

**Group 44**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Reviewers Comment</b>	<b>Action Taken by Reviewed Group</b>
<b>Build</b>	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Could not build the project because I did not have access to the hardware required. However, the README clearly states that this would not be possible without the hardware.	
<b>Legibility</b>	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Looking at the code they wrote, everything looks to be in good shape. Variable names make sense and it is easy to follow.	
<b>Implementation</b>	Is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	It sounds like much of the code was provided to them as it would be extremely complicated to implement. Looking at what they Wrote it appears they had good use of abstractions and everything seems to be in good shape.	
<b>Maintainability</b>	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There does not appear to be unit tests. I'm not sure exactly what they would be able to test given there project, but if they could it might be nice to see some tests.	
<b>Requirements</b>	Does the code fulfill	Looks like all the	

	the requirements?	main goals were met, with the stretch goals not really being possible due to the Coronavirus.	
<b>Other</b>	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Everything seems to be in good shape for what they are able to do. It sounds like there would have been a cool opportunity to implement a rover but unfortunately that was not possible due to recent events. Overall everything looks great!	