Audit

By OCamlPro

September 21, 2021

Table of Minor, Major and Critical Issues

Major issue:	Funds accessibility and bounced messages	6
Minor issue:	Unsafe assumptions of message origin	6
Minor issue:	Naming convention	6
Major issue:	No way to get funds back	7
Minor issue:	Constants	9
Major issue:	addrOwner may be null	9
Critical issue	: Methods called without value	9
Major issue:	New owner may be null	9
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10
		10
Minor issue:	Constants	14
Minor issue:	Double initialization of	14
Minor issue:	Modifiers	17
		17
		17
Major issue:	No way to get funds back	20
		21
Minor issue:		21
		21
		21
		22
		22
		22
		22
		22

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	4
2	Ove	erview	5
	2.1	Specification	5
	2.2	Generic issues	6
3	Cor	ntract Data	7
	3.1	Overview	7
	3.2	Contract Inheritance	7
	3.3	Static Variable Definitions	8
	3.4	Variable Definitions	8
	3.5	Constructor Definitions	9
		3.5.1 Constructor	9
	3.6	Public Method Definitions	9
		3.6.1 Function getInfo	9
		3.6.2 Function getOwner	9
		3.6.3 Function transferOwnership	9
	3.7	Internal Method Definitions	10
		3.7.1 Function deployIndex	10
4	Cor	ntract DataResolver	11
	4.1	Overview	11
	4.2	Variable Definitions	11
	4.3	Public Method Definitions	12
		4.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashData	12
		4.3.2 Function resolveData	12
	4.4	Internal Method Definitions	12
		4.4.1 Function _buildDataCode	12
		4.4.2 Function _buildDataState	12
5	Cor	ntract Index	13
	5.1	Overview	13
	5.2	Contract Inheritance	13
	5.3	Static Variable Definitions	13

3 CONTENTS

	5.4	Variable Definitions	14
	5.5		14
			14
	5.6		15
			15
			15
6	Cor	atract IndexBasis	16
	6.1		16
	6.2		16
	6.3		17
			17
	6.4	v	17
			17
	6.5		17
		6.5.1 Function destruct	17
		6.5.2 Function getInfo	17
7	Cor	atract IndexResolver	18
•	7.1		18
	7.2		18
	7.3		19
	1.0		19
			19
	7.4		19
			19
			19
0	C	August NGD - 4	20
8	8.1		20 20
	8.2		$\frac{20}{20}$
	8.3		$\frac{20}{21}$
	8.4		$\frac{21}{21}$
	0.4		$\frac{21}{21}$
	0 =		
	8.5		21 21
		1 1	$\frac{21}{22}$
			$\frac{22}{22}$
		8.5.3 Function mintNft	ZZ

CONTENTS 3

Introduction

This informal audit details the functionning of the TrueNFT smart contracts of the FreeTon repository. The content of true-nft-core contains a simplified version a more complex NFT project; it only contains a high level version synthesizing the storage of the different contracts so as to retrieve them with DeBots (the DeBots code were not part of the audited contracts). This report contains a full review of the code with the different issues, from minor to critical, that were found and fixes are proposed in some cases.

This document is the submission to the 17th contest of the ForMet subgovernance, which is accessible here.

Overview

The implementation of NFT studied in this document is a Proof of Concept of how NFT primitives should be built in FreeTON. It does not correspond to the actual implementation of an NFT that would be deployed. However, it appears that the contracts must not be inherited as such as the deployment and salting primitives must be specialized, as well as the different contracts holding information (Data for example). The different contract of TrueNFT-core should be modified, or at minimum be a source of inspiration. Considering this special aspect of the contract, serving as a specification written in Solidity, we focused this audit on two aspects. First, we checked for issues in the NFT-Core logic, i.e. how the different contract and data interactions were implemented with respect to what an NFT should be. Second, as an usual audit, we searched for actual issues in the code. While this second kind of issues may not be relevant (as the project is a POC that should be modified), we assumed that if this repository is expected to be a reference, it should be perfect in every regard.

2.1 Specification

Non Fungible Tokens are deployed from a **NftRoot** contract which will be the basis of all minted tokens. This contract has two purposes.

- The deployment of a **Data** contract, representing a fraction of the digitalized asset. So as to easily retrieve the information of the asset, it deploys two **Index** contracts: one retrievable from the NftRoot address, the owner's address and the Data address, and one from the NftRoot address and the owner's only.
- The deployment of an **IndexBasis** contract with the Data code hash.

These four contracts represent the whole NFT core implementation. Many interesting features of this infrastructure comes from the use of salted code for generating specific code hashes that can be found with specific instructions accessible by DeBots. This audit does not discuss the retrivability of the contracts,

but our study of the TrueNFT functioning did not show critical issues in this regard.

2.2 Generic issues

Before reading in detail the source code, several issues (mostly coding habits) affected the project as a whole. We list them in this section.

Major issue: Funds accessibility and bounced messages

Unless a contract is destroyed (which is not the case for all contracts), funds are not accessible. There is no error handling, especially for bounced messages, which allow funds to be stored on contracts.

Minor issue: Unsafe assumptions of message origin

The messages are assumed to be from a contract; the case msg.sender == address(0) is never treated.

Minor issue: Naming convention

Static variables should start with a prefix like "s_" and globals should start with a prefix like "g_" or "m_" and internal/private functions should start with " " $\,$

Contract Data

Contents		
3.1	Ove	rview
3.2	Con	tract Inheritance
3.3	Stat	ic Variable Definitions 8
3.4	Vari	able Definitions 8
3.5	Con	structor Definitions
	3.5.1	Constructor
3.6	Pub	lic Method Definitions 9
	3.6.1	Function getInfo
	3.6.2	Function getOwner
	3.6.3	Function transferOwnership 9
3.7	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 10
	3.7.1	Function deployIndex

3.1 Overview

Major issue: No way to get funds back

Tokens sent to the contract are locked forever. They are sent when IndexBasis are destroyed and when contracts are deployed.

3.2 Contract Inheritance

IData	
IndexResolver	

3.3 Static Variable Definitions

	uint256	_id				
18	uint2	56 st	atic	_id;		

3.4 Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot		
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used in @7.Data.getInfo	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	
address	₋addrOwner		
		assigned	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used in @7.Data.getOwner	
		used in @7.Data.getInfo	
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	
address	_addrAuthor		
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	

```
14    address _addrRoot;
15    address _addrOwner;
16    address _addrAuthor;
```

3.5 Constructor Definitions

3.5.1 Constructor

```
Minor issue: Constants
Value "101" should be defined as a constant
```

Major issue: addrOwner may be null

The owner of the contract may be null.

```
20
       constructor(address addrOwner, TvmCell codeIndex) public {
           optional(TvmCell) optSalt = tvm.codeSalt(tvm.code());
21
            require(optSalt.hasValue(), 101);
22
            (address addrRoot) = optSalt.get().toSlice().decode(address
23
                );
24
            require(msg.sender == addrRoot);
25
           require(msg.value >= Constants.MIN_FOR_DEPLOY);
26
           tvm.accept();
27
            _addrRoot = addrRoot;
28
            _addrOwner = addrOwner;
29
            _addrAuthor = addrOwner;
30
            _codeIndex = codeIndex;
31
32
           deployIndex(addrOwner);
33
```

3.6 Public Method Definitions

3.6.1 Function getInfo

```
59
       function getInfo() public view override returns (
60
           address addrRoot,
61
            address addr0wner,
62
            address addrData
63
            addrRoot = _addrRoot;
64
            addrOwner = _addrOwner;
65
            addrData = address(this);
66
67
```

3.6.2 Function getOwner

3.6.3 Function transferOwnership

Critical issue: Methods called without value

IIndex(_).destruct() are called without specifying funds.

Major issue: New owner may be null

The new owner of the contract may be null.

Minor issue: New owner may be equal to the old one

The new owner of the contract may be equal to the old one, hence destructing and rebuilding identical contracts.

```
35
        function transferOwnership(address addrTo) public override {
36
            require(msg.sender == _addrOwner);
            require(msg.value >= Constants.MIN_FOR_DEPLOY);
37
38
39
            address oldIndexOwner = resolveIndex(_addrRoot, address(
                this), _addrOwner);
40
            IIndex(oldIndexOwner).destruct();
41
            address oldIndexOwnerRoot = resolveIndex(address(0),
                address(this), _addrOwner);
42
            IIndex(oldIndexOwnerRoot).destruct();
43
            _addrOwner = addrTo;
44
45
46
            deployIndex(addrTo);
```

3.7 Internal Method Definitions

3.7.1 Function deployIndex

Minor issue: Constants

Value "0.4 ton" should be defined as a constant

```
function deployIndex(address owner) private {
49
50
           TvmCell codeIndexOwner = _buildIndexCode(_addrRoot, owner);
           TvmCell stateIndexOwner = _buildIndexState(codeIndexOwner,
51
                address(this));
52
           new Index{stateInit: stateIndexOwner, value: 0.4 ton}(
                _addrRoot);
53
54
           TvmCell codeIndexOwnerRoot = _buildIndexCode(address(0),
               owner);
           TvmCell stateIndexOwnerRoot = _buildIndexState(
55
               codeIndexOwnerRoot, address(this));
           new Index{stateInit: stateIndexOwnerRoot, value: 0.4 ton}(
56
                _addrRoot);
```

Contract DataResolver

${\bf Contents}$				
4.1	4.1 Overview			
4.2	Vari	able Definitions		
4.3	Pub	lic Method Definitions		
	4.3.1	Function resolveCodeHashData		
	4.3.2	Function resolveData		
4.4	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 12		
	4.4.1	Function _buildDataCode		
	4.4.2	Function _buildDataState		

4.1 Overview

In file DataResolver.sol

4.2 Variable Definitions

TvmCell	_codeData			
		assigned	in	@1.Nft-
		Root.:const	ructor	
		used in @1.	NftRoo	t.:constructor
		used	in	@5.DataRe-
		solverbuild	lDataC	ode

11 TvmCell _codeData;

4.3 Public Method Definitions

4.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashData

4.3.2 Function resolveData

```
function resolveData(
   address addrRoot,
   uint256 id

public view returns (address addrData) {
   TvmCell code = _buildDataCode(addrRoot);
   TvmCell state = _buildDataState(code, id);
   uint256 hashState = tvm.hash(state);
   addrData = address.makeAddrStd(0, hashState);
}
```

4.4 Internal Method Definitions

4.4.1 Function _buildDataCode

4.4.2 Function _buildDataState

```
33
       function _buildDataState(
           TvmCell code,
34
           uint256 id
35
36
        ) internal virtual pure returns (TvmCell) {
           return tvm.buildStateInit({
37
38
                contr: Data,
39
                varInit: {_id: id},
40
                code: code
41
           });
```

Contract Index

Contents	
5.1	Overview
5.2	Contract Inheritance
5.3	Static Variable Definitions
5.4	Variable Definitions
5.5	Constructor Definitions
	5.5.1 Constructor
5.6	Public Method Definitions
	5.6.1 Function destruct
	5.6.2 Function getInfo

5.1 Overview

In file Index.sol

5.2 Contract Inheritance

IIndex				
--------	--	--	--	--

5.3 Static Variable Definitions

address	_addrData	
		used in @8.Index.getInfo
		used in @8.Index.destruct
		used in @8.Index.destruct
		used in @8.Index.:constructor

```
11 address static _addrData;
```

5.4 Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot	
		used in @8.Index.getInfo
		assigned in @8.In-
		dex.:constructor
		used in @8.Index.:constructor
		assigned in @8.In-
		dex.:constructor
		used in @8.Index.:constructor
address	_addrOwner	
		used in @8.Index.getInfo
		assigned in @8.In-
		dex.:constructor
		used in @8.Index.:constructor

```
9 address _addrRoot;
10 address _addrOwner;
```

5.5 Constructor Definitions

5.5.1 Constructor

Minor issue: Constants Values "101" and "address(0)" should be constants.

Minor issue: Double initialization of

 $_{-}$ addrRoot is initialized twice if addrRoot = 0.

```
13
        constructor(address root) public {
            optional(TvmCell) optSalt = tvm.codeSalt(tvm.code());
require(optSalt.hasValue(), 101);
14
15
            (address addrRoot, address addrOwner) = optSalt
16
17
                 .get()
18
                 .toSlice()
19
                 .decode(address, address);
20
            require(msg.sender == _addrData);
21
            tvm.accept();
22
            _addrRoot = addrRoot;
23
             _addrOwner = addrOwner;
24
            if(addrRoot == address(0)) {
                 _addrRoot = root;
25
26
27
```

5.6 Public Method Definitions

5.6.1 Function destruct

```
39  function destruct() public override {
40     require(msg.sender == _addrData);
41     selfdestruct(_addrData);
42  }
```

5.6.2 Function getInfo

```
function getInfo() public view override returns (
    address addrRoot,
    address addrOwner,
    address addrData

) {
    addrRoot = _addrRoot;
    addrOwner = _addrOwner;
    addrData = _addrData;
}
```

Contract IndexBasis

Contents		
6.1	Ove	rview
6.2	Stat	ic Variable Definitions 16
6.3	Mod	lifier Definitions
	6.3.1	Modifier onlyRoot
6.4	Con	structor Definitions
	6.4.1	Constructor
6.5	Pub	lic Method Definitions
	6.5.1	Function destruct
	6.5.2	Function getInfo

6.1 Overview

In file IndexBasis.sol

6.2 Static Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot	
		used in @2.IndexBasis.getInfo
		used in @2.IndexBasis.destruct
uint256	_codeHashData	
		used in @2.IndexBasis.getInfo

```
7 address static _addrRoot;
8 uint256 static _codeHashData;
```

6.3 Modifier Definitions

6.3.1 Modifier onlyRoot

Minor issue: Modifiers

Modifiers are often source of bugs; using them should be avoided (especially when containing calls to tvm.accept()).

Minor issue: Constants

Value "100" should be defined as a constant.

```
10     modifier onlyRoot() {
11         require(msg.sender == _addrRoot, 100);
12         tvm.accept();
13         _;
14    }
```

6.4 Constructor Definitions

6.4.1 Constructor

16 constructor() public onlyRoot {}

6.5 Public Method Definitions

6.5.1 Function destruct

Minor issue: Superfluous tvm.accept()

The function tvm.accept() do not need to be called (unless _addrRoot = 0, which should not be the case).

```
23 function destruct() public onlyRoot {
24 selfdestruct(_addrRoot);
25 }
```

6.5.2 Function getInfo

Contract IndexResolver

${\bf Contents}$			
7.1	Ove	rview	
7.2	Vari	Variable Definitions	
7.3	Pub	Public Method Definitions 19	
	7.3.1	Function resolveCodeHashIndex 19	
	7.3.2	Function resolveIndex	
7.4	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 19	
	7.4.1	Function _buildIndexCode 19	
	7.4.2	Function _buildIndexState	

7.1 Overview

In file IndexResolver.sol

7.2 Variable Definitions

TvmCell	_codeIndex	
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft
		assigned in @1.Nft-
		Root.:constructor
		used in @1.NftRoot.:constructor
		assigned in @7.Data.:constructor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor
		used in @6.IndexRe-
		solverbuildIndexCode

11 TvmCell _codeIndex;

7.3 Public Method Definitions

7.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashIndex

```
function resolveCodeHashIndex(
   address addrRoot,
   address addrOwner
) public view returns (uint256 codeHashIndex) {
   return tvm.hash(_buildIndexCode(addrRoot, addrOwner));
}
```

7.3.2 Function resolveIndex

```
20
   function resolveIndex(
21
          address addrRoot,
22
           address addrData,
23
           address addr0wner
       ) public view returns (address addrIndex) {
           TvmCell code = _buildIndexCode(addrRoot, addrOwner);
25
           TvmCell state = _buildIndexState(code, addrData);
26
27
           uint256 hashState = tvm.hash(state);
28
           addrIndex = address.makeAddrStd(0, hashState);
29
```

7.4 Internal Method Definitions

7.4.1 Function _buildIndexCode

```
function _buildIndexCode(
32
           address addrRoot,
33
           address addr0wner
34
       ) internal virtual view returns (TvmCell) {
35
           TvmBuilder salt:
           salt.store(addrRoot);
37
           salt.store(addrOwner);
38
           return tvm.setCodeSalt(_codeIndex, salt.toCell());
39
       }
```

7.4.2 Function _buildIndexState

```
function _buildIndexState(
41
42
           TvmCell code,
43
           address addrData
44
       ) internal virtual pure returns (TvmCell) {
45
          return tvm.buildStateInit({
46
               contr: Index,
47
               varInit: {_addrData: addrData},
48
               code: code
49
           });
```

Contract NftRoot

Contents		
8.1	Overview	
8.2	Contract Inheritance 20	
8.3	Variable Definitions	
8.4	Constructor Definitions	
	8.4.1 Constructor	
8.5	Public Method Definitions 21	
	8.5.1 Function deployBasis	
	8.5.2 Function destructBasis	
	8.5.3 Function mintNft	

8.1 Overview

Major issue: No way to get funds back

Tokens sent to the contract are locked forever. They are sent when IndexBasis are destroyed and when contracts are deployed.

8.2 Contract Inheritance

DataResolver	
IndexResolver	

8.3 Variable Definitions

uint256	_totalMinted	
		assigned in @1.NftRoot.mintNft
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft
address	_addrBasis	
		used in @1.Nft-
		Root.destructBasis
		assigned in @1.Nft-
		Root.deployBasis
		used in @1.NftRoot.deployBasis

```
16     uint256 _totalMinted;
17     address _addrBasis;
```

8.4 Constructor Definitions

8.4.1 Constructor

Minor issue: Variable initialization

The globals _totalMinted and _addrBasis are not initialized.

Minor issue: Code initialization

Anyone can build a NftRoot contract with a fake <code>_codeData</code> and <code>_codeIndex</code> ; consider checking the contract hash.

8.5 Public Method Definitions

8.5.1 Function deployBasis

Minor issue: Constants

Values "0.5 ton", "0.4 ton" and "104" should be defined as constants.

Minor issue: Variable name typo

Variable "codeHasData" should be named "codeHashData".

• TODO

Minor issue: Unclear behavior

_addrBasis is updated after a call of deployBasis, hence a call of this function forbids the deletion of the previous IndexBasis deployed.

```
33
       function deployBasis(TvmCell codeIndexBasis) public {
34
            require(msg.value > 0.5 ton, 104);
            uint256 codeHasData = resolveCodeHashData();
35
            TvmCell state = tvm.buildStateInit({
36
37
                contr: IndexBasis,
38
                varInit: {
39
                    _codeHashData: codeHasData,
40
                    _addrRoot: address(this)
                },
41
42
                code: codeIndexBasis
43
            });
44
            _addrBasis = new IndexBasis{stateInit: state, value: 0.4
                ton}();
45
```

8.5.2 Function destructBasis

Critical issue: Public visibility

This function can be called by anyone ; the authentification of destruct in IndexBasis is useless.

8.5.3 Function mintNft

Minor issue: Constants

Value "1.1 ton" should be defined a constants.

Minor issue: Spurious variable name

_totalMinted does not represent the total of NFT minted, as the contract creation may bounce.

Major issue: Sender may be null

If a user calls "mintNft", a Data contract is deployed with a null owner.

```
function mintNft() public {
    TvmCell codeData = _buildDataCode(address(this));
    TvmCell stateData = _buildDataState(codeData, _totalMinted)
    ;
    new Data{stateInit: stateData, value: 1.1 ton}(msg.sender, _codeIndex);

    _totalMinted++;
}
```