MachLe - Olivier D'Ancona

Evaluation Metrics

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$Specificity = \frac{TP}{TN + FP}$$

$$Fscore = \frac{2 \cdot Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$

$$error\ rate = 1 - accuracy$$

$$macro\ average = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} avg_i$$

Activation Functions

Sigmoid : $\sigma(x) = \dfrac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$

Hyperbolic tangent : $\frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$

Relu : $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{si } x < 0 \\ x & \text{si } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$

Gaussian : e^{-x^2}

Softmax : $\dfrac{e^{z_j}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} e^{z_k}}$

Linear Regression

Soit un tableau de données :
$x = \text{Surface(g)}$, $y = \text{Price(cm)}$, $x \cdot y$, x^2

$$X = [1, Surface]$$

$$X^T X = \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum x_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 38.5 \\ 38.5 & 218.95 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X^T y = \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum x_i y_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 348 \\ 1975 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2.67 \\ 9.51 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{y} = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

──── Matrix Inversion (2x2) ────

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

KNN

Hyperparameters :

— Number of neighbours k
— Distance metric
— normalization type
— strategy if no majority

Big k :

Bayes

$$P(C_k|x) = \frac{P(x|C_k) \cdot P(C_k)}{P(x)}$$

où

— C_k : Classe ciblée
— x : Évidence
— $P(C_k)$: Probabilité a priori de la classe C_k
— $P(x|C_k)$: probability of observing x given class j
— $P(C_k|x)$: Probabilité a posteriori de la classe C_k après observation de x
— $P(x)$: Probabilité de l'évidence x

avec

$$P(x) = \sum_{\text{toutes classes } C_k} P(x|C_k) \cdot P(C_k)$$

──── Classifier H/F: ────

— $P(C_f) = \frac{4}{70}, P(C_g) = \frac{66}{70}$
— $p(x|C_g) = 0.8, p(x|C_f) = 0.2$
— Calcul de $p(x)$:

$$p(x) = 0.2 \times \frac{4}{70} + 0.8 \times \frac{66}{70}$$

— Calcul de $P(C_f|x)$ et $P(C_g|x)$:

$$P(C_f|x) = \frac{0.2 \times \frac{4}{70}}{p(x)}, \quad P(C_g|x) = \frac{0.8}{p}$$

(+)Can deal with imbalanced dataset, prior can be changed

Logistic Regression

$$h_\theta(x_n) = \sigma(x\theta^T)$$

— $h_\theta(x_n)$: predicted value
— θ : model's parameters
— X : input vector

Goal : Find the θ that maximizes the likelihood of the data.
Loss :

$$J(\beta) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \log(h_\theta(x_n)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - h_\theta(x_n))$$

Normalization

Min-max [0,1] : $x' = \dfrac{(x - x_{min})}{(x_{max} - x_{min})}$

Min-max [-1,1] : $x' = 2 \cdot min\_max(x) - 1$
min-max doesn't handle outliers.

Z-norm : $x' = \dfrac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma}$

Support Vector Machine

Concept : SVM finds the hyperplane that best separates different classes by maximizing the margin between the closest points of different classes (support vectors).
$\text{hw}(x) = \text{sign}(b + \text{wx})$

──── Formulation ────

$$\max_{\omega, b} \frac{1}{\|\omega\|} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad y_i(\omega \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1 \,\forall i$$

where

— ω : Normal vector to the hyperplane
— b : Bias term
— x_i, y_i : Training data points and labels

──── Kernel Trick ────

SVM can be extended to non-linearly separable data using kernel functions, which implicitly map input space to a higher-dimensional feature space

──── Common Kernels ────

— Linear : $\langle x, x' \rangle$
— Polynomial : $(\gamma \langle x, x' \rangle + r)^d$
— Gaussian (RBF) : $e^{(-\gamma \|x - x'\|^2)}$

(+) Effective in high-dimensional spaces, Memory efficient, Versatile (different kernel functions)

(-) Sensitive to the choice of kernel and regularization parameters, Not suitable for very large datasets
hinge loss : $max(0, 1 - y_i(w \cdot x_i + b))$ (0 if correct classification) (1 if falls on the hyperplane) (>1 if misclassified)

──── Objective function to min ────

$$\min_{\omega, b} \quad \frac{1}{2}\|\omega\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(0, 1 - y_i(\omega \cdot x_i + b))$$

where C nutch the hinge loss term (how far are we predicting from ground truth) and regularization term (impeach big value, min w => maximize margin)

Similarity Measures

$$Pearson = R^2 = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y_i})^2}$$

$$Euclidian = \sqrt{\sum (I_1 - I_2)^2}$$

$$Manhattan = \sum |I_1 - I_2|$$

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$cosine\ similarity = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \cdot \|B\|}$$

$WSS = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{x \in C_i} d(x, \mu_i)^2$ Within-cluster-sum (distortion) is the sum of the squared distances between each point in a cluster x_j and its cluster center.

K-Means

1. Initialize k centroids randomly.
2. Assign each point to the nearest centroid.
3. Recompute centroids as the mean of assigned points.
4. Repeat steps 2-3 until convergence.

minimize distortion : $J = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d(x_n, \mu_c)$

(+) Will converge
(-) Sensitive to initial conditions(size, density, distribution), Finds a local optimum

Mean Shift Clustering

1. Choose bandwidth and initialize centroids.
2. Shift each centroid to the mean of points within the bandwidth.
3. Repeat until centroids converge.

(+) Can find clusters of arbitrary shape; robust to outliers.
(-) Computationally intensive; bandwidth parameter can be tricky to set.

DB-Scan

1. Classify points as core, border, or noise based on density.
2. Form clusters around core points.
3. Assign border points to clusters or mark as noise.

(+) Identifies clusters of varying shapes; robust to noise.

Hierarchical Clustering

Algorithm (Agglomerative) :
1. Start with each point as a separate cluster.
2. Merge the closest pair of clusters.
3. Repeat step 2 until desired number of clusters is reached.

(+) No need to specify the number of clusters ; intuitive dendrogram representation.
(-) Computationally expensive for large datasets ; sensitive to outliers.

Clustering

Clustering partitions data into clusters with high intra-class similarity and low inter-class similarity.
Needs : distance measure, criterion, algorithm.

──────── Partitions ────────

Distortion : How close are we to a "centroid" defining the partition ?
Connectivity of points : How close are points to each other ?

──────── Elbow Method ────────

Heuristic used in determining the number of clusters in a data set. It selects the value of k that corresponds to the elbow of the curve (#cluster WSS)

──────── Silhouette Coefficient ────────

$$s = \frac{b - a}{\max(a, b)}$$

— a is the mean distance between a sample and all other points in the same class (cohesion)
— b is the mean distance between a sample and all other points in the next nearest cluster (isolation)

s range is $[-1, 1]$. A high value indicates that the object is well matched to its own cluster and poorly matched to neighboring clusters.

──────── Davies-Bouldin Index ────────

$$DB = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max_{j \neq i} \frac{R_i + R_j}{d(C_i, C_j)}$$

— R_i is the average distance between a point in cluster C_i and all points in C_i (cluster diameter))
— $d(C_i, C_j)$ is the distance between the centroids of C_i and C_j

zero is the lowest possible score. Values closer to zero indicate a better partition.

Decision Tree

A flowchart-like structure in which each internal node represents a test on a feature. Each leaf node represents a class label(decision taken after computing all features).

──────── Boosting ────────

Build additional trees while considering earlier trees to compensate for their weaknesses

──────── Bagging ────────

Build a lot of trees using different parts of the data without looking at earlier ones. A very popular algorithm that is close to this approach is called "Random Forests"

──────── Example ────────

Pression > 91 ?

Oui — C=3, I=2

Non — C=2, I=1

Première feuille :

$$Gini = 1 - \left(\frac{3}{3+2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{2}{3+2}\right)^2 = \frac{12}{25}$$

Deuxième feuille :

$$Gini = 1 - \left(\frac{2}{2+1}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2+1}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{9}$$

Pruning : Removing sections of the tree that provide little power to classify instances.

(+)
(-)

Gini Impurity

Gini Set : $G(X) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i)^2$ where

— $p(x_i)$: is the proportion of points in a set that belongs to a class i : $\frac{N_i}{N}$.
— G = 0.5 : maximum value of impurity, classes are balanced in the set.
— G = 0 : minimum value of impurity, all the values belong to a single class.

Gini Split : $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{N_i}{N} G(X_i)$

Gini Gain(big=good) : $Gini_{set} - Gini_{split}$

──────── Example ────────

Gini set :

$$Gini = 1 - \left(\frac{5}{10}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{5}{10}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

Left Set :

$$Gini = 1 - \left(\frac{3}{3}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{0}{3}\right)^2 = 0$$

Right Set :

$$Gini = 1 - \left(\frac{2}{7}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{5}{7}\right)^2 = \frac{20}{49}$$

Gini Split :

$$Gini = \frac{3}{10} \times 0 + \frac{7}{10} \times \frac{20}{49} = \frac{2}{7}$$

Gini Gain :

$$Gini = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{7} = \frac{3}{14}$$

Entropy

$$H(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_2 p(x_i)$$

where
— $p(x_i)$: Probability of class x_i

Information Gain :

$$IG(X, Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

where
— $H(X)$: Entropy of the parent node
— $H(X|Y)$: Entropy of the child node

XG-Boost

Instead of yes/no leaf outputs, we have real-valued weights.
If $\sigma(w_i) > 0.5$ then output yes, else no
Use a loss function that considers the output errors and adapt the weights
New trees are built with the aim of incrementally reducing the error (boosting)
we use an iterative optimization approach : in every boosting iteration we choose a tree f_k that will get us one step closer to the minimum cost.
XGBoost, in short, uses several trees that contribute in an additive manner to compute a nal weight value for each leaf by minimising a loss function that measures the difference between the true labels y and the ensemble's prediction

Neural Network

──────── Structure ────────

Biais : b, An extra weight that can be learned using a learning algorithm. The purpose is to replace threshold.
Input : I, Input vector
Weights : W, Vector of weights

──────── Learning algorithm ────────

1. Randomly initialize weights
2. Compute the neuron's output for a fiven input vector X
3. Update weights : $W_j(t+1) = W_j(t) + \eta (\hat{y}_i - y) x$ with η the learning rate and $\hat{y}_i$ the desired output.
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 for the number of epochs you need or until the error is smaller than a threshold.

──────── Regularization ────────

Regularization means providing constraints to limit the values of the parameters we are

Principal Component Analysis

Kmeans

Hierarchical clustering

Autoencoders

Kmeans

Hierarchical clustering

Convolutional Neural Networks

Recurrent Neural Networks

Dimensionality Reduction

Reinforcement Learning

Computational Complexity of ML Algorithms

Algorithm	Assumption	Train Time/Space	Inference Time/Space
KNN (Brute Force)	Similar things exist in close proximity	$O(knd)$ / $O(nd)$	$O(knd)$ / $O(nd)$
KNN (KD Tree)	Similar things exist in close proximity	$O(nd\log(n))$ / $O(nd)$	$O(k\log(n)d)$ / $O(nd)$
Naive Bayes	Features are conditionally independent	$O(ndc)$ / $O(dc)$	$O(dc)$ / $O(dc)$
Logistic Regression	Classes are linearly separable	$O(nd)$ / $O(nd)$	$O(d)$ / $O(d)$
Linear Regression	Linear relationship between variables	$O(nd)$ / $O(nd)$	$O(d)$ / $O(d)$
SVM	Classes are linearly separable	$O(n^2d^2)$ / $O(nd)$	$O(kd)$ / $O(kd)$
Decision Tree	Feature selection by information gain	$O(n\log(n)d)$ / $O(\text{nodes})$	$O(\log(n))$ / $O(\text{nodes})$
Random Forest	Low bias and variance trees	$O(kn\log(n)d)$ / $O(\text{nodes} \times k)$	$O(k\log(n))$ / $O(\text{nodes} \times k)$
GBDT	High bias, low variance trees	$O(Mn\log(n)d)$ / $O(\text{nodes} \times M + \gamma_m)$	$O(M\log(n))$ / $O(\text{nodes} \times M + \gamma_m)$