

# Supplement to the paper: A Deep Learning Approach for Distributed Aggregative Optimization with Users' Feedback

**Riccardo Brumali**

RICCARDO.BRUMALI@UNIBO.IT

*Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna, Bologna, 40136, Italy.*

**Guido Carnevale**

GUIDO.CARNEVALE@UNIBO.IT

*Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna, Bologna, 40136, Italy.*

**Giuseppe Notarstefano**

GIUSEPPE.NOTARSTEFANO@UNIBO.IT

*Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna, Bologna, 40136, Italy.*

## 1. Introduction

This external work contains the proofs of the paper lemmas. For the sake of reading, we report the assumptions and the preliminary considerations used in the proofs.

## 2. Assumptions and preliminary considerations

We have considered the following Assumptions.

**Assumption 1 (Neural Network)** For each time instant  $t > 0$ , consider the estimate  $\hat{U}_{i,t}(x_i, s_i)$  given from the network of agent  $i$ . Then, there exist  $b_{1,t}, b_{2,t} > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \nabla_1 \hat{U}_{i,t}(x_i, s_i) - \nabla_1 U_{i,t}(x_i, s_i) \right\| \leq b_{1,t}, \quad \left\| \nabla_2 \hat{U}_{i,t}(x_i, s_i) - \nabla_2 U_{i,t}(x_i, s_i) \right\| \leq b_{2,t},$$

for all  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\sigma}$ , and  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

**Assumption 2 (Global Problem Convexity)** The set  $X_i$  is closed, convex, and nonempty for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , while the function  $f_t(x, \sigma_t(x))$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex for all  $t \geq 0$ , with  $\mu > 0$ .

**Assumption 3 (Function Regularity)** For all  $t \geq 0$ , each function  $f_{i,t}(x, \sigma_t(x))$  is differentiable and  $f_t(x, \sigma_t(x))$  has  $L_1$ -Lipschitz continuous gradient, i.e.

$$\left\| \nabla f_t(x, \sigma_t(x)) - \nabla f_t(x', \sigma_t(x')) \right\| \leq L_1 \|x - x'\|.$$

Further, for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $G_t(x, s)$  and  $\nabla_2 f_t(x, s)$  are Lipschitz continuous, respectively with constant  $L_1, L_2 > 0$ . Moreover, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , the function  $\phi_{i,t}(x_i)$  is differentiable and  $L_3$ -Lipschitz continuous. Finally, assume both  $\eta_t$  and  $\omega_t$  finite for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Assumption 2 implies the existence of a unique optimal solution  $x_t^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Assumption 4 (Communication Graph)**  $\mathcal{G}$  is connected and  $\mathcal{W}$  is doubly stochastic.

Then we recall that ANN-PAT can be seen as a perturbed version of PAT for which the convergence properties have been already proved. Therefore, we have written the updates law of ANN-PAT as functions of the updates of PAT. In particular

$$x_{t+1} = n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) + \delta(P_X[x_t - \alpha \hat{d}_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)] - P_X[x_t - \alpha d_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)]) \quad (1a)$$

$$r_{t+1} = n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) + e_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) - e_{y,t}(x_t, s_t). \quad (1b)$$

With the same idea we have defined

$$\bar{r}_{t+1} = n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) + \bar{e}_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}). \quad (2)$$

### 3. Proof of Lemma 1

For the sake of reading, we report the statement of the lemma.

**Lemma 1** *Let Assumptions 1, 2, 3, and 4. If  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{L_1}$ , then*

$$\|x_{t+1} - x_{t+1}^*\| \leq (1 - \delta\mu\alpha) \|x_t - x_t^*\| + \delta\alpha L_1 \|s_t - \mathbf{1}\bar{s}_t\| + \delta\alpha L_3 \|r_t - \mathbf{1}\bar{y}_t\| + \zeta_t \delta\alpha b_{1,t}.$$

**Proof** By using (1a), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{t+1} - x_{t+1}^*\| &= \|n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_{t+1}^* + \delta(P_X[x_t - \alpha \hat{d}_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)] - P_X[x_t - \alpha d_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)])\| \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \|n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_{t+1}^*\| + \left\| \delta(P_X[x_t - \alpha \hat{d}_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)] - P_X[x_t - \alpha d_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)]) \right\| \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \|n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_{t+1}^*\| + \left\| \delta(x_t - \alpha \hat{d}_t(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_t + \alpha d_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)) \right\| \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \|n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_{t+1}^*\| + \delta\alpha b_{1,t}, \end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we use the triangular inequality, in (b) the non-expansiveness of the projection (see Bertsekas (2015)), and in (c) Assumption 1 with the definition of  $d_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)$  and  $\hat{d}_t(x_t, s_t, r_t)$ . The proof follows by applying (Carnevale et al., 2022, Lemma 1) in which they define a bound for  $\|n_x(x_t, s_t, r_t) - x_{t+1}^*\|$ . ■

### 4. Proof of Lemma 3

For the sake of reading, we report the statement of the lemma.

**Lemma 3** *Let Assumptions 1, 2, 3, and 4. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_{t+1} - \mathbf{1}\bar{r}_{t+1}\| &\leq (\Lambda + \delta\alpha L_3(L_2 + L_2 L_3)) \|r_t - \bar{r}_t\| \\ &\quad + \delta(2 + \alpha L_1 + \alpha L_1 L_3)(L_2 + L_2 L_3) \|x_t - x_t^*\| \\ &\quad + (\delta\alpha L_1(L_2 + L_2 L_3) + 2L_2) \|s_t - \mathbf{1}\bar{s}_t\| + L_2 \omega_t + \eta_t + (1 + \sqrt{N})b_{2,t+1} + b_{2,t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix  $W - \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^\top}{N}$ .

**Proof** By using (1b) and (2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|r_{t+1} - \mathbf{1}\bar{r}_{t+1}\| &= \|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) + e_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) - \mathbf{1}\bar{e}_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1}) \\
&\quad - e_{y,t}(x_t, s_t)\| \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| \\
&\quad + \|\mathbf{1}\bar{e}_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t}(x_t, s_t)\| \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| \\
&\quad + \|\mathbf{1}\| \|\bar{e}_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t}(x_t, s_t)\| \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t+1}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|e_{y,t+1}^i(x_{i,t+1}, s_{i,t+1})\| + \|e_{y,t}(x_t, s_t)\| \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} \|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\| + (1 + \sqrt{N})b_{2,+}b_{2,t},
\end{aligned}$$

where in (a) we have used the triangular inequality, in (b) the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, in (c) once again the triangular inequality and in (d) Assumption 1. Finally, the proof concludes considering (Carnevale et al., 2022, Lemma 1) which bounds  $\|n_r(x_t, s_t, r_t) - \mathbf{1}n_{\bar{r}}(x_{t+1}, s_{t+1})\|$ . ■

## References

- Dimitri Bertsekas. *Convex optimization algorithms*. Athena Scientific, 2015.
- Guido Carnevale, Andrea Camisa, and Giuseppe Notarstefano. Distributed online aggregative optimization for dynamic multi-robot coordination. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2022.