

## Harper 2

A type system consists of

$$A \doteq A' \text{ w/ } A \text{ type iff } A \doteq A$$

$$M \doteq M' \in A \text{ w/ } M \in A \text{ iff } M \doteq M \in A$$

✓ Symmetric & Transitive

✓ If  $A \doteq A'$  and  $M \doteq M' \in A$  then  $M \doteq M' \in A'$

I will assert the existence of certain type systems (for lack of time)

Hypothesis express functionality

$a : A \gg B$  type means  $B$  is a family of types  
that depends functionally on  $a : A$

$$M \doteq M' \in A \text{ implies } B[M/a] \doteq B[M'/a]$$

$\overset{\text{Ne}}{a : A \gg B}$  means  $B$  is a family of el' types

$$M \doteq M' \in A \text{ implies } N[M/a] \doteq N[M'/a] \in B[M/a] \doteq B[M'/a]$$

similarly for  $B \doteq B'$ ,  $N \doteq N' \in B$

defined in terms of evaluation  
all about behavior of programs  
using "certain constructions"

presupposition  $a : A \gg B$  type

$A$  type

    for:  $a : A \gg N \in B$

(computational, semantic)

e.g.) there exists a type system containing  
booleans

$\text{Bool} \doteq \text{Bool}$  i.e. Bool type

$M \doteq M' \in \text{Bool}$  iff either  $(M \Downarrow \text{true} \text{ and } M' \Downarrow \text{true})$   
or  $(M \Downarrow \text{false} \text{ and } M' \Downarrow \text{false})$

[Fact]: If  $a : \text{Bool} \gg B$  type and  $M_1 \in B[\text{true}/a]$

(need to prove) and  $M_2 \in B[\text{false}/a]$  and  $M \in \text{Bool}$

then if  $(M_1 ; M_2)(n) \in B[n/a]$ ,

if  $(M_1 ; M_2)(n) \mapsto$  if  $(M_1 ; M_2)(n')$

when  $M \mapsto M'$ ,

if  $(M_1 ; M_2)(\text{true}) \mapsto M_1$ ,

if  $(M_1 ; M_2)(\text{false}) \mapsto M_2$

(of program analysis)

Pf. either  $M \Downarrow \text{true}$  or  $M \Downarrow \text{false}$

(from  $M \in \text{Bool}$ )  
and  $\text{dft}(M) \in \text{Bool}$

$$\therefore M \doteq \text{true} \in \text{Bool}$$

(by head expansion)

$$\therefore M \doteq \text{false} \in \text{Bool}$$

(by head expansion)

$$M_1 \in B[\text{true}/a]$$

$$\underbrace{\text{if } (M_1; M_2)(M) \doteq}_{\doteq M_1 \in B[\text{true}/a]} \text{if } (M_1; M_2)(\text{true})$$

similarly for false

(by head expansion)

◻

Ex. 1) ...  $\text{if } (M_1; M_2)(\text{true}) \doteq M_1 \in B[\text{true}/a]$

2) ...  $\text{if } (M_1; M_2)(\text{false}) \doteq M_2 \in B[\text{false}/a]$

3) ...  $M \doteq \text{if } (\text{true}; \text{false})(M) \in \text{Bool}$  (universal property)

if  $a: \text{Bool} \gg P \in B$

then  $P[M/a] \doteq \text{if } (P[\text{true}/a], P[\text{false}/a])(M)$

"Shannon expansion" (Binary decision diagram)

"pivot on  $M$ "

e.g.)  $\exists$  type system containing  
natural numbers

$$\text{Nat} \doteq \text{Nat}$$

$M \doteq M \in \text{Nat}$  is strongest  
(least, ok)

such that either  $M \Downarrow 0$ ,  $M' \Downarrow 0$

or  $M \Downarrow \text{succ}(N)$   $M' \Downarrow \text{succ}(N')$

$$N \doteq N' \in \text{Nat}$$

$N$ 's are computations

want only nat comps

hence "strongest"

which gives us an induction  
principle      'not usual one'

if consider

fix  $(a, \text{succ}(a)) \mapsto \underline{\text{succ}}(\text{fix } a, \text{succ}(a))$  val

$\omega$

call this

infinite succ's

$\omega$  inhabits the greatest soln to spec,

$$\overline{0 \text{ val}} \quad \overline{\text{succ}(n) \text{ val}} \quad \text{rec } (M_0; a, b, M_1)(n) \stackrel{a, M_1}{\longrightarrow}$$

$w$  inhabits "greatest" soln

$$\text{if } n = n' \in \text{Nat}$$

$$\text{then } n \Downarrow 0 \quad n' \Downarrow 0$$

$$\text{or } n \Downarrow \text{succ}(N)$$

$$n' \Downarrow \text{succ}(N')$$

$$N \doteq N' \in \text{CoNat}$$

pick "strongest" so that  $w \notin \text{Nat}$

$$R = \underbrace{\text{rec } (M_0; a, b, M_1)(n)}_{\text{rec } (M_0; a, b, M_1)(n')} \mapsto \text{rec } (M_0; a, b, M_1)(n') \text{ if } n \mapsto n'$$

$$R(0) \mapsto M_0$$

$$R(\text{succ}(n)) \mapsto M_1 \left[ \underset{\text{pred}}{\underset{\nearrow}{n}}, \underset{\text{result of}}{\underset{\nearrow}{R(n)}}, \underset{\text{recursive call}}{\underset{\nearrow}{/ a, b}} \right]$$

Fact. suppose  $a : \text{Nat} \gg B$  type

$$M_0 \in B[0/a]$$

$$a : \text{Nat}, b : B \gg M_1 \in B[\text{succ}(a)/a]$$

~~then~~  $M \in \text{Nat}$  then  $R(M) \in B[M/a]$

Pf. 1)  $M \Downarrow 0 \quad M_0 \stackrel{?}{=} 0 \in \text{Nat} \quad M_0 \in B[0/a] \stackrel{?}{=} B[M/a]$

$$R(M) \stackrel{?}{=} R(0) \stackrel{?}{=} M_0$$

$$\text{ie } R(M) \in B[M/a] \checkmark$$

2)  $M \Downarrow \text{succ}(N) \quad ? : R(N) \in B[N/a]$

Ex.  $\rightarrow$  finish the proof

(a lot like conditional)

representative examples of inductive types

(inductive - greatest versions)

$\exists$  type system w/ these properties:

### Products

$$A_1 \times A_2 \doteq A'_1 \times A'_2 \text{ iff } A_1 \doteq A'_1, A_2 \doteq A'_2$$

$$M \doteq M' \in A_1 \times A_2 \text{ iff } M \Downarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$$

$$M' \Downarrow \langle M'_1, M'_2 \rangle$$

$$M_1 \doteq M'_1 \in A_1$$

$$M_2 \doteq M'_2 \in A_2$$

### Fact.

under appropriate circumstances

$A_1$  type  
 $A_2$  type

$$\text{if } M \in A_1 \times A_2$$

$$\text{then } M \cdot 1 \in A_1$$

$$\text{and } M \cdot 2 \in A_2$$

where

$$\frac{M \mapsto M'}{M \cdot i \mapsto M' \cdot i} \quad \underbrace{\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \circ \ell \mapsto M_i}_{i \in \{1, 2\}}$$

$$\text{know } M \Downarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$$

$$M \cdot 1 \mapsto^* \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \cdot 1 \mapsto M_1 \in A_1$$

with  $M_i \in A_i$

Fact (a little odd)

If  $A_1, \cancel{A_2}$  types

$$M_1 \in A_1$$

$$\text{then } \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle . 1 \doteq M_1 \in A_1,$$

note no requirement on  $M_2$

$$M_1 \doteq M_1 \in A_1$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \text{ steps to} \\ \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle . 1 \end{array}$$

Purpose of formal type system :  
enforce protocol

## Functions

$A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \doteq A'_1 \rightarrow A'_2$  iff  $A_1 \doteq A'_1$  and  $A_2 \doteq A'_2$

$M \doteq M' \in A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  iff  $M \Downarrow \lambda a. M_2$   $M' \Downarrow \lambda a. M'_2$

$a : A_1 \gg M_2 \doteq M'_2 \in A_2$

Fact.

$\lambda a. M$  val

$\frac{M \mapsto M'}{\text{app}(M, M_1) \mapsto \text{fp}(M', M_1)}$

in a total setup  
 (no divergence,  
 don't have to  
 look at argument)

$\overbrace{\text{app}(\lambda a. M_2, M_1) \mapsto M_2[M_1/a]}$

Fact. If  $M_1 \in A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  and  $M_1 \in A_1$ ,

then  $\text{app}(M_1, M_1) \in A_2$

Pf. (exercise).

Fact. If  $M, M' \in A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  and

and  $a : A_1 \gg \text{app}(M, a) \doteq \text{app}(M', a) \in A_2$

then  $M \doteq M' \in A_1 \rightarrow A_2$

Pf exercise

"function  
extensionality"

This rule is valid

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash M_1 : A_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{op}(M, M_1) : A_2}$$

syntax or protocol

observe: what is the quantifier complexity  
of judgement  $M \doteq M' \in \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

$$\forall M_1 \doteq M'_1 \in \text{Nat}$$

$$\exists P_1 \doteq P'_1 \in \text{Nat}$$

$$\text{ap}(M, M_1) = \text{ap}(M', M'_1) \in \text{Nat}$$

$$\Downarrow P_1 \doteq P'_1$$

syntax

$$\overline{\Gamma \vdash M \doteq M' : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}}$$

meaning  $\exists$  derivation that ends with  $\overline{\Gamma}$

but  $\exists$  does not capture  $\forall$

cannot axiomatize equality in  $\text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

## Dependent products

$$a : A_1 \times A_2 \doteq a : A'_1 \times A'_2$$

$$\text{iff } A_1 \doteq A'_1 \quad a : A_1 \gg A_2 \doteq A'_2$$

$$M \doteq M' \in a : A_1 \times A_2$$

$$\text{iff } M \Downarrow \langle M_1, M_2 \rangle \quad M' \Downarrow \langle M'_1, M'_2 \rangle$$

$$M_1 \doteq M'_1 \in A_1$$

$$M_2 \doteq M'_2 \in A_2 [M_1 / a] \doteq A_2 [M'_1 / a]$$

↑  
eval to  
type  
determines

## Dependent Functions

$$a : A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \doteq a : A'_1 \rightarrow A'_2 \text{ iff } A_1 \doteq A'_1$$

$$a : A_1 \gg A_2 \doteq A'_2$$

$$M \doteq M' \in a : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$$

$$\text{iff } M \Downarrow \lambda a. M_2 \quad M' \Downarrow \lambda a. M'_2$$

~~a~~<sup>a = A\_1</sup>  $\gg M_2 \doteq M'_2 \in \underline{A_2(a)}$

$$\text{if } M_1 \doteq M'_1 \in A_1$$

$$\text{then } M_2 [M_1 / a] \doteq M'_2 [M'_1 / a] \in A_2 [M_1 / a] \doteq A_2 [M'_1 / a]$$

Fact]

1) if  $M \in a : A_1 \times A_2$

then  $\text{fst}(M) \in A_1$  and  $\text{snd}(M) \in A_2[M/a]$

2) if  $M \in a : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$

and  $M, \in A_1$

then  $\text{ap}(M, M) \in A_2[M/a]$

(Exercise)

have  $\text{Bool}$

$\text{Nat}$

$a : A_1 \times A_2 \quad \Sigma$

$a : A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \quad \Pi$

inherently computational in nature

Exprove if  $(\text{if}(\text{true}; \text{true})(n) \in \text{if}(\text{Nat}; \text{Bool})(n))$   
for  $M \in \text{Bool}$

next time equality, identity types

propositions as types principle