1 Introduction

1.1 Resumen:

According to the provided Introduction section, what are some of the main contributions and findings of the paper?

1.2 Evaluación:

Clarity: Does the section clearly explain the study's significance and relevance? Are the problem's importance and its wider impacts justified? (Provide specific examples from the text).

2 Related work

2.1 Resumen:

In this section, the research paper presents a review of relational learning techniques that utilise graph-pattern based approach and the query systems upon which they rely. The paper discusses two types of relational learning models - latent feature and graph pattern based approaches, and their respective algorithms such as TILDE (Top-down Induction of Logical Decision Trees) and DT-GBI (Graph-Based Induction of Decision Trees). The paper also provides a summary of the key points in this section.

2.2 Evaluación:

The criteria for evaluation are clear and comprehensive. The section is well-written, easy to understand, and uses appropriate terminology. There are no typos or grammatical errors in the text. However, there could be suggestions on how to improve clarity by restructuring complex sentences and using more concise language. Additionally, it would be helpful to provide specific examples from the text to justify each evaluation criterion. Overall, the evaluation is thorough and accurate, with no grammatical or stylistic errors.

3 Relational machine learning

3.1 Resumen:

In this section, the authors present a logical-mathematical framework for graph query processing that enables relational learning on graph data sets. The framework comprises three components: the relational machine learning model, the graph query framework, and the relational tree induction algorithm.

The authors begin by introducing the relational machine learning model, which is based on a set of rules that describe how to extract patterns from the graph data set. These patterns are then used to classify nodes in the graph.

Next, they introduce the graph query framework, which provides a way to navigate through the graph data set and identify relevant subgraphs. The authors use the graph query framework to construct a decision tree that can be used for relational learning.

Finally, the authors present the algorithm for constructing the decision tree, which involves creating a refinement set of queries that define classes within the graph dataset. They also provide examples of how this algorithm can be applied to classify nodes in a social network and a Star Wars toy graph.

Overall, the authors demonstrate that their framework provides a powerful tool for relational learning on graph data sets and has potential applications in areas such as social network analysis, recommender systems, and fraud detection.

3.2 Evaluación:

The section is well-written and easy to understand. The author uses appropriate terminology and avoids ambiguity. The text is free of grammatical errors, and the style is concise and precise.

Acknowledgements

Proyecto PID2019-109152G financiado por MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033

DISARM project - Grant n. PDC2021-121197, and the HORUS project - Grant n. PID2021-126359OB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/310.13039/501100011033 and by the "European Union NextGenerationEU/PRTR"

References

References

Almagro-Blanco, P., & Sancho-Caparrini, F. (2017). Generalized graph pattern matching. *CoRR*, *abs/1708.03734*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1708.03734. arXiv:1708.03734.

Barceló, P., Libkin, L., & Reutter, J. L. (2011). Querying graph patterns. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth ACM SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems* PODS '11 (pp. 199–210). New York, NY, USA: ACM. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1989284.1989307. doi:10.1145/1989284.1989307.

Blockeel, H., & Raedt, L. D. (1998). Top-down induction of first-order logical decision trees. *Artificial Intelligence*, *101*, 285–297. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0004370298000344. doi:10.1016/S0004-3702(98)00034-4.

Bonifati, A. Fletcher, G.Voigt, H.Yakovets, N.Jagadish, H. V. (2018 Querying Graphs Morgan & Claypool Publishers

Bordes, A., Usunier, N., Garcia-Duran, A., Weston, J., & Yakhnenko, O. (2013). Translating embeddings for modeling multi-relational data. In C. J. C. Burges, L. Bottou, M. Welling, Z. Ghahramani, & K. Q. Weinberger (Eds.), *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26* (pp. 2787–2795). Curran Associates, Inc. URL: http://papers.nips.cc/paper/5071-translating-embeddings-for-modeling-multi-relational-data.pdf.

Brynjolfsson, E., & Mitchell, T. (2017). What can machine learning do? workforce implications. *Science*, *358*, 1530–1534.

Camacho, R., Pereira, M., Costa, V. S., Fonseca, N. A., Adriano, C., Simões, C. J., & Brito, R. M. (2011). A relational learning approach to structure-activity relationships in drug design toxicity studies. *Journal of integrative bioinformatics*, *8*, 176–194.

Chang, K.-W., Yih, S. W.-t., Yang, B., & Meek, C. (2014). Typed tensor decomposition of knowledge bases for relation extraction. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. ACL – Association for Computational Linguistics. URL: https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/typed-tensor-decomposition-of-knowledge-bases-for-relation-extraction/.

Consens, M. P., & Mendelzon, A. O. (1990). Graphlog: A visual formalism for real life recursion. In *Proceedings of the Ninth ACM SIGACT-SIGMOD-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems* PODS '90 (pp. 404–416). New York, NY, USA: ACM. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/298514.298591. doi:10.1145/298514.298591.

Cook, S. A. (1971). The complexity of theorem-proving procedures. In *Proceedings of the Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* STOC '71 (pp. 151–158). New York, NY, USA: ACM. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/800157.805047. doi:10.1145/800157.805047.

Dong, X., Gabrilovich, E., Heitz, G., Horn, W., Lao, N., Murphy, K., Strohmann, T., Sun, S., & Zhang, W. (2014). Knowledge vault: A web-scale approach to probabilistic knowledge fusion. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* KDD '14 (pp. 601–610). New York, NY, USA: ACM. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2623330.2623623. doi:10.1145/2623330.2623623.

Fan, W., Li, J., Ma, S., Tang, N., Wu, Y., & Wu, Y. (2010). Graph pattern matching: From intractable to polynomial time. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, *3*, 264–275. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.14778/1920841.1920878. doi:10.14778/1920841.1920878.

Gallagher, B. (2006). Matching structure and semantics: A survey on graph-based pattern matching. *AAAI FS*, *6*, 45–53.

García-Jiménez, B., Pons, T., Sanchis, A., & Valencia, A. (2014). Predicting protein relationships to human pathways through a relational learning approach based on simple sequence features. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, *11*, 753–765. doi:10.1109/TCBB.2014.2318730.

Geamsakul, W., Matsuda, T., Yoshida, T., Motoda, H., & Washio, T. (2003). Classifier construction by graph-based induction for graph-structured data. In K.-Y. Whang, J. Jeon, K. Shim, & J. Srivastava (Eds.), *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining: 7th Pacific-Asia Conference, PAKDD 2003, Seoul, Korea, April 30 – May 2, 2003 Proceedings* (pp. 52–62). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36175-8_6. doi:10.1007/3-540-36175-8_6.

Gupta, S. (2015). *Neo4j Essentials*. Community experience distilled. Packt Publishing. URL: https://books.google.es/books?id=WJ7NBgAAQBAJ.

Luc De Raedt, Sebastijan Dumančić, Robin Manhaeve, Giuseppe Marra. *From Statistical Relational to Neural-Symbolic Artificial Intelligence.* In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI'20)*, 2021. ISBN: 9780999241165. Article No.: 688. Pages: 8. Yokohama, Japan.

Henzinger, M. R., Henzinger, T. A., & Kopke, P. W. (1995). Computing simulations on finite and infinite graphs. In *Foundations of Computer Science, 1995. Proceedings., 36th Annual Symposium on* (pp. 453–462). IEEE.

Jacob, Y., Denoyer, L., & Gallinari, P. (2014). Learning latent representations of nodes for classifying in heterogeneous social networks. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining* WSDM '14 (pp. 373–382). New York, NY, USA: ACM. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2556195.2556225. doi:10.1145/2556195.2556225.

Jiang, J. Q. (2011). Learning protein functions from bi-relational graph of proteins and function annotations. In T. M. Przytycka, & M.-F. Sagot (Eds.), *Algorithms in Bioinformatics* (pp. 128–138). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, *9*, 3.

Karp, R. M. (1975). On the computational complexity of combinatorial problems. *Networks*, *5*, 45–68.

Knobbe, A. J., Siebes, A., Wallen, D. V. D., & Syllogic B., V. (1999). Multi-relational decision tree induction. In *In Proceedings of PKDD' 99, Prague, Czech Republic, Septembre* (pp. 378–383). Springer.

Latouche, P., & Rossi, F. (2015). Graphs in machine learning: an introduction.

Leiva, H. A., Gadia, S., & Dobbs, D. (2002). Mrdtl: A multi-relational decision tree learning algorithm. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Inductive Logic Programming (ILP 2003* (pp. 38–56). Springer-Verlag.

Milner, R. (1989). *Communication and Concurrency*. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Nguyen, P. C., Ohara, K., Motoda, H., & Washio, T. (2005). Cl-gbi: A novel approach for extracting typical patterns from graph-structured data. In T. B. Ho, D. Cheung, & H. Liu (Eds.), *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining: 9th Pacific-Asia Conference, PAKDD 2005, Hanoi, Vietnam, May 18-20, 2005. Proceedings* (pp. 639–649). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. URL: `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11430919_74`. doi:`10.1007/11430919_74`.

Wenfei Fan. *Graph Pattern Matching Revised for Social Network Analysis.* In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Database Theory (ICDT '12)*, 2012. ISBN: 9781450307918. Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery. Address: New York, NY, USA. Pages: 8–21. Num. Pages: 14. Location: Berlin, Germany. DOI: 10.1145/2274576.2274578.

Yiwei Wang, Wei Wang, Yuxuan Liang, Yujun Cai, Juncheng Liu, Bryan Hooi. *NodeAug: Semi-Supervised Node Classification with Data Augmentation.* En *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining (KDD '20)*, 2020, páginas 207–217. DOI: `https://doi.org/10.1145/3394486.3403063`.

Seyed Mehran Kazemi and David Poole. *RelNN: A Deep Neural Model for Relational Learning.* In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-18)*, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, 2018. Email: `smkazemi@cs.ubc.ca`, `poole@cs.ubc.ca`.

Maria Leonor Pacheco and Dan Goldwasser. *Modeling Content and Context with Deep Relational Learning. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2021; 9, 100–119. DOI: `https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00357`.

K. Ahmed, A. Altaf, N.S.M. Jamail, F. Iqbal, R. Latif. *ADAL-NN: Anomaly Detection and Localization Using Deep Relational Learning in Distributed Systems. Applied Sciences*, 2023, 13, 7297. DOI: `https://doi.org/10.3390/app13127297`.

Jie Zhou, Ganqu Cui, Zhengyan Zhang, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Maosong Sun. *Graph Neural Networks: A Review of Methods and Applications. AI open*, 2020, 1, 57-81.

Lingfei Wu, Peng Cui, Jian Pei, Liang Zhao, Xiaojie Guo. *Graph Neural Networks: Foundation, Frontiers and Applications.* En *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD '22)*, 2022, páginas 4840–4841. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3534678.3542609.

Nickel, M., Murphy, K., Tresp, V., & Gabrilovich, E. (2016). A review of relational machine learning for knowledge graphs. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *104*, 11–33.

Namkyeong L., Dongmin H., Gyoung S. Na, Sungwon K., Junseok L. and Chanyoung P. (2023). Conditional Graph Information Bottleneck for Molecular Relational Learning.

Plotkin, G. (1972). Automatic methods of inductive inference .

van Rest, O., Hong, S., Kim, J., Meng, X., & Chafi, H. (2016). Pgql: a property graph query language. In *Proceedings of the Fourth International Workshop on Graph Data Management Experiences and Systems* (p. 7). ACM.

Reutter, J. L. (2013). *Graph Patterns: Structure, Query Answering and Applications in Schema Mappings and Formal Language Theory.* Ph.D. thesis Laboratory for Foundations of Computer Science School of Informatics University of Edinburgh.

Segaran, T., Evans, C., Taylor, J., Toby, S., Colin, E., & Jamie, T. (2009). *Programming the Semantic Web.* (1st ed.). O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Tang, L., & Liu, H. (2009). Relational learning via latent social dimensions. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 817–826). ACM.

Zou, L., Chen, L., & Özsu, M. T. (2009). Distance-join: Pattern match query in a large graph database. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, *2*, 886–897. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.14778/1687627.1687727. doi:10.14778/1687627.1687727.

Shuai Ma, Yang Cao, Wenfei Fan, Jinpeng Huai, Tianyu Wo. *Strong Simulation: Capturing Topology in Graph Pattern Matching. ACM Trans. Database Syst.*, January 2014, Volume 39, Number 1, Article No. 4. ISSN: 0362-5915. Publisher: Association for Computing Machinery. Address: New York, NY, USA. DOI: 10.1145/2528937. Keywords: dual simulation, graph simulation, data locality, Strong simulation, subgraph isomorphism.