Audit of True-NFT Smart Contracts

By OCamlPro

September 22, 2021

Table of Minor, Major and Critical Issues

Major issue:	Funds accessibility and bounced messages	6
Minor issue:	Naming convention	6
Major issue:	No way to get funds back	7
Minor issue:	Constants	9
	addrOwner may be null	9
Major issue:	New owner may be null	10
Minor issue:	Sending destruct should specify sent value	10
Minor issue:	New owner may be equal to the old one	10
	Constants	10
Minor issue:	Constants	15
Minor issue:	Double initialization of _addrRoot	15
Minor issue:	Modifiers	18
Minor issue:	Constants	18
Major issue:	No way to get funds back	21
Minor issue:	Variable initialization	22
Minor issue:	Code initialization	22
Minor issue:	Code initialization	22
Critical issue	: Multiple calls may lead to leakage of IndexBasis	
$\operatorname{contract}$	S	23
Minor issue:	Constants	23
Minor issue:	Variable name typo	23
Critical issue	: Public visibility	23
	Constants	23
	Spurious variable name	23
Major issue	Sender may be null	24

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	4
2	Ove	erview	5
	2.1	Specification	5
	2.2	Generic issues	6
3	Cor	ntract Data	7
	3.1	Overview	7
	3.2	Contract Inheritance	7
	3.3	Static Variable Definitions	8
	3.4	Variable Definitions	8
	3.5	Constructor Definitions	9
		3.5.1 Constructor	9
	3.6	Public Method Definitions	9
		3.6.1 Function getInfo	9
		3.6.2 Function getOwner	9
		3.6.3 Function transferOwnership	10
	3.7	Internal Method Definitions	10
	.,	3.7.1 Function deployIndex	10
4	Cor	ntract DataResolver	12
	4.1	Overview	12
	4.2	Variable Definitions	12
	4.3	Public Method Definitions	13
		4.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashData	13
		4.3.2 Function resolveData	13
	4.4	Internal Method Definitions	13
		4.4.1 Function _buildDataCode	13
		4.4.2 Function _buildDataState	13
5	Cor	ntract Index	14
	5.1	Overview	14
	5.2	Contract Inheritance	14
	5.3	Static Variable Definitions	14

3 CONTENTS

	5.4	Variable Definitions	15
	5.5	Constructor Definitions	15
		5.5.1 Constructor	15
	5.6	Public Method Definitions	16
		5.6.1 Function destruct	16
		5.6.2 Function getInfo	16
6	Cor	atract IndexBasis	17
	6.1	Overview	17
	6.2	Static Variable Definitions	17
	6.3	Modifier Definitions	18
		6.3.1 Modifier onlyRoot	18
	6.4	Constructor Definitions	18
		6.4.1 Constructor	18
	6.5	Public Method Definitions	18
		6.5.1 Function destruct	18
		6.5.2 Function getInfo	18
7	Cor	atract IndexResolver	19
•	7.1	Overview	19
	7.2	Variable Definitions	19
	7.3	Public Method Definitions	20
		7.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashIndex	20
		7.3.2 Function resolveIndex	20
	7.4	Internal Method Definitions	20
		7.4.1 Function _buildIndexCode	20
		7.4.2 Function _buildIndexState	20
8	Cor	atract NftRoot	21
O	8.1	Overview	21
	8.2	Contract Inheritance	21
	8.3	Variable Definitions	22
	8.4	Constructor Definitions	22
	0.1	8.4.1 Constructor	22
	8.5	Public Method Definitions	23
		8.5.1 Function deployBasis	23
		8.5.2 Function destructBasis	23
		8.5.3 Function mintNft	23

CONTENTS 3

Introduction

This informal audit details the functionning of the TrueNFT smart contracts of the FreeTon repository. The true-nft-core directory contains a simplified version of a more complex NFT project; it only contains a high level version, synthesizing the storage of the different contracts, so as to retrieve them with DeBots (the DeBots code were not part of the audited contracts). This report contains a full review of the code with the different issues, from minor to critical, that were found and fixes are proposed in some cases.

We found 2 issues that we qualified as Critical, and 5 issues that we qualified as Major. Some of the issues were sent to the authors through private exchanges on Telegram.

This document is the submission to the $17^{\rm th}$ contest of the ForMet subgovernance, which is accessible here.

Overview

The implementation of NFT studied in this document is a Proof of Concept of how NFT primitives should be built on FreeTON. It does not correspond to the actual implementation of an NFT that would be directly deployed. Instead, these contracts act as templates of how NFT contracts should be implemented to provide the same interface as other NFTs on FreeTON. As such, the different contracts of TrueNFT-core should be modified, or at minimum considered as just a source of inspiration. Considering this special aspect of these contracts, serving as a specification written in Solidity, we focused this audit on two aspects. First, we looked for issues in the NFT-Core logic, i.e. how the different contracts and data interactions were implemented with respect to what an NFT should be. Second, as a usual audit, we searched for actual issues in the code. While this second kind of issues may not be relevant (as the project is a POC that should be modified), we assumed that if this repository is expected to be a reference, it should be perfect in every regard.

2.1 Specification

Non Fungible Tokens are deployed from a **NftRoot** contract which will be the basis of all minted tokens. This contract has two purposes.

- The deployment of a **Data** contract, representing a fraction of the digitalized asset (i.e. the NFT itself). So as to easily retrieve the information of the asset from outside the blockchain, the **Data** contract deploys two **Index** contracts, each pointing to the **Data** address: one retrievable from the NftRoot address and the owner's address (allowing users to list all NFTs derived from this root and owned by the same owner), and one from only the owner's address (allowing users to list all NFTs owner by the same owner).
- The deployment of an IndexBasis contract with the Data code hash.

These four contracts represent the whole NFT core implementation. The DeBot SDK provides a primitive to list all contracts with a given code-hash. To use this primitive to list NFTs, the contracts make use of *salted* codes: for example, **Index** contracts are deployed with the same code, but salted with the owner's address, and either the **NftRoot** address or zero, so as to create different code-hashes (with actually the same code!). As a consequence, the DeBot primitive can be used to list all NFTs for a given owner address, within or not a specific NFT root.

From our audit, we think that this mechanism is safe, and works as expected.

2.2 Generic issues

Before reading in detail the source code, several issues (mostly coding habits) affected the project as a whole. We list them in this section.

Major issue: Funds accessibility and bounced messages

Unless a contract is destroyed (which is not the case for all contracts), funds are not accessible. As there is no error handling, especially for bounced messages, funds may accumulate on the contracts. However, there are no provided functions to recover such funds to the user.

Minor issue: Naming convention

Static variables should start with a prefix like "s_" and globals should start with a prefix like "g_" or "m_" and internal/private functions should start with "_". Following such rules would make these contracts much easier to read and audit.

Contract Data

Contents				
3.1	Ove	rview		
3.2	3.2 Contract Inheritance			
3.3	Stat	ic Variable Definitions 8		
3.4	Vari	able Definitions 8		
3.5	Cons	structor Definitions		
	3.5.1	Constructor		
3.6	Pub	lic Method Definitions 9		
	3.6.1	Function getInfo		
	3.6.2	Function getOwner		
	3.6.3	Function transferOwnership 10		
3.7	Inte	rnal Method Definitions 10		
	3.7.1	Function deployIndex		

3.1 Overview

Major issue: No way to get funds back

Tokens sent to the contract are locked forever. Such tokens are received when IndexBasis are destroyed, or when these contracts are deployed. Such tokens could be used to fund the long-term storage of the contract, but, if it is the purpose, it should be specified.

3.2 Contract Inheritance

IData	
IndexResolver	

3.3 Static Variable Definitions

	uint256	_id				
18	uint2	56 st	atic	_id;		

3.4 Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot		
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used in @7.Data.getInfo	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		used in @7.Data.deployIndex	
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	
address	₋addrOwner		
		assigned	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used	in
		@7.Data.transferOwnership	
		used in @7.Data.getOwner	
		used in @7.Data.getInfo	
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	
address	_addrAuthor		
		assigned in @7.Data.:construc	tor
		used in @7.Data.:constructor	

```
14    address _addrRoot;
15    address _addrOwner;
16    address _addrAuthor;
```

3.5 Constructor Definitions

3.5.1 Constructor

Minor issue: Constants

Value "101" should be defined as a constant (would improve readability)

Major issue: addrOwner may be null

The constructor allows addr0wner to be null, making the contract useless and untransferable. A require should check non-null addr0wner before tvm.accept.

```
20
       constructor(address addrOwner, TvmCell codeIndex) public {
21
            optional(TvmCell) optSalt = tvm.codeSalt(tvm.code());
22
            require(optSalt.hasValue(), 101);
23
            (address addrRoot) = optSalt.get().toSlice().decode(address
           require(msg.sender == addrRoot);
24
25
            require(msg.value >= Constants.MIN_FOR_DEPLOY);
26
            tvm.accept();
27
            _addrRoot = addrRoot;
28
            _addr0wner = addr0wner;
29
            _addrAuthor = addrOwner;
30
            _codeIndex = codeIndex;
31
32
           deployIndex(addrOwner);
33
```

3.6 Public Method Definitions

3.6.1 Function getInfo

```
function getInfo() public view override returns (
    address addrRoot,
    address addrOwner,
    address addrData

) {
    addrRoot = _addrRoot;
    addrOwner = _addrOwner;
    addrData = address(this);
}
```

3.6.2 Function getOwner

```
69     function getOwner() public view override returns(address
          addrOwner) {
70          addrOwner = _addrOwner;
71     }
```

3.6.3 Function transferOwnership

Major issue: New owner may be null

The contract does not check that the new owner is not null. As a consequence, a user may lose complete ownership of the contract by mistake, with no new owner for the contract. A require should check that addrTo.value is not zero.

Minor issue: Sending destruct should specify sent value

It is a good practice to specify the value sent within messages, especially here where the destruct function may have a higher cost than expected in derived implementations. Fix: add a value field associated to a constant that can be easily modified by derived implementations.

Minor issue: New owner may be equal to the old one

The new owner of the contract may be equal to the old one, hence destructing and rebuilding identical contracts. A require should check that addrTo is not equal to _addrOwner.

```
function transferOwnership(address addrTo) public override {
35
36
            require(msg.sender == _addrOwner);
            require(msg.value >= Constants.MIN_FOR_DEPLOY);
37
38
39
            address oldIndexOwner = resolveIndex(_addrRoot, address(
                this), _addrOwner);
40
            IIndex(oldIndexOwner).destruct();
41
            address oldIndexOwnerRoot = resolveIndex(address(0),
                address(this), _addrOwner);
42
            IIndex(oldIndexOwnerRoot).destruct();
43
44
            _addrOwner = addrTo;
45
46
            deployIndex(addrTo);
47
```

3.7 Internal Method Definitions

3.7.1 Function deployIndex

Minor issue: Constants

Value "0.4 ton" should be defined as a constant (would improve readability).

Contract DataResolver

Contents		
4.1	Ove	rview
4.2	Vari	able Definitions
4.3	Pub	lic Method Definitions
	4.3.1	Function resolveCodeHashData
	4.3.2	Function resolveData
4.4	Inte	rnal Method Definitions
	4.4.1	Function _buildDataCode
	4.4.2	Function _buildDataState

4.1 Overview

In file DataResolver.sol

4.2 Variable Definitions

TvmCell	_codeData			
		assigned	in	@1.Nft-
		Root.:consti	ructor	
		used in @1.	NftRoo	t.:constructor
		used	in	@5.DataRe-
		solverbuild	lDataC	ode

11 TvmCell _codeData;

4.3 Public Method Definitions

4.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashData

4.3.2 Function resolveData

```
function resolveData(
   address addrRoot,
   uint256 id

public view returns (address addrData) {
   TvmCell code = _buildDataCode(addrRoot);
   TvmCell state = _buildDataState(code, id);
   uint256 hashState = tvm.hash(state);
   addrData = address.makeAddrStd(0, hashState);
}
```

4.4 Internal Method Definitions

4.4.1 Function _buildDataCode

4.4.2 Function _buildDataState

```
33
       function _buildDataState(
           TvmCell code,
34
           uint256 id
35
36
        ) internal virtual pure returns (TvmCell) {
           return tvm.buildStateInit({
37
38
                contr: Data,
39
                varInit: {_id: id},
40
                code: code
41
            });
```

Contract Index

Contents	
5.1	Overview
5.2	Contract Inheritance
5.3	Static Variable Definitions
5.4	Variable Definitions
5.5	Constructor Definitions
	5.5.1 Constructor
5.6	Public Method Definitions 16
	5.6.1 Function destruct
	5.6.2 Function getInfo

5.1 Overview

In file Index.sol

5.2 Contract Inheritance

IIndex				
--------	--	--	--	--

5.3 Static Variable Definitions

address	_addrData	
		used in @8.Index.getInfo
		used in @8.Index.destruct
		used in @8.Index.destruct
		used in @8.Index.:constructor

```
11 address static _addrData;
```

5.4 Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot			
		used in @8.Index.getInfo		
		assigned in @8.In-		
		dex.:constructor		
		used in @8.Index.:constructor		
		assigned in @8.In-		
		dex.:constructor		
		used in @8.Index.:constructor		
address	_addrOwner			
		used in @8.Index.getInfo		
		assigned in @8.In-		
		dex.:constructor		
		used in @8.Index.:constructor		

```
9 address _addrRoot;
10 address _addrOwner;
```

5.5 Constructor Definitions

5.5.1 Constructor

Minor issue: Constants

Value "101" should be defined as a constant (would improve readability)

Minor issue: Double initialization of _addrRoot _addrRoot is initialized twice if addrRoot = 0.

```
13
        constructor(address root) public {
            optional(TvmCell) optSalt = tvm.codeSalt(tvm.code());
require(optSalt.hasValue(), 101);
14
15
            (address addrRoot, address addrOwner) = optSalt
16
17
                 .get()
18
                 .toSlice()
19
                 .decode(address, address);
20
            require(msg.sender == _addrData);
21
            tvm.accept();
22
            _addrRoot = addrRoot;
             _addrOwner = addrOwner;
23
24
            if(addrRoot == address(0)) {
                 _addrRoot = root;
25
26
27
```

5.6 Public Method Definitions

5.6.1 Function destruct

```
39  function destruct() public override {
40     require(msg.sender == _addrData);
41     selfdestruct(_addrData);
42  }
```

5.6.2 Function getInfo

```
function getInfo() public view override returns (
    address addrRoot,
    address addrOwner,
    address addrData

) {
    addrRoot = _addrRoot;
    addrOwner = _addrOwner;
    addrData = _addrData;
}
```

Contract IndexBasis

Contents	
6.1	Overview
6.2	Static Variable Definitions
6.3	Modifier Definitions
6	.3.1 Modifier onlyRoot
6.4	Constructor Definitions
6	.4.1 Constructor
6.5	Public Method Definitions
6	.5.1 Function destruct
6	.5.2 Function getInfo

6.1 Overview

In file IndexBasis.sol

6.2 Static Variable Definitions

address	_addrRoot	
		used in @2.IndexBasis.getInfo
		used in @2.IndexBasis.destruct
uint256	_codeHashData	
		used in @2.IndexBasis.getInfo

```
7 address static _addrRoot;
8 uint256 static _codeHashData;
```

6.3 Modifier Definitions

6.3.1 Modifier onlyRoot

Minor issue: Modifiers

Modifiers are often source of bugs; using them should be avoided, especially when containing calls to tvm.accept() that would happen before later require that would be added in derived implementations.

Minor issue: Constants

Value "100" should be defined as a constant (would improve readability)

```
10     modifier onlyRoot() {
11         require(msg.sender == _addrRoot, 100);
12         tvm.accept();
13         _;
14    }
```

6.4 Constructor Definitions

6.4.1 Constructor

```
16 constructor() public onlyRoot {}
```

6.5 Public Method Definitions

6.5.1 Function destruct

```
23     function destruct() public onlyRoot {
24          selfdestruct(_addrRoot);
25     }
```

6.5.2 Function getInfo

Contract IndexResolver

${\bf Contents}$				
7.1	Ove	rview		
7.2	Vari	Variable Definitions		
7.3	Pub	blic Method Definitions 20		
	7.3.1	Function resolveCodeHashIndex 20		
	7.3.2	Function resolveIndex		
7.4 Internal Method Definitions 20				
	7.4.1	Function _buildIndexCode 20		
	7.4.2	Function _buildIndexState 20		

7.1 Overview

In file IndexResolver.sol

7.2 Variable Definitions

TvmCell	_codeIndex			
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft		
		assigned in @1.Nft-		
		Root.:constructor		
		used in @1.NftRoot.:constructor		
		assigned in @7.Data.:constructor		
		used in @7.Data.:constructor		
		used in @6.IndexRe-		
		solverbuildIndexCode		

11 TvmCell _codeIndex;

7.3 Public Method Definitions

7.3.1 Function resolveCodeHashIndex

```
function resolveCodeHashIndex(
   address addrRoot,
   address addrOwner
) public view returns (uint256 codeHashIndex) {
   return tvm.hash(_buildIndexCode(addrRoot, addrOwner));
}
```

7.3.2 Function resolveIndex

```
20
   function resolveIndex(
21
          address addrRoot,
22
           address addrData,
23
           address addr0wner
       ) public view returns (address addrIndex) {
           TvmCell code = _buildIndexCode(addrRoot, addrOwner);
25
           TvmCell state = _buildIndexState(code, addrData);
26
27
           uint256 hashState = tvm.hash(state);
28
           addrIndex = address.makeAddrStd(0, hashState);
29
```

7.4 Internal Method Definitions

7.4.1 Function _buildIndexCode

```
function _buildIndexCode(
32
           address addrRoot,
33
           address addr0wner
34
       ) internal virtual view returns (TvmCell) {
35
           TvmBuilder salt:
           salt.store(addrRoot);
37
           salt.store(addrOwner);
38
           return tvm.setCodeSalt(_codeIndex, salt.toCell());
39
       }
```

7.4.2 Function _buildIndexState

```
function _buildIndexState(
41
42
           TvmCell code,
43
           address addrData
44
       ) internal virtual pure returns (TvmCell) {
45
          return tvm.buildStateInit({
46
               contr: Index,
47
               varInit: {_addrData: addrData},
48
               code: code
49
           });
```

Contract NftRoot

Contents	
8.1	Overview
8.2	Contract Inheritance
8.3	Variable Definitions
8.4	Constructor Definitions
	8.4.1 Constructor
8.5	Public Method Definitions
	8.5.1 Function deployBasis
	8.5.2 Function destructBasis
	8.5.3 Function mintNft

8.1 Overview

Major issue: No way to get funds back

Tokens sent to the contract are locked forever. They are sent when IndexBasis are destroyed and when contracts are deployed. The contract should provide a function to recover accumulated funds, or specify that these funds are used for long-term storage.

8.2 Contract Inheritance

DataResolver	
IndexResolver	

8.3 Variable Definitions

uint256	_totalMinted			
		assigned in @1.NftRoot.mintNft		
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft		
		used in @1.NftRoot.mintNft		
address	_addrBasis			
		used	in	@1.Nft-
		Root.destructBasis		
		assigned	in	@1.Nft-
		Root.deployBasis		
		used in @1.NftRoot.deployBasis		

```
16     uint256 _totalMinted;
17     address _addrBasis;
```

8.4 Constructor Definitions

8.4.1 Constructor

Minor issue: Variable initialization

The globals _totalMinted and _addrBasis are not initialized.

Minor issue: Code initialization

Anyone can build a NftRoot contract with a fake <code>_codeData</code> and <code>_codeIndex</code>; consider checking the contract hashes.

Minor issue: Code initialization

It is usually a bad practice to initialize variables containing code cells in constructors, as deployment messages are limited to 16kB.

```
19     constructor(TvmCell codeIndex, TvmCell codeData) public {
20         tvm.accept();
21         _codeIndex = codeIndex;
22         _codeData = codeData;
3}
```

8.5 Public Method Definitions

8.5.1 Function deployBasis

Critical issue: Multiple calls may lead to leakage of IndexBasis contracts

_addrBasis is updated after every call to deployBasis, hence a call to this function forbids the deletion of the previously deployed IndexBasis. If only one IndexBasis contract should be created, the function should require that _addrBasis is null before deploying a new contract. Otherwise, destructBasis should receive codeIndexBasis as argument too, to be able to recompute the corresponding contract address to destruct.

Minor issue: Constants

Values "0.5 ton", "0.4 ton" and "104" should be defined as constants (would improve readability)

Minor issue: Variable name typo

Variable codeHasData should be named codeHashData.

```
33
        function deployBasis(TvmCell codeIndexBasis) public {
34
            require(msg.value > 0.5 ton, 104);
35
            uint256 codeHasData = resolveCodeHashData();
36
            TvmCell state = tvm.buildStateInit({
                contr: IndexBasis,
37
38
                varInit: {
39
                    _codeHashData: codeHasData,
40
                    _addrRoot: address(this)
41
                },
42
                code: codeIndexBasis
43
            _addrBasis = new IndexBasis{stateInit: state, value: 0.4
44
                ton}();
45
       }
```

8.5.2 Function destructBasis

Critical issue: Function should not be public

This function can be called by anyone (no check on sender), so that anybody can destroy IndexBasis contracts; the authentification of destruct in IndexBasis is useless.

Minor issue: Check _addrBasis is not zero

Before destroying the contract pointed to by _addrBasis, the contract should check that the variable is not zero, or fail.

Minor issue: Set _addrBasis to zero

After destroying a contract, the function should set the <code>_addrBasis</code> variable to zero.

```
function destructBasis() public view {
    IIndexBasis(_addrBasis).destruct();
```

49 }

8.5.3 Function mintNft

Minor issue: Constants

Value "1.1 ton" should be defined as a constant.

Minor issue: No check of msg.value

The contract should check that enough balance is carried within msg.value to deploy the contract. Otherwise, the _totalMinted value may be increased, but the contract will still fail to deploy the corresponding contract.

```
function mintNft() public {
    TvmCell codeData = _buildDataCode(address(this));
    TvmCell stateData = _buildDataState(codeData, _totalMinted)
    ;
    new Data{stateInit: stateData, value: 1.1 ton}(msg.sender, _codeIndex);

29
    _totalMinted++;
31
}
```