Table 1 Research Protocol Chapter 4 and 5[1]

Phase	Hypotheses and Questions	Operationalization of I.V.	Tool Chapter 4	Tool Chapter 5
		Inherent Conditions		
Phase 1: Conditions of change	**QIC** What constitutes a changing environment?	See Table 2 Interview and Document Coding Scheme based on the interaction between electoral reform and party organizational change	**None**, assumed as faulty.	For parties listed in **Error! Reference source not found.**, extract all passages that describe how parties perceive change in the environment. Summary of conditions when applicable
		Anomalous outcomes		
	HAO1 A party that *lost seats* in the legislature following the election that preceded the leadership race is more likely to adopt a new selectorate in the subsequent leadership race	**1**. Negative value for variable **seatsWonLost** **2**. Negative value for variable **percentSeatWonLost** **3**. Value of 1 for **lostSeatsDummy** **4**. Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews and party documents.	**1.** Biserial correlation (Categorical) **2.**Point-biserial correlation (Dummy) All leadership races	For parties listed in **Error! Reference source not found.**, extract all passages that address various **Anomalous Outcomes** and describe party perspectives in detail.
			1. Biserial correlation (Categorical)	

	HAO2 A party that *lost votes* following the election that preceded the leadership race is more likely to adopt a new selectorate in the subsequent leadership race	**1.** Negative value for variable **votesharePreviousElect** **2.** Value of 1 for variable **percentWonLost** **3.** Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews and party documents (==Error! Reference source not found.==).	**2.**Point-biserial correlation (Dummy)	Summary of conditions when applicable
	HAO3 A party whose ***parliamentary status receded*** following the election preceding the leadership race is more likely to adopt a new selectorate in the subsequent leadership race	**1.**Negative value for variable **parlStatusChange** **2.** Value of 1 for variable **lostParlStatDummy** **3**. Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews and party documents (==Error! Reference source not found.==).	**1.**Biserial correlation (Categorical) **2.**Point-biserial correlation (Dummy)	
Phase 2: Reasons for change	**Act Contingent**			
	HAC1 A party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its jurisdiction more likely to adopt a new selectorate.	**1.** Value of 1 for variable **influencedByOthersJurisdiction** **2.** Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews and party documents	**None**, perfect separation. Directly addressed in crosstabs	For parties listed **Error! Reference source not found.**, extract all passages that address various **Act contingentcies** and describe party perspectives in detail. Summary of reasons when available
	HAC2 A party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its political family is more likely to adopt a new selectorate.	**1.** Value of 1 for variable **influencedByOthersFamily** **2**. Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews and party documents (**Error! Reference source not found.**).		
	Outcome Contingent			
	HOC1 A party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its jurisdiction more likely to adopt a	See Table 2 Interview and Document Coding Scheme based on the interaction	**None**, dataset too small. Interaction between two	For parties listed in **Error! Reference**

	new selectorate when that party gets is more seats/votes or improves parliamentary status at the election following the leadership race. **HOC2** A party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its political family is more likely to adopt a new selectorate when that party gets more seats/votes at the election following the leadership race.	between electoral reform and party organizational change**Error! Reference source not found.**	variables is best addressed qualitatively.	**source not found.**, extract all passages that address various **Outcome Contingencies** and describe party perspectives in detail. Summary of reasons when available
	Consultative Process			
	QCP1 Which party actors are involved in the consultation process? **QCP2** What occurs during the consultation process? **QCP3** Which of the factors depicted in **Error! Reference source not found.** is necessary for a reform to advance to the consultation process?	**Error! Reference source not found.** Table 2 Interview and Document Coding Scheme based on the interaction between electoral reform and party organizational change	**None**, requires internal party data.	For parties listed in **Error! Reference source not found.**, extract all passages that address various **Consultative process** and describe party perspectives in detail.
	Reform enactment			
Phase 3: Deciding to Change or Not to Change	**QRE** How do parties enact the reform of the leadership selectorate?	Value of 1 for variable **ref_nselectorate** Created a coding scheme in MAXQDA for interviews in party documents.	**None**, the dependent variable	For parties listed in **Error! Reference source not found.**, extract all passages that address **Reform Enactment** and describe party

					perspectives in detail.

Table 2 Interview and Document Coding Scheme based on the interaction between electoral reform and party organizational change

Phase	Events	Research Question	Coding conditions	Example Document	Example Interviews
Phase 1: Conditions of change	Inherent conditions (Environment)	What constitutes a changing environment?	General mentions of a changing political environment	Technology Political finance laws Voting behaviour Internal party logistics	Respondent mentions changing political environment Internal party logistics
	Anomalous Outcomes (Shock, Critical Juncture)	Do loss of seats, votes, or parliamentary status constitute a necessary and sufficient to launch a reflection on change?	Explicit mention of unexpected or undesired outcome, e.g. an election result. Or other instances, such as the result of a leadership race or a change in legislation.	Election results Membership results Leadership race results (Brennan 2018) Political finance laws	Respondent spontaneously mentions any sort of issues with the normative functioning of parties or the electoral systems
Phase 2: Reasons for Change	Act contingent (Legitimacy)	When a party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its *jurisdiction*, will it adopt the same selectorate? When a party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its *political family*, will it adopt the same selectorate?	The democratic benefits of the method are underlined. Other parties (family/jurisdiction) are using this method, and we are not.	We are the only Liberals not using it (e.g. the 2023 Ontario Liberal Party Constitution report)	Pressure to change for normative reasons, whether this pressure is external or internal
	Outcome contingent (Performance)	Will a party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its *jurisdiction* adopt a new	The party would do better electorally if they used this method	Winning elections, being competitive.	[Direct competitor party] used these methods and they did

Phase 3: Deciding to Change or not to Change					
		selectorate when that party gets more seats/votes? Will a party using a selectorate that differs from that of other parties in its *political family* adopt a new selectorate when that party gets more seats/votes?			well, we need to get with the times.
	Consultative process	Which party actors are involved in the consultation process? What occurs during the consultation process? Which of the factors depicted in **Error! Reference source not found.** are necessary for a change to advance to the consultation process? How do parties enact the change of the leadership selectorate?	Why did the party decide to launch a review process? Mention of the research conducted to produce the report or to design the rule change. What other considerations are mentioned? Names of individuals or mention of party cadres and their role in the change process. How change should be enacted?	Existence of change document (Parties in Table 1 of the previous chapter, that we know of)	Respondents mention attempts unavailable in documents
	Reform enactment (Outcome/Dependent Variable)	How do parties enact the change of the leadership selectorate?	Reports on past consultations mentioning how the proposed changes were adopted. Steps taken to apply proposed changes.	Existence of question set to be asked at a Party Congress (e.g. proposed constitution, or document with voting choices, such as the OLP)	Description of the process that led to a vote or to an adoption. Mention of past attempts at change.

Mention of past attempts
at change.