Functional Dependencies, Canonical cover & Normalization

• **User** (<u>userName</u>, firstName, lastName, email, contactNumber, password)

Candidate keys:

```
userName, email. userName \subseteq User \ and \ userName \to User email \subseteq User. \ email \to User
```

We defined userName as primary key since email can be changed by a user.

Functional Dependencies:

```
F = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password\}
```

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making right hand side (RHS) a single attribute.

RHS is already a single attribute in all FDs.

```
⇒ Step 2: Having Left Hand Side in simple form.

userName → firstName (No left redundancy)

userName → lastName (No left redundancy)

userName → email (No left redundancy)

userName → contactNumber (No left redundancy)

userName → password (No left redundancy)
```

⇒ Step 3: Remove redundant FDs.

For: userName → firstName

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow firstName\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password\}$

userName⁺_G = {lastName, email, contactNumber, password}

Since firstName \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow firstName is not redundant.

For: userName → lastName

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow lastName\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password\}$

userName⁺_G = {firstName, email, contactNumber, password}

Since lastName \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow lastName is not redundant.

• For: userName → email

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow email\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password\}$

userName⁺_G = {firstName, lastName, contactNumber, password}

Since email \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow email is not redundant.

• For: userName → contactNumber

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow contactNumber\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow password\}$

userName⁺_G = {firstName, lastName, email, password}

Since contactNumber \notin userName $^+$ _G so userName \rightarrow contactNumber is not redundant.

• For: userName → password

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow password\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber\}$

userName⁺_G = {firstName, lastName, email, contactNumber}

Since password \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow password is not redundant.

Since there is no redundant Functional Dependencies in F so F is a Canonical Cover of itself.

Normalization

The primary key of User is "userName".

 $\label{eq:F} F = \{userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password\}$

Since LHS of all FDs in F is the primary key so User is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: userName

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {userName \rightarrow firstName, userName \rightarrow lastName, userName \rightarrow email, userName \rightarrow contactNumber, userName \rightarrow password}

Normalization: BCNF

• Employer (userName, employerName, accStatus, category, balance)

Candidate key:

```
userName
```

userName \subseteq Employer and userName \rightarrow Employer

Functional Dependencies:

```
F = \{userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus, username \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance\}
```

Canonical Cover:

```
⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.
```

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

```
\{userName \rightarrow employerName\}\ (No left redundancy)
```

{userName → accStatus} (No left redundancy)

 $\{userName \rightarrow category\}\ (No left redundancy)$

{userName → balance} (No left redundancy)

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: userName → employerName

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow employerName\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

userName⁺_G = {accStatus, category, balance}

Since employerName \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow employer is not redundant.

For: userName → accStatus

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow accStatus\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

userName⁺_G = {employerName, category, balance}

Since accStatus \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow accStatus is not redundant.

• For: userName → category

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow category\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

userName⁺_G = {employerName, accStatus, balance}

Since category \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow category is not redundant.

• For: userName → balance

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow balance\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus\}$

userName⁺_G = {employerName, accStatus}

Since balance \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow balance is not redundant.

There are no redundant functional dependencies in F. So F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: userName

 $F = \{userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Employer is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: userName

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {userName \rightarrow employerName, userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance}

• Applicant (<u>userName</u>, category, accStatus, balance)

Candidate key:

```
userName
```

userName \subseteq Applicant and userName \rightarrow Applicant

Functional Dependencies:

```
F = \{userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow balance\}
```

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

{userName → category} (No left redundancy)

{userName → accStatus} (No left redundancy)

{userName → balance} (No left redundancy)

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: userName → category

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow category\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow accStatus, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

userName⁺_G = {accStatus, balance}

Since category \notin userName⁺_G so userName \rightarrow category is not redundant.

• For: userName → accStatus

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow accStatus\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow balance\}$

userName⁺_G = {category, balance}

Since accStatus \notin userName $^+$ G so userName \rightarrow accStatus is not redundant

• For: userName → balance

Let $G = F - \{userName \rightarrow balance\}$

 $G = \{userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow accStatus\}$

userName⁺_G = {category, accStatus}

Since balance \notin userName $^+$ _G so userName \rightarrow balance is not redundant

There are no redundant functional dependencies in F. So F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: userName

 $F = \{userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow accStatus\}$

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Applicant is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: userName

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow accStatus}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {userName \rightarrow category, userName \rightarrow accStatus}

Normalization: BCNF

• Admin (<u>userName</u>)

Candidate Key: userName.

 $userName \subseteq Admin and userName \rightarrow Admin$

Functional Dependencies: F = {}

Canonical Cover: F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization: BCNF

Summary

Primary Key: userName

Functional Dependencies: {}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {}

Normalization: BCNF

Job (jobID, employerUserName, title, datePosted, description, category, jobStatus, empNeeded)

Candidate key:

jobID

 $jobID \subseteq Job \text{ and } jobID \rightarrow Job$

Functional Dependencies:

```
F = \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName, jobID \rightarrow title, jobID \rightarrow datePosted, jobID \rightarrow description, jobID \rightarrow category, jobID \rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded \}
```

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

{jobID → employerUserName} (No left redundancy)

 $\{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{title}\}\ (\text{No left redundancy})$

jobID → datePosted} (No left redundancy)

 $\{jobID \rightarrow description\}$ (No left redundancy)

 ${jobID \rightarrow category}$ (No left redundancy)

```
\{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{jobStatus}\}\ (No left redundancy)
\{jobID \rightarrow empNeeded\} (No left redundancy)
     ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs

    For: jobID → employerUserName

Let G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName\}
G = \{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{title, jobID} \rightarrow \text{datePosted, jobID} \rightarrow \text{description, jobID} \rightarrow \text{category, jobID} \rightarrow
jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded
jobID<sup>+</sup><sub>G</sub> = {title, datePosted, description, category, jobStatus, empNeeded}
Since employerUserName \notin jobID^+<sub>G</sub> so jobID\rightarrow employerUserName is not redundant.
     • For: jobID → title
Let G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow title\}
G = \{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{employerUserName}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{datePosted}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{description}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{category},
jobID \rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded
joblD^{+}_{G} = \{employerUserName, datePosted, description, category, jobStatus, empNeeded\}
Since title \notin jobID<sup>+</sup><sub>G</sub> so jobID \rightarrow title is not redundant.

    For: jobID → datePosted

Let G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow datePosted\}
G = \{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{employerUserName}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{title}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{description}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{category}, \text{jobID} \}
\rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded}
jobID<sup>+</sup><sub>G</sub> = {employerUserName, title, description, category, jobStatus, empNeeded}
Since datePosted \notin jobID<sup>+</sup><sub>G</sub> so jobID \rightarrow datePosted is not redundant.
     • For: jobID → description
Let G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow description\}
G = \{\text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{employerUserName}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{title}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{datePosted}, \text{jobID} \rightarrow \text{category}, \text{jobID} \}
\rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded}
jobID<sup>+</sup><sub>G</sub> = {employerUserName, title, datePosted, category, jobStatus, empNeeded}
```

Since description \notin jobID⁺_G so jobID \rightarrow description is not redundant.

• For: jobID → category

Let $G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow category\}$

 $G = \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName, jobID \rightarrow title, jobID \rightarrow datePosted, jobID \rightarrow description, large statement of the property of th$

 $jobID \rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded$

jobID⁺_G = {employerUserName, title, datePosted, description, jobStatus, empNeeded}

Since category \notin jobID⁺_G so jobID \rightarrow category is not redundant.

• For: jobID → jobStatus

Let $G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow jobStatus\}$

 $G = \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName, jobID \rightarrow title, jobID \rightarrow datePosted, jobID \rightarrow description, \}$

 $jobID \rightarrow category, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded$

jobID⁺_G = {employerUserName, title, datePosted, description, category, empNeeded}

Since jobStatus \notin jobID⁺_G so jobID \rightarrow jobStatus is not redundant.

For: jobID → empNeeded

Let $G = F - \{jobID \rightarrow empNeeded\}$

 $G = \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName, jobID \rightarrow title, jobID \rightarrow datePosted, jobID \rightarrow description, \}$

 $jobID \rightarrow category, jobID \rightarrow jobStatus$

jobID⁺_G = {employerUserName, title, datePosted, description, category, jobStatus}

Since empNeeded \notin jobID⁺_G so jobID \rightarrow empNeeded is not redundant.

There are no redundant functional dependencies in F. So F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: jobID

 $F = \{jobID \rightarrow employerUserName, jobID \rightarrow title, jobID \rightarrow datePosted, jobID \rightarrow description, \}$

 $jobID \rightarrow category, jobID \rightarrow jobStatus, jobID \rightarrow empNeeded$

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Job is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: jobID

Functional Dependencies: {jobID → employerUserName, jobID → title, jobID → datePosted, jobID → description, jobID → category, jobID → jobStatus, jobID → empNeeded}

Canonical Cover: {jobID → employerUserName, jobID → title, jobID → datePosted, jobID → description, jobID → category, jobID → jobStatus, jobID → empNeeded}

Normalization: BCNF

 CreditCardInfo (<u>CCNumber</u>, <u>expireDate</u>, userUserName, CCBNumber, defaultCard, auto_manual)

Candidate key:

{CCNumber, expireDate}

 $\{CCNumber, expireDate\} \subseteq CreditCardInfo and <math>\{CCNumber, expireDate\} \rightarrow CreditCardInfo \}$

Functional Dependencies:

 $F = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard, expireDate \rightarrow auto_manual\}$

Canonical Cover:

 \Rightarrow Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

CCNumber⁺ = CCNumber.

expireDate⁺ = expireDate.

CCNumber, expireDate → userUserName (No left redundancy)

CCNumber, expireDate → CCBNumber (No left redundancy)

CCNumber, expireDate → defaultCard (No left redundancy)

CCNumber, expireDate → auto_manual (No left redundancy)

```
⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
```

• For: CCNumber, expireDate → userUserName

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName\}$

 $G = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow auto manual \}$

{CCNumber, expireDate}⁺_G = {CCBNumber, defaultCard, auto manual}

Since userUserName \notin {CCNumber, expireDate} $^+$ G so CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName is not redundant.

• For: CCNumber, expireDate → CCBNumber

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber\}$

 $G = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard,$

CCNumber, expireDate → auto_manual}

{CCNumber, expireDate}+G = {userUserName, defaultCard, auto_manual}

Since CCBNumber \notin {CCNumber, expireDate} $^+$ G so CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber is not redundant.

For: CCNumber, expireDate → defaultCard

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard\}$

 $G = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber,$

CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow auto manual}

{CCNumber, expireDate}⁺_G = {userUserName, CCBNumber, auto_ manual}

Since defaultCard \notin {CCNumber, expireDate} $^+$ G so CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard is not redundant.

For: CCNumber, expireDate → auto manual

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow auto_manual\}$

 $G = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber,$

CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard}

{CCNumber, expireDate}⁺_G = {userUserName, CCBNumber, defaultCard}

Since auto_manual \notin {CCNumber, expireDate} $^+$ G so CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow auto_manual is not redundant.

There are no redundant functional dependencies in F. So, F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: CCNumber, expireDate

 $F = \{CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber, expireDate \rightarrow CCBNumber,$

expireDate \rightarrow defaultCard, expireDate \rightarrow auto manual}

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so CreditCardInfo is in BCNF.

Summary

<u>Primary Key:</u> CCNumber, expireDate

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow

CCBNumber}

Canonical Cover: {CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow userUserName, CCNumber, expireDate \rightarrow

CCBNumber}

Normalization: BCNF

 PADInfo (<u>accountNumber</u>, instituteNumber, branchNumber, defaultAccount, auto_manual)

Candidate key:

accountNumber

 $accountNumber \subset PADInfo$ and $accountNumber \rightarrow PADInfo$

Functional Dependencies:

 ${\sf F} = \{{\sf accountNumber} \rightarrow {\sf instituteNumber}, {\sf accountNumber} \rightarrow {\sf branchNumber}, {\sf accountNumber}\}$

→ defaultAccount, accountNumber → auto_manual}

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

 \Rightarrow Step 2: Having LHS in simple form. {accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber} (No left redundancy) {accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber} (No left redundancy)

 $\{accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount\}\ (No left redundancy)$

{accountNumber → auto manual} (No left redundancy)

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: accountNumber → instituteNumber

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber\}$

 $\label{eq:G} G = \{accountNumber \rightarrow userUserName, accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount, accountNumber \rightarrow auto_manual\}$

accountNumber⁺_G = {branchNumber, defaultAccount, auto_manual}

Since instituteNumber \notin accountNumber ^+_G so accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber is not redundant.

• For: accountNumber → branchNumber

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber\}$

 $\label{eq:G} G = accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount, accountNumber \\ \rightarrow auto_manual \}$

accountNumber⁺_G = {instituteNumber, defaultAccount, auto_manual}

Since branchNumber \notin accountNumber ^+_G so accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber is not redundant.

• For: accountNumber → defaultAccount

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount \}$

 $G = \{accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber, accountNumber\}$

 \rightarrow auto_manual}

accountNumber⁺_G = {instituteNumber, brachNumber, auto manual}

Since defaultAccount \notin accountNumber $^{+}_{G}$ so accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount is not redundant.

For: accountNumber → auto manual

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow auto manual\}$

 $G = \{accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber, accountNumber\}$

→ defaultAccount}

accountNumber⁺_G = {instituteNumber, brachNumber, defaultAccount}

Since auto manual \notin accountNumber $\stackrel{+}{\circ}$ so accountNumber \rightarrow auto manual is not redundant.

There are no redundant FD. So, F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: accountNumber

 $F = \{accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber, accountNumber\}$

 \rightarrow defaultAccount, accountNumber \rightarrow auto manual}

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so PADInfo is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: accountNumber

Functional Dependencies: {accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow

branchNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount, accountNumber \rightarrow auto manual}

<u>Canonical Cover</u>: {accountNumber \rightarrow instituteNumber, accountNumber \rightarrow branchNumber,

accountNumber \rightarrow defaultAccount, accountNumber \rightarrow auto manual}

Normalization: BCNF

• Application (applicantUserName, jobID, applicationStatus, applicationDate)

Candidate key:

```
{applicantUserName, jobID} ⊆ Apply and {applicantUserName, jobID} → Apply
```

Functional Dependencies:

 $F = \{applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus, applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationDate\}$

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

```
⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.
applicantUserName + = applicantUserName.
jobID+ = jobID.
{applicantUserName, jobID} → applicationStatus (No left redundancy)
{applicantUserName, jobID} → applicationDate (No left redundancy)
```

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: {applicantUserName, jobID} → applicationStatus

Let $G = F - \{applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus\}$

 $G = \{applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationDate\}$

{applicantUserName, jobID}⁺_G = {applicationDate}

Since applicationStatus \notin {applicantUserName, jobID} $^+$ G so applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus is not redundant.

• For: {applicantUserName, jobID} → applicationDate

Let $G = F - \{applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationDate\}$

 $G = \{applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus\}$

{applicantUserName, jobID}⁺_G = {applicationStatus}

Since applicationDate \notin {applicantUserName, jobID}⁺_G so applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationDate is not redundant.

There are no redundant functional dependencies in F. So, F is a canonical cover of itself.

Normalization

Primary Key: applicantUserName, jobID

 ${\sf F} = \{ {\sf applicantUserName, jobID} \rightarrow {\sf applicationStatus, applicantUserName, jobID} \rightarrow {\sf applicantUserName, jobID} \rightarrow$

applicationDate}

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Apply is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: applicantUserName, jobID

 $\underline{Functional\ Dependencies:}\ \{applicantUserName,\ jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus,\ applicantUserName,\ properties applicationStatus,\ properties properties applicationS$

 $jobID \rightarrow applicationDate$

Canonical Cover: {applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow applicationStatus, applicantUserName, jobID \rightarrow

applicationDate}

Normalization: BCNF

• EmployerCC (employerUserName, CCNumber)

Candidate key:

{CCNumber}

 $\{CCNumber\} \subseteq EmployerCC$ and $\{CCNumber\} \rightarrow EmployerCC$

Functional Dependencies:

 $F = \{CCNumber \rightarrow employerUserName\}$

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

LHS of all FDs are in simple form.

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: {CCNumber → employerUserName}

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber \rightarrow employerUserName\}$

 $G = \{\}$

Since employerUserName $\notin \{CCNumber\}^+_G$ so $CCNumber \rightarrow employerUserName$ is not redundant.

Normalization

Primary Key: CCNumber

 $F = \{CCNumber \rightarrow employerUserName\}$

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Apply is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: CCNumber

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {CCNumber → employerUserName}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {CCNumber \rightarrow employerUserName}

Normalization: BCNF

• EmployerPAD (employerUserName, accountNumber)

Candidate key:

{accountNumber}

 $\{accountNumber\} \subseteq EmployerPAD \text{ and } \{accountNumber} \rightarrow EmployerPAD$

Functional Dependencies:

 $F = \{accountNumber \rightarrow employerUserName\}$

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

LHS of all FDs are in simple form.

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: {accountNumber → employerUserName}

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow employerUserName\}$

 $G = \{\}$

Since employerUserName \notin {accountNumber} $^+$ G so accountNumber \rightarrow employerUserName is not redundant.

Normalization

Primary Key: accountNumber

F = {accountNumber → employerUserName}

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Apply is in BCNF.

Summary

<u>Primary Key:</u> accountNumber

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {accountNumber → employerUserName}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {accountNumber → employerUserName}

Normalization: BCNF

• ApplicantCC (applicantUserName, CCNumber)

Candidate key:

{CCNumber}

 $\{CCNumber\} \subseteq ApplicantCC$ and $\{CCNumber\} \rightarrow ApplicantCC$

Functional Dependencies:

 $F = \{CCNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName\}$

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

LHS of all FDs are in simple form.

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: {CCNumber → applicantUserName}

Let $G = F - \{CCNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName\}$

 $G = \{\}$

Since applicantUserName $\notin \{CCNumber\}^+_G$ so $CCNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName$ is not redundant.

Normalization

Primary Key: CCNumber

 $F = \{CCNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName\}$

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Apply is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: CCNumber

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {CCNumber → applicantUserName}

<u>Canonical Cover:</u> {CCNumber → applicantUserName}

Normalization: BCNF

EmployerPAD (applicantUserName, accountNumber)

Candidate key:

{accountNumber}

 $\{accountNumber\} \subseteq ApplicantPAD \text{ and } \{accountNumber} \rightarrow ApplicantPAD$

Functional Dependencies:

F = {accountNumber → applicantUserName}

Canonical Cover:

⇒ Step 1: Making RHS single attribute.

All the FDs have single attribute in the RHS.

⇒ Step 2: Having LHS in simple form.

LHS of all FDs are in simple form.

- ⇒ Step 3: Removing redundant FDs
- For: {accountNumber → applicantUserName}

Let $G = F - \{accountNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName\}$

 $G = \{\}$

Since applicantUserName \notin {accountNumber} ^+_G so accountNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName is not redundant.

Normalization

Primary Key: accountNumber

F = {accountNumber → applicantUserName}

Since LHS of all FD's in F is the primary key so Apply is in BCNF.

Summary

Primary Key: accountNumber

<u>Functional Dependencies:</u> {accountNumber → applicantUserName}

 $\underline{Canonical\ Cover:} \left\{ accountNumber \rightarrow applicantUserName \right\}$

Normalization: BCNF