### 1.1.

This course is about some uses of the variable q.

The funny thing about q is that different people throughout history used it in descriptions of phenomena that were a priori unrelated. Then, later, it was discovered that all these disparate roles for q did, in fact, have something to do with each other.

### 1.2.

Many of us first encounter q as the order of a finite field, a prime power. We denote the field by  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

When we do linear algebra over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , we quickly notice: The number of lines through the origin in an n-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  is

$$[n]_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}.$$

More generally the number of k-dimensional (linear) subspaces turns out to be

(1.1) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!}, \text{ where } [n]_q!! = [n]_q \cdots [2]_q[1]_q.$$

Certainly, this expression would become the binomial coefficient  $\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$  if we could treat q as an indeterminate rather than a number and send  $q \to 1$ . But that is surprising, because there is no field  $\mathbf{F}_1$ .

This is the first of several bridges: The role of q as the order of a finite field is related to the role of q as a deformation parameter in combinatorics.

## *1.3.*

Let's prove the assertion about (1.1). It will be convenient to assume the following fact that does not involve finite fields:

### Lemma 1. Write

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{\alpha \ge 0} c_\alpha q^\alpha.$$

Then  $c_{\alpha}$  is the number of integer partitions of  $\alpha$  having at most k parts each of size at most n - k: equivalently, Young diagrams of size  $\alpha$  that fit into an  $k \times (n - k)$  box.

*Proof sketch.* Use the fact that  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$  is determined for all integers n, k by these properties:

$$(1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q = 1.$$

$$(2) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = 0 \text{ when } n < 0 \text{ or } k < 0.$$

$$(3) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  be the set of k-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ . The following result was probably known to Gauss in a premodern form, and is usually attributed to Schubert. Donald Knuth seems to have discovered it on his own in 1971.

# **Theorem 2.** There is a partition

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,k}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \coprod_{Y} \mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q),$$

where the right-hand side runs over all Young diagrams that fit into a  $k \times (n-k)$  box. Moreover,  $|\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q)| = q^{|Y|}$  for all Young diagrams Y.

*Proof.* Given any k-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ , we can pick a basis for it, then write the basis as a list of row vectors to get a  $k \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ . Applying row reduction operations, we find that the matrix is equivalent under left multiplication by  $\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{F}_q)$  to one in reduced row-echelon form, like the one below for (n, k) = (10, 3) stolen from Sara Billey<sup>1</sup>:

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & * & * & * & 0 & * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & * & * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The asterisks show how this reduced row-echelon matrix corresponds to a Young diagram Y, whose size is the total number of asterisks. Let  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  be the set of all subspaces that produce this matrix. Then the elements of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  are classified by the labelings of the asterisks with elements of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is the set of  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points of a projective algebraic variety  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$  defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  called the (n,k) *Grassmannian*. The pieces  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  similarly arise from algebraic varieties  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}$  known as *Schubert cells*. The enumeration of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  can be upgraded to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k,Y} \simeq \mathbf{A}^{|Y|}$ .

In particular, this final statement does not involve q at all. We can lift the isomorphism to any field. Over the complex numbers, the Euler characteristic of any affine space is always 1. This gives a sort of topological meaning to the  $q \to 1$  limit of  $\binom{n}{k}_q$ .

Remark 3. In general,  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -point counts need not specialize to the Euler characteristics of corresponding complex algebraic varieties. The simplest counterexample is any sufficiently varied family of algebraic curves over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  of constant genus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See "Tutorial on Schubert Varieties and Schubert Calculus" online.

In this course, we will pay more attention to a close cousin of the Grassmannian called the flag variety.

Fix an integer tuple  $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_l)$ , where  $0 < k_1 < \dots < k_l < n$ . A partial flag of type  $\vec{k}$  in an *n*-dimensional vector space V is a filtration  $0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_l \subset V$ , where  $V_i$  is a (linear) subspace of dimension  $k_i$  for all i. The partial flags of type  $\vec{k}$  in  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$  form the  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points of a projective algebraic variety defined over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  called the associated partial flag variety.

When  $\vec{k}$  consists of a single integer k, the partial flag variety is the (n,k) Grassmannian. When  $\vec{k} = (1,2,\ldots,n)$ , we instead speak of a *complete flag*, or *flag* for short, and of the *(complete) flag variety*  $\mathcal{B}_n$ .

It turns out that the numerology of  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is similar to that of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . To see how, observe that the outer border of a Young diagram that fits in a  $k \times (n-k)$  box forms a lattice path with n steps, k of which go upward and n-k of which go rightward. The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts transitively on such lattice paths by permuting the steps, and the stabilizer of any given path is isomorphic to  $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ . Up to choosing one of them as a "basepoint", we can identify the set of such Young diagrams with the coset space  $S_n/(S_k \times S_{n-k})$  for a chosen embedding  $S_k \times S_{n-k} \subseteq S_n$ . Now the partition of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  indexed by Young diagrams has an analogue

$$\mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{F}_q) = \coprod_{w \in S_n} \mathcal{B}_{n,w}(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

The pieces  $\mathcal{B}_{n,w}(\mathbf{F}_q)$  again arise from varieties  $\mathcal{B}_{n,w}$  that we again call Schubert cells, as it turns out that  $\mathcal{B}_{n,w} \simeq \mathbf{A}^{\ell(w)}$  for some function  $\ell$  on  $S_n$ . In fact, this whole story has an analogue for the partial flag variety of any allowed tuple  $\vec{k}$ , in which we replace  $S_k \times S_{n-k}$  with  $S_{k_1} \times S_{k_2-k_1} \times \cdots \times S_{k_l-k_{l-1}}$ .

1.5.

One way to construct the Schubert decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$  involves the general linear group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Observe that  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$  acts transitively on flags in  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ , and that the stabilizer of the standard flag is the subgroup of upper- or lower-triangular matrices, depending on whether one uses column or row notation for  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ . Either way, let  $B(\mathbf{F}_q)$  denote the subgroup. We obtain a bijection

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)/B(\mathbf{F}_q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

Bruhat decomposition shows that

$$GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q) = \bigsqcup_{w \in S_n} B(\mathbf{F}_q) \dot{w} B(\mathbf{F}_q),$$

where  $\dot{w} \in GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$  is the permutation matrix corresponding to w. This suggests that we take  $\mathcal{B}_{n,w}(\mathbf{F}_q) = B(\mathbf{F}_q)\dot{w}B(\mathbf{F}_q)/B(\mathbf{F}_q)$  as a definition.

To promote this to a definition of the algebraic variety  $\mathcal{B}_{n,w}$ , we need to make sense of coset spaces in a geometric, not set-theoretic, setting. It turns out to be easier to work over the algebraic closure  $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q$ , then recover the story on  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -points by passing to fixed points under so-called Frobenius maps.

This discussion will lead to the first main theme of the course: The structure of reductive algebraic groups, which behave like  $GL_n$ , and their Weyl groups, which behave like  $S_n$ ; and the role of flag varieties in the representation theory of associated finite groups.

1.6.

As for the function  $\ell$  such that  $\ell(w) = \dim \mathcal{B}_{n,w}$ , its easiest definition is as the number of *inversions* of w: that is, pairs i < j such that w(i) > w(j).

A more sophisticated definition uses the fact that  $S_n$  is a *Coxeter group*, or real reflection group. For i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, let  $s_i \in S_n$  be the transposition of i and i + 1. Then  $S_n$  has a presentation

$$S_n = \left\langle s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \middle| \begin{array}{l} s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}, \\ s_i s_j = s_j s_i \text{ for } |i-j| > 1, \\ s_i^2 = e \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

We may define  $\ell(w)$  as the length of the shortest word in the  $s_i$  needed to express w.

It is helpful to picture the relations above using *wiring diagrams*. If we refine the wiring diagrams by replacing crossings with over- and under-crossings, then we arrive at *braid diagrams*, which satisfy analogues of the first two relations but not the third. In this way we arrive at the *braid group* 

$$Br_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \middle| \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \text{ for } |i-j| > 1 \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

We have already seen that  $S_n$  is related to  $GL_n$  via the map  $w \mapsto \dot{w}$ . We now see that  $Br_n$  is related to  $S_n$  via the map  $\sigma_i \mapsto s_i$ .

1.7.

The last story of today is a separate, direct relationship between  $GL_n$  and  $Br_n$  that also brings us back to the theme of the variable q.

Let  $E_n$  be the set of C-valued functions on  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Then  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$  acts linearly on  $E_n$ , by precomposition with functions. The resulting  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -module may be viewed as the induction of the trivial representation from  $B(\mathbf{F}_q)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . Iwahori discovered:

**Theorem 4.**  $Br_n$  acts on  $E_n$  by  $GL_n(\mathbf{F}_q)$ -equivariant linear operators. Moreover, the action factors through the algebra

$$H_n(q) := \frac{\mathbf{C}[Br_n]}{\langle \sigma_i^2 - (q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2})\sigma_i - 1 \mid i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \rangle},$$

and the map  $H_n(q) \to \operatorname{End}_{\operatorname{CGL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}(E_n)$  is an algebra isomorphism.

We refer to  $H_n(q)$  as the *Iwahori–Hecke algebra*, or just *Hecke algebra*, of  $S_n$  at q. The reason we say  $S_n$  is the observation that, if we could treat q as an indeterminate and send  $q \to 1$ , then  $H_n(q)$  would become the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}S_n$ . Indeed, this leads us to introduce a "generic" Hecke algebra

$$H_n(x) := \frac{\mathbb{C}[x^{\pm 1}][Br_n]}{\langle \sigma_i^2 - (x - x^{-1})\sigma_i - 1 \mid i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \rangle},$$

One of the earliest applications of  $H_n(x)$  came from a totally different area of math: namely, knot theory.

A knot is a circle (tamely) embedded into 3-space, and a link is a disjoint union of finitely many such circles. Vaughan Jones and Adrian Ocneanu used trace functions on the algebras  $H_n(x)$  to construct polynomial invariants of braids, which then give rise to invariants of knots and links after normalization. Here the variable x becomes the square root of an indeterminate q, whose relationship to the prime power q is completely explicit, yet remains magical.