Task 1.1

Task 1.2

```
Output Size:
(64 x 64 x 1): Activation size = 64 * 64 * 1 = 4096

Layer_1: 64 - 5 + 1 = 60 x 60 x 6

MaxPool: (60 - 2) / 2 + 1 = 30 x 30 x 6

Layer_2: 30 - 5 + 1 = 26 x 26 x 16

MaxPool: (30 - 2) / 2 + 1 = 15 x 15 x 16

fc1: 120 x 1
fc2: 84 x 1
fc3: 20 x 1

Number of Parameters:
Layer1:
Weights = 5^2 x 1 x 6 = 150
Biases = 6
Parameters = 150 + 6 = 156
```

```
Layer2:
Weights = 5^2 \times 1 \times 16 = 400
Biases = 16
Parameters = 400 + 16 = 416
fc1 connected to a Conv Layer:
Weights: 15<sup>2</sup> x 16 x 120 = 432000
Biases: 120
Parameters = 432120
fc2 connected to the previous fc layer:
Weights: 120 \times 84 = 10080
Biases: 84
Parameters: 10164
fc3 connected to the previous fc layer:
Weights: 84 \times 10 = 840
Biases: 10
Parameters: 850
Total Parameters: 156 + 416 + 432120 + 10164 + 850 = 443706
```

Task 1.3

Model accuracy on 10000 test images: 58.14%

Task 1.4

Input normalization:

Model accuracy on 10000 test images: 61.72%

In comparison to the baseline model its about 3% better.

Input normalization and augmentation:

Model accuracy on 10000 test images: 57.08%

In comparison to the baseline model its about 1% worse.

Task 1.5

0.1: 10.00% 0.01: 51.34% 0.001: 57.08% 0.0001: 32.60%

The learning rate tells the optimizer how far to move the weights in the direction opposite of the gradient. If it is low, then training is more reliable, but optimization will take a lot of time because steps towards the minimum of the loss function are tiny, see above: 0.1 -> 10%.

If the learning rate is high, then training may not converge. Weight changes can be so big that the optimizer overshoots the minimum and makes the loss worse, 0.0001: 32.60%. The best learning rate in the four examples is 0.001 -> 57.08%.

Task 1.6

```
def __init__(self):
    super(CNN, self).__init__()

    self.layer1 = nn.Sequential(
        nn.Conv2d(3, 6, kernel_size=5, stride=1, padding=0),
        nn.BatchNorm2d(6),
        nn.ReLU(),
        nn.MaxPool2d(kernel_size=2, stride=2))

    self.layer2 = nn.Sequential(
        nn.Conv2d(6, 16, kernel_size=5, stride=1, padding=0),
        nn.BatchNorm2d(16),
        nn.ReLU(),
        nn.MaxPool2d(kernel_size=2, stride=2))

    self.fc1 = nn.Linear(16 * 5 * 5, 120)
    self.fc2 = nn.Linear(120, 84)
    self.fc3 = nn.Linear(84, 10)

def forward(self, x):
    out = self.layer1(x)
    out = self.layer2(out)

    out = f.relu(self.fc1(out))
    out = F.relu(self.fc2(out))
    out = self.fc3(out)

    return out
```

In contrast to the baseline model with 58.14% the model with a batch normalization layer performs a accuracy of 56.33%. So it's a little bit worse, but it should reduce overfitting because it has slight regularization effects.

```
outputs = mobileNet(inputs)
```

```
model accuracy))
```

Not enough computing power to calculate and get some results...