## osl-dynamics: HMM Cost Function

#### C. Gohil

OHBA, Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7JX

(February 11, 2023)

#### Abstract

We describe the calculation of the cost function used to update the observation model parameters (state means and covariances) in the osl-dynamics implementation of a Hidden Markov Model (HMM).

### 1 Variational Free Energy

In variational Bayesian inference we infer a posterior distribution for model parameters, q(.), by minimising the variational free energy,  $\mathcal{F}$ , given some data we have observed,  $x_t$ .

For the HMM, our model parameters are:

- The hidden state at each time point,  $s_t$ .
- The state transition probability at each time point,  $\pi_t$ , which is dependent on  $s_{t-1}$ .
- The initial state probability,  $\pi_0$ .
- The observation model parameters,  $\theta_{\rm obs}$ .

Therefore, we infer our model parameters by minimising the following variational free energy<sup>1</sup> [1]

$$\mathcal{F} = \iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0)q(\theta_{\text{obs}}) \log \left[ \frac{q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0)q(\theta_{\text{obs}})}{p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0, \theta_{\text{obs}})} \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0 d\theta_{\text{obs}},$$
(1)

where  $s_{1:T}$  and  $x_{1:T}$  denote  $s_1, ..., s_T$  and  $x_1, ..., x_T$  respectively. However, in the osl-dynamics implementation of an HMM, we will not be Bayesian on  $\theta_{\text{obs}}$ , instead of learning  $q(\theta_{\text{obs}})$  we will learn point estimates for  $\theta_{\text{obs}}$ . We will learn the posterior distributions  $q(s_{1:T}), q(\pi_t), q(\pi_0)$  and point estimates for  $\theta_{\text{obs}}$  by minimising the following variational free energy,

$$\mathcal{F} = \iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ \frac{q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0)}{p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0)} \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0.$$
 (2)

We will show that Eq. (2) implicitly depends on the point estimates for  $\theta_{\rm obs}$  below.

#### 2 Generative Model

The term  $p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0)$  is determined by our generative model. For the HMM, if we were being fully Bayesian this would be [1]

$$p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0, \theta_{\text{obs}}) = p(s_0|\pi_0)p(\pi_0) \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}})p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t)p(\pi_t)p(\theta_{\text{obs}}).$$
(3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We have used the mean field approximation.

However, because we are learning point estimates for  $\theta_{\rm obs}$  we do not have the prior  $p(\theta_{\rm obs})$ . We will use the following generative model,

$$p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0) = p(s_0|\pi_0)p(\pi_0) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}})p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t)p(\pi_t), \tag{4}$$

where  $\theta_{\rm obs}$  are point estimates.

We assume a multivariate normal distribution for the observed data,

$$p(x_t|s_t = k, \theta_{\text{obs}}) = \mathcal{N}(m_k, C_k), \tag{5}$$

where  $m_k$  and  $C_k$  are the mean and covariance for state k respectively. Our observation model parameters  $\theta_{\text{obs}}$  are the set of state means and covariances,  $\theta_{\text{obs}} = \{m_k, C_k\}$ .

# 3 Cost Function for Learning $\theta_{obs} = \{m_k, C_k\}$

We update our point estimate for  $\theta_{\rm obs}$  by minimising Eq. (2). We separate Eq. (2) into the following terms<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{F} = -\iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0$$

$$+\iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0$$

$$\mathcal{F} = -\iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0$$

$$+ \int q(s_{1:T}) \log \left[ q(s_{1:T}) \right] ds_{1:T} + \int q(\pi_t) \log \left[ q(\pi_t) \right] d\pi_t + \int q(\pi_0) \log \left[ q(\pi_0) \right] d\pi_0$$
(6)

Only the first term depends on  $\theta_{\rm obs}$  so the rest can be ignored. Substituting Eq. (4) into the first term, we have

$$\mathcal{F} \propto -\iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ p(x_{1:T}, s_{1:T}, \pi_t, \pi_0) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0$$

$$\propto -\iiint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t)q(\pi_0) \log \left[ p(s_0|\pi_0)p(\pi_0) \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t) p(\pi_t) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t d\pi_0.$$
(7)

Again, only retaining the factors that depend on  $\theta_{\rm obs}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F} \propto -\iint q(s_{1:T})q(\pi_t) \log \left[ \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t) p(\pi_t) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t$$

$$\propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \iint q(s_{1:T}) q(\pi_t) \log \left[ p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t) p(\pi_t) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t$$

$$\propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \iint q(s_{1:T}) q(\pi_t) \left\{ \log \left[ p(x_t|s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) \right] + \log \left[ p(s_t|s_{t-1}, \pi_t) p(\pi_t) \right] \right\} ds_{1:T} d\pi_t$$
(8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We have used  $\int q(\xi)d\xi = 1$  to evaluate some of the integrals.

Only retaining the term that depends on  $\theta_{\rm obs}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F} \propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \iint q(s_{1:T}) q(\pi_t) \log \left[ p(x_t | s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) \right] ds_{1:T} d\pi_t$$

$$\propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \int q(s_{1:T}) \log \left[ p(x_t | s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) \right] ds_{1:T}$$

$$\propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \int ... \int q(s_1) ... q(s_T) \log \left[ p(x_t | s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) \right] ds_1 ... ds_T$$

$$\propto -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \int q(s_t) \log \left[ p(x_t | s_t, \theta_{\text{obs}}) \right] ds_t = \mathcal{L}.$$
(9)

Here, we have defined the negative log-likelihood loss,  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is minimised via stochastic gradient descent to learn the parameters  $\theta_{\text{obs}}$ . As  $q(s_t)$  is a discrete probability distribution for the state, we can evaluate the integral as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} q(s_t = k) \log [p(x_t | s_t = k, \theta_{\text{obs}})]$$

$$= -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{kt} \log [p(x_t | s_t = k, \theta_{\text{obs}})],$$
(10)

where K is the number of states and  $q(s_t = k) = \gamma_{kt}$  is the probability of state k at time t. Substituting Eq. (5) into this we have

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{kt} \log \left[ \mathcal{N}(m_k, C_k) \right], \tag{11}$$

which is the log-likelihood loss function implemented in osl-dynamics for inferring the point estimates for the observation model parameters  $\theta_{\text{obs}} = \{m_k, C_k\}$ .

#### References

[1] I. Rezek and S. Roberts, Ensemble hidden Markov models with extended observation densities for biosignal analysis. Probabilistic modeling in bioinformatics and medical informatics. Springer, London, 419-450 (2005).