Cardiology: Electrophysiology (EP) Harmonize and Integrate Member KNARTs White Paper

Contract: VA118-16-D-1008, Task Order (TO): VA-118-16-F-1008-0007, CLIN0006AB

Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)



Knowledge Based Systems (KBS)
Office of Informatics and Information Governance (OIIG)
Clinical Decision Support (CDS)

Publication date 06/22/2018

Version: 2.0

Cardiology: Electrophysiology (EP) Harmonize and Integrate Member KNARTs White Paper

by Knowledge Based Systems (KBS), Office of Informatics and Information Governance (OIIG), and Clinical Decision Support (CDS)

Publication date 06/22/2018 Copyright © 2018 B3 Group, Inc. Copyright © 2018 Cognitive Medical Systems, Inc.

B3 Group, Inc.

NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT COPYRIGHT LICENSE AND UNLIMITED RIGHTS LICENSE

Licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 (the "License"); you may not use this file except in compliance with the License.

You may obtain a copy of the License at http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0

Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

Portions of this content are derivative works from content produced by Cognitive Medical Systems, Inc. licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0

Additional portions of this content are derivative works from content contributed by Motive Medical Intelligence Inc., under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0.

Contributions from 2013-2018 were performed either by US Government employees, or under US Veterans Health Administration contracts.

US Veterans Health Administration contributions by government employees are work of the U.S. Government and are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Portions contributed by government employees are USGovWork (17USC §105). Not subject to copyright.

See: https://www.usa.gov/government-works

Contribution by contractors to the US Veterans Health Administration during this period are contractually contributed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 and US Government sponsorship is acknowledged under Contract VA118-16-D-1008, Task Order VA11817F10080007.

Cognitive Medical Systems, Inc.

Licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 (the "License"); you may not use this file except in compliance with the License.

You may obtain a copy of the License at http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0

Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

This and related content produced by Cognitive Medical Systems, Inc. licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 is available at: https://bitbucket.org/cogmedsys/hl7-kas-examples

Additional portions of this content are derivative works from content contributed by Motive Medical Intelligence Inc., under Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0. https://bitbucket.org/cogmedsys/kas-source-material

Contributions from 2013-2018 were performed either by US Government employees, or under US Veterans Health Administration contracts.

US Veterans Health Administration contributions by government employees are work of the U.S. Government and are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Portions contributed by government employees are USGovWork (17USC §105). Not subject to copyright. See: https://www.usa.gov/government-works

Contribution by contractors to the US Veterans Health Administration during this period are contractually contributed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 and US Government sponsorship is acknowledged under Contract VA118-16-D-1008-0007.

Table of Contents

| 1. General Process | 1 |
|--|---|
| Overview of Integration Scenario | 1 |
| General Limitations | 1 |
| Format Limitation | 1 |
| 2. Process of Harmonization | 2 |
| General Process | 2 |
| Organization | 2 |
| Data | 2 |
| Special Bindings | 2 |
| Orchestration Data Elements | 2 |
| Data Model Issues | 3 |
| Redundant Data Elements Within KNARTs | 3 |
| Near-Duplicates Within KNARTs | 3 |
| Redundant References, Supporting Evidence, and Expressions Within KNARTs | 3 |
| Data Elements That Are to be Hidden From the User Within KNARTs | 3 |
| Questions Being Asked of the Documenter | 4 |
| General | 4 |
| Specific to the Composite | 4 |
| Organization of Order Sets | 4 |
| 3. Integration | 5 |
| Process | 5 |
| Concerns | 5 |
| Assets | 5 |

Chapter 1. General Process

In integrating and harmonizing the individual components of a composite knowledge artifact (KNART), the general process has been to rely on the inherent encapsulation properties envisioned in the new composite structure. Each individual knowledge artifact is presumed to be able to run in a stand-alone and independent manner. The composite artifact acts as a central orchestration agent, activating individual knowledge artifacts using an event-driven model. Intercommunication between artifacts is handled solely through global shared state external to the composite and through the process of event payloads. Individual KNARTs only communicate with the composite container.

During the creation of the individual KNARTs used in the formation of the composite, their design and implementation explicitly envisioned their further integration into a composite structure. As such, minimal harmonization or communication is generally required. All composite KNARTs use references to the individual component knowledge artifacts rather than direct inclusion. The general design principles used should ensure that the overall composite does not alter the essential information contained in the components.

The emerging composite KNART standard envisions that the parent composite will not restate the metadata included in its component parts unless there is a clinical or technical need to override or supplement the information contained in the components. This includes elements such as supporting evidence, intended use, etc. Analysis of the components of this KNART did not find the need to make any overrides or supplements to the essential metadata.

In developing the Version 2.0 KNART standard, the work group has updated the essential file structure to support composites. The design approach of the workgroup was to define the composite mechanism to support composition that includes both literal inclusion and references to other KNARTs. The emerging standard explicitly envisions that composites may be of a heterogeneous nature and supports artifacts authoring in other forms. The creation of the Version 2 schema explicitly maintains backward compatibility. The Version 1 knowledge document schema was structured such that the introduction of composite feature could not be made without breaking backward compatibility. As a result, internal organization of the schema was refactored to isolate the concept of a knowledge document and create two potential root references, which could be used depending on whether the KNART was a singleton or a composite. The Version 2 schema supports the composite structures, references, and direct inclusion of multiple knowledge documents. All the elements of the original document type are still supported.

Overview of Integration Scenario

This composite uses a simple integration scenario whereby the documentation template is first projected and based upon applicability information captured in that template, the order set is selectively activated. All orchestration is accomplished through embedded Event-Condition-Action (ECA) rules in the composite.

General Limitations

The composite KNART is a machine-readable artifact comprised of independently validated components. The goal of the composition is not to add additional content but rather to orchestrate the individual components. The composite is not expected to be machine executable. The schema and functional environment in which the composite is created is based on an emerging standard and is not guaranteed to be compatible with the final balloted standard.

Format Limitation

The proposed Health Level Seven International (HL7) KNART format for composites is based on the original Knowledge Artifact Specification (KAS) schema. The composite extension is specifically developed to allow the composition of these singleton KAS artifacts into an organizing structure to provide greater meaning. The composite KNART format is an emerging standard at HL7 that allows heterogeneous artifacts to be grouped into one common organizing document. In creating the composite knowledge artifacts, the individual components of the composite have been limited to the existing KAS-derived artifact types. As such, the abstraction of more advanced orchestration behavior has been limited to the capabilities of that model.

Chapter 2. Process of Harmonization

General Process

- Conduct a detailed review of composite components
- Map components to conceptual white paper

Organization

At an organizational level this composite is comprised of eight major entities. The first of these entities is the documentation template named CDSK_KRprt_CRDT_B36EP.xml. The other seven entities are order sets that are selected based on the consult request type. For the purposes of orchestration, the composite initially triggers an event that causes the documentation template to be activated. Upon the completion of the documentation template, the completion event is analyzed to determine which order set KNART to activate.

Data

Special Bindings

The consult request documentation template did not specify a specific response binding for the reason for consult. For the purposes of making the composite, it is assumed that a default binding scenario is used. The standard binding is to use the explicitly defined binding if present; if such a binding is not present, then a default scheme is assumed. In the default scheme, if a Documentation-Concept.identifier ID is present, then it will become the binding symbol. Should there be no Documentation-Concept.identifier, then the binding is based on the prompt used. The Name used for the binding is the prompt stripped of all punctuation and white space. For example, if the documentation template used the prompt "Reason for consult:" the result would be a symbolic name on "Reasonforconsult." If in creating a default symbolic name there is a conflict in generated names, then that name will contain an array of the responses in the order they appear in the template. It should be noted that scheme is not expected to make it in to the standard, but rather the response binding is expected to become a required element of a collect information action.

Orchestration Data Elements

All orchestration for this composite is handled by the examination and assertion of named events. The following table lists the events are used to drive the key behavior in this composite.

| Event Name | Event Type | Relevant Payload |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|
| FireDocTemplate | Named event | none |
| DocumentationCompleteEvent | Named event | Responses.Reasonforconsult |
| FireFandFOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FireSVTOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FireSynBradOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FirePrimaryPreventionICDIm- plantOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FirePacemakerFollowU- pOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FirePacemakerGenChange- OrderSet | Named event | Documentation |
| FireOtherOrderSet | Named event | Documentation |

Consult Request Coding and Order Set Mappings

| Code | Order Set |
|--|-----------|
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and re- | |
| port (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (at- | |

| Code | Order Set |
|--|---------------------------------|
| tribute))->[49436004 Atrial fibrillation (disorder)] | |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[5370000 Atrial flutter (disorder)] | Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[6456007 Supraventricular tachycardia (disorder)] | Supraventricular Tachycardia |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[271594007 Syncope (disorder)] | Syncope Bradycardia |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)] | Pacemaker ICD Follow Up |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[3515001 Replacement of electronic heart device, pulse generator (procedure)] | Pacemaker ICD Generator Change |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[395218007 Implantation of internal cardiac defibrillator (procedure)] | Pacemaker ICD Generator Change |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[307280005 Implantation of cardiac pacemaker (procedure)] | Primary Prevention ICD Implant |
| [1221000205105 Cardiology consultation and report (procedure)]->(363702006 Has focus (attribute))->[395218007 Implantation of internal cardiac defibrillator (procedure)] | Primary Prevention ICD Implant |
| <null></null> | Other |

Review of the mappings indicates that exact match testing should work for routing to the correct order set. Many of the cases resolve to order sets designed to handle multiple reasons. The coding is looser than would be strictly preferred with the "Pacemaker ICD Follow Up," which is not specified as clearly as the other cases. Another coding exception is the "Other" case, which results in the reason code being null; it would be clearer if a specific coding could be used.

Data Model Issues

In reviewing the content of the individual components of the composite, it has been observed that multiple data models are in use. The semantics of encapsulated execution should ensure this is not an issue, but orchestration components must be aware that multiple models are present. The following table lists the observed models in the composite. The asset list notes the observed Analysis Normal Form (ANF) data model version.

Redundant Data Elements Within KNARTs

Not applicable.

Near-Duplicates Within KNARTs

Not applicable.

Redundant References, Supporting Evidence, and Expressions Within KNARTs

None due to execution and encapsulation model of the contained artifact.

Data Elements That Are to be Hidden From the User Within KNARTs

Not applicable.

Questions Being Asked of the Documenter

General

All questions being asked of the documenter are delegated to the specific component parts. Readers are asked to refer to the details of the composite parts. No additional questions are asked by the composite itself.

Specific to the Composite

Not applicable.

Organization of Order Sets

The seven order sets are exclusive, and only one will be used based on the responses to the documentation template.

Chapter 3. Integration

Process

The general process integration is based upon the detailed reading of the composite clinical white paper and the individual constituent components. Functional analysis of the expected data flow and event flow between the created entities was used to construct this composite.

Concerns

In reviewing the structure and questions asked of the documentation template, it is not clear that all the elements required to fully create a referral are being asked. It is suggested that either a standardized referral documentation template be created and used for composites or that a detailed review of the documentation template for the additional required information be conducted prior to proceeding to an implementation phase. In addition, as noted earlier in the document, there are no meaningful response bindings in the documentation template. Analysis of the documentation template indicates that, while it checks for clinical stability, it does not control any behavior based on it, hence the composite does not either.

Assets

The following table lists the assets that make up this composite.

| Asset Filename | Description | ANF version |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| CDSK_KRprt_CR- CK_B57EP.xml | The main composite controller | 0.9, 1.0 |
| CDSK_KR- prt_CRDT_B36EP.xml | The documentation template | 1.0 |
| CDSK_KR- prt_OS_B6EPAF.xml | The atrial fibrillation / atrial flutter order set | 1.0 |
| CDSK_KR- prt_OS_B7EPSVT.xml | The supraventricular tachycardia order set | 1.0 |
| CDSK_KRprt_OS_B8Syn- Brad.xml | The syncope bradycardia order set | 0.9 |
| CDSK_KR- prt_OS_B9EPICDImp.xml | The primary prevention ICD implant order set | 0.9 |
| CDSK_KRprt_OS_B10EPICD-Fu.xml | The pacemaker ICD follow up order set | 1.0 |
| CDSK_KRprt_OS_B11EPICD-Ch.xml | The pacemaker ICD generator change order set | 1.0 |
| CDSK_KRprt_OSB12E- POther.xml | The electrophysiology other order set | 1.0 |