

# Torus amplitudes and modular invariance

Otto T.P. Schmidt

Seminar on Theoretical Physics



# Outline

1. Motivation
2. The moduli space of tori
  - One-loop open strings
  - Rectangular tori
  - General tori
3. Torus partition function
4. Modular invariance

# Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. The moduli space of tori

One-loop open strings

Rectangular tori

General tori

## 3. Torus partition function

## 4. Modular invariance

# Interactions and observables

In the study of string interactions, the ultimate goal will be the assignment of a probability for a certain process and the prediction of a physical cross section.


As outlined in Section 22, the computation of an observable cross section involves a series of steps:

1. Canonical representation of string diagram through moduli space
2. Compute scattering amplitude by means of conformal field theory
3. Convert scattering amplitude into a cross section

## Loop amplitudes in string theory

In order to obtain accurate scattering amplitudes of processes, one needs to include contributions from loops in string diagrams.

These loops can be seen as contributions from the next higher order perturbation. Graphically we consider the following processes:



# Ultraviolet divergence

Amplitudes from virtual processes as depicted before can lead to ultraviolet (UV) divergences in quantum field theory (QFT).

Whereas QFT must employ complex renormalizations to deal with these UV divergences, we do not encounter these problems in string theory.

# Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. The moduli space of tori

One-loop open strings

Rectangular tori

General tori


## 3. Torus partition function

## 4. Modular invariance

## One-loop open strings

Before approaching the moduli space of tori, let's consider a one-loop open string with light-cone momentum  $p^+$ . This will serve as an intuitive analogon.

The light-cone diagram is:






For fixed external momentum  $p^+$  we find the two parameters:  $\Delta T \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p_1^+ \in (0, p^+)$ .  
→ The class of Riemann surfaces of this process has two moduli.



# Canonical annulus

Use  $w = \tau + i\sigma$  and apply conformal transformations:

1. Exponential map:  $z = \exp\left[\frac{w}{2\alpha' p^+}\right]$
2. Linear fractional transformation:  $\eta = \frac{1+iz}{1-iz}$
3. Canonical annulus: *A region in  $\mathbb{C}$  that is topologically an annulus can be mapped conformally to a canonical annulus*






# Rectangular tori

In order to apply the concept of moduli spaces to a torus, we need to assure that a torus is indeed a Riemann surface.

Consider a rectangular region of  $\mathbb{C}$ . By applying the analytic identifications  $z \sim z + L_1$  and  $z \sim z + iL_2$  we obtain a torus. This shows that the region remains a Riemann surface.

Graphically:



# Parametrisation

We have:

## Rectangular torus

$$z \sim z + L_1 \text{ and } z \sim z + iL_2$$

By applying  $z' = \frac{z}{L_1}$  the identifications become:


## Torus parameter $T$

$$z' \sim z' + 1 \text{ and } z' \sim z' + iT \text{ with } T = \frac{L_2}{L_1}$$

# Ultraviolet divergence

$T$  is a parameter of the torus but does not yet define the moduli space, i.e. tori with different  $T$  can be conformally equivalent.

Consider the following series of conformal maps to a rectangular torus with  $T < 1$ :



## Rectangular torus

Tori with  $T$  and  $\frac{1}{T}$  are conformally equivalent

→ The moduli space can be chosen to be  $T \in (0, 1]$  or  $T \in [1, \infty)$

# General tori

Rectangular tori represent only a subset off all conformally inequivalent tori. Let's construct a more general class of tori:


## General construction of a torus Riemann surface

Choose  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Im(\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2})$ .

A torus is obtained by the indentifications  $z \sim z + \omega_1$  and  $z \sim z + \omega_2$ .

By scaling we obtain  $z \sim z + 1$  and  $z \sim z + \tau$  with  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ ,  $\Im(\tau) > 0$ .

→ Note that for  $\tau = iT$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \Re(\tau) = 0$ ) we consider the rectangular torus.




# Twisting the torus

Intuitively, if a cylinder is twisted and the end surfaces are connected, we expect a different torus.

Formally: Consider  $\Re(\tau) \neq 0$  and a point  $P = 0 = \tau$ . We can reconstruct the rectangular *fundamental domain* by using the identification  $z \sim z + 1$ .


Graphically:



# Twisting parameter

The point  $P$  is no longer identified with a point on the perpendicular. Indeed the degree of twisting is parametrised by  $\theta = 2\pi\Re(\tau)$ . How does the twisting angle  $\theta$  affect the torus parameter  $\tau$ ?

Consider the map  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ :



With the identification  $z \sim z + 1$  we can conclude  $\tau \sim \tau + 1$  and hence  $\theta \sim \theta + 2\pi$

# Outline

## 1. Motivation

## 2. The moduli space of tori

One-loop open strings

Rectangular tori

General tori

## 3. Torus partition function

## 4. Modular invariance



# Outline

1. Motivation
2. The moduli space of tori
  - One-loop open strings
  - Rectangular tori
  - General tori
3. Torus partition function
4. Modular invariance