# OSVVM's Co-simulation Framework

User Guide for Release 2023.01

Ву

Simon Southwell

simon. southwell@gmail.com

# Table of Contents

1		Overview	3
2		Prerequisites for Windows	5
3		Running the Co-simulation Examples	6
4		Co-simulation Supported Platforms	6
5		OSVVM Co-simulation Address Transaction Procedure	6
6		OSVVM C++ API	8
	6.1	OsvvmCosim Class Constructor	8
	6.2	Advancing Time	9
	6.3	Transaction methods	9
	6.4	Interrupt Callback	. 10
7		Compiling Co-simulation Code	. 11
8		Usage Examples	. 12
	8.1	C++ test code for word and burst transactions	. 12
	8.2	RISC-V instruction set simulator	. 13
	8.3	Connecting to External Programs	. 16
	8.4	InterruptHandler VC usage	. 18
	8.5	Interrupt callback	. 19
	8.6	Using GHDL callable Simulation	. 20
9		Summary	. 24
10		About the OSVVM	. 24
11		About the Authors and Contributors	. 25
	11.1	About the Co-simulation Contributor – Simon Southwell	. 25
	11.2	About the Author - Jim Lewis	. 25
12		References	. 25

#### 1 Overview

The co-simulation features of OSVVM are a compliment to the Address Bus Model Independent Transactions features, as outlined in [1]. It is highly recommended that this document is read before this one, as it will be assumed that the reader is familiar with the transactions associated with that feature.

A typical address bus transaction test bench might look something like the diagram below for an AXI4 environment, which is based on the example test bench in AXI4/Axi4/testbench/TbAxi4Memory.vhd. with the Axi4Memory VC standing in for a unit under test component.




Figure 1: Address Bus Transaction Test Environment

In this example the test has a manger process using calls to to the address bus procedures, within an operation loop, to generate transaction via the ManagerRec which the Axi4Manager VC component translates into specific AXI4 signalling. In this case the target is another OSVVM VC, which is a memory component but would normally be the DUT component or sub-system. A subordinate process inspects arriving traffic and performs checks. In some scenarios the subordinate process generates the responses but, in this case, the Axi4Memory VC generates these internally. The advantage of this system is that the transactions are abstracted away from the bus specific model with re-usable components. If the Axi4Manager VC is replaced with another address bus VC, then the manager code remains unchanged and can drive the new system

The aim of the co-simulation features is to extend this architecture to allow the generation of the transactions to be performed within a C/C++ domain. It extends the calls to the address bus procedures to calls to methods within a C++ class. This opens up new possibilities not availabe in a pure VHDL domains. Some of these are listed below:

- Writing of tests in C++
  - Test development environment extended to software engineers
- Running C++ models and code on simulated hardware

- o Instruction set simulators or cycle accurate processor models
- System models with a mix of software models and logic IP
- Connection to an external program via TCP/IP sockets
  - Where model might not be callable directly from the C++ code

With co-simulation of processor and system models, the possibility of developing software alongside the logic IP exists before silicon is available, but using both that actual hard and soft components together and not facsimiles, reducing risk.

The co-simulation features replace the transaction calls to TestCtrl's ManagerProc with a connection to the co-simulation interface. The digram below shows the updated framwork.




Figure 2: Co-simulation Environment

In place of calls directly to the address bus transaction procedures, a CoSimTrans procedure is provided to replace these and drive ManagerRec instead. This hides deatils away for calls to the the underlying cosimulation code, with calls to foreign procedures that communicate with user program. The code, at startup will call a function (VUserMain0 for 'node 0', for example) that the user provides with all their code and sub-code called from there (much like main()). The user code now has access to the transaction API provided by the co-simulation code, and can generate read and write transactions in the OSVVM domain. The complexity of the user code to model other system features is now unlimited, and

can direct address bus accesses towards the simulation, and components modelled there via the API as appropriate.

The main features, then, of the co-simulation C++ code are as follows:

- Ability to support multiple, independent Address Bus Interfaces (such as AXI, Avalon etc.) for word and burst transfers
- Multiple nodes to drive separate AddressBusRecType transaction signals from multiple processes
- Ability to handle interrupts in the software via callbacks
- Ability to advance simulation time (without a transaction)

In the rest of this document will be detailed the usage of the co-simulation features to drive address bus transactions from the VHDL/OSVVM side and the C++ side.

# 2 Prerequisites for Windows

To run the co-simulation features on Windows requires the use of MSYS2 and the gcc toolchains for 64-and 32-bit compilation, as well as make and python3. The list below provides a link to the MSYS2 installer. Once installed, the required gcc modules can be installed as shown for the latest MSYS2 at time of writing (recommend updating existing installations before proceeding).

- msys2
- mingw-w64 32- and 64-bit gcc toolchains, with required non-included libraries
- From an MSYS2 MINGW64 shell:
  - o pacman -S mingw-w64-x86\_64-gcc
  - o pacman -S mingw-w64-i686-gcc
  - o pacman -S mingw-w64-x86\_64-dlfcn
  - o pacman -S mingw-w64-i686-dlfcn
- Utility functions
- From an MSYS2 MINGW64 shell:
  - o pacman -S make
  - o pacman -S python3
  - pacman -S rlwrap (for GHDL and NVC)
  - o pacman -S mingw-w64-x86\_64-tclib (for GHDL and NVC)

Note that **ModelSim** require running from the MSYS2 MINGW32 shell, as it is a 32-bit executable and the co-simulation code must be compiled for 32-bits, whereas all the other simulators require using the MSYS32 MINGW64 shell for 64-bit compilation.

If **GHDL** is required to be used, the mingw-w64-x86\_64-ghd1-llvm package must be installed with pacman and should be run from the MSYS2 MINGW64 shell. If must also be ensured that tclsh is available (pacman -S tcl).

# 3 Running the Co-simulation Examples

See the steps in the OSVVM Overview guide for running the OSVVM demos. Do the steps shown in Figure 3 in your simulator. StartUp.tcl needs to be sourced each time you start the simulator. See the Script User Guide for additional details for Aldec's ActiveHDL, GHDL, Synopsys VCS, and Cadence Xcelium.

```
cd sim
source ../OsvvmLibraries/Scripts/StartUp.tcl
build ../OsvvmLibraries/OsvvmLibraries.pro
build ../OsvvmLibraries/AXI/RunAllCosimTests.pro
```

Figure 3. Compiling and Running Co-simulation tests

### 4 Co-simulation Supported Platforms

Below is a list of simulators and the tested support for them for the co-simulation features of OSVVM.

Vendor	Simulator	Linux	Windows
Siemens	ModelSim	✓	✓
Siemens	QuestaSim	✓	✓
Open Source	GHDL	✓	✓
Aldec	Active-HDL	N/A	note†
Open Source	NVC	note†	note†

**Table 1: Supported Simulators** 

#### 5 OSVVM Co-simulation Address Transaction Procedure

To add co-simulation to the VHDL test logic in OSVVM procedures are provided in OsvvmTestCoSimPkg. The procedures stand in for address bus transaction generation logic such as that demonstrated, for example, in the AXI4/Axi4/TestCases/TbAxi4\_MemoryReadWrite.vhd test. The co-simulation procedures use the standard OSVVM test environments driving the AddressBusRecType records, usually from a manager process, to instigate bus reads and writes on, for instance, AXI4 or Axi4Lite busses, as dictated by the test bench used. The instigators of the transactions are then from C++ programs compiled and run with the simulation instead of direct calls to the OSVVM Address Bus Transaction procedures [1]. The co-simulation demonstration programs use the TbAxi4Memory.vhd test benches fro Axi4 and Axi4Lite.

<sup>†</sup> Support is experimental at this stage with test running to a limited degree. Full support is expected soon.

Before any calls to co-simulated code can be made, the code must be initialised for *all* nodes to be used. Separate programs can be run concurrently if needed (though not usual), and each must have a unique node ID. This is done using the CoSimInit procedure, as shown below.

```
procedure CoSimInit (

variable NodeNum : in integer := 0
);
```

The procedure would normally be called from within the ControlProc of the test case, or possibly from within the MangerProc if only a single node used, before any calls made to other co-simulation procedures.

To generate address bus transactions from the co-simulation software repeated calls to a procedure CoSimTrans must be made from a process (in a loop for example) that drive an AddressBusRecType signal. This would normally be from a test case's MangerProc, but multiple driving processes are allowed and each can make calls to CoSimTrans, so long as each uses a unique mode ID and that node has been initialised with a call to CoSimInit (see, for example,

Common/TbInterrupt/TestCases/TbAxi4\_InterruptCosim1.vhd). The CoSimTrans procedure is defined below:

```
procedure CoSimTrans (

signal ManagerRec : inout AddressBusRecType;
variable Done : inout integer;
variable Error : inout integer;
variable IntReq : in integer := 0;
variable NodeNum : in integer := 0
);
```

The main argument to the procedure is the ManagerRec that is used to send a transaction request to the address bus transaction VC, such as an AXI4 manager VC. The Ticks, Done, and Error arguments indicate status from the co-simulation software. These must be connected to local variables in the calling process in order for state to be preserved between calls. The calling procedure can choose to use these signals or not, but that inidcate state that is useful in controlling the simulation from state that the software has indicated.

The Done argument is an indication that the co-simulation software has completed its run, when non-zero, and will no longer generate output. The calling process may use this to halt testing, but the status is an indication that the software is complete, rather than a request to halt. In systems with multiple nodes, a test may wish only to stop when all nodes have indicated that they are done. It is possible that the software requested some 'tick' idle cycles when flagging 'done' and the calling process should wait until at least Ticks is 0 and Done in non-zero before considering a co-simulation node to have completed.

The co-simulation software can also have internal errors and self-check failures. It communicates this by setting the Error argument to a non-zero value. The calling process can use this to assert a failure and even stop at the first instance this error state.

The IntReq input argument is used to communicate interrupt status to the co-simulation software. This boolean, when true, will call a function in the co-simulation software if one has been registered as an interrupt callback function (see the next section). The callback function is called for every call to CoSimTrans that the input is true, so if the source of the interrupt in the test bench is a level driven interrupt, the calling process should only set the IntReq true when the state changes and the callback to set/clear interrupt state to the software on alternate calls. An edge driven interrupt would need the IntReq true just for cycles on its active edge. For environments that do not use the interrupt callback features, the argument can be left off and the default false value used.

The NodeNum argument is the node ID. When only one co-simulation process present, this can be left off and the node defaults to 0. Where multiple processes are generating transactions each must use a unique NodeNum.

This, then, is all that is required from the VHDL/OSVVM environment side to be able to generate transactions for both word/sub-word and burst address bus transactions.

#### 6 OSVVM C++ API

The user side C++ code has access to an API in order to generate address bus transactions, allow simulation time to advance and to generate status. It can also get interrupt status from the simulation if present. A simpole example for a program is given in Figure 5 For each node used in the OSVVM test bench, there is expected to be a user written function named VUserMainn, where n is the number matching the node ID used (e.g. VUserMain0). This is like the main() of a C/C++ program for the node, or perhaps more like WinMain() for Windows non-console programs. An example definition is shown below:

The source code for the user code would normally be gathered into a single sub-directory for a given test. This might include just a single source file (e.g. VUserMain0.cpp) but can have sub-files to any complexity and would also include the code for all active nodes. All the source code then has access to the co-simulation API.

#### 6.1 OsvvmCosim Class Constructor

The API is defined in the OsvvmCosim.h header in CoSim/code. This is a C++ wrapper class to abstract away the lower level calls to the co-simulation infrastructure software. A constructor defines which node the object is attached to.

```
OsvvmCosim (
    int nodeIn = 0,
    std::string test_name = ""
);
```

Any user file can contruct the API object. This class is a wrapper and does not hold state (except the node number) and so sub-programs can create their own API objects (with the correct node for the top level program) to gain access to the API methods.

In order to identify the different co-simulation tests, that may all uses the same underlying test bench, the software can set a test name when constructing an OsvvmCosim object using the test\_name argument. By default, the argument is set to "" and the test name will not be set. Any other value will be used to set the test name in the OSVVM environment.

# 6.2 Advancing Time

Advancing time without generating transactions is done with the tick() method as defined below.

```
int tick (
    const int ticks,
    const bool done = false,
    const bool error = false
);
```

The method is called with a ticks parameter to indicate how many calls to the CoSimTrans VHDL procedure should be made without generating a transaction. If the calling process does not add any additional clock waits in the loop calling CoSimTrans, then the ticks will be clock cycles. The optional done and error inputs send status to the equivalent arguments on CoSimTrans as explained in the previous section.

The transaction methods are the methods that are used to generate the address bus activity.

#### 6.3 Transaction methods

The available transaction methods are shown below

```
uint<nn>_t transWrite (

const uint<nn>_t addr,
const uint<nn>_t data,
const int prot = 0 );
```

```
______
void transRead (
______
const uint<nn>_t addr,
const uint<nn> t *data,
const int prot = 0);
void transBurstWrite (
______
const uint<nn>_t addr,
   uint8_t *data,
const int bytesize,
const int
        prot = 0);
______
void transBurstRead (
______
const uint<nn>_t addr,
  uint8 t *data,
  const int bytesize,
   const int prot = 0);
```

These transaction methods are overloaded for varous sizes of address and data, using the stdint.h definitions, where uint<nn>\_t indicates different uint sizes. For address parameters this is uint32\_t or uint64\_t. For the data parameters of transRead and transWrite this is one of uint8\_t, uint16\_t, uint32\_t or uint64\_t (if address type is also uint64\_t). Note that using uint64\_t can only be done if the target test bench is configured for a 64-bit bus architecture.

The single word methods, transRead and transWrite, take an address, data and optional prot (protection) arguments, with the transRead having a pointer to the variable for returning the read data. The transWrite returns a value which is a future-proofing for returning read data in the same transaction as a write, but is not yet supported. The transRead method does not return a value and a pointer is used to return the data as this is how the method selects the size of the read transaction (byte, half-word etc.) based on the argument's size.

The burst transactions use byte buffers to send and return data. The calling code provides buffer space to a maximum of 4Kbytes preloaded with byte data for writes, or updated with byte data on reads. The bytesize parameter indicates the transfer size. The co-simulation code will not go beyond 4Kbytes when reading or writing to the buffers, so it is recommended to use 4Kbyte buffers in all cases to avoid overrun.

#### 6.4 Interrupt Callback

User code can register a callback function which will be called by the simulation every time the IntReq input to the CoSimTrans procedure changes state in the OSVVM test process. To register an interrupt callback function the following method is used:

The type of callback function, as defined by pVUserInt\_t, is int <myfunc>(int). When the callback is executed the int argument contatins the current state of the IntReq input to the CoSimTrans procedure. Example code can be found in OsvvmLibraries/CoSim/test/interruptCB.

# 7 Compiling Co-simulation Code

To compile the co-simulation files, both OSVVM and C++, some additional steps are required over a pure OSVVM environment. Some Tcl procedures are available to compile the C++ code which are gathered into CoSim/Scripts/MakeVproc.tcl. Ultimately, these scripts call the makefile in the CoSim directory, setting parameters as appropriate. This builds the co-simulation code into a shared object called VProc.so, and the user code is compiled to VUser.so.

For normal OSVVM test case code, this might use RunTest to analyse and then run the test code. For a co-simulation test additional arguments must be given to compile the C++ code. The MkVproc TCL function is used and has three arguments

```
proc MkVproc {
   testname
   {libname ""}
}
```

The first argument is a path to the directory where the user source code is located, containing all the user code for the particular test. An optional libname argument allows for libraries within CoSim/lib to be linked with the user code by tagging a -1:libname> argument.

An example .pro script to compile a co-simulation test is shown below, where the script is located in AXI4/Axi4/TestCases:

```
MkVproc $::osvvm::OsvvmCoSimDirectory/tests/usercode_size simulate TbAxi4_CoSim
```

Figure 4: Compiling Co-simulation Code

In this example, the test case, TbAxi4\_Cosim, is run with arguments to build the software to run with it. The MkVproc call specified that the top level test directory is CoSim (using the global \$::osvvm::OsvvmCoSimDirectory), and that the particular test code to be compiled is in tests/usercode\_size, under the top level test directory. The output of the make process, instigated by MkVproc, will be in the directory from which the .pro script was called, which would normally be

outside of the OsvvmLibraries directory. The co-simulation shared objects, VProc.so and VUser.so, along with the intermediate compiled files and static libraries are all output to the running directory. With a call to MkVproc a clean compile is done. That is so all the build files of any previous compilation are deleted first in order to ensure no clashes or pick up of old files in the event of a compilation error. A MkVprocNoClean procedure is provided to skip the cleaning step for diagnostic purposes but would not be used in normal operations.

# 8 Usage Examples

In this section will be described a set of usage cases for the co-simulation features. Each has a demonstration test case which may be referred as a reference for each of the case.

#### 8.1 C++ test code for word and burst transactions

At its simplest, the co-simulation features allow address bus transaction tests to be written in C++. The user code provides a VUserMainO function, instantiates an OsvvmCosim object and then has access to all the API features described above. The diagram in Figure 2 shows this test setup.

Demo tests for both word/sub-word and burst transactions exist, with the C++ source as:

- CoSim/tests/usercode\_size/VUserMain0.cpp
- CoSim/tests/usercode\_burst/VUserMain0.cpp

Both of these programs can be run on the TbAxiMemory.vhd test bench for both Axi4Lite and Axi4. The Axi4Lite and Axi4 test case VHDL for the tests are:

- AXI4/Axi4Lite/testbench/TbAxi4 CoSim.vhd
- AXI4/Axi4/TestCases/TbAxi4\_CoSim.vhd

These VHDL test case files are common to most of the relevant tests as it is just different C++ programs that need to be run on them. A simple example of a program using the word/sub-word API calls is show below.

```
#include "OsvvmCosim.h"
extern "C" void VUserMain0() {
    bool
                          error = false;
    uint32 t
                          wdata = 0;
    OsvvmCosim
                          cosim(0);
    for (int loop = 0; loop < 4; loop++)
    {
        uint32_t addr = 0x10000000;
        uint32_t rdata;
        for (int idx = 0; idx < 4; idx++) {
            cosim.transWrite(addr, wdata + idx);
            addr += 4;
        }
        addr = 0x10000000;
        for (int idx = 0; idx < 4; idx++) {
            cosim.transRead(addr, &rdata);
            if (rdata != (wdata + idx)) {
                error = true;
                break;
            }
            addr += 4;
        }
        wdata += 0x10;
    }
    // Flag to the simulation we're finished, after 10 more iterations
    cosim.tick(10, true, error);
    // If ever got this far then sleep forever
   SLEEPFOREVER;
```

Figure 5: Example Co-simulation Test Program

#### 8.2 RISC-V instruction set simulator

The first case above gives full access to the API to write new test code to drive the address bus transactions. A more useful ability might be to run a complex C++ model and have this access logic components in the simulation. The test code in CoSim/tests/iss hooks up a RISC-V instruction set simulator. This is a pre-existing open-source model available on github which models a RISC-V processor to the RV32GC+Zicsr standard, with machine level privileges. The model can be called from an external function and provides a means to register a callback function to be called whenever the processor does a load or store access. This callback function can choose to process the access or hand it back to the ISS. The model can also be connected to a gdb debugger as a remote target over TCP/IP and thus an IDE such as Eclipse. The test environment now looks like the following




Figure 6: ISS model Co-Simulation Environment

In this test the driving of the transactions now come from within the model itself, with a pre-compiled RISC-V program (test.exe, in the test directory) that is one of RISC-V International's unit test—to test the **sb** (store byte) instruction. The VUserMain0 function is now just a means to instantiate the model, load a program (if not loaded over gdb) and run it. Binary libraries for the RISC-V ISS model are provided in CoSim/lib and the headers in CoSim/include.

A simplifed VUserMain0 program is shown below.

```
#include "OsvvmCosim.h"
#include "rv32.h"
#include "rv32 cpu gdb.h"
extern "C" void VUserMain0()
   OsvvmCosim cosim(node);
   rv32i_cfg_s cfg; // ISS config structure
   bool error = false;
   rv32* pCpu = new rv32(); // ISS object
   // Register memory access callback
   pCpu->register_ext_mem_callback(memcosim);
   // Load a program and run the ISS model
   if (!pCpu->read elf("test.exe")) {
       pCpu->run(cfg);
       error = check_exit_status(pCpu);
   }
   else
       error = true;
   // Clean up
   delete pCpu;
   // Flag to sim that the test is finished
   cosim.tick(10, true, error);
   // Let the simulation free run
   SLEEPFOREVER;
```

Figure 7: Main Program with RISC-V ISS

The registered call back function (memcosim in the example above) is called each load and store from the model and has parameters for byte address data and type. A simplified version of the callback is shown below

```
int memcosim (const uint32 t byte addr, uint32 t &data,
             const int type, const rv32i time t time)
 OsvvmCosim cosim(node);
 int cycle count = 5;
 uint8 t rdata8;
 uint16 t rdata16;
 uint32 t rdata32;
 switch (type)
   case MEM WR ACCESS BYTE : cosim.transWrite(byte addr, (uint8 t)data);
     break;
   case MEM WR ACCESS HWORD: cosim.transWrite(byte addr, (uint16 t)data);
     break;
   case MEM WR ACCESS WORD: cosim.transWrite(byte addr, (uint32 t)data);
     break;
   case MEM WR ACCESS INSTR: cosim.transWrite(byte addr, (uint32 t)data);
     break:
   case MEM RD ACCESS BYTE: cosim.transRead(byte addr, &rdata8); data=rdata8;
     break;
   case MEM RD ACCESS HWORD: cosim.transRead(byte addr, &rdata16); data=rdata16;
     break;
   case MEM RD ACCESS WORD: cosim.transRead(byte addr, &rdata32); data=rdata32;
     break:
   case MEM RD ACCESS INSTR: cosim.transRead(byte addr, &rdata32); data=rdata32;
     break;
   default: cycle count = RV32I EXT MEM NOT PROCESSED;
     break
 return cycle_count;
```

Figure 8: ISS Callback Function

This example serves to demonstrate the simplicity of connecting an existing C++ model environment to the OSVVM co-simulation features. The test bench uses the TbAxi4Memory VC as a target, with the program loaded and then run from that memory, but this just stands in for simulated DUT logic, either a peripheral or a sub-system, say. The callback might be enhanced to do some address decoding to only forward to OSVVM relevant accesses, and hand back those out of range for the rest of the model to handle.

The method described here relies on having a model that is callable from the VUserMain0 program. The simulators, in general, are executables and are not callable from external programs. The OSVVM cosimulation code provides the means to have a 'free running' program that can call API methods to initiate activity in the logic simulation but, ultimately, this was all initiated from the simulator process. In order to have a program, separate from the simulator, drive the transactions another method is required.

#### 8.3 Connecting to External Programs

If the modelling system to be hooked to OSVVM is, itself, an executable and can't be called from the co-simulation code, then a means is needed to communicate between the external model and the OSVVM co-simulation program. In CoSim/code is some source code that defines an OsvvmCosimSkt class which acts as a TCP/IP socket server. It defines a protocol for sending word or sub-word read or write

transactions, sending back responses to a connected client. The protocol is based on gdb's remote target protocol, but the class is defined in such a way as to allow a parsing and response methods to be overwritten in a derived class to alter or extend that protocol, so long as the basic structure is a start-of-packet (SOP) byte, data bytes, end-of-packet byte, followed by a fixed number of bytes (which can be 0). The current protocol is restricted to word and sub-word transactions and has no support for time advancement or interrupts, but this is easily added by extending the class to enhance the protocol and add burst transfers, time and interrupt support. It serves as a demonstration and, of course, a user can write their own socket code. The test environment now looks like that shown in the figure below:




Figure 9: TCP/IP Socket Based Test Environment

The CoSim/tests/socket test code makes use of this class to open up a TCP/IP port and listen for an external connection. The VUserMain0 program is shown below:

```
extern "C" void VUserMain0()
{
   OsvvmCosim cosim(node);
   OsvvmCosimSkt skt(node);
   bool error = false;
   if (skt.ProcessPkts() != OsvvmCosimSkt::OSVVM COSIM OK)
   {
       fprintf(stderr, "***ERROR: socket exited with bad status\n");
       error = true;
   }
   else
   {
       printf("DONE\n");
   }
   // Flag to the simulation we're finished, after 10 more iterations
   cosim.tick(10, true, error);
   SLEEPFOREVER:
```

Figure 10: Use of OsvvmCosimSkt Class

Standing in for an external program, a python script is provided in CoSim/Scripts. A batch version, used in running the demo tests is called client\_batch.py. A GUI version (client\_gui.py) can be used to interact directly with the simulation. When the simulation is started the simulation will wait on connection to a port (by default 49152—which is 0xC000). The GUI can then connect to this port and send commands and receive responses. The GUI is shown as the client in Figure 10 above. As well as sending and receiving data manually, the GUI can read a script, and the ISS demo test generates a script as it runs that can be used. There is also a sktscript.txt file in the CoSim/tests/socket directory, used in the demo tests.

#### 8.4 InterruptHandler VC usage

In the 2022.11 release of OSVVM an Interrupt Handler VC component was added to allow for testing of the interrupt generation features of a DUT (see [2] for details). The test environment adds to the basic environment by having an additional InterruptProc process that can generate transactions in the same manner as a MangerProc process. The InterruptHandler arbitrates between the two sources to forward transactions to the Axi4Manager VC based on the state of the DUT's interrupt request line. To use the handler with co-simulation code, the multi-node capabilities are used, where the manager process uses node 0 (the case in the previous examples, and the interrupt process uses node 1. Each node will call its respective top level functions—VUserMain0 and VUserMain1—each running as separate programs. This arrangement is shown in the diagram below.




Figure 11: Co-simulation with InterruptHandler VC

A test example is given in the code in CoSim/tests/interrupt and runs on the TbAxi4Memory.vhd test bench in Common/TbInterrupt/testbench. The VHDL test case is TbAxi4\_InterruptCosim1.vhd under Common/TbInterrupt/TestCases. The two VUserMain programs running simply emulate the functionality in the TbAxi4\_Interrupt1.vhd, just using calls to the API to instigate the transactions.

# 8.5 Interrupt callback

The interrupt callback method of handling interrupts make use of a VHDL global signal, gIntReq, defined in the InterruptGlobalSignalPkg. The CoSimInterruptHandler reflects the state of the IntReq input and sets the global signal accordingly. The manager process of the test case can then access this signal to set the interrupt input of CoSimTrans as required. In the case of the TbAxi4\_InterruptCosim3.vhd test case, the input to CoSimTrans is set to 1 whenever the gIntReq changes state. The test code in CoSim/tests/interruptIss registers an interrupt callback function with the co-simulation software and this function toggles a local static variable, IntReq, each time it is called. The test uses the RISC-V ISS model, and another function is registered as a callback from this model to inspect IntReq and return the status to the model. The associated RISC-V test program has the exception vectors set up and enables interrupts before running code to write to a given address to initiate an external interrupt, which the test bench monitors for and sets the IntReq output from the TestCtrl\_e test bench component in the logic simulation. The RISC-V interrupt routine clears the interrupt (writes 0 to the same address that set the interrupt signal) and sets a RISC-V register to say it has been called, which the main test program then checks for, flagging a pass/fail condition.

Co-sim C/C++ state update available to main program

VUserMainO()

TbAxi4Memory

CoSimTrans(0)

(foreign)

CreateClk

ManagerProc

ControlProc

Axi4Manager

Axi4Monitor

Handler

DUT

TestCtrl

This setup is shown in the diagram below:

Figure 12: Interrupt Callback Environment

This test, then, demonstrates the connection between an interrupt signal in logic simulation causing an external interrupt initiating a jump to exception code, within a software processor system model.

# 8.6 Using GHDL callable Simulation

The default behaviour of the co-simulation code is to call one or more user programs, running in threads, with entry points at <code>VUserMainX</code> (one for each active node). The user test code is called from there and can be any code complexity, modelling whole systems if required. The assumption here is that the user's code, modelling their system, is callable from external code. This is true of the example with the RISC-V ISS, which is instantiated and run from a <code>VUserMain</code> program. This may not always be possible for pre-existing modelling systems, where the tool being used is an executable in its own right, and custom models are added to this environment and are called from there.

The supported GHDL simulator has a documented feature whereby the simulator can be called from an external program via the <a href="main">ghdl main</a> function. As documented, this is meant to be called from user code compiled as a shared object and loaded as such as for foreign language routines. Again, since a user's model may not be in the form of a shared object, a

different model is needed to make calling the simulation possible. GHDL's two steps for compiling code is to first analyse all the VHDL files and then, secondly, elaborate the top level. The GHDL tool must be compiled for an LLVM backend (though gcc might work, but not tried) and not mcode (see <a href="here">here</a>). The first step results in a set of objects for each component in a respective library. For OSVVM, all these files are in subdirectories of VHDL\_LIBS. The elaboration step results in an object in the compile directory with the form e~<name of top level>.o, for example e~tbaxi\_cosim.o. It also results in an executable which is the simulation that can be run, but this is not yet suitable. These objects in VHDL\_LIBS can all be gathered into a single static library, along with the relevant objects from GHDL for the normal VHDL libraries (e.g. <root to GHDL libs>/std/08/\*.o) to be used in compiling with the main code.

The OSVVM co-simulation code itself must be compiled slightly differently so that it does not attempt to start the threads containing the <code>vuserMainx</code> code. This is done by compiling with <code>-DDISABLE\_VUSERMAIN\_THREAD</code> in the <code>gcc/g++</code> flags. This will still create the usual <code>vProc.so</code> and <code>vuser.so</code> shared object files. It also prevents the user code from setting the <code>done</code> argument to <code>true</code> in any call to the <code>tick</code> method. A call to this method with <code>done</code> set to <code>true</code> will block forever as it will terminate the simulation. The co-simulation software expects a response for each API call from the simulator that is no longer forthcoming, as the simulation has terminated. When the code is run as a thread from the simulator, this is not an issue, as the simulator terminating is the end of the simulation and the program exits. When called from an external program, it must not hang on this call, and external means are required to call the <code>tick</code> method with <code>done</code> set to <code>true</code> (in code which hasn't been compiled with <code>-DDISABLE VUSERMAIN THREAD</code>).

The two co-simulation shared objects, along with the new static library of component object files and the elaboration object can now be compiled with the user's main program to replace the original executable with one that now has user code that can call the GHDL simulator and use the co-simulation API directly. However, the simulator must be started first via the call to <code>ghdl\_main</code>. This is a blocking call and won't exit until the simulation has finished. Therefore this must be run in a separate thread. Once started, and the simulation has initialised the co-simulation code, the user code can then make calls to the co-simulation API, via an <code>OSvvmCosimClass</code>. The diagram below summarises this environment




Figure 13: Callable GHDL test environment

The user code must take care of initialising the simulator, running <code>ghdl\_main</code> in a thread, and waiting for it to be ready for API accesses. When the simulation finishes, the simulation must be stopped with a call to the <code>tick</code> method with the <code>done</code> argument set to <code>true</code>. As mentioned above, such a call to this method will block forever, and so this needs to be called fro a separate thread. Whan called, the <code>ghdl\_main</code> function will return and the thread it's running in will terminate. The main program can wait on this thread in order to ensure the simulation has, in fact, finished, using a <code>pthread\_join</code> call. The abbreviated code fragment below summarises the use of these features.

```
#include <pthread.h>
#include "OsvvmCosim.h"
extern "C" int ghdl_main (int argc, char **argv);
extern "C" int VUserMain0 ();
static int node = 0;
static int large;
static char** largv;
// -----
int run sim (int dummy) {
   int status = ghdl main(largc, largv);
   return status;
}
// -----
int stop sim thread (int dummy) {
 OsvvmCosim cosim(node);
 // This call will hang because the simulation will not return
 // a respoinse since it was stopped.
 cosim.tick(1, true, false);
 return 0;
// -----
void stop_sim(void) {
   pthread t stop thread;
   pthread create(&stop thread, NULL, (pThreadFunc t)stop sim thread,
                (void *)((long long)node));
// ======== M A I N =========
int main (int argc, char **argv) {
   OsvvmCosim cosim(node);
   pthread t sim thread;
   // Export arguments for use by thread code
   largc = argc; largv = argv;
   // Create a thread for calling GHDL simulator
   pthread create(&sim thread, NULL, (pThreadFunc t)run sim, (void *)((long long)node));
   \ensuremath{//} Allow the simulation's co-simulation code to initialise and be ready
   // for the first API call.
   cosim.waitForSim();
   // Do some tests, calling the VUserMainO code directly.
   VUserMain0();
   // Post a done status to the simulator.
   stop sim();
   // Wait for the simulator to exit and the thread to complete.
   pthread_join(sim_thread, NULL);
   return 0;
```

The steps necessary to set up the GHDL callable simulation are summarised below.

- Analyse VHDL files: ghdl -a t of files>
- Elaborate top level: ghdl -e <top level>
- Compile co-sim code: make -C <path to OsvvmLibraries/CoSim> [options]
  - o The USRFLAGS must be set to include -DDISABLE\_VUSERMAIN\_THREAD
- Compile user code: gcc -c main.c -o main.o
- Gather analysed file objects, user code, and GHDL library objects into a library:

```
o ar crs libtb.a main.o
  `find VHDL_LIBS -name *.o`
  `find <GHDL rootdir>/std/08; -name *.o`
  `find <GHDL rootdir>/ieee/08; -name *.o`
```

• Generate a new executable:

The above steps, not including the analysis of the VHDL files are encapsulated in the procedure MkVprocGhdlMain, which has the same arguments as MkVproc described in section 7. This makes use of a make file, makefile.ghdl, in OsvvmLibraries/CoSim. This make file is customisable with user overridable variables, as documented in the file's header, so can be used for user code outside of the OsvvmLibraries directory structure.

#### 9 Summary

This document defines the features of the co-simulation capabilities of OSVVM. A sub-set of the Address Bus Model Independent Transaction interface is exposed within a C++ environment to allow reads and writes of words or bursts, driving an AddressBusRecType signal to initiate transactions on one of the pre-existing Address Bus virtual components. A single point of entry from VHDL is given with the CoSimTrans procedure, abstracting away the details of the programming interfaces of the different simulators, and keeping the OSVVM environment intact. Support for interrupts using the InterruptHandler VC component is also given for two usage models.

With the domain crossed from VHDL to C++ the possibilities for modelling systems that are partially simulated in C++ and partially simulated in HDL in a logic simulator is demonstrated. Two methods were described (with examples) with a model's code called directly from user co-simulation code, or a TCP/IP socket link is established to drive the simulator from an external program.

#### 10 About the OSVVM

The OSVVM utility and verification component libraries were developed and are maintained by Jim Lewis of SynthWorks VHDL Training and the co-simulation libraries/features were developed and are

maintained by Simon Southwell. These libraries evolved from methodology and packages developed for SynthWorks' VHDL Testbenches and verification class.

Please support OSVVM by purchasing your VHDL training from SynthWorks.

#### 11 About the Authors and Contributors

#### 11.1 About the Co-simulation Contributor – Simon Southwell

Simon Southwell has thirty plus years of embedded software, ASIC and FPGA design experience in fields that include high performance computing, wireless, cellular modem (LTE) and processor system modelling. Mr. Southwell has developed and successfully deployed co-simulation techniques at a variety of companies using his own open-source IP.

Mr. Southwell is currently developing open source IP in areas such as RISC-V and co-simulation, is actively mentoring undergraduate and new graduate engineers in digital systems design and is also collaborating on the development of OSVVM.

If you find bugs the co-simulation packages or would like to request enhancements, Mr. Southwell can be reached at simon.southwell@gmail.com.

#### 11.2 About the Author - Jim Lewis

Jim Lewis, the founder of SynthWorks, has thirty plus years of design, teaching, and problem solving experience. In addition to working as a Principal Trainer for SynthWorks, Mr. Lewis has done ASIC and FPGA design, custom model development, and consulting.

Mr. Lewis is chair of the IEEE 1076 VHDL Working Group (VASG) and is the primary developer of the Open Source VHDL Verification Methodology (OSVVM.org) packages. Neither of these activities generate revenue. Please support our volunteer efforts by buying your VHDL training from SynthWorks.

If you find bugs these packages or would like to request enhancements, you can reach me at jim@synthworks.com.

#### 12 References

- [1] Address Bus Model Independent Transactions User Guide
- [2] Interrupt Handler User Guide