Hazard Analysis Software Engineering

Team #11, OKKM Insights Mathew Petronilho Oleg Glotov Kyle McMaster Kartik Chaudhari

Table 1: Revision History

| Date | Developer(s) | Change |
|------|--------------------|--|
| | Name(s) Name(s) | Description of changes Description of changes |
| ••• | ••• | ••• |

Contents

| 1 | Intr | roduction | 1 | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | tem Boundaries and Components | 1 | | | | |
| | $\frac{3.1}{3.2}$ | | $\frac{1}{2}$ | | | | |
| | 3.2 | 3.2.1 Login Service | 2 | | | | |
| | | 3.2.2 Money Service | $\frac{2}{2}$ | | | | |
| | | 3.2.3 Task Delegation Service | 2 | | | | |
| | 3.3 | | 3 | | | | |
| | 3.4 | Machine Learning Task Allocation Model | 3 | | | | |
| | 3.5 | (0 /) /) / | 3 | | | | |
| | 3.6 | Python (General Back-End Processing) | 4 | | | | |
| | 3.7 | Docker (Containerization) | 4 | | | | |
| 4 | Crit | tical Assumptions | 5 | | | | |
| 5 | Failure Mode and Effect Analysis | | | | | | |
| 6 | Safety and Security Requirements | | | | | | |
| 7 | Roa | admap | 6 | | | | |
| 8 | Ref | erences | 7 | | | | |

1 Introduction

Test Text

[You can include your definition of what a hazard is here. —SS]

2 Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis

[You should say what loss could be incurred because of the hazards. —SS]

3 System Boundaries and Components

3.1 Front End (FE)

Potential Hazards:

- User Input Errors: The frontend may not properly validate inputs (e.g., incorrectly labeled data or unauthorized access attempts).
- UI Design Flaws: Poor usability could cause users to make mistakes, such as submitting incorrect labels or missing important instructions.
- Cross-Site Scripting (XSS): JavaScript code that improperly checks user inputs could allow malicious scripts to be executed in the user's browser.
- Session Hijacking: Improper management of session tokens and cookies could allow attackers to impersonate users.

Mitigations:

- Implement thorough input validation and provide clear feedback to users.
- Ensure that critical actions like labeling are supported by warnings or confirmations to reduce errors.
- Use Content Security Policy (CSP) headers and HTML escaping techniques to prevent XSS attacks.
- Secure cookies with HttpOnly and Secure flags and employ strong session management practices.

3.2 Back End (BE)

3.2.1 Login Service

Potential Hazards:

- Authentication Failure: Unauthorized access could allow malicious actors to label data incorrectly or access sensitive customer information.
- Session Hijacking: If session management is not handled correctly, attackers could hijack valid user sessions.

Mitigations:

- Implement strong authentication mechanisms (e.g., two-factor authentication) and monitor for suspicious login activity.
- Secure cookies and session tokens, implementing timeout features.

3.2.2 Money Service

Potential Hazards:

- Payment Failures: Incorrect payments could cause disputes between the labelers and the platform, leading to operational delays.
- Financial Data Breaches: Weak encryption or improper payment processor integration could expose sensitive financial data.

Mitigations:

- Secure payment processing with encryption and multi-step verification for payout transactions.
- Implement industry-standard encryption for payment details and integrate with PCI-DSS-compliant payment gateways.

3.2.3 Task Delegation Service

Potential Hazards:

• Task Misallocation: If tasks are assigned to unqualified labelers, the quality of data labeling could decrease, leading to flawed training data.

Mitigations:

• Employ task delegation algorithms that consider labelers' accuracy and expertise.

3.3 Database (DB)

Potential Hazards:

- Data Corruption or Loss: Corrupted or missing data, especially labeled data, can introduce significant inaccuracies in model training and analysis.
- **SQL Injection:** If queries are not parameterized, attackers may manipulate query inputs, resulting in unauthorized access or data manipulation.

Mitigations:

- Implement backups and data redundancy mechanisms to prevent loss or corruption.
- Use parameterized queries or prepared statements to prevent SQL injection.
- Ensure proper data validation before storing data.

3.4 Machine Learning Task Allocation Model

Potential Hazards:

- Model Misconfiguration: The allocation model may incorrectly assign tasks if it misinterprets labeler performance data, leading to inefficiency and low-quality labeled datasets.
- Bias in Task Allocation: If the model favors certain users, this could introduce biases in the labeling process, affecting data accuracy.
- Adversarial Attacks: Machine learning models might be vulnerable to adversarial attacks that can skew the task allocations.

Mitigations:

- Continuously monitor and test the task allocation model's performance and adjust for fairness and accuracy.
- Implement adversarial training or model-hardening techniques to defend against attacks.

3.5 Libraries (e.g., BoTorch, TensorFlow, PyTorch)

Potential Hazards:

- Library Bugs or Vulnerabilities: External libraries may have bugs or security vulnerabilities that can affect system performance or introduce security risks.
- Version Conflicts: Dependency issues may arise when integrating BoTorch with other machine learning libraries.

- Optimization Failures: Incorrect hyperparameter optimization might result in poor allocation of labeling tasks.
- Adversarial Model Attacks: TensorFlow or PyTorch models may be susceptible to adversarial attacks, leading to incorrect classifications.

Mitigations:

- Regularly update libraries and conduct security audits to ensure all dependencies are secure.
- Use virtual environments or Docker to manage dependencies and avoid conflicts.
- Rigorously test optimization outcomes using test datasets.
- Implement adversarial defenses, such as adversarial training and data augmentation.

3.6 Python (General Back-End Processing)

Potential Hazards:

- **Performance Bottlenecks:** Python can introduce performance issues, especially in CPU-bound processes.
- **Memory Leaks:** Improper memory management can cause resource exhaustion, leading to crashes.
- Security Vulnerabilities: Since Python is dynamically typed, unexpected inputs could lead to runtime errors, potentially compromising data integrity.

Mitigations:

- Use Cython or external compiled languages for performance-critical tasks.
- Regularly run memory profiling tools to ensure no memory leaks.
- Implement input validation and use static analysis tools like bandit to enforce security best practices.

3.7 Docker (Containerization)

Potential Hazards:

- Container Breakout: Misconfigurations or unpatched vulnerabilities in Docker containers could allow attackers to escape the container and gain access to the host system.
- Resource Exhaustion: Poor resource management inside containers could lead to resource exhaustion, affecting system performance.

Mitigations:

- Use security best practices such as running containers with the least privilege, using Seccomp profiles, and isolating sensitive workloads.
- Set resource limits on containers to prevent exhaustion of host system resources.

4 Critical Assumptions

[These assumptions that are made about the software or system. You should minimize the number of assumptions that remove potential hazards. For instance, you could assume a part will never fail, but it is generally better to include this potential failure mode. —SS]

5 Failure Mode and Effect Analysis

| Design Function | Failure Modes | Effects of Failure | Causes of Failure | Detection | Recommended Action | SR | Ref |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|----|------|
| Account Creation | User already exists | User can not create an account | Email is duplicated | Compare the email entered with the user database records to see if the email is in use | Notify the user that the email is associated with another account Prompt them to give another email or sign in with the one they entered | | H1-1 |
| | Invalid input syntax and length | User can not create an account | Email is not valid Password is not strong enough | 1) Use regular expressions to detect if the string pattern is valid 2) Use regular expressions to detect if all password requirements are met | 1) Notify the user that they must enter a valid email and give an example of a valid email 2) Tell the user what password requirements they have and have not satisfied | | H1-2 |
| Log In | Incorrect credentials entered | User can not access application | No account with the entered email exists Password does not match records | 1) Compare email entered with database records to see if account exists 2) Compare password entered with what is stored in the database for the entered email | Tell user account does not exist and prompt them to make one Tell user password is incorrect and prompt password recovery | | H2-1 |
| | Excessive permissions given | Users can perform unauthorized actions | Application paths are unprotected | Check user login token each time a new page of the website is accessed | Tell the user to log in Deny access if they try to access a page they should not | | H2-2 |
| Labeling Satallite Images | Internet connection is lost | Users can not submit labeled images or navigate the website | Internet connection is weak or power is lost | Device shows no internet connection | Any labeled photos or created projects that have already been submitted have been saved Progress is resumed when connection is re-established | | H3-1 |
| | Application is closed | Same as H3-1 | Power outage or misclick | Application is no longer running on the users device | Any labeled photos or created projects that have already been submitted have been saved Progress is resumed on log in | | H3-2 |

| | Unlabelled data is submitted | Bad data is added to the dataset | Misclick | On submission, application checks that there are as many labels as requested by the job | Reject a submission if no labeling was done | H3-3 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|------|
| | Mass labeling done too quickly | Bad data is added and reward system is abused | Bots have been deployed to make quick labels | User is submitting data at an unreasonable speed | Implement a submission cool down to prevent bot submissions Reward system is based on accuracy | H3-4 |
| Backend Server and | Server crashes | All services provided by the server are down | Software error on server side | Error found in logs | Monitor errors in logs Notify users that the server is down | H4-1 |
| API Requests | API is not responding | All services provided by an API do not work | API service provider is down or overwhelmed | Response from the API has an error code | Retry all API requests after a specific amount of time Monitor errors in logs | H4-2 |
| Data Storage | User account is compromised | User info is exposed and they will be dissatisfied with the application | Lack of encryption and protection of sensitive infomation | User notifies the team of lost reward balance or lost account access | Ensure user passwords are encrypted when stored Ensure financial transactions are secure Password reset occurs through a trusted source such as email | H5-1 |
| | Duplicate entry occurs | Data inconsistency, unneccesary storage usage, and slower query performance | Lack of constraints/validation | Check the database entries | Ensure the database has unique keys Set up a duplicate key procedure on the database | H5-2 |
| | Database is compromised | Data inconsistency, malicous entries, and data leaks | SQL injection | Check database entries | Use parameterized queries Avoid dynamic SQL strings | H5-3 |

6 Safety and Security Requirements

[Newly discovered requirements. These should also be added to the SRS. (A rationale design process how and why to fake it.) —SS]

7 Roadmap

[Which safety requirements will be implemented as part of the capstone timeline? Which requirements will be implemented in the future? —SS]

Appendix — Reflection

[Not required for CAS 741—SS]

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

- 1. What went well while writing this deliverable? sample text
- 2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
- 3. Which of your listed risks had your team thought of before this deliverable, and which did you think of while doing this deliverable? For the latter ones (ones you thought of while doing the Hazard Analysis), how did they come about?
- 4. Other than the risk of physical harm (some projects may not have any appreciable risks of this form), list at least 2 other types of risk in software products. Why are they important to consider?

8 References

- OWASP. Cross-Site Scripting (XSS). Available at: https://owasp.org/www-community/attacks/xss/.
- The SSL Store. The Ultimate Guide to Session Hijacking (aka Cookie Hijacking). Available at: https://www.thesslstore.com/blog/the-ultimate-guide-to-session-hijacking-aka-cookie-hijacking/.
- Mozilla Developer Network. Content Security Policy (CSP). Available at: https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/CSP.
- Neontri. PCI DSS Compliance. Available at: https://neontri.com/blog/pci-dss-compliance/.
- Imperva. Session Hijacking. Available at: https://www.imperva.com/learn/application-security/session-hijacking/.

- Label Your Data. Bias in Machine Learning. Available at: https://labelyourdata.com/articles/bias-in-machine-learning.
- Reversing Labs. How to Harden ML Models Against Adversarial Attacks. Available at: https://www.reversinglabs.com/blog/how-to-harden-ml-models-against-adversarial-attacks.
- Hyperopt GitHub Issues. Hyperopt Version Conflicts. Available at: https://github.com/hyperopt/hyperopt/issues/642.
- HiddenLayer. What's in the Box? Understanding Adversarial Attacks on ML Models. Available at: https://hiddenlayer.com/research/whats-in-the-box/.
- Medium. Python Security Best Practices for Writing Secure Code. Available at: https://medium.com/@VAISHAK_CP/ python-security-best-practices-for-writing-secure-code-a6a9130e3748.
- Docker Documentation. Seccomp Security Profiles. Available at: https://docs.docker.com/engine/security/seccomp/.