.2 Public Method Definitions

2.2.1 Function confirmVote

• Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
95
             require(optActiveProposal.hasValue(), 111);
96
             uint128 activeProposalVotes = optActiveProposal.get().votes
97
             address balanceProvider = voteProvider == address(0) ?
98
                 voteProvider : _tokenAccounts[voteProvider];
99
100
             if(_balances[balanceProvider].locked < (activeProposalVotes</pre>
                 ) * votePrice) {
101
                 _balances[balanceProvider].locked = (
                     activeProposalVotes) * votePrice;
102
103
             _owner.transfer(0, false, 64);
104
```

2.2.2 Function on Estimate Votes

Major issue: Incorrect computation in Padawan.onEstimateVotes

The value of _activeProposalsLength is wrong if the user sends his votes in multiple batches. Indeed, if this variable measures the size of

- the mapping _activeProposals, it should only be increased in the case !optActiveProposal.hasValue(). Otherwise, the value is increased for every batch of votes, and only decreased when all votes have been confirmed/rejected, leading to a over-estimation of the number of entries in the mapping.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
function onEstimateVotes(
60
61
            uint128 cost,
62
           uint128 votePrice.
63
            address voteProvider,
            uint128 votes,
64
65
           bool choice)
66
        external override onlyContract {
67
            optional(ActiveProposal) optActiveProposal =
                _activeProposals.fetch(msg.sender);
68
            ActiveProposal activeProposal = optActiveProposal.hasValue
                () ? optActiveProposal.get() : ActiveProposal(
                voteProvider, votePrice, 0);
69
            if(!optActiveProposal.hasValue()) {
                _activeProposals[msg.sender] = activeProposal;
70
71
72
            optional(Balance) optBalance;
73
            if(voteProvider == address(0)) {
                optBalance = _balances.fetch(voteProvider);
74
75
            } else {
76
                optional(address) optAccount = _tokenAccounts.fetch(
                    voteProvider);
77
                require(optAccount.hasValue(), 115);
78
                optBalance = _balances.fetch(optAccount.get());
           }
79
80
            require(optBalance.hasValue(), 113);
```

2.2.3 Function on Token Wallet Deploy

Critical issue: Can empty voting rights in Padawan.onTokenWalletDeploy

An attacker could send a onTokenWalletDeploy message (faking to be a random root token contract) with as argument an existing voteProvider of the user, everytime after the user called depositTokens. As a result _balances[account] is set to 0, emptying the voting rights of the user for that voteProvider. Fix: the contract should record the deployment requests and verify that the msg.sender is one of them.

2.2.4 Function onTokenWalletGetBalance

Critical issue: Unbounded voting rights in Padawan.onTokenWalletGetBalance

• Because the balance is added to the total (+ =), instead of replacing it, a malicious user could keep calling depositTokens to keep increasing his total balance without sending new tokens. Fix: replace += by =

2.2.5 Function reclaimDeposit

Critical issue: Race condition in Padawan.reclaimDeposit

Because locked is only increased in Padawan.confirmVote, a malicious user could reclaimDeposit just after Padawan.onEstimateVotes and before

- Padawan.confirmVote. In this case, the user can empty his balance, while still participating to the vote. Slashing will not be possible later if his vote was incorrect. Fix: locked amount should be recomputed for every reclaimDeposit from all the active proposals.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
118
        function reclaimDeposit(address voteProvider, uint128 amount,
             address returnTo) external onlyOwner {
119
             require(_reclaim.amount == 0, 130);
             require(msg.value >= QUERY_STATUS_FEE *
120
                 _activeProposalsLength + 1 ton, Errors.
                 MSG_VALUE_TOO_LOW);
121
             address balanceProvider = address(0);
             if(voteProvider != address(0)) {
122
123
                 optional(address) optAccount = _tokenAccounts.fetch(
                     voteProvider);
124
                 require(optAccount.hasValue(), 117);
125
                 balanceProvider = optAccount.get();
126
            }
127
             optional(Balance) optBalance = _balances.fetch(
                 balanceProvider);
128
             require(optBalance.hasValue(), 131);
129
             Balance balance = optBalance.get();
             require(amount <= balance.total, Errors.NOT_ENOUGH_VOTES);</pre>
130
131
             require(returnTo != address(0), 132);
132
133
             _reclaim = Reclaim(balanceProvider, amount, returnTo);
134
135
             if (amount <= balance.total - balance.locked) {</pre>
136
                 _doReclaim();
137
138
139
             optional(address, ActiveProposal) optActiveProposal =
                 _activeProposals.min();
140
             while (optActiveProposal.hasValue()) {
141
                 (address addrActiveProposal,) = optActiveProposal.get()
142
                 IProposal (addrActiveProposal).queryStatus
                     {value: QUERY_STATUS_FEE, bounce: true, flag: 1}
143
144
                     ():
                 optActiveProposal = _activeProposals.next(
145
                     addrActiveProposal);
146
147
```

2.2.6 Function rejectVote

• Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
function rejectVote(uint128 votes, uint16 errorCode) external
106
            onlyContract { votes; errorCode;
107
            optional(ActiveProposal) optActiveProposal =
                 _activeProposals.fetch(msg.sender);
108
            require(optActiveProposal.hasValue(), 112);
            ActiveProposal activeProposal = optActiveProposal.get();
109
110
            activeProposal.votes -= votes;
111
            if (activeProposal.votes == 0) {
112
                 delete _activeProposals[msg.sender];
                 _activeProposalsLength -= 1;
113
114
115
             _owner.transfer(0, false, 64);
116
```

2.2.7 Function updateStatus

- Minor issue (readability): the test for recomputation of locked amount should be == instead of <= as the former locked amount can never be strictly smaller than a given proposal cost.
- Minor issue (readability): the recomputation of the locked amount should be moved to an internal function, and reused in reclaimDeposit to avoid the race condition with confirmVote
- Minor issue (code repetition): delete _activeProposals[msg.sender] is in both clauses of the if and could be moved outside.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
149
         function updateStatus(ProposalState state) external
            onlyContract {
150
            optional(ActiveProposal) optActiveProposal =
                 _activeProposals.fetch(msg.sender);
151
            require(optActiveProposal.hasValue());
152
            ActiveProposal activeProposal = optActiveProposal.get();
153
154
            if (state >= ProposalState.Ended) {
155
                 address balanceProvider = address(0);
156
                 if(activeProposal.voteProvider != address(0)) {
157
                     optional(address) optAccount = _tokenAccounts.fetch
                         (activeProposal.voteProvider);
                     require(optAccount.hasValue(), 117);
158
159
                     balanceProvider = optAccount.get();
160
161
                 Balance balance = _balances[balanceProvider];
162
                 if(balance.locked <= activeProposal.votes *</pre>
                     activeProposal.votePrice) {
```

```
163
                     delete _activeProposals[msg.sender];
164
                     uint128 max;
165
                     optional(address, ActiveProposal)
                         optActiveProposal2 = _activeProposals.min();
166
                     while (optActiveProposal2.hasValue()) {
167
                         (address addrActiveProposal, ActiveProposal
                             activeProposal2) = optActiveProposal2.get()
168
                         if(activeProposal2.votes * activeProposal2.
                             votePrice > max && activeProposal2.
                             voteProvider == activeProposal.voteProvider
                             ) {
169
                             max = activeProposal2.votes *
                                 activeProposal2.votePrice;
170
171
                         optActiveProposal2 = _activeProposals.next(
                             addrActiveProposal);
172
                     }
                     _balances[balanceProvider].locked = max;
173
174
                 } else {
175
                     delete _activeProposals[msg.sender];
176
177
                 _activeProposalsLength -= 1;
                 if(_reclaim.amount != 0) {
178
179
                     balance = _balances[_reclaim.balanceProvider];
                     if (_reclaim.amount <= balance.total - balance.</pre>
180
                         locked) {
181
                         _doReclaim();
182
                     }
183
                 }
184
            }
185
```

Contract Proposal

${\bf Contents}$		
3.1	Ove	rview
3.2	Con	structor Definitions
	3.2.1	Constructor
3.3	Pub	lic Method Definitions
	3.3.1	Function onGetMembers
	3.3.2	Function queryStatus
	3.3.3	Function vote
3.4	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 16
	3.4.1	Function _softMajority
	3.4.2	Function _tryEarlyComplete
	3.4.3	Function _wrapUp

3.1 Overview

In file Proposal.sol

3.2 Constructor Definitions

3.2.1 Constructor

• Minor issue: there is a limitation to 16 kB for deploy messages. For this constructor, the deploy message contains the code of Proposal, the title and the code of Padawan. Thus, it might become a problem in the future. There is already a mechanism in the infrastructure to download codes from the DemiurgeStore, this contract should take advantage of it.

• Minor issue: the _voteCountModel variable is initialized to SoftMajority in this constructor, but it is not used anywhere. Consider removing it if no future use.

```
32
   constructor(
33
           address client,
           string title,
34
35
           uint128 votePrice,
           uint128 voteTotal,
36
37
           address voteProvider,
38
           address group,
39
           address[] whiteList,
40
           string proposalType,
41
           TvmCell specific,
42
           TvmCell codePadawan
43
       ) public {
44
           require(_deployer == msg.sender);
45
46
            _client = client;
47
            _votePrice = votePrice;
48
           _voteTotal = voteTotal;
50
            _voteProvider = voteProvider;
51
52
            _proposalInfo.title = title;
            _proposalInfo.start = uint32(now);
53
            _proposalInfo.end = uint32(now + 60 * 60 * 24 * 7);
54
55
           _proposalInfo.proposalType = proposalType;
            _proposalInfo.specific = specific;
56
57
            _proposalInfo.state = ProposalState.New;
58
            _proposalInfo.totalVotes = voteTotal;
59
60
            _codePadawan = codePadawan;
61
62
           if(group != address(0)) {
63
                _getGroupMembers(group);
64
           } else if (!whiteList.empty()) {
65
                _whiteList = whiteList;
66
           } else {
67
                _openProposal = true;
68
69
70
            _voteCountModel = VoteCountModel.SoftMajority;
```

3.3 Public Method Definitions

3.3.1 Function onGetMembers

Critical issue: No permission check on Proposal.onGetMembers

• No check is performed on the sender of onGetMembers. An attacker could use it to fill the _whiteList variable with malicious members.

```
220     function onGetMembers(string name, address[] members) public
          override onlyContract { name;
221           _whiteList = members;
222     }
```

3.3.2 Function queryStatus

• Minor issue: a require should check that the message contains enough value to send the message.

3.3.3 Function vote

- Minor issue: a require should check that the message contains enough value to send back the reply;
- Minor issue: given that the constructor initializes _proposalInfo.start
 to now, it is impossible for this function to return the VOTING_NOT_STARTED
 error.
- Minor issue: the transaction could be aborted if a onProposalPassed message is sent by _finalize (in _wrapUp), together with rejectVote or confirmVote messages, because of the flag 64. Need to test what happens if two messages are sent by the same transaction, with one of them containing the flag 64.

```
84
         function vote(address padawanOwner, bool choice, uint128 votes)
             external override {
85
             address addrPadawan = resolvePadawan(padawanOwner);
86
            uint16 errorCode = 0;
87
            require(_openProposal || _findInWhiteList(padawanOwner),
88
                 Errors.INVALID_CALLER);
89
90
            if (addrPadawan != msg.sender) {
                 errorCode = Errors.NOT_AUTHORIZED_CONTRACT;
91
92
            } else if (now < _proposalInfo.start) {</pre>
93
                 errorCode = Errors.VOTING_NOT_STARTED;
94
            } else if (now > _proposalInfo.end) {
                 errorCode = Errors.VOTING_HAS_ENDED;
95
96
97
98
            if (errorCode > 0) {
                 IPadawan(msg.sender).rejectVote{value: 0, flag: 64,
99
                     bounce: true}(votes, errorCode);
100
            } else {
```

```
101
                 IPadawan(msg.sender).confirmVote{value: 0, flag: 64,
                     bounce: true}(votes, _votePrice, _voteProvider);
                 if (choice) {
102
103
                     _proposalInfo.votesFor += votes;
                 } else {
104
                     _proposalInfo.votesAgainst += votes;
105
106
107
             }
108
109
             _wrapUp();
110
```

3.4 Internal Method Definitions

3.4.1 Function softMajority

- If totalVotes=1, this function fails with division by 0. Fix: the function should check that totalVotes>1, and add special cases for totalVotes=1 and totalVotes=0
- Minor issue (readability): use returns (bool passed) to avoid the need to define a temporary variable and to return it.

Critical issue: Division by 0 in Proposal._softMajority

```
170
        function _softMajority(
            uint128 yes,
171
172
            uint128 no
173
        ) private view returns (bool) {
174
            bool passed = false;
            passed = yes >= 1 + (_voteTotal / 10) + (no * ((_voteTotal
175
                / 2) - (_voteTotal / 10))) / (_voteTotal / 2);
176
            return passed;
177
```

3.4.2 Function _tryEarlyComplete

• Minor issue (readability): use returns (bool completed, bool passed) to avoid the need to define temporary variables and to return them.

```
130
        function _tryEarlyComplete(
131
             uint128 yes,
            uint128 no
132
133
        ) private view returns (bool, bool) {
134
             (bool completed, bool passed) = (false, false);
             if (yes * 2 > _voteTotal) {
135
136
                 completed = true;
137
                 passed = true;
            } else if(no * 2 >= _voteTotal) {
138
139
                 completed = true;
140
                 passed = false;
```

```
141 }
142 return (completed, passed);
143 }
```

3.4.3 Function _wrapUp

- Minor issue: the function could immediately check if the state is above **Ended** to avoid recomputing again when the state cannot change anymore;
- Minor issue: there is no need to call _changeState before calling _finalize, as _finalize always calls _changeState and will thus override the state written in this function;

```
145
        function _wrapUp() private {
146
             (bool completed, bool passed) = (false, false);
147
148
             if (now > _proposalInfo.end) {
149
                 completed = true;
                 passed = _calculateVotes(_proposalInfo.votesFor,
150
                     _proposalInfo.votesAgainst);
151
            } else {
                 (completed, passed) = _tryEarlyComplete(_proposalInfo.
152
                     votesFor, _proposalInfo.votesAgainst);
            }
153
154
155
             if (completed) {
                 _changeState(ProposalState.Ended);
156
157
                 _finalize(passed);
158
            }
159
```

Contract Group

Contents

4.1 Ove	erview	
4.2 Cor	nstructor Definitions	
4.2.1	Constructor	
4.3 Pub	olic Method Definitions 19	
4.3.1	Function addMember	
4.3.2	Function removeMember	

4.1 Overview

In file Group.sol

4.2 Constructor Definitions

4.2.1 Constructor

Critical issue: No permission check in Group.constructor

No permission check is performed on the deployer of the contract. As a consequence, an attacker could deploy a **Group** contract for a given name before the user, if it can predict that the user will use that name, and the attacker could initialize the contract with his own list of (malicious) members. Fix: add a static variable in the contract, with the only allowed deployer of the contract and check that the sender is the allowed deployer in the constructor.

```
15 constructor(address[] initialMembers) public onlyContract {
16 _members = initialMembers;
17 }
```

4.3 Public Method Definitions

4.3.1 Function addMember

Critical issue: No permission check in Group.addMember

- An attacker could add any member to the group because no permission check is performed in this function
- Minor issue: a member can be added several times in the group. Fix: use a mapping and only add non-existing members.
- Minor issue: the argument idProposal is not used.

```
25     function addMember(uint128 idProposal, address member) public
          onlyContract {
26          idProposal;
          _members.push(member);
28     }
```

4.3.2 Function removeMember

Critical issue: No permission check on removeMember

- An attacker could remove any member of the group, as no permission check is performed.
- Minor issue: the argument idProposal is not used.

```
30
        function removeMember(uint128 idProposal, address member)
            public onlyContract {
31
            idProposal;
32
            address[] members;
33
            for(uint32 index = 0; index < _members.length; index++) {</pre>
34
                if(_members[index] != member) {
                     members.push(_members[index]);
35
36
37
38
            _members = members;
39
```

Contract SmvRoot

${\bf Contents}$		
5.1	Ove	rview
5.2	Con	structor Definitions
	5.2.1	Constructor
5.3	Pub	lic Method Definitions 21
	5.3.1	Function _deployProposal 21
	5.3.2	Function deployGroup
	5.3.3	Function deployPadawan
	5.3.4	Function deployProposal
5.4	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 23
	5.4.1	Function _beforeProposalDeploy 23

5.1 Overview

In file SmvRoot.sol

5.2 Constructor Definitions

5.2.1 Constructor

Critical issue: Administrative Take-over in SmvRoot.constructor

No test is performed to verify the sender in the case msg.sender !=

• address(0). An attacker could use it to deploy the contract himself for another user, providing its own addrSmvRootStore, i.e. with his own code for most contracts.

```
64
        constructor(address addrSmvRootStore) public {
65
            if (msg.sender == address(0)) {
                require(msg.pubkey() == tvm.pubkey(), Errors.
66
                    ONLY_SIGNED);
67
68
            require(addrSmvRootStore != address(0), Errors.
                STORE_UNDEFINED);
69
            tvm.accept();
70
71
            _addrSmvRootStore = addrSmvRootStore;
72
            ISmvRootStore(_addrSmvRootStore).queryCode
73
                {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
74
                (ContractCode.Proposal);
75
            ISmvRootStore(_addrSmvRootStore).queryCode
76
                {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
77
                (ContractCode.Padawan);
78
            ISmvRootStore(_addrSmvRootStore).queryCode
                {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
79
80
                (ContractCode.Group);
            ISmvRootStore(_addrSmvRootStore).queryCode
81
82
                {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
                (ContractCode.ProposalFactory);
83
84
            ISmvRootStore(_addrSmvRootStore).queryAddr
                {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
85
86
                (ContractAddr.BftgRoot);
87
88
            _createChecks();
89
```

5.3 Public Method Definitions

5.3.1 Function _deployProposal

- Minor issue: the reason to make this function public instead of internal is unclear.
- Minor issue: this function should check that the code of Proposal is ready before further processing.

```
194
        function _deployProposal(
195
             address client.
196
             string title,
197
             uint128 votePrice,
198
             uint128 voteTotal,
199
             address voteProvider,
             address group,
200
201
             address[] whiteList,
202
             string proposalType,
203
             TvmCell specific
204
        ) public onlyMe {
205
             TvmCell state = _buildProposalState(
                 _deployedProposalsCounter);
206
             new Proposal {stateInit: state, value: START_BALANCE}(
207
```

```
208
                  title,
209
                   votePrice,
210
                  voteTotal.
211
                   voteProvider,
212
                   group,
213
                  whiteList,
214
                  proposalType,
215
                  specific,
216
                   _codePadawan
217
              ):
218
              _deployedProposalsCounter++;
219
```

5.3.2 Function deployGroup

Major issue: No check on SmvRoot.deployGroup

No check is performed to verify that the group does not already exist. As a consequence, the use might believe it created a group with the list of members given in argument, whereas in fact, the group already existed and contains another set of members. Fix: this function could check for the existence using bounced messages, and use a callback to return the result to the caller.

```
function deployGroup(string name, address[] initialMembers)
    public onlyContract {
    TvmCell state = _buildGroupState(name);
    new Group
    {stateInit: state, value: START_BALANCE}
    (initialMembers);
}
```

5.3.3 Function deployPadawan

• Minor issue: the function should check that the code of the Padawan contract was correctly initialized.

```
function deployPadawan(address owner) external onlyContract {
    require(msg.value >= DEPLOY_FEE);
    require(owner != address(0));
    TvmCell state = _buildPadawanState(owner);
    new Padawan{stateInit: state, value: START_BALANCE + 2 ton
    }();
}
```

5.3.4 Function deployProposal

• Minor issue: the function should check that the code of the Proposal contract was correctly initialized.

```
function deployProposal(
143
144
             address client,
145
             string title,
146
             uint128 votePrice,
147
             uint128 voteTotal,
148
             address voteProvider,
149
             address group,
150
             address[] whiteList,
151
             string proposalType,
             TvmCell specific
152
153
        ) external override onlyContract {
154
             require(msg.sender == _addrProposalFactory);
             require(msg.value >= DEPLOY_PROPOSAL_FEE);
155
156
             TvmBuilder b;
157
             b.store(specific);
158
             TvmCell cellSpecific = b.toCell();
159
             _beforeProposalDeploy(
160
                 client,
161
                 title,
162
                 votePrice,
163
                 voteTotal,
164
                 voteProvider,
165
                 group,
166
                 whiteList,
167
                 proposalType,
168
                 cellSpecific
169
             );
170
```

5.4 Internal Method Definitions

5.4.1 Function _beforeProposalDeploy

• Minor issue: if there is no future use for proposal, this function should be replaced by a direct call to _deployProposal.

```
function _beforeProposalDeploy(
172
173
             address client,
174
             string title,
            uint128 votePrice,
175
176
             uint128 voteTotal,
             address voteProvider,
177
178
             address group,
179
             address[] whiteList,
180
             string proposalType,
181
             TvmCell specific
182
        ) private view {
             TvmCell state = _buildProposalState(
183
                 _deployedProposalsCounter);
184
             uint256 hashState = tvm.hash(state);
             address proposal = address.makeAddrStd(0, hashState);
185
             // IClient(_addrDensRoot).onProposalDeploy
186
187
                    {value: 1 ton, bounce: true}
188
                    (proposal, proposalType, specific);
```

Contract PadawanResolver

Contents

6.1	Overview	
6.2	Internal Method Definitions	
	6.2.1 Function _buildPadawanState	

6.1 Overview

In file PadawanResolver.sol

6.2 Internal Method Definitions

6.2.1 Function _buildPadawanState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeJuryGroup variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.

```
function _buildPadawanState(address owner) internal virtual
    view returns (TvmCell) {
    return tvm.buildStateInit({
        contr: Padawan,
        varInit: {_deployer: address(this), _owner: owner},
        code: _codePadawan
});
};
```

Contract ProposalFactoryResolver

Contents

7.1	Ove	rview	26
7.2	Inte	rnal Method Definitions	26
	7.2.1	$Function \ _build Proposal Factory State \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$	26

7.1 Overview

In file ProposalFactoryResolver.sol

7.2 Internal Method Definitions

7.2.1 Function _buildProposalFactoryState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeProposalFactory variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.

```
function _buildProposalFactoryState(address deployer) internal
    view returns (TvmCell) {
    return tvm.buildStateInit({
        contr: ProposalFactory,
        varInit: {_deployer: deployer},
        code: _codeProposalFactory
};
```

Contract ProposalResolver

Contents

8.1	Ove	rview	27
8.2	Inte	rnal Method Definitions	27
	8.2.1	$Function \ _build Proposal State \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$	27

8.1 Overview

In file ProposalResolver.sol

8.2 Internal Method Definitions

8.2.1 Function _buildProposalState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeProposalFactory variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.

Contract GroupResolver

Contents

9.1	Ove	rview	
9.2	Inte	rnal Method Definitions	
	9.2.1	Function _buildGroupState 28	

9.1 Overview

In file GroupResolver.sol

9.2 Internal Method Definitions

9.2.1 Function _buildGroupState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeGroup variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.

```
function _buildGroupState(string name) internal virtual view
    returns (TvmCell) {
    return tvm.buildStateInit({
        contr: Group,
        varInit: {_name: name},
        code: _codeGroup
});
}
```

Contract SmvRootStore

Contents

10.1	Overview
10.2	General Minor-level Remarks 29
10.3	Public Method Definitions
1	0.3.1 Function queryAddr
10	0.3.2 Function queryCode
10	0.3.3 Function setGroupCode
10	0.3.4 Function setPadawanCode
10	0.3.5 Function setProposalCode
10	0.3.6 Function setProposalFactoryCode

10.1 Overview

In file SmvRootStore.sol

10.2 General Minor-level Remarks

In general, the infrastructure would be safer if this contract would be implemented in two phases:

- In the Initialization phase, the contract is waiting for all the setXXX methods to be called to initialize all the fields. A bitmap can be used to keep the current initialization state. Any attempt to user a getXXX method should fail.
- In the Post-Initalization phase, the contract accepts to reply to getXXX methods, but setXXX methods are disabled.

There is also an inconsistency between the getters and setters: getters are generic (they take a kind as argument), whereas setters are specific (there is a different one for every kind).

10.3 Public Method Definitions

10.3.1 Function queryAddr

 Minor issue: a require could be added to fail if kind is not a well-known kind.

```
36    function queryAddr(ContractAddr kind) external override {
37        address addr = _addrs[uint8(kind)];
38        ISmvRootStoreCallback(msg.sender).updateAddr{value: 0, flag
            : 64, bounce: false}(kind, addr);
39    }
```

10.3.2 Function queryCode

 Minor issue: a require could be added to fail if kind is not a well-known kind.

10.3.3 Function setGroupCode

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

```
19     function setGroupCode(TvmCell code) public override signed {
20     _codes[uint8(ContractCode.Group)] = code;
21 }
```

10.3.4 Function setPadawanCode

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

```
function setPadawanCode(TvmCell code) public override signed {
    _codes[uint8(ContractCode.Padawan)] = code;
}
```

$10.3.5 \quad Function \ set Proposal Code$

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

```
16     function setProposalCode(TvmCell code) public override signed {
17      _codes[uint8(ContractCode.Proposal)] = code;
18 }
```

10.3.6 Function setProposalFactoryCode

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

Contract Base

Contents	
11.1 Overview	
11.2 Constant Definitions	
11.3 Modifier Definitions	
11.3.1 Modifier signed	
11.3.2 Modifier accept	
11.3.3 Modifier onlyContract	
11.3.4 Modifier onlyMe	

11.1 Overview

In file Base.sol

11.2 Constant Definitions

```
uint64 constant DEPLOY_PAY = DEPLOY_FEE + PROCESS_FEE;
17
       uint64 constant DEPLOY_PROPOSAL_FEE = 3 ton;
       uint64 constant DEPLOY_PROPOSAL_PAY = DEPLOY_PROPOSAL_FEE +
19
           PROCESS_FEE;
       uint64 constant DEPOSIT_TONS_FEE = 1 ton;
20
       uint64 constant DEPOSIT_TONS_PAY
                                          = DEPOSIT_TONS_FEE +
           PROCESS_FEE;
       uint64 constant DEPOSIT_TOKENS_FEE = 0.5 ton +
22
           DEPOSIT_TONS_FEE;
23
       uint64 constant DEPOSIT_TOKENS_PAY = DEPOSIT_TOKENS_FEE
          PROCESS_FEE;
24
       uint64 constant TOKEN_ACCOUNT_FEE = 2 ton;
25
       uint64 constant TOKEN_ACCOUNT_PAY = TOKEN_ACCOUNT_FEE +
          PROCESS_FEE;
26
       uint64 constant QUERY_STATUS_FEE = 0.2 ton;
27
       uint64 constant QUERY_STATUS_PAY = QUERY_STATUS_FEE +
           DEF_RESPONSE_VALUE;
       uint64 constant DEF_RESPONSE_VALUE = 0.03 ton;
29
       uint64 constant DEF_COMPUTE_VALUE = 0.2 ton;
```

11.3 Modifier Definitions

11.3.1 Modifier signed

```
32     modifier signed {
33         require(msg.pubkey() == tvm.pubkey(), 100);
34         tvm.accept();
35         _;
36    }
```

11.3.2 Modifier accept

• Minor issue: this modifier is dangerous in general, although not used in this project, because a function using it is easier to target to drain the balance of the contract. It should be removed.

```
38     modifier accept {
39         tvm.accept();
40         -;
41     }
```

11.3.3 Modifier onlyContract

```
43     modifier onlyContract() {
44         require(msg.sender != address(0), Errors.ONLY_CONTRACT);
45         _;
46    }
```

11.3.4 Modifier onlyMe

```
48     modifier onlyMe {
49         require(msg.sender == address(this), ERROR_DIFFERENT_CALLER
          );
50          -;
51     }
```

Contract BftgRoot

${\bf Contents}$

12.1 Overview	5
12.2 Constructor Definitions	5
12.2.1 Constructor	35
12.3 Public Method Definitions	6
12.3.1 OnBounce function	36
12.3.2 Function deployContest	37
12.3.3 Function deployJuryGroup	37
12.3.4 Function getMembersCallback	37
12.3.5 Function registerMemberJuryGroup	38

12.1 Overview

In file BftgRoot.sol

12.2 Constructor Definitions

12.2.1 Constructor

Critical issue: Administrative Take-over in BftgRoot.constructor

No test is performed to verify the sender in the case msg.sender != address(0). An attacker could use it to deploy the contract himself for another user, providing its own addrBftgRootStore, i.e. with his own code for most contracts. Fix: contract should be deployed by the same public key as tvm.pubkey or the sender should be the same as a static variable _deployer.

Major issue: No initialization check performed in BftgRoot.constructor

The _createChecks function gives the false feeling the checks are performed for initialization of the Padawan and Proposal codes. However, the checks are not performed in the functions where they would be required. No attempt is done to perform the same checks for addresses.

```
36
         constructor(address addrBftgRootStore) public {
             if (msg.sender == address(0)) {
37
38
                  require(msg.pubkey() == tvm.pubkey(), Errors.
                      ONLY_SIGNED);
39
40
             require(addrBftgRootStore != address(0), Errors.
                 STORE_UNDEFINED);
41
             tvm.accept();
42
             _addrBftgRootStore = addrBftgRootStore;
43
             {\tt IBftgRootStore}\,(\,{\tt addrBftgRootStore})\,.\,{\tt queryCode}
44
45
                  {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
46
                  (ContractCode.Contest);
             {\tt IBftgRootStore}\,(\,{\tt addrBftgRootStore})\,.\,{\tt queryCode}
47
48
                  {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
49
                  (ContractCode.JuryGroup);
50
51
             _createChecks();
52
```

12.3 Public Method Definitions

12.3.1 OnBounce function

- Minor issue: this function should check the message name being bounced.
- Minor issue (readability): _ should be avoided as a variable name.

```
83
        onBounce(TvmSlice) external {
            if(_juryGroupPendings.exists(msg.sender)) {
84
85
                address[]
86
                deployJuryGroup(_juryGroupPendings[msg.sender].tag, _);
87
                this.registerMemberJuryGroup
                    {value: 0, bounce: false, flag: 64}
88
                    (_juryGroupPendings[msg.sender].tag,
89
                        _juryGroupPendings[msg.sender].addrJury);
90
                delete _juryGroupPendings[msg.sender];
91
           }
92
```

12.3.2 Function deployContest

Critical issue: tvm.accept without check in BftgRoot.deployContest

An attacker could drain the contract balance by sending many messages deployContest. Moreover, some of the arguments have unbounded size (tags), providing a way to make the attack even more efficient by sending large message with high gas cost. Fix: the sender should pay the gas.

12.3.3 Function deployJuryGroup

• Minor issue: a require should check that there is enough value in the message to perform the deployment of the message.

12.3.4 Function getMembersCallback

- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.
- Minor issue (gas cost): the argument members is not used in this function. It looks like asking for the list of members is only a way to check for the existence of the group. A less expensive function should be used instead of asking for the full list.

12.3.5 Function registerMemberJuryGroup

Major issue: Non-reentrant in BftgRoot.registerMemberJuryGroup
If several registerMemberJuryGroup messages are sent together for the same
JuryGroup, only the last one is taken into account, in getMembersCallback.

This issue might lead to missing members, or to balance problems, given that

- multiple messages sent to JuryGroup.registerMember seems to be way to increase the balance for a particular member. Fix: either the contract should deal with multiple registration at the same time, or registerMemberJuryGroup should immediately fail if a registration is already in progress for the same group.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
120
        function registerMemberJuryGroup(string tag, address addrMember
            ) public override {
121
            address addrContest = resolveContest(address(this));
122
            address addrJuryGroup = resolveJuryGroup(tag, address(this)
123
            require(msg.sender == addrContest || address(this) == msg.
                sender, 105);
             _juryGroupPendings[addrJuryGroup] = JuryGroupPending(
124
                addrMember, tag);
125
            IJuryGroup(addrJuryGroup).getMembers
126
                 {value: 0, bounce: true, flag: 64}
127
```

Contract BftgRootStore

Contents

13.1 Overview	
13.2 General M	Inor-level Remarks 39
13.3 Public Me	thod Definitions 40
13.3.1 Funct	ion queryAddr
13.3.2 Funct	ion queryCode
13.3.3 Funct	ion setContestCode 40
13.3.4 Funct	ion setJuryGroupCode 40

13.1 Overview

In file BftgRootStore.sol

13.2 General Minor-level Remarks

In general, the infrastructure would be safer if this contract would be implemented in two phases:

- In the Initialization phase, the contract is waiting for all the setXXX methods to be called to initialize all the fields. A bitmap can be used to keep the current initialization state. Any attempt to user a getXXX method should fail.
- In the Post-Initalization phase, the contract accepts to reply to getXXX methods, but setXXX methods are disabled.

There is also an inconsistency between the getters and setters: getters are generic (they take a kind as argument), whereas setters are specific (there is a different one for every kind).

13.3 Public Method Definitions

13.3.1 Function queryAddr

 Minor issue: a require could be added to fail if kind is not a well-known kind.

13.3.2 Function queryCode

 Minor issue: a require could be added to fail if kind is not a well-known kind.

13.3.3 Function setContestCode

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

```
function setContestCode(TvmCell code) public override signed {
    _codes[uint8(ContractCode.Contest)] = code;
}
```

13.3.4 Function setJuryGroupCode

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

```
function setJuryGroupCode(TvmCell code) public override signed
{
    _codes[uint8(ContractCode.JuryGroup)] = code;
}
```

Contract Checks

Contents

14.1 Overview	
14.2 Modifier Definitions 41	
14.2.1 Modifier checks Empty 41	

14.1 Overview

In file Checks.sol

This contract is now used directly, but only inherited by other contracts, such as BftgRoot. However, the checks are not used.

14.2 Modifier Definitions

14.2.1 Modifier checksEmpty

• Minor issue: a tvm.accept should not be used without checking the origin of the message. Here, the checks are only done on the current initialization of the contract. In general, such a modifier could be used by an attacker to drain the balance of the contract. We advise to either remove the modifier, or remove the call to tvm.accept.

Contract Contest

Contents	
15.1 Overview	
15.2 Constructor Definitions 42	
15.2.1 Constructor	
15.3 Public Method Definitions 43	
15.3.1 OnBounce function	
15.3.2 Function calcRewards $\dots \dots \dots$	
15.3.3 Function changeStage	
15.3.4 Function claimPartisipantReward 44	
15.3.5 Function getMembersCallback 44	
15.3.6 Function reveal	
15.3.7 Function stakePartisipantReward 45	
15.3.8 Function submit	
15.3.9 Function updateCode	
15.3.10 Function vote	
15.4 Internal Method Definitions 47	
15.4.1 Function _changeStage	

15.1 Overview

In file Contest.sol

15.2 Constructor Definitions

15.2.1 Constructor

```
34
        constructor(address addrBftgRootStore, string[] tags, uint128
            prizePool, uint32 underwayDuration) public {
35
            require(msg.sender == _deployer, 101);
36
            _tags = tags;
             _stage = ContestStage.New;
37
38
             _prizePool = prizePool;
39
             _underwayDuration = underwayDuration;
40
            {\tt IBftgRootStore}\,(\,{\tt addrBftgRootStore}\,)\,.\,{\tt queryCode}
41
                 {value: 0.2 ton, bounce: true}
42
                 (ContractCode.JuryGroup);
43
```

15.3 Public Method Definitions

15.3.1 OnBounce function

• Minor issue: this function should check the message name being bounced.

15.3.2 Function calcRewards

Critical issue: No stage check in Contest.calcRewards

Because this function performs no check on the sender, and no check on the current stage (except the one of monotonicity in _changeStage), an attacker could use it to terminate a contest from any stage before the Reward stage to that stage without passing through previous stages. Fix: this function should check for a delay after the start of the voting stage.

Major issue: Wrong computation in Contest.calcRewards

The interpretation of "point value" differs in calcRewards and _calcPointValue. Indeed, in _calcPointValue, the "point value" is the value of a point for the average submission score, whereas calcRewards uses it for every point of a submission vote, i.e. not the average. Though the computation in _calcPointValue is not the final one, this difference in interpretation may lead to rewards much higher than the ones expected.

```
function calcRewards() public {
    _calcPointValue();
    optional(uint32, Vote[]) optSubmissionVotes =
    _submissionVotes.min();
```

```
while (optSubmissionVotes.hasValue()) {
178
179
                 (uint32 id, Vote[] submissionVotes) =
                     optSubmissionVotes.get();
180
                 for(uint8 i = 0; i < submissionVotes.length; i++) {</pre>
181
                     _rewards[_submissions[id].addrPartisipant].total +=
                           submissionVotes[i].score * _pointValue;
182
183
                 optSubmissionVotes = _submissionVotes.next(id);
184
            }
185
             _changeStage(ContestStage.Reward);
186
```

15.3.3 Function changeStage

Critical issue: Missing permission checks in Contest.changeStage

No permission checks are performed in this function. An attacker could freely change the stage of the contest, and drain the message balance using tvm.accept.

```
234     function changeStage(ContestStage stage) external {
235         tvm.accept();
236         _stage = stage;
237    }
```

15.3.4 Function claimPartisipantReward

• Minor issue: fix spelling of participant instead of partisipant.

15.3.5 Function getMembersCallback

- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.
- Minor issue: the test member.balance >= 0 is useless as the field is an unsigned integer uint128.

```
function getMembersCallback(mapping(address => Member) members)
external override {

require(_tagsPendings.exists(msg.sender), 102);

delete _tagsPendings[msg.sender];

for((, Member member): members) {
```

15.3.6 Function reveal

Critical issue: Multiple revelations in Contest.reveal

- A jury can reveal his votes several times, adding them several times in the _submissionVotes table. Fix: remove submission from _juryHiddenVotes everytime they are revealed.
- Minor issue (gas cost): instead of failing if oldHash and newHash differ, the function should probably returns the list of failed couples, and keep working for correct couples.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

```
function reveal(RevealVote[] revealVotes) external {
155
             require(_stage == ContestStage.Reveal, 104);
156
            require(_jury.exists(msg.sender), 105);
157
158
            for(uint8 i = 0; i < revealVotes.length; i++) {</pre>
                 uint oldHash = _juryHiddenVotes[msg.sender][revealVotes
159
                     [i].submissionId].hash;
160
                 uint newHash = hashVote(revealVotes[i].submissionId,
                     revealVotes[i].score, revealVotes[i].comment);
161
                 require(oldHash == newHash, 106);
162
                 _submissionVotes[revealVotes[i].submissionId].push(Vote
                     (msg.sender, revealVotes[i].score, revealVotes[i].
                     comment)):
163
            }
164
            msg.sender.transfer(0, true, 64);
```

15.3.7 Function stakePartisipantReward

```
209
                 if(_tags[i] == tag) isTagExists = true;
210
211
             require(isTagExists, 108);
212
             _rewards[msg.sender].paid += amount;
             {\tt IBftgRoot(\_deployer).registerMemberJuryGroup}
213
214
                 {value: amount, bounce: true, flag: 2}
215
                 (tag, addrJury == address(0) ? msg.sender : addrJury);
216
             msg.sender.transfer(0, true, 64);
217
```

15.3.8 Function submit

Major issue: Unbounded storage in Contest.submit

- Anybody can call this function. An attacker could use it to increase dramatically the cost of calling the contract by storing a very big submission into the contest storage.
- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

15.3.9 Function updateCode

Critical issue: No permission check in Contest.updateCode

No check is performed on the sender of this message, allowing an attacker to provide his own malicious implementation of JuryGroup to the contract. Fix: check the sender, or check the code hash of the code.

Major issue: No gas check in Contest.updateCode

Given that this function is responsible for sending getMembers messages to all jury groups, it should check by require that the message contains enough gas to perform these sends. Otherwise, it could happen that the action phase could succeed, the contract would remember that it was initialized, yet the transaction would be aborted in the sending phase and no message would actually be sent by lack of gas.

• Minor issue: the infrastructure would probably be safer if the expected code hash is hardcoded in the source code, and check through a require

• Minor issue: if kind is not ContractCode. JuryGroup, this function will silently return without error, whereas the user might interpret it as successful and initialization done. Fix: replace the if by a require.

15.3.10 Function vote

- Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.
- Minor issue: maybe this function could be relaxed to allow the voter to change his vote

```
134
         function vote(HiddenVote[] hiddenVotes) external {
135
             require(_stage == ContestStage.Voting, 104);
136
             require(_jury.exists(msg.sender), 105);
137
             for(uint8 i = 0; i < hiddenVotes.length; i++) {</pre>
138
                 if (!_juryHiddenVotes[msg.sender].exists(hiddenVotes[i].
                     submissionId)) {
                     _juryHiddenVotes[msg.sender][hiddenVotes[i].
139
                         submissionId] = hiddenVotes[i];
140
141
            }
142
             msg.sender.transfer(0, true, 64);
143
```

15.4 Internal Method Definitions

15.4.1 Function _changeStage

```
function _changeStage(ContestStage stage) private inline
    returns (ContestStage) {
    require(_stage < stage, 103);
    if (stage == ContestStage.Underway) {
        _underwayEnds = uint32(now) + _underwayDuration;
    }
    _stage = stage;
}</pre>
```

Contract ContestResolver

Contents

16.1 Overview	
16.2 Internal Method Definitions 48	
16.2.1 Function _buildContestState 48	

16.1 Overview

In file ContestResolver.sol

16.2 Internal Method Definitions

16.2.1 Function _buildContestState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeContest variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.

```
function _buildContestState(address deployer) internal virtual
    view returns (TvmCell) {
    return tvm.buildStateInit({
        contr: Contest,
        varInit: {_deployer: deployer},
        code: _codeContest
});
};
```

Contract JuryGroup

Contents

17.1 Overview	49
17.2 Modifier Definitions	19
17.2.1 Modifier onlyDeployer	49
17.3 Constructor Definitions	50
17.3.1 Constructor	50
17.4 Public Method Definitions	50
17.4.1 Function registerMember	50
17.4.2 Function withdraw	50

17.1 Overview

In file JuryGroup.sol

17.2 Modifier Definitions

17.2.1 Modifier onlyDeployer

```
6  modifier onlyDeployer() {
7  require(msg.sender == _deployer, 100);
8  _;
9 }
```

17.3 Constructor Definitions

17.3.1 Constructor

 Minor issue (readability): an integer is used as an error. Fix: a constant should be defined instead.

17.4 Public Method Definitions

17.4.1 Function registerMember

• Minor issue (readability): replace the comparison with false by inversing the then and else clauses in the if

17.4.2 Function withdraw

Major issue: Wrong comparison in JuryGroup.withdraw

- The check _members[msg.sender].balance < amount will fail, or if it does not fail, the operation _members[msg.sender].balance -= amount will fail. Either way, the function will always fail.
- Minor issue: the check _members[msg.sender].balance >= 0 ton is always true, because balance is an uint128.

```
function withdraw(uint128 amount) public {
    require(msg.sender != address(0), 101);
    require(_members[msg.sender].balance >= 0 ton, 201);
    require(_members[msg.sender].balance < amount, 202);
    msg.sender.transfer(amount, true, 1);
    _members[msg.sender].balance -= amount;
}</pre>
```

Contract JuryGroupResolver

Contents

18.1 Overview	l
18.2 Internal Method Definitions 51	L
18.2.1 Function _buildJuryGroupState 5	L

18.1 Overview

In file JuryGroupResolver.sol

18.2 Internal Method Definitions

18.2.1 Function _buildJuryGroupState

• Minor issue: this function should fail (require) if the _codeJuryGroup variable has not yet been initialized. A global boolean could be used for that, set in an internal function initializing both global variables.