Similarities between texts

Objective:

This task aims to explore and compare multiple techniques to measure the similarity between two texts. These techniques can be syntactic (based on word form) or semantic (based on meaning).

We applied the following methods:

- Cosine Similarity (syntactic)
- **Jaccard Similarity** (syntactic)
- **BERT-based Similarity** using SentenceTransformer (semantic)

Input Texts (Original Example)

Text 1: "The astronaut prepared for the mission with intense training."

Text 2: "Before flying to space, the cosmonaut trained rigorously."

These two sentences are semantically similar but use very different vocabulary. This setup allows us to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of different similarity techniques.

Methodology & Code

- Cosine Similarity: was computed using TF-IDF vectors.
 TfidfVectorizer() transforms words into numeric weights based on:
- how often they appear in the document (TF)
- how rare they are across all documents (IDF)

The resulting vectors are compared with cosine similarity() from Scikit-learn.

2. Jaccard Similarity: was computed using set overlap of words.

- Converts both texts into lowercase sets of words.
- Uses Python set operations:
 - a. & for intersection
 - b. | for union

Limitation: It doesn't consider meaning, only word overlap.

- **3. BERT Semantic Similarity** was computed using the all-MiniLM-L6-v2 Sentence BERT model.
- Loads a lightweight, high-performance BERT model (all-MiniLM-L6-v2) from sentence-transformers.
- Converts full sentences into 384-dimensional vectors.
- Measures cosine similarity using util.cos_sim() (optimized for tensor operations).

Advantage: Captures contextual similarity even with totally different words (e.g., "astronaut" vs. "cosmonaut").

Results Summary

Method	Score	Type
Cosine Similarity (TF-IDF)	0.1230	Syntactic
Jaccard Similarity	0.6667	Syntactic
BERT Semantic Similarity	0.6187	Semantic

Analysis

Cosine Similarity (0.1230)

- Based on TF-IDF vectors.
- Low score due to different word usage.
- It captures token overlap, but not meaning.

Jaccard Similarity (0.6667)

• Surprisingly high because of shared common words like "the", "for", "with".

• It doesn't account for word meaning or structure — only set overlap.

BERT Semantic Similarity (0.6187)

- Captures that "astronaut" ≈ "cosmonaut" and "training" ≈ "trained".
- Despite low word overlap, the sentence meaning is understood.
- Ideal for paraphrase detection or real NLP applications.

Conclusion

- Syntactic methods (Cosine, Jaccard) are limited when word choice varies.
- BERT (Semantic) is clearly more robust, and closer to human understanding.
- BERT should be favored in any production-level NLP system requiring text understanding.