

Concept Flyer — Shunyaya Structural Transition Science (SSTS)

Where science learns when change is permitted

Status: Public Research Release (v1.6)

Date: February 01, 2026

Caution: Research and observation only. Not for critical or automated decision-making.

License: CC BY-NC 4.0

Note: Implementations, demonstrations, examples, domain applications, and derivative works built on SSTS may be released under CC BY 4.0 or Open Standard licenses at the author's discretion.

The Problem

Why Lawful Energy Does Not Always Become Chemistry

Physics is precise about energy:

- how much energy exists
- what forces act
- what motions are possible

Chemistry is precise about matter:

- what bonds form
- what reactions occur
- what structures result

But neither answers a critical question:

When does lawful physical energy become structurally permitted to reorganize matter?

In real systems:

- molecules can be excited without reacting
- pressure can exist without transformation
- heat can accumulate without chemistry
- energy can be legal yet structurally blocked

Classical science has no native language for this gap.

The Shift

From Energy Sufficiency to Structural Permission

SSTS introduces a missing layer:

Not: “**Is energy sufficient?**”

But: “**Is transition permitted?**”

SSTS:

- does not modify physics or chemistry
- does not predict outcomes or rates

It governs **permission without contradiction**.

Structural Transition (Before Chemistry)

SSTS operates **between domains**.

Before:

- bonds rearrange
- chemistry commits
- irreversibility begins

SSTS asks a prior question:

Is the system structurally permitted to transition?

This evaluation is conservative.

All underlying laws remain unchanged.

The Core Insight

Energy Legality Is Not Transition Admissibility

Core axiom:

Energy_legal != Transition_admissible

This axiom preserves everything:

- energy remains energy
- laws remain laws

Only **permission** is evaluated.

SSTS separates correctness from admissibility.

The Five-State Transition Grammar

SSTS formalizes transition posture as a **five-state grammar**:

State 0 — Physical Presence

Energy exists as motion, heat, pressure, or radiation.

Pure physics.

State 1 — Physical Alignment

Energy aligns with internal degrees of freedom.

Still reversible.

State 2 — Internal Excitation

Energy enters internal modes.

The classical gray zone.

State 3 — Structural Admissibility

Reorganization is permitted.

A question of structure, not magnitude.

State 4 — Chemical Commitment

Bond rearrangement occurs.

Irreversibility begins.

Chemistry proceeds only after admissibility.

The Structural Gate

SSTS introduces a **minimal, non-invasive gate**.

Structural coordinates:

S = (g, a, c)

Where:

- **g** = geometric alignment
- **a** = internal mode accessibility
- **c** = configurational constraint

Admissibility gate:

$$A_s = H(f(g,a,c) - \tau)$$

This is a **gate, not a model**.

No probability. No kinetics. No optimization.

What SSTS Explains

SSTS resolves long-standing anomalies:

Catalysis

Catalysts do not add energy.

They stabilize admissibility.

Mechanochemistry

Mechanical action enforces alignment and constraint.

Chemistry occurs without heat.

Photochemical Selectivity

Same photon energy. Different outcomes.

Selectivity is structural.

SSTS explains **why excitation sometimes commits — and sometimes must not**.

What SSTS Is — and Is Not

SSTS is:

- a deterministic transition science
- a permission grammar between domains
- a conservative structural overlay

SSTS is not:

- a reaction model or rate law
- a predictor or optimizer
- a replacement for chemistry

SSTS governs **when transition is permitted**.
Chemistry governs **what happens next**.

Deterministic and Non-Interventional

SSTS is:

- deterministic
- reproducible
- monotone
- domain-invariant
- observational only

Its conclusions are supported by:

- **synthetic deterministic constructions**
- **real-world external negative controls**
- **canonical structural case evidence for interpretability**

It introduces:

- **no learning**
- **no simulation**
- **no approximation**
- **no semantic inference**

All results collapse cleanly back to classical science,
with **permission evaluated explicitly and execution left unchanged**.

Why SSTS Matters

SSTS reforms the **boundary between sciences**.

Physics remains correct.
Chemistry remains correct.

But transition is no longer assumed.

Energy does not automatically become chemistry.
Permission must exist.

SSTS makes that permission explicit.
