Home
PUBLIC
Questions
Tags
Users
COLLECTIVES
Explore Collectives
FIND A JOB
Jobs
Companies
TEAMS
Create free Team

C++ const correctness with string literals [duplicate]

Ask Question

Asked 9 years ago Modified 9 years ago Viewed 1k times

13

This question already has answers here:
What is the type of string literals in C and C++? (4 answers)
Closed 9 years ago.

According to the C++ standard a string literal type is `array of const char`

```
auto constStr = "aaa";
char* nonConstStr = constStr; //Error here, cannot convert from 'const char *' to
char* stillNonConstStr = "aaa"; //Why I don't have error here?
```

Can you please explain me why on the 3rd line I don't get an error?

c++ constants

Share Edit Follow Flag

asked Mar 12, 2013 at 7:07
Mircea Ispas
19.3k 28 117 205

1 @Rapptz I can't seem to find the same a clause allowing the same "backwards-compatibility conversion" in the C++11 standard. I guess it was removed, so the linked question is not really a duplicate nowadays. – Angew is no longer proud of SO Mar 12, 2013 at 7:16

Because it is preferable to allow it as it has been allowed historically than to break thousands of existing programs. – Ed S. Mar 12, 2013 at 7:18

3 @Rapptz How is a question+answer about C a duplicate of one about C++? Especially in an area where the two languages actually differ. – Angew is no longer proud of SO Mar 12, 2013 at 7:21

@Angew because they don't differ in this context as much as you think. – Rapptz Mar 12, 2013 at 7:22

Add a comment

2 Answers

Active Oldest Votes

14

Historical reasons. It used to be allowed, and very common, to assign from a string literal to a `char*`, even though the type of a string literal is an array of const char. I believe it comes from days in `C` where `const` didn't exist, but don't quote me on that. It was later deprecated, but still allowed so as not to break codebases that used it. That allowance does not extend to allow `char*` to be initialized from `const char*` (nor from arrays of const char that are not literals), which is why your second line fails. In C++11, the conversion from string literal to `char*` is banned, but your compiler may not enforce that yet.

Share Edit Follow Flag

edited Mar 12, 2013 at 8:11

answered Mar 12, 2013 at 7:13
Benjamin Lindley
98.2k 9 187 265

Add a comment

12

In C++03, there was a special rule ([conv.array]§2) which allowed string literals to be converted to type `char*`.

In C++11, this rule no longer exists. In other words, your code is valid C++03, but invalid C++11.

Share Edit Follow Flag

answered Mar 12, 2013 at 7:20
Angew is no longer proud of SO
161k 14 329 431

ill-formed or *deprecated* C++11. Not invalid. This would still compile for historical reasons. See here without -Wall or here with -Wall -Werror – Rapptz Mar 12, 2013 at 7:24

7 @Rapptz: ill-formed == invalid. – Benjamin Lindley Mar 12, 2013 at 7:26

2 @Rapptz Beware, *ill-formed* != *deprecated*, *"deprecated"* things work but are discouraged, *"ill-formed"* (and *"invalid"*, as *Benjamin* says) things *don't* work. – Christian Rau Mar 12, 2013 at 8:09

Add a comment

Not the answer you're looking for? Browse other questions tagged c++ constants or ask your own question.

The Overflow Blog
Celebrating the Stack Exchange sites that turned ten years old in Q1 2022
New data: What makes developers happy at work
Featured on Meta
What goes into site sponsorships on SE?
Stack Exchange Q&A access will not be restricted in Russia
Announcing an A/B test for a Trending sort option
New User Experience: Deep Dive into our Research on the Staging Ground – How...
Hot Meta Posts
29 Limit the [term] of this tag
19 When choosing "Looks OK" in the "First answers" review queue, the wording...

Linked

68 What is the type of string literals in C and C++?
15 What is the type of a string literal in C++?
28 Are string literals const?

Related

1568 What is the difference between const and readonly in C#?
3241 How do I iterate over the words of a string?
1013 How to convert a std::string to const char* or char*
1504 'Static readonly' vs. 'const'
1629 What is the difference between const int*, const int * const, and int const *?
499 Static constant string (class member)
2096 C++11 introduced a standardized memory model. What does it mean? And how is it going to affect C++ programming?
1803 Image Processing: Algorithm Improvement for 'Coca-Cola Can' Recognition
1559 Replacing a 32-bit loop counter with 64-bit introduces crazy performance deviations with _mm_popcnt_u64 on Intel CPUs

Hot Network Questions

Hot meteorite lands on bed
Drawing intersection over union in equation
How Elliptic Curve calculations are possible when using base58 addresses, if these are 552 bits long?
Why was Turning Red set in Canada in 2002 and not in present day America?
Which Action is required to throw Alchemist's Fire?
more hot questions