

References

- Alberts, B, Kirschner, MW, et al. (2014). Rescuing US biomedical research from its systemic flaws. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 111: 5773-5777.
- Alexander, GC (2011). Seeding trials and the subordination of science. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 171: 1107-1108.
- Alsheikh-Ali, AA, Qureshi, W, et al. (2011). Public availability of published research data in high-impact journals. *PLoS ONE*. 6(9): e24357.
<http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0024357>
- Anonymous (2006). Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication, International Committee of Medical Journal Editors.
<http://www.icmje.org/icmje.pdf>
- Anonymous (2009). Fraud Case Rocks Anesthesiology Community. *Anesthesiology News*, March, 2009.
http://www.anesthesiologynews.com/index.asp?ses=ogst§ion_id=3&show=dept&article_id=12634
- Anonymous (2014). Retraction Notice. *Journal of Vibration and Control*. 20: 1601-1604.
- Aronsky, D, Ransom, J, et al. (2005). Accuracy of reference in five biomedical informatics journals. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 12: 225-228.
- Baggerly, KA and Coombes, KR (2011). What information should be required to support clinical "omics" publications? *Clinical Chemistry*. 57: 688-690.
- Barton, D, Stossel, T, et al. (2014). After 20 years, industry critics bury skeptics, despite empirical vacuum. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*. 68: 666-673.
- Begley, CG and Ellis, LM (2012). Raise standards for preclinical cancer research. *Nature*. 483: 531-533.
- Bohannon, J (2013). Who's afraid of peer review? *Science*. 342: 60-65.
- Boutron, I, Dutton, S, et al. (2010). Reporting and interpretation of randomized controlled trials with statistically nonsignificant results for primary outcomes. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 303: 2058-2064.
- Calcagno, V, Demoinet, E, et al. (2012). Flows of research manuscripts among scientific journals reveal hidden submission patterns. *Science*. 338: 1065-1069.
- Califf, RM, Zarin, DA, et al. (2012). Characteristics of clinical trials registered in ClinicalTrials.gov, 2007-2010. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 307: 1838-1847.
- Carnevale, RJ and Aronsky, D (2007). The life and death of URLs in five biomedical informatics journals. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 76: 269-273.
- Chalmers, I (1990). Under-reporting scientific research is scientific misconduct. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 263: 1405-1408.
- Chan, AW, Hrobjartsson, A, et al. (2004). Empirical evidence for selective reporting of outcomes in randomized trials: comparison of protocols to published articles. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 291: 2457-2465.
- Chen, J and Konstan, JA (2010). Conference paper selectivity and impact. *Communications of the ACM*. 53(6): 79-83.
- Collins, FS and Tabak, LA (2014). NIH plans to enhance reproducibility. *Nature*. 505: 612-613.
- Cooper, RJ, Schrager, DL, et al. (2003). The quantity and quality of scientific graphs in pharmaceutical advertisements. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 18: 294-297.
- Couzin, J and Unger, K (2006). Scientific misconduct. Cleaning up the paper trail. *Science*. 312: 38-43.
- Crichlow, R, Winbush, N, et al. (2004). The accessibility and accuracy of Web references in five major medical journals. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 292: 2723-2724.

Curfman, GD, Morrissey, S, et al. (2005). Expression of concern: Bombardier et al., "Comparison of upper gastrointestinal toxicity of rofecoxib and naproxen in patients with rheumatoid arthritis". *New England Journal of Medicine*. 353: 2318-2319.

Davidoff, F, DeAngelis, CD, et al. (2001). Sponsorship, authorship, and accountability. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 135: 463-466.

DeAngelis, CD, Drazen, JM, et al. (2005). Is this clinical trial fully registered? A statement from the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 293: 2927-2929.

Dickersin, K (1997). How important is publication bias? A synthesis of available data. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 9: 15-21.

Dickersin, K and Rennie, D (2012). The evolution of trial registries and their use to assess the clinical trial enterprise. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 307: 1861-1864.

Doshi, P, Jefferson, T, et al. (2012). The imperative to share clinical study reports: recommendations from the Tamiflu experience. *PLoS Medicine*. 9(4): e1001201.
<http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.1001201>

Drazen, JM, VanDerWeyden, MB, et al. (2009). Uniform format for disclosure of competing interests in ICMJE journals. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 361: 1896-1897.

Dwan, K, Gamble, C, et al. (2013). Systematic review of the empirical evidence of study publication bias and outcome reporting bias - an updated review. *PLoS ONE*. 8(7): e66844.
<http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0066844>

Dyer, C (2010). Lancet retracts Wakefield's MMR paper. *British Medical Journal*. 340: c696.

Ebrahim, S, Sohani, ZN, et al. (2014). Reanalyses of randomized clinical trial data. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 312: 1024-1032.

Egger, M, Zellweger-Zahner, T, et al. (1997). Language bias in randomised controlled trials published in English and German. *Lancet*. 350: 326-329.

Emerson, GB, Warme, WJ, et al. (2010). Testing for the presence of positive-outcome bias in peer review: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 170: 1934-1939.

Errington, TM, Iorns, E, et al. (2014). Science forum: An open investigation of the reproducibility of cancer biology research. *eLife*. 3: e04333. <http://elifesciences.org/content/3/e04333>

Evans, JT, Nadjari, HI, et al. (1990). Quotational and reference accuracy in surgical journals: a continuing peer review problem. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 263: 1353-1354.

Fang, FC, Steen, RG, et al. (2012). Misconduct accounts for the majority of retracted scientific publications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 109: 17028-17033.

Flanagin, A, Carey, LA, et al. (1998). Prevalence of articles with honorary authors and ghost authors in peer-reviewed medical journals. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 280: 222-224.

Fletcher, RH (2003). Adverts in medical journals: caveat lector. *Lancet*. 361: 10-11.

Franco, A, Malhotra, N, et al. (2014). Publication bias in the social sciences: unlocking the file drawer. *Science*. 345: 1502-1505.

Garcia-Berthou, E and Alcaraz, C (2004). Incongruence between test statistics and P values. *BMC Medical Research*. 4: 13. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2288/4/13>

Godlee, F, Smith, J, et al. (2011). Wakefield's article linking MMR vaccine and autism was fraudulent. *British Medical Journal*. 342: e7452. <http://www.bmj.com/content/342/bmj.c7452>

Gotzsche, PC and Olson, O (2001). Misleading publications of major mammography screening trials in major medical journals. *Fourth International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication*, Barcelona, Spain. American Medical Association <http://www.ama-assn.org/public/peer/peerhome.htm>

Grant, B (2009). Elsevier published 6 fake journals. The Scientist, May 7, 2009. <http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/27383/title/Elsevier-published-6-fake-journals/>

Grant, B (2009). Merck published fake journal. The Scientist, April 30, 2009. <http://www.the-scientist.com/?articles.view/articleNo/27376/title/Merck-published-fake-journal/>

Habibzadeh, P (2013). Decay of references to Web sites in articles published in general medical journals: mainstream vs small journals. *Applied Clinical Informatics*. 4: 455-464.

Halpern, SD, Karlawish, JHT, et al. (2002). The continuing unethical conduct of underpowered clinical trials. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 288: 358-362.

Harris, G (2009). Drug Maker Told Studies Would Aid It, Papers Say. New York, NY. New York Times. March 20, 2009. <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/03/20/us/20psych.html>

Haug, C (2013). The downside of open-access publishing. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 368: 791-793.

Haug, C, Gotzsche, PC, et al. (2005). Registries and registration of clinical trials. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 353: 2811-2812.

Herbert, RD and Gabriel, M (2002). Effects of stretching before and after exercising on muscle soreness and risk of injury: systematic review. *British Medical Journal*. 325: 468.

Hersh, WR (2009). *Information Retrieval: A Health and Biomedical Perspective (3rd Edition)*. New York, NY, Springer.

Hudson, KL and Collins, FS (2015). Sharing and reporting the results of clinical trials. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 313: 355-356.

Huwiler-Müntener, K, Juni, P, et al. (2002). Quality of reporting of randomized trials as a measure of methodologic quality. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 287: 2801-2804.

Ingelfinger, FJ (1974). Peer review in biomedical publication. *American Journal of Medicine*. 56: 686-692.

Insel, TR, Volkow, ND, et al. (2003). Neuroscience networks: data-sharing in an information age. *PLoS Biology*. 1: E17.

Jefferson, T, Alderson, P, et al. (2002). Effects of editorial peer review: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 287: 2784-2786.

Jüni, P, Rutjes, AWS, et al. (2002). Are selective COX 2 inhibitors superior to traditional non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs? *British Medical Journal*. 324: 1287-1288.

Kock, N (1999). A case of academic plagiarism. *Communications of the ACM*. 42(7): 96-104.

Krumholz, SD, Egilman, DS, et al. (2011). Study of neurontin: titrate to effect, profile of safety (STEPS) trial: a narrative account of a gabapentin seeding trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 171: 1100-1107.

Kuhn, TS (1962). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press.

Laine, C and Mulrow, CD (2005). Exorcising ghosts and unwelcome guests. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 143: 611-612.

Lehman, R and Loder, E (2012). Missing clinical trial data. *British Medical Journal*. 344: d8158. <http://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.d8158>

Lexchin, J, Bero, LA, et al. (2003). Pharmaceutical industry sponsorship and research outcome and quality: systematic review. *British Medical Journal*. 326: 1167-1170.

Lundh, A, Sismondo, S, et al. (2012). Industry sponsorship and research outcome. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 12: MR000033.

McCook, A (2006). Is peer review broken? *The Scientist*. 20(2): 26-34. <http://www.the-scientist.com/article/display/23061/>

McKibbin, KA, Wilczynski, NL, et al. (2004). What do evidence-based secondary journals tell us about the publication of clinically important articles in primary healthcare journals. *BMC Medicine*. 2: 33. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7015/2/33>

Mello, MM, Francer, JK, et al. (2013). Preparing for responsible sharing of clinical trial data. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 369: 1651-1658.

Moher, D, Jones, A, et al. (2001). Use of the CONSORT statement and quality of reports of randomized trials: a comparative before-and-after evaluation. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 285: 2006-2007.

Moher, D, Schulz, KF, et al. (2001). The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomized trials. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 134: 657-662.

Nigg, HN and Radulsecu, G (1994). Scientific misconduct in environmental science and toxicology. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 272: 168-170.

Nyhan, B (2014). Increasing the credibility of political science research: a proposal for journal reforms. Hanover, NH, Dartmouth College. <http://www.dartmouth.edu/~nyhan/journal-reforms.pdf>

Nyhan, B (2014). To Get More Out of Science, Show the Rejected Research. New York Times. September 18, 2014. <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/09/19/upshot/to-get-more-out-of-science-show-the-rejected-research.html>

Olson, CM, Rennie, D, et al. (2002). Publication bias in editorial decision making. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 287: 2825-2828.

Peters, DP and Ceci, SJ (1982). Peer-review practices of psychological journals: the fate of published articles, submitted again. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 5: 187-255.

Pitkin, RM, Branagan, MA, et al. (1999). Accuracy of data in abstracts of published research articles. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 281: 1110-1111.

Prayle, AP, Hurley, MN, et al. (2012). Compliance with mandatory reporting of clinical trial results on ClinicalTrials.gov: cross sectional study. *British Medical Journal*. 344: d7373. <http://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.d7373>

Reich, ES (2011). Cancer trial errors revealed. *Nature*. 469: 139-140.

Rice, C (2013). Open access publishing hoax: what Science magazine got wrong. The Guardian. October 4, 2013. <http://www.theguardian.com/higher-education-network/blog/2013/oct/04/science-hoax-peer-review-open-access>

Riesenberg, LA and Dontineni, S (2001). Review of reference inaccuracies. *Fourth International Congress on Peer Review in Biomedical Publication*, Barcelona, Spain. American Medical Association <http://www.ama-assn.org/public/peer/peerhome.htm>

Ross, JS and Krumholz, HM (2013). Ushering in a new era of open science through data sharing: the wall must come down. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 309: 1355-1356.

Ross, JS, Mocanu, M, et al. (2013). Time to publication among completed clinical trials. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 173: 825-828.

Ross, JS, Tse, T, et al. (2012). Publication of NIH funded trials registered in ClinicalTrials.gov: cross sectional analysis. *British Medical Journal*. 344: d7292. <http://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.d7292>

Scarpa, T (2006). Research funding: peer review at NIH. *Science*. 311: 41.

Schooler, J (2011). Unpublished results hide the decline effect. *Nature*. 470: 437.

Schulz, KF, Chalmers, I, et al. (1994). Assessing the quality of randomization from reports of controlled trials published in obstetrics and gynecology journals. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 272: 125-128.

Schwartz, LM and Woloshin, S (2009). Lost in transmission--FDA drug information that never reaches clinicians. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 361: 1717-1720.

Siler, K, Lee, K, et al. (2015). Measuring the effectiveness of scientific gatekeeping. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 112: 360-365.

Smith, R (2005). Medical journals are an extension of the marketing arm of pharmaceutical companies. *PLoS Medicine*. 2(5): e138.

Smith, R (2010). Classical peer review: an empty gun. *Breast Cancer Research*. 12(Suppl 4): S13. <http://breast-cancer-research.com/content/12/S4/S13>

Smith, R, Gøtzsche, PC, et al. (2014). Should journals stop publishing research funded by the drug industry? *British Medical Journal*. 348: g171. <http://www.bmj.com.liboff.ohsu.edu/content/348/bmj.g171>

Smith, S (1994). *The Jordan Rules*. New York, NY, Pocket Books.

Sox, HC and Rennie, D (2006). Research misconduct, retraction, and cleansing the medical literature: lessons from the Poehlman case. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 144: 609-613.

Sterling, TD (1959). Publication decisions and their possible effects on inferences drawn from tests of significance - or vice versa. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 54: 30-34.

Stern, JM and Simes, RJ (1997). Publication bias: evidence of delayed publication in a cohort study of clinical research projects. *British Medical Journal*. 315: 640-645.

Strom, BL, Buyse, M, et al. (2014). Data sharing, year 1--access to data from industry-sponsored clinical trials. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 371: 2052-2054.

Stumpf, WE (1980). "Peer" review. *Science*. 207: 822-823.

Terry, D (2014). Publish now, judge later. *Communications of the ACM*. 57(1): 44-46.

Tierney, WM and Gerrity, MS (2005). Scientific discourse, corporate ghostwriting, journal policy, and public trust. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 20: 550-551.

Turner, EH, Knoopfmacher, D, et al. (2012). Publication bias in antipsychotic trials: an analysis of efficacy comparing the published literature to the US Food and Drug Administration Database. *PLoS Medicine*. 9(3)
<http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.1001189>

Turner, EH, Matthews, AM, et al. (2008). Selective publication of antidepressant trials and its influence on apparent efficacy. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 358: 252-260.

Vasilevsky, NA, Brush, MH, et al. (2013). On the reproducibility of science: unique identification of research resources in the biomedical literature. *PeerJ*. 5(1): e148. <https://peerj.com/articles/148/>

Villanueva, P, Piero, S, et al. (2003). Accuracy of pharmaceutical advertisements in medical journals. *Lancet*. 361: 27-32.

Wager, E and Middleton, P (2002). Effects of technical editing in biomedical journals: a systematic review. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 287: 2821-2824.

Wilkes, MS, Doblin, B, et al. (1992). Pharmaceutical advertisements in leading medical journals: experts' assessments. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 116: 912-919.

Young, NS, Ioannidis, JP, et al. (2008). Why current publication practices may distort science. *PLoS Medicine*. 5(10): e201.
<http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.0050201>

Zarin, DA, Tse, T, et al. (2005). Trial Registration at ClinicalTrials.gov between May and October 2005. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 353: 2779-2787.