

NNS in QF

Fred Viole

Introduction to Partial Moments

Q. What are partial moments?

A. The elements of variance.

Univariate:

$$LPM(\textit{degree}, \textit{target}, \textit{variable}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T [\max(0, \textit{target} - \textit{variable}_t)]^{\textit{degree}}$$

Time-series or cross-sectional

Partial Moment Equivalences

```
1 library(NNS)
2 set.seed(123); x = rnorm(100); y = rnorm(100)
3
4 mean(x)
```

```
[1] 0.09040591
```

```
1 UPM(degree = 1, target = 0, variable = x) - LPM(degree = 1, target = 0, variable = x)
```

```
[1] 0.09040591
```

```
1 # Sample Variance (base R):
2 var(x)
```

```
[1] 0.8332328
```

```
1 # Sample Variance:
2 (UPM(2, mean(x), x) + LPM(2, mean(x), x)) * (length(x) / (length(x) - 1))
```

```
[1] 0.8332328
```

```
1 # Population Adjustment of Sample Variance (base R):
2 var(x) * ((length(x) - 1) / length(x))
```

```
[1] 0.8249005
```

```
1 # Population Variance:
2 UPM(2, mean(x), x) + LPM(2, mean(x), x)
```

```
[1] 0.8249005
```

```
1 # Variance is also the co-variance of itself:
2 {(Co.LPM(degree_lpm = 1, x = x, y = x, target_x = mean(x), target_y = mean(x))
3   + Co.UPM(degree_upm = 1, x = x, x, mean(x), mean(x))
4   - D.LPM(degree_lpm = 1, degree_upm = 1, x, x, mean(x), mean(x))
5   - D.UPM(1, 1, x, x, mean(x), mean(x)))}
```

```
[1] 0.8249005
```

Skewness

```
1 PerformanceAnalytics::skewness(x)
```

```
[1] 0.06049948
```

```
1 ( (UPM(3,mean(x),x) - LPM(3,mean(x),x)) / (UPM(2,mean(x),x) + LPM(2,mean(x),x)) )
```

```
[1] 0.06049948
```

Kurtosis

```
1 PerformanceAnalytics::kurtosis(x)
```

```
[1] -0.161053
```


```
1 ( (UPM(4,mean(x),x) + LPM(4,mean(x),x)) / (UPM(2,mean(x),x) + LPM(2,mean(x),x)) )
```

```
[1] -0.161053
```


More Equivalences

LPM degree 0 is the eCDF for any distribution

```
1 LPM.CDF = LPM(degree = 0, target = sort(x), variable = x)
2
3 plot(ecdf(x))
4 points(sort(x), LPM.CDF, col='red')
5 legend('left', legend = c('ecdf', 'LPM.CDF'), fill=c('black', 'red'),
```




More CDFs and PDFs




Still empirical CDFs, all we've done is increase the *degree*

Comparing CDFs Led to Stochastic Dominant Efficient Sets



Multivariate



Covariance Equivalence

Covariance Elements and Covariance Matrix

The covariance matrix (Σ) is equal to the sum of the co-partial moments matrices less the divergent partial moments matrices.

$$\Sigma = CLPM + CUPM - DLPM - DUPM$$

```
> cov.mtx = PM.matrix(LPM_degree = 1, UPM_degree = 1, target = 'mean', variable = cbind(x,y), pop_adj = TRUE)
> cov.mtx
$cupm
      x      y
x 0.4299250 0.1033601
y 0.1033601 0.5411626

$dupm
      x      y
x 0.0000000 0.1469182
y 0.1560924 0.0000000

$d1pm
      x      y
x 0.0000000 0.1560924
y 0.1469182 0.0000000

$clpm
      x      y
x 0.4033078 0.1559295
y 0.1559295 0.3939005



$cov.matrix
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310

# Reassembled Covariance Matrix
> cov.mtx$cupm + cov.mtx$clpm - cov.mtx$dupm - cov.mtx$d1pm
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310

# Standard Covariance Matrix
> cov(cbind(x,y))
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310
```

Copulas

```
> # Data
> set.seed(123); x = rnorm(100); y = rnorm(100)
>
> # Raw values
> z = expand.grid(x, y)
>
> # plot
> rgl::plot3d(z[,1], z[,2], co.LPM(0, z[,1], z[,2], z[,1], z[,2]), col = "red")
>
> # Uniform values
> u_x = LPM.ratio(0, x, x)
> u_y = LPM.ratio(0, y, y)
> z = expand.grid(u_x, u_y)
>
> # plot
> rgl::plot3d(z[,1], z[,2], co.LPM(0, z[,1], z[,2], z[,1], z[,2]), col = "blue")
> |
```




Partitioning Led to:

Nonlinear Correlation & Dependence

Nonlinear Relationship

Note the fact that all observations occupy the co-partial moment quadrants.

```
x = seq(0, 3, .01) ; y = x ^ 10
```



```
cor(x, y)
```

```
## [1] 0.6610183
```


```
NNS.dep(x, y)
```

```
## $Correlation  
## [1] 0.9325653  
##  
## $Dependence  
## [1] 0.9325653
```

Compared to Mutual Information, Distance Correlation,
Chatterjee's ξ ...


Partitioning Also Led to:

Nonlinear Regression





Offers dynamic bandwidth solution

Multivariate Regression




- Similar to kNN regression... cluster centroids instead of observations
- -fold cross-validation for number of centroids


Very good at extrapolation



Nonlinear Regression Led to Time-Series Forecasting:




Ultimately yielding:



Time-Series Forecasting with Nonlinear Regression

NNS.ARMA Forecast




Generative and Synthetic Data


Maximum Entropy Bootstrap for Time-Series

- Specify a `rho` or `drift` for any replicate... ensures correlation spectrum is covered.
- Standard IID MC or block bootstrap cannot accomplish this.



Static Drift



Specify a Drift for Replicates (RfR seems useful!)



Ultimately Led to This General Statistical Toolkit:



NNS version 10.9.6 licence GPL-3

NNS offers:

- Numerical Integration & Numerical Differentiation
- Partitional & Hierarchical Clustering
- Nonlinear Correlation & Dependence
- Causal Analysis
- Nonlinear Regression & Classification
- ANOVA
- Seasonality & Autoregressive Modeling
- Normalization
- Stochastic Dominance
- Advanced Monte Carlo Sampling








Finance Applications?
You bet!

Stress Testing

- Restrict observations to and use `NNS.reg()`, maintains dependence structure
- Reverse the procedure... instead of what happens to portfolio if S&P 500 drops 10%, what portfolio returns would lead to a 10% S&P 500 drop? Distribution of scenarios.

https://github.com/OVVO-Financial/Finance/blob/main/stress_test.md

Distribution of Losses




Utility Theory

- Markowitz dedicated a quarter of his 1959 book to utility theory. Was it a waste of time? Absolutely not!
- Each of us has unique risk profiles, costs of capital, and preferences. Parsing variance to reflect these subjective interpretations is critical for representing individual preferences.
- Partial moments are the *perfect* method to achieve this. Summary statistics just don't cut it!





Let's Revisit Degrees

loss-aversion:

gain-seeking:



Utility Functions



NOBODY is linear wrt losses!

Risk-aversion and loss-aversion are two distinct preferences

Utility Embedded Into Covariance Matrix

Covariance Elements and Covariance Matrix

The covariance matrix (Σ) is equal to the sum of the co-partial moments matrices less the divergent partial moments matrices.

$$\Sigma = CLPM + CUPM - DLPM - DUPM$$

```
> cov.mtx = PM.matrix(LPM_degree = 1, UPM_degree = 1, target = 'mean', variable = cbind(x,y), pop_adj = TRUE)
> cov.mtx
$cupm
      x      y
x 0.4299250 0.1033601
y 0.1033601 0.5411626

$dupm
      x      y
x 0.0000000 0.1469182
y 0.1560924 0.0000000

$d1pm
      x      y
x 0.0000000 0.1560924
y 0.1469182 0.0000000

$c1pm
      x      y
x 0.4033078 0.1559295
y 0.1559295 0.3939005

$cov.matrix
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310

# Reassembled Covariance Matrix
> cov.mtx$cupm + cov.mtx$c1pm - cov.mtx$dupm - cov.mtx$d1pm
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310

# Standard Covariance Matrix
> cov(cbind(x,y))
      x      y
x 0.83323283 -0.04372107
y -0.04372107 0.93506310
```

Matrix Properties

Positive Semi-Definite

```
> dim>Returns)
[1] 1429  501
> pm_matrices = NNS::PM.matrix(1, 1, "mean", Returns, pop_adj = T)
> matrixcalc::is.positive.semi.definite(pm_matrices$c1pm)
[1] TRUE
> matrixcalc::is.positive.semi.definite(pm_matrices$cupm)
[1] TRUE
```

Transposes of One Another

```
> pm_matrices = NNS::PM.matrix(1, 1, "mean", mag_7_returns, pop_adj = T)
> pm_matrices
$cupm
      AAPL      AMZN      GOOGL      META      MSFT      NVDA      TSLA
AAPL 0.0001845879 0.0001257108 0.0001172715 0.0001499652 0.0001290853 0.0001904245 0.0001842340
AMZN 0.0001257108 0.0002194009 0.0001282581 0.0001768822 0.0001294801 0.0001975487 0.0001893952
GOOGL 0.0001172715 0.0001282581 0.0001814092 0.0001648536 0.0001303299 0.0001885374 0.0001629186
META 0.0001499652 0.0001768822 0.0001648536 0.0003509361 0.0001520305 0.0002415405 0.0002034251
MSFT 0.0001290853 0.0001294801 0.0001303299 0.0001520305 0.0001633589 0.0002029688 0.0001696033
NVDA 0.0001904245 0.0001975487 0.0001885374 0.0002415405 0.0002029688 0.0005310263 0.0003034602
TSLA 0.0001842340 0.0001893952 0.0001629186 0.0002034251 0.0001696033 0.0003034602 0.0007570225

$dupm
      AAPL      AMZN      GOOGL      META      MSFT      NVDA      TSLA
AAPL 0.000000e+00 1.584127e-05 1.027990e-05 1.529635e-05 7.045037e-06 1.889708e-05 2.855015e-05
AMZN 1.241220e-05 0.000000e+00 1.186534e-05 1.222438e-05 9.328401e-06 2.026998e-05 3.713813e-05
GOOGL 1.049113e-05 8.067339e-06 0.000000e+00 1.055072e-05 7.663992e-06 1.751569e-05 3.895092e-05
META 1.366089e-05 1.565359e-05 1.123151e-05 0.000000e+00 1.383689e-05 2.654724e-05 5.462058e-05
MSFT 6.947570e-06 7.269397e-06 6.539639e-06 1.220053e-05 0.000000e+00 1.139308e-05 3.088400e-05
NVDA 1.607725e-05 2.083073e-05 1.837323e-05 2.652328e-05 1.397346e-05 0.000000e+00 4.768053e-05
TSLA 3.725337e-05 4.413379e-05 4.755441e-05 6.066748e-05 4.622815e-05 6.768202e-05 0.000000e+00


$d1pm
      AAPL      AMZN      GOOGL      META      MSFT      NVDA      TSLA
AAPL 0.000000e+00 1.241220e-05 1.049113e-05 1.366089e-05 6.947570e-06 1.607725e-05 3.725337e-05
AMZN 1.584127e-05 0.000000e+00 8.067339e-06 1.565359e-05 7.269397e-06 2.083073e-05 4.413379e-05
GOOGL 1.027990e-05 1.186534e-05 0.000000e+00 1.123151e-05 6.539639e-06 1.837323e-05 4.755441e-05
META 1.529635e-05 1.222438e-05 1.055072e-05 0.000000e+00 1.220053e-05 2.652328e-05 6.066748e-05
MSFT 7.045037e-06 9.328401e-06 7.663992e-06 1.383689e-05 0.000000e+00 1.397346e-05 4.622815e-05
NVDA 1.889708e-05 2.026998e-05 1.751569e-05 2.654724e-05 1.139308e-05 0.000000e+00 6.768202e-05
TSLA 2.855015e-05 3.713813e-05 3.895092e-05 5.462058e-05 3.088400e-05 4.768053e-05 0.000000e+00

$s1pm
      AAPL      AMZN      GOOGL      META      MSFT      NVDA      TSLA
AAPL 0.0001761168 0.0001402162 0.0001400667 0.0001632621 0.0001391880 0.0002161162 0.0002228611
AMZN 0.0001402162 0.0002132808 0.0001500598 0.0001929205 0.0001455512 0.0002330055 0.0002182102
GOOGL 0.0001400667 0.0001500598 0.0001857633 0.0001826160 0.0001418376 0.0002097453 0.0001989730
META 0.0001632621 0.0001929205 0.0001826160 0.0003562793 0.0001681899 0.0002635573 0.0002452277
MSFT 0.0001391880 0.0001455512 0.0001418376 0.0001681899 0.0001637322 0.0002146819 0.0002047791
NVDA 0.0002161162 0.0002330055 0.0002097453 0.0002635573 0.0002146819 0.0004638918 0.0003588282
TSLA 0.0002228611 0.0002182102 0.0001989730 0.0002452277 0.0002047791 0.0003588282 0.0006856359

$scov.matrix
      AAPL      AMZN      GOOGL      META      MSFT      NVDA      TSLA
AAPL 0.0003607047 0.0002376736 0.0002365672 0.0002842700 0.0002542807 0.0003715663 0.0003412916
AMZN 0.0002376736 0.0004326817 0.0002583852 0.0003419247 0.0002584335 0.0003894535 0.0003263335
GOOGL 0.0002365672 0.0002583852 0.0003671725 0.0003256874 0.0002579639 0.0003623938 0.0002753862
META 0.0002842700 0.0003419247 0.0003256874 0.0007072154 0.0002941830 0.0004520273 0.0003333648
MSFT 0.0002542807 0.0002584335 0.0002579639 0.0002941830 0.0003270911 0.0003922841 0.0002972703
NVDA 0.0003715663 0.0003894535 0.0003623938 0.0004520273 0.0003922841 0.0009949181 0.0005469258
TSLA 0.0003412916 0.0003263335 0.0002753862 0.0003333648 0.0002972703 0.0005469258 0.0014426584


> identical(pm_matrices$d1pm, t(pm_matrices$dupm))
[1] TRUE
```

Logical Objective Functions



Consistent objective for all risk preferences!

SSD Efficient Set of S&P 500



Expected Partial Moments

Longer post and paper link on conditioning returns via entropy proxies




Other Portfolio “Theories”

- Diversification for its own sake, with no identified utility function or preference being satisfied.
- Hierarchical Risk Parity (HRP) aims to create portfolios that are more robust to input variations, avoiding extreme mean-variance allocations.
- Stochastic Portfolio Theory (SPT) values growth rates but ignores investor preferences — *how* you achieve final wealth matters!



Options Pricing

An obvious extension is to use **UPM** for calls and **LPM** for puts



No more and !

Partial Moments in the Wild

To showcase the effectiveness of NNS in quantitative finance, I've designed the following applications:

- MacroNow nowcasting
https://ovvo.shinyapps.io/macronow_intro
- Options
https://ovvo.shinyapps.io/options_intro
- Portfolio
https://ovvo.shinyapps.io/portfolio_intro

More Informed Dispersion Measures

where for index members

Better Stats to Arb

Cumulative Returns of SPY and Account D***
Alpha: 0.34 | Beta: -0.02 | Correlation: -0.04 | Sharpe: 1.98
SPY Max DD: 0.08 | Account Max DD: 0.09
Account Annualized Returns: 0.43



Follow on LinkedIn

