

# Basic functions and the arc space of L-monoids

These are notes for two talks in the [Beyond Endoscopy Learning Seminar](#) at Columbia, Spring 2018. Our main references are [1] and [2].

Recall that two key constructions are required in the Braverman-Kazhdan program for proving analytic continuation and functional equations for general Langlands  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ . One is a suitable space of *Schwartz functions*  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$  at each local place, containing a distinguished function encoding the unramified local  $L$ -factor (known as the *basic function*  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  after Sakellaridis). The other is a generalized Fourier transform (known as the *Hankel transform* after Ngo) preserving the Schwartz space and the basic function. With a global Poisson summation formula, one should be able to establish the desired analytic properties of  $L(s, \pi, \rho)$  in a way analogous to Godement-Jacquet theory for standard  $L$ -function on  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . Our goal today is to discuss the basic function  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  and to explain its an algebro-geometric interpretation due to Bouthier-Ngo-Sakellaridis, using the  $L$ -monoid  $\bar{G}_\rho$  appeared in previous talks and its arc space.

## Links

[Chao Li's Homepage](#)

[Columbia University](#)

[Math Department](#)

### [+] Contents

[Satake/Langlands parameters](#)

[Basic functions](#)

[Vinberg's universal monoids](#)

[Ngo's  \$L\$ -monoids](#)

[Arc spaces](#)

[IC sheaves and functions](#)

[A global model](#)

[Geometric Satake](#)

## Satake/Langlands parameters

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field. Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over  $F$ . Let  $\hat{G} = \hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$  be its dual group. Let  $\mathcal{H} = C_c^\infty(G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(F) / G(\mathcal{O}))$  be the spherical Hecke algebra. Recall that the classical Satake transform

$$\mathrm{Sat} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)], \quad f \mapsto \left( t \mapsto \delta_B(t)^{1/2} \int_{N(F)} f(tn) dn \right),$$

induces an algebra isomorphism onto the  $W$ -invariants

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]^W.$$

An unramified representation  $\pi$  of  $G(F)$  corresponds to a 1-dimensional character of  $\mathcal{H}$ , given by its action on the spherical vector

$$\pi(f)v = \int_{G(F)} f(g)\pi(g)v dg, \quad v \in \pi^{G(\mathcal{O})}.$$

Langlands noticed that  $\mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]^W$  is the coordinate ring of the variety  $\hat{T}/W$ , so a 1-dimensional character  $\mathbb{C}[X_*(T)]^W$  corresponds to a point  $\alpha_\pi \in \hat{T}/W$ , i.e., a semisimple conjugacy class in  $\hat{G}$ . In this we obtain a bijection  $\pi \mapsto \alpha_\pi$  between unramified representations of  $G(F)$  and the Satake (or rather, Langlands) parameters. The Satake transform is then characterized by the identity

$$\mathrm{tr} \pi(f) = \mathrm{Sat}(f)(\alpha_\pi), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Also notice that the target of the Satake isomorphism can be identified with the representation ring of  $\hat{G}$ , and thus with the  $\hat{G}$ -invariant regular functions  $\mathcal{O}(\hat{G})^{\hat{G}}$  on  $\hat{G}$  (via the trace map).

**Remark 1** Notice the Satake isomorphism is of combinatorial nature: both the source and the target depends only on the root datum of  $G$  and the size of the residue field  $q$ . In fact, the Satake isomorphism can be defined over

$$\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}].$$

## Basic functions

The importance of the Satake parameter is due to its key role in defining the unramified local  $L$ -factor  $L(s, \pi, \rho)$ .

Let  $\rho : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be an irreducible representation. Recall by definition

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = \det(1 - \rho(\alpha_\pi)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Now if we have a diagonal matrix  $A = \mathrm{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ , then

$$\det(1 - At)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - \alpha_i t)^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \alpha_i t + \alpha_i^2 t^2 + \dots) = 1 + (\mathrm{tr} A)t + (\mathrm{tr} \mathrm{Sym}^2 A)t^2 + \dots$$

Therefore

$$L(s, \pi, \rho) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Sym}^d \rho)(\alpha_\pi) q^{-ds}.$$

To remove the dependence on  $\pi$ , we are motivated to introduce the following definition.

**Definition 1** We define  $\mathcal{C}_\rho^d(s)$  to be the inverse under the Satake transform of the function  $\mathrm{tr} \mathrm{Sym}^d \rho \cdot q^{-ds}$  (so  $\mathcal{C}_\rho^d(s_0) \in \mathcal{H}$  for any given  $s = s_0$ ). Define the *basic function* to be

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho(s) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_\rho^d(s).$$

When  $\mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 0$ , the sum is locally finite and makes sense as a function on  $G(F)$ .

Even though each  $\mathcal{C}_\rho^d$  is compactly supported (with support lies in the  $K$ -double cosets indexed by dominant coweights of  $G$  corresponding to weights of  $\mathrm{Sym}^d \rho$ ), the support gets larger when  $d$  increases and  $\mathcal{C}_d$  is not longer compactly supported. Moreover, the values of  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  on each  $K$ -double cosets can be written down in terms of representation theory (related to Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials) and thus involve quite complicated combinatorial quantities.

**Example 1** Take  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ , and  $\rho = \mathrm{Std}$ . Since  $G = T$ , both the source and target of the Satake isomorphism are identified as functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The Satake transform sends the characteristic function  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{val}=d}$  to  $\mathrm{tr} \mathrm{Sym}^d \rho : t \mapsto t^d$ . So  $\mathcal{C}_\rho^d = \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{val}=d}$  and the basic function is given by  $\mathcal{C}_\rho = \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{O}$  (always viewed as a function on  $G(F)$ ). This generalizes to the standard representation of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , in which case  $\mathcal{C}_\rho^d = \mathbf{1}_{M_n(\mathcal{O})_{\mathrm{val}(\det)=d}}$  (this is already a nontrivial computation) and hence  $\mathcal{C}_\rho = \mathbf{1}_{M_n(\mathcal{O})}$ .

**Example 2** Take  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ , and  $\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}), t \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t, t)$  (i.e.,  $\rho = \mathrm{Std} \oplus \mathrm{Std}$ ). Then  $\mathrm{Sym}^d \rho$  has dimension  $d+1$  given by  $t \mapsto (t^d, \dots, t^d)$ , whose trace is  $t \mapsto (d+1)t^d$ . So the corresponding basic function is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho = \sum_{d \geq 0} (d+1) \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{val}=d} = \mathrm{val}(\cdot) + 1.$$

This is no longer the characteristic function of any set. More generally, take  $G = \mathbb{G}_m$  and  $\rho = \chi_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \chi_n$  ( $\chi_i \geq 0$ ). Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho = \sum_{d \geq 0} \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) : \sum a_i \chi_i = d, a_i \geq 0\} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{val}=d}.$$

So the value of  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  encodes partition numbers, and can not have simple formula. We also see that the support of  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  is contained in the cone generated by the weights of  $\rho$ .

**Example 3** Take  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$  and  $\rho = \mathrm{Sym}^k \mathrm{Std}$ . Then computing  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  amounts to decomposing  $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathrm{Std})$  into irreducibles, again this is a difficult combinatoric problem. In fact, we have

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d \mathrm{Sym}^k \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor dk/2 \rfloor} (\mathrm{Sym}^{dk-2i} \otimes \det^{dk-i})^{\oplus N(d,k,i)}.$$

Here the multiplicity  $N(d, k, i) = p(d, k, i) - p(d, k, i-1)$ , and  $p(d, k, i)$  is the number of partitions of  $i$  into at most  $k$  parts, having largest part at most  $d$ .

I hope these examples illustrate that writing down an explicit formula for the basic function is quite hopeless in general (but see Wen-Wei Li's paper). Instead we would like to focus on finding some natural algebro-geometric object which encodes these combinatoric information. This is the main motivation to introduce the  $L$ -monoid.

## Vinberg's universal monoids

Let  $G$  be a split reductive group over a field  $k$  (later  $k$  will be the residue field of the local field  $F$ ). Assume  $G$  has a nontrivial map to  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , denoted by  $\det : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . Assume  $G' = \ker(\det)$  is semisimple and simply-connected. Our first goal is to construct Vinberg's *universal monoid*  $\bar{G}$ . It is a normal affine variety  $\bar{G}$  fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G^+ & \hookrightarrow & \bar{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_m^r & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^r. \end{array}$$

This monoid is universal in the sense that every reductive monoid with derived group equal to  $G'$  can be obtained by base change from  $\bar{G}$  (in fact the construction of  $\bar{G}$  will only depend on  $G'$ ).

Let  $T' \subseteq G'$  be a maximal torus of  $G'$ . Let  $G^+ = (T' \times G')/\Delta(Z(G'))$ . Let  $r$  be the semisimple rank of  $G'$ . Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r\}$  be the set of fundamental weights of  $G'$  (dual to the coroots). Let  $\rho_i$  be the fundamental representation of  $G'$  associated to  $\omega_i$ . We extend  $\rho_i$  from  $G'$  to  $G^+$  by

$$\rho_i^+ : G^+ = (T' \times G')/\Delta(Z(G')) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_i), \quad (t, g) \mapsto \omega_i(w(t^{-1}))\rho_i(g).$$

Here  $w \in W$  is the longest element in the Weyl group. We also extend the simple roots  $\alpha_i$  from  $T'$  to  $G^+$  by

$$\alpha_i^+ : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, \quad (t, g) \mapsto \alpha_i(t).$$

These extensions together give a homomorphism

$$(\alpha^+, \rho^+) : G^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r \times \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{GL}(V_i).$$

**Definition 2** We define  $\bar{G}$  to be the closure of the image of  $G^+$  in

$$\mathbb{A}^r \times \prod_{i=1}^r \mathrm{End}(V_i).$$

**Example 4** Consider  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ . Then  $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$ ,  $T' \cong \mathbb{G}_m$ ,  $G^+ = (T' \times \mathrm{SL}_2)/\mu_2$ . We have  $r = 1$ ,  $\rho_1 = \mathrm{Std}$ ,  $w(\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1})) = \mathrm{diag}(t^{-1}, t)$ ,  $\omega_1(\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1})) = t$ ,  $\alpha_1(\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1})) = t^2$ . So

$$(\alpha^+, \rho^+) : (\mathrm{diag}(t, t^{-1}), g) \mapsto (t^2, \mathrm{diag}(t, t) \cdot g).$$

So  $\bar{G} = \{(t, g) \in \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathrm{M}_2 : t = \det g\}$ . In other words, this is a monoid in  $\mathbb{A}^5$  defined by the equation  $t = ac - bd$  (which is smooth).

## Ngo's $L$ -monoids

Now let  $\rho : \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be an irreducible representation. Let  $T^{\mathrm{ad}} = T'/Z(G')$  be a maximal torus in the adjoint group of  $G'$ . The highest weight of  $\rho$  defines a cocharacter  $\lambda_\rho : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ , hence a cocharacter of  $\lambda_{\rho, \mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T^{\mathrm{ad}}$ . We identify

$$T^{\mathrm{ad}} \cong \mathbb{G}_m^r, \quad t \mapsto (\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_r(t)),$$

using a choice of simple roots. Then

$$\lambda_{\rho, \mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$$

can be extended to a morphism of monoids

$$\bar{\lambda}_{\rho, \mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r.$$

**Definition 3** The  $L$ -monoid  $\bar{G}_\rho$  is defined by base changing the universal monoid  $\bar{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r$  along  $\bar{\lambda}_{\rho, \mathrm{ad}}$ . So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{G}_\rho^\times & \hookrightarrow & \bar{G}_\rho \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^1. \end{array}$$

**Example 5** Again take  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$ ,  $\rho = \mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathrm{Std})$ . Then  $\lambda_{\rho, \mathrm{ad}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m, t \mapsto t^n$ . So we have

$$\bar{G}_\rho = \{(t, g) \in \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathrm{M}_2 : t^n = \det g\}.$$

Notice that the unit group is  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  when  $F$  is odd and  $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  when  $F$  is even (the derived group is  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  in both cases). Notice that this monoid is singular at the origin when  $n > 1$ , which reflects the fact that the basic function are more complicated than the  $n = 1$  case.

**Remark 2** Assuming that  $\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\hat{\det}} \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathrm{GL}(V)$  is the identity map (e.g.,  $n = 1$  in the previous example) ensures that the unit group of  $\bar{G}_\rho$  is  $G$  and we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bar{G}_\rho \\ \downarrow \text{det} & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{A}^1. \end{array}$$

The construction of  $\bar{G}_\rho$  can be characterized in terms of toric varieties: it is the unique reductive monoid with unit group  $G$  such that the closure of any maximal torus  $T$  in  $\bar{G}_\rho$  is the toric variety associated to  $T$  and the cone generated by the weights of  $\rho$ .

## Arc spaces

Directly comes from the construction of  $\bar{G}_\rho$  one sees that  $\bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O}) \cap G(F)$  is exactly supported on the  $K$ -double cosets associated dominant weights generated by the weights of  $\rho$ . So the basic function  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$  can be viewed as a function on  $\bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O}) \cap G(F)$ . Now take  $F = k((t))$ . Then we have the advantage of endowing  $\bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O})$  an algebro-geometric structure over the residue field  $k$ .

**Definition 4** Let  $X$  be an algebraic variety over a field  $k$ . We define its  $n$ -th jet space  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  to be the functor sending a  $k$ -algebra  $R$  to the set  $X(R[t]/t^{n+1})$ . If  $X$  is affine, then  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  is also representable by an affine  $X$ -scheme of finite type. In particular,  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)(k) = X(k[t]/t^{n+1}) = \text{Hom}(k[t]/t^{n+1}, X)$  consists of order- $F$  arcs in  $X$ . When  $n = 1$  we exactly recover the tangent bundle of  $X$ . For more general  $F$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  contains information about the singularities of  $X$ .

**Example 6**  $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}^1) = \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ .

**Example 7** Notice that if  $X$  is defined by  $f(x, y) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  is defined by the equation  $f(x + a_1 t + \cdots a_n t^n, y + b_1 t + \cdots b_n t^n) = 0 \pmod{t^n}$  with extra variables  $a_i, b_i$ . Take  $X = \{xy = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  is given by

$$(x_0 + x_1 t + \cdots x_n t^n)(y_0 + y_1 t + \cdots y_n t^n) = 0 \pmod{t^{n+1}}.$$

One can find  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  exactly has  $n + 2$  irreducible components, each isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$  given by the first  $k$  of the  $x$ -coordinates are 0 and first  $\ell$  of the  $y$ -coordinates equal to zero, where  $k + \ell = n + 1$ . The component with  $k = 0$  maps to the line  $x = 0$ , and the component with  $k = n + 1$  maps to the other line  $y = 0$ . All the rest  $n - 1$  components maps to the singularity (the origin).

**Example 8** Take  $X = \{x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$  has one irreducible component of dimension  $2(n + 1)$  which dominates  $X$ , and has one extra component of the same dimension mapping to the origin when  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

If  $X$  is smooth, then the natural map  $\mathcal{L}_n(X) \rightarrow X$  is smooth and surjective. In general, if  $X$  is not smooth, then  $\mathcal{L}_n(X) \rightarrow X$  may fail to be surjective, and the transition maps can be rather complicated.

**Definition 5** We define the (formal) arc space to be  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{L}_n(X)$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{L}(X)(k) = X(k[[t]]) = X(\mathcal{O})$ , which consists of (formal) arcs  $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  (here  $\mathbb{D} = \text{Spf } k[[t]]$  is the formal disc).

Again if  $X$  is smooth then  $\mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow X$  is formally smooth and surjective. A theorem of John Nash says that the inverse image of  $X_{\text{sing}}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  has only finitely many irreducible components, each corresponds to a component in the inverse image of  $X_{\text{sing}}$  in any resolution of singularities of  $Y \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $X^\circ \subseteq X$  be a smooth open dense subvariety. We define  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$  to be the space of non-degenerate arcs in  $X^\circ$ . Namely for a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(R)$  consists of arcs  $\phi : \mathbb{D}_R \rightarrow X$  such that inverse image  $\phi^{-1}(X^\circ)$  is open in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  and surjects to  $\text{Spec } R$ . In particular, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k) = X(\mathcal{O}) \cap X^\circ(F).$$

If one has a  $\ell$ -adic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , then taking the Frobenius trace gives us a function

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{L}(X)(k) = X(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \quad x \mapsto \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_x : \mathcal{F}_x).$$

(if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a complex, then take alternating trace on the cohomology groups). Similarly, if we only have a sheaf on  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)$ , we can still obtain a function on  $X(\mathcal{O}) \cap X^\circ(F)$ . When specializing to  $X = \bar{G}_\rho$  and  $X^\circ = G$ , we can obtain a function on  $\bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O}) \cap G(F)$  as desired. Our next goal is then to construct a canonical sheaf on  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(\bar{G}_\rho)$ , whose associated function gives the basic function  $\mathcal{C}_\rho$ .

## IC sheaves and functions

If  $X$  is a variety over  $k$ , there is a canonical sheaf associated to  $X$ , i.e., its IC sheaf which generalizes the constant sheaf and encodes the singularities of  $X$ .

**Definition 7** Let  $j : X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$  be a smooth open dense subvariety. We define

$$\mathrm{IC}_X := j_{!*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell = \mathrm{im}(j_!\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \rightarrow Rj_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}),$$

to be the middle extension of the constant sheaf on  $X^\circ$  (so  $\mathrm{IC}_X$  a complex of sheaves in the derived category of  $X$ ). It is independent of the choice of  $X^\circ$  and measures the singularities of  $X$  along the boundary. The shift  $\mathrm{IC}_X[\dim X]$  serves as the dualizing sheaf for the Poincaré(-Verdier) duality for singular varieties, and is a basic example of a perverse sheaf.

However, because the arc space  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is infinite type over  $k$ , there is no good theory of IC sheaves/perverse sheaves on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Fortunately, the singularities of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  have a finite dimensional model.

**Definition 8** A finite dimensional formal model of  $\mathcal{L}(X)(k)$  at  $x \in \mathcal{L}(X)(k)$  is a formal scheme  $Y_y$  (the subscript means taking formal completion), where  $Y$  is a finite type  $k$ -scheme and  $y \in Y(k)$  a point such that

$$\mathcal{L}(X)_x \cong Y_y \times \mathbb{D}^\infty.$$

**Theorem 1** (Drinfeld (2002), generalizing Grinberg–Kazhdan (2000) for  $\mathrm{char} k = 0$ ) Finite dimensional model exists at each point  $x \in \mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k)$ .

Bouthier-Ngo-Sakellaridis [1] show that the stalk  $\mathrm{IC}_{Y,y}$  of the IC sheaf of  $Y$  does not depend on the choice of the finite dimensional formal model  $Y_y$ . It now makes sense to define the IC function on the non-degenerate arcs by

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\mathcal{L}(X)} : \mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}, \quad x \mapsto \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Frob}_y : \mathrm{IC}_{Y,y}).$$

It is a numerical invariant encoding the singularities of  $X$ . By taking  $X^\circ = G$  and  $X = \bar{G}_\rho$ , we obtain

$$\mathrm{IC}_\rho : \bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O}) \cap G(F) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}.$$

Now we can state the main theorem of [1].

**Theorem 2** (Bouthier-Ngo-Sakellaridis (2016)) Let  $\nu_G$  be the half sum of all positive roots. Then

$$\mathrm{IC}_\rho = \mathcal{C}_\rho(-\langle \nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle).$$

**Example 9** When  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $\rho = \mathrm{Std}$ , we have  $\langle \nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle = (n-1)/2$ . So we recover

$$\mathrm{tr}(\pi \otimes |\det|^s)(\mathrm{IC}_\rho) = L(s - (n-1)/2, \pi).$$

The shift  $(n-1)/2$  matches with the Godement-Jacquet zeta integral as well.

## A global model

To prove the main theorem, we need a concrete construction of the finite dimensional model of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  at non-degenerate arcs. To do so we make use of a global smooth projective curve  $C/k$ . From now on, let  $X = \bar{G}_\rho$  (with left and right  $G$ -actions).

**Definition 9** Recall that an  $S$ -point of the quotient stack  $[X/G]$  consists of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $S$  together with a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ . Consider the stack  $\mathrm{Map}(C, [X/G])$ , whose  $k$ -points consists of maps  $\phi : C \rightarrow [X/G]$ , namely a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $k$  together a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ . We now add the non-degeneracy and define  $M$  to be the open substack of  $\mathrm{Map}(C, [X/G])$  such that  $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  factors through  $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_U \rightarrow G$  for a open subset  $U \subseteq C$ . Then one can show that  $M$  is an algebraic space locally of finite type.

**Definition 10** To relate  $M$  to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , we fix a  $k$ -point  $v \in C(k)$ . Define  $\tilde{M}$  to be the stack classifying a point  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi) \in M$  together with  $\theta : \mathbb{D}_v \times G \cong \mathcal{E}_v$ , a trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the formal disc  $\mathbb{D}_v$ . Then we have a canonical projection

$$\tilde{M} \rightarrow M,$$

which is a torsor under  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ , hence is formally smooth. On the other hand, given a point  $(\mathcal{E}, \phi, \theta) \in \tilde{M}$ , we obtain an arc by the composite map

$$\mathbb{D}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_v \times G \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{E}_v \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\phi} X.$$

Moreover, this arc is non-degenerate (by the non-degenerate requirement when defining  $M$ ). Thus we obtain a morphism

$$\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\circ(X).$$

The following essentially says that there is no obstruction for deforming  $G$ -bundles while fixing the induced formal arc.

**Proposition 1** Let  $x \in \mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k)$ . Let  $y \in \tilde{M}(k)$  be a point such that  $\phi|_{C \setminus v}$  lies in the smooth locus of  $X$ , and such that its image in  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k)$  is  $x$  (such  $y$  always exists by Beauville-Laszlo patching the trivial  $G$ -bundle). Then  $\tilde{M}_y \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}^\circ X)_x$  is formally smooth.

It follows that

$$M_y \times \mathbb{D}^\infty \cong \tilde{M}_y \times \mathbb{D}^\infty \cong (\mathcal{L}^\circ X)_x \times \mathbb{D}^\infty,$$

and hence  $M_y$  can serve as a finite dimensional formal model of  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)$  at  $x$ . In particular, we obtain

$$\mathrm{IC}_M(y) \cong \mathrm{IC}_\rho(x)$$

## Geometric Satake

Let  $y \in M(k)$ . From the fixed map  $\det : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  one naturally associates to  $y$  a line bundle on  $k$ . Using the trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}|_U$  induced from  $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_U \rightarrow G$ , we also obtain a generic section of this line bundle, hence a divisor  $D$  on  $k$ .

Let  $D = \sum n_i v_i$  and  $M_D \subseteq M$  be the substack whose associated divisor is  $D$ . By the Beauville-Laszlo patching, the data of a  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  and a trivialization away from  $D$  is the same as giving  $G$ -bundles  $\mathcal{E}_i$  on the formal disc  $\mathbb{D}_{v_i}$  together with a trivialization on the punctured formal disc  $\mathbb{D}_{v_i}^*$ . Then we obtain a map into the affine Grassmannians  $\mathrm{Gr}$  (whose  $k$ -points are  $G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$ ) at  $v_i$ 's,

$$M_D \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Gr}_{v_i}.$$

Moreover, a trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}|_U$  actually comes from a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X = \bar{G}_\rho$  if and only if  $\mathcal{E}_i$  has invariant  $\leq n_i \lambda_\rho$  for each  $i$ . Thus we obtain an isomorphism

$$M_D \cong \prod_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Gr}_{v_i, \leq n_i \lambda_\rho}.$$

Notice each term on the right is indeed a projective variety (a Schubert variety), which models singularity of  $\mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k)$  when  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Varying  $D$ , we obtain an isomorphism

$$M(k) \cong \prod'_{v \in |C|} (\bar{G}_\rho(\mathcal{O}_v) \cap G(F_v)) / G(\mathcal{O}_v).$$

Using this isomorphism and a fixed  $v \in C(k)$ , we can choose the point  $y \in M(k)$  explicitly corresponding to a point  $x \in \mathcal{L}^\circ(X)(k)$  such that  $\mathrm{IC}_\rho(x)$  is the  $v$ -component of  $\mathrm{IC}_M(y)$ .

Now recall the geometric Satake correspondence.

**Theorem 3** (Mirkovic-Vilonen (2007)) Let  $K_\rho$  be the IC sheaf of the Schubert variety  $\mathrm{Gr}_{\leq \lambda_\rho}$  shifted by its dimension  $\langle 2\nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle$ . Then the map  $\rho \mapsto K_\rho$  gives an equivalence of tensor categories between the finite dimensional representations of  $\hat{G}$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on  $\mathrm{Gr}$  (the tensor structure given the convolution product).

Bouthier-Ngo-Sakellaridis show that

$$\mathrm{IC}_{M_D} \cong \boxtimes_{i=1}^m K_{v_i, \mathrm{Sym}^{n_i}(\rho)} [-n_i \langle 2\nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle] (-n_i \langle \nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle).$$

(The symmetric power essentially comes from looking at the map  $C^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^{n_i} C$ ). Hence by the geometric Satake we have

$$\mathrm{IC}_M = \prod_{v \in |C|} \sum_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{C}_{\rho, v}^d (-\langle \nu_G, \lambda_\rho \rangle).$$

The main theorem now follows by taking the  $v$ -component.

*Last Update: 05/05/2018. Copyright © 2015 - 2018, Chao Li.*

## References

- [1] Bouthier, A. and Ngô, B. C. and Sakellaridis, Y., *On the formal arc space of a reductive monoid*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2016), no.1, 81--108.

[2] Ngo, Bao Chau, *Hankel transform, Langlands functoriality and functional equation of automorphic L-functions*, <http://math.uchicago.edu/~ngo/takagi.pdf>.